


# Working together to plan for the future

Conformity Demonstration for the *Draft* FY2024-2024 Regional Transportation Improvement Program and Proposed Amendment to *Communities in Motion* 2050


Report No. TBD

Adopted by the COMPASS Board on TBD

THIS DOCUMENT IS SUBMITTED TO THE U.S. DEPARTMENT OF TRANSPORTATION IN FULFILLMENT OF THE REQUIREMENTS OF THE 1990 CLEAN AIR ACT AMENDMENTS, THE FEDERAL TRANSPORTATION AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY RULES (40 CFR 51 and 40 CFR 93), AND THE STATE OF IDAHO ADMINISTRATIVE CODE ON TRANSPORTATION CONFORMITY (IDAPA 58-01.01.563-574).







# **TABLE OF CONTENTS**

LIST OF FIGURES	2
LIST OF TABLES	2
LIST OF ACRONYMS	3
FOREWORD	4
SUMMARY	5
I. INTRODUCTION	7
Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho	7
Clean Air Act Designations	7
Rules	8
II. EMISSIONS ESTIMATION	12
Emissions Analysis Assumptions and Tools	12
PM <sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan	15
2023 Scenario	16
2030 Scenario	18
2040 Scenario	22
2050 Scenario	28
III. CONCLUSIONS	31
PM <sub>10</sub> Budget Test	31
VOC Budget Test	32
NOx Budget Test	33
Appendix A: Carbon Monoxide, End of 20-Year Maintenance Period Letter	35
Appendix B: Northern Ada County PM $_{ m 10}$ Maintenance Area Legal Description and Map	37
Annendix C: Annroyed Regional Emission Assumptions	30

# **LIST OF FIGURES**

Figure 1: COMPASS Population Estimates and Forecasts
Figure 2: COMPASS Model Travel Modes
Figure 3: Vehicle Miles of Travel Estimated by the Travel Demand Model
Figure 4: PM <sub>10</sub> Budget Test Results
Figure 5: VOC Budget Test Results
Figure 6: NO <sub>x</sub> Budget Test Results
LIST OF TABLES
Table 1: Public Transportation Projects Included in the 2023 Network for the 2023 Scenario16
Table 2: Roadway Projects Included in the 2023 Network for the 2023 Scenario16
Table 3: 2023 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day
Table 4: Public Transportation Projects Added to the 2023 Network for the 2030 Scenario18
Table 5: Roadway Projects Added to the 2023 Network for the 2030 Scenario18
Table 6: 2030 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day21
Table 7: Public Transportation Projects Added to the 2030 Network for the 2040 Scenario22
Table 8: Roadway Projects Added to the 2030 Network for the 2040 Scenario22
Table 9: 2040 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day27
Table 10: Roadway Projects Added to the 2040 Network for the 2050 Scenario28
Table 11: 2050 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day30

# **LIST OF ACRONYMS**

ACHD	Ada County Highway District
ATR	Automatic traffic recorder
AVFT	Alternative vehicle fuels and technology
CFR	Code of Federal Regulations
CIM 2050	Communities in Motion 2050
CIP	ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan
СО	Carbon monoxide
COMPASS	Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho
DEQ	Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
E10	10% ethanol in gasoline
EPA	U.S. Environmental Protection Agency
ICC	Interagency Consultation Committee
IFYWP	ACHD's Integrated Five-Year Work Plan
IM	Inspection Maintenance
ITD	Idaho Transportation Department
ITIP	Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide version of the regional TIP)
MOVES	Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator
MPO	Metropolitan planning organization
NAAQS	National Ambient Air Quality Standards
NOx	Oxides of nitrogen
OBD	On-board diagnostics
PM <sub>10</sub>	Particulate matter with a diameter less than 10 micrometers (i.e., $1 \times 10^{-6}$ ) (coarse particulate matter)
RPM	Revolutions per minute
SIP	State Implementation Plan
TIP	Transportation Improvement Program
TMAC	Transportation Model Advisory Committee
TPD	Tons per day
TTOP	State Street Transit and Traffic Operational Plan
VIN	Vehicle identification number
VMT	Vehicle miles of travel
VOC	Volatile organic compounds

#### **FOREWORD**

The Clean Air Act mandates that any transportation project using federal funds or deemed to be "regionally significant" in nonattainment or maintenance areas cannot contribute to a degradation of air quality. To achieve this, transportation plans must "conform" to air quality plans. Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) health-based air quality standards. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

Only EPA's criteria pollutants<sup>1</sup> are subject to conformity analyses. One of two tests is used in a conformity demonstration:

<u>Budget</u>: State air quality implementation and maintenance plans for nonattainment and maintenance areas will often have maximum limits on the amounts of pollutants that transportation related sources emit. These maximum emissions limits on transportation related sources are known as "budgets." A transportation conformity budget test consists of a comparison between regional emissions estimates that include the impacts associated with planned transportation projects and the established budget. If the budget is not exceeded by the emissions estimate, then conformity has been demonstrated. Results of budget tests are provided in this report.

<u>Build/No Build</u>: This process simply compares the estimated amount of a given pollutant emitted in a region before the programmed projects are built (no build scenario) and after construction (build scenario). If the emissions from a build scenario are equal to or less than the emissions from a no build scenario, conformity has been demonstrated. This test is used for nonattainment or maintenance areas where motor vehicle emissions budgets have not been established. Previously, COMPASS used a build/no build process to determine conformity for carbon monoxide. As described later, COMPASS is no longer required to conduct a conformity demonstration for carbon monoxide, so build/no build tests were not conducted for this report.

This document contains the information and analyses necessary for the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration to make a transportation conformity finding for the draft FY2024-2030 Regional Transportation Improvement Program and a proposed amendment to the current regional long-range transportation plan, *Communities in Motion 2050*.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> EPA sets air quality standards for six common pollutants, referred to as "criteria" air pollutants (https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants). These standards are developed based on human health and/or environmental criteria (science-based guidelines). Of the six criteria pollutants, particulate pollution and ground-level ozone pose the two most widespread health threats.

#### **SUMMARY**

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES) and the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho's (COMPASS') most current travel demand model were used to estimate pollutant emissions from the transportation projects contained in the draft FY2024-2030 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and a proposed amendment to the current long-range transportation plan, *Communities in Motion 2050* (CIM 2050). A TIP is a fiscally constrained<sup>2</sup>, short-range capital improvement program (budget) of transportation projects in a given planning area. The Interagency Consultation Committee approved the modeling methodologies and assumptions used in the regional emissions analyses including the applicable transportation model networks. Growth and demographic assumptions from the region's *Communities in Motion 2050* Vision, approved by the COMPASS Board of Directors in August 2021 and subsequently reconciled in spring 2023 based on the 2020 Census and current growth, were used in this demonstration.

Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of EPA's health-based air quality standards. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

Until recently, Northern Ada County was a maintenance area for two air pollutants — coarse particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$ ) and carbon monoxide. The maintenance period for carbon monoxide established in the *Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan*<sup>3</sup> ended on December 27, 2022 (Appendix A). Therefore, COMPASS is no longer required and did not conduct a build versus no build carbon monoxide emissions analysis. However, previous conformity reports include carbon monoxide emissions analyses and are located on the COMPASS website<sup>4</sup>. Northern Ada County will remain a maintenance area for  $PM_{10}$  through 2023.

The Northern Ada County  $PM_{10}$  State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: Ten-Year  $Update^5$  contains motor vehicle emissions budgets for three pollutants:  $PM_{10}$ , oxides of nitrogen, and volatile organic compounds. Emissions budget tests, as required by 40 CFR 93.118, demonstrate conformity of the draft FY2024-2030 TIP and proposed amendment to CIM 2050.

The area is subject to transportation conformity requirements for direct  $PM_{10}$  and precursor pollutants per 40 CFR 93, subpart  $A^6$ , including:

- a. Transportation plans and projects provide for timely implementation of SIP [State Implementation Plan] transportation control measures in accordance with 40 CFR 93.113;
- b. Transportation plans and projects comply with the fiscal constraint element per 40 CFR 93.108;
- c. The MPO's interagency consultation procedures meet applicable requirements of 40 CFR 93.105:
- d. Conformity of transportation plans is determined no less frequently than every four years, and conformity of plan amendments and transportation

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Section IX: Financial Constraint of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program – Full Report https://compassidaho.org/transportation-improvement-program/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> https://www2.deg.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15914

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> https://compassidaho.org/air-quality/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15913

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83&mc=true&node=sp40.22.93.a&rgn=div6

- projects is demonstrated in accordance with the timing requirements specified in 40 CFR 93.104;
- e. The latest planning assumptions and emissions model are used as set forth in 40 CFR 93.110 and 40 CFR 93.111;
- f. Projects do not cause or contribute to any new localized carbon monoxide or particulate matter violations, in accordance with procedures specified in 40 CFR 93.123; and
- g. Project sponsors and/or operators provide written commitments as specified in 40 CFR 93.125. [40 CFR 93, subpart A]

Figures 4 – 6 (pages 31 – 33) show estimated emissions as compared to pollutant budgets for  $PM_{10}$ , volatile organic compounds, and oxides of nitrogen.

A public comment period on the Air Quality Conformity Demonstration for the draft FY2024-2030 TIP and proposed amendment to CIM 2050 will occur June 30 through July 31, 2023. The public comments and responses from this comment period will be made available on the COMPASS website.

#### I. INTRODUCTION

#### **Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho**

The Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) is an association of local governments in Ada and Canyon Counties, Idaho. It provides transportation planning and a host of other planning and community services to its member agencies and the general public. Since 1977, COMPASS, formerly known as the Ada Planning Association, has been designated as the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for Ada County. In April 2003, COMPASS was designated as the MPO for the Nampa Urbanized Area, located in neighboring Canyon County. The agency's planning area covers Ada and Canyon Counties<sup>7</sup>.

#### **Clean Air Act Designations**

The federal government sets health-based air quality standards for air pollutants, called the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or NAAQS. Areas that have violated (not attained) the NAAQS are referred to as "nonattainment areas"; areas that were nonattainment in the past but have been redesignated to attainment of the standards with an approved maintenance plan are termed "maintenance areas." Northern Ada County is a maintenance area for one air pollutant — coarse particulate matter ( $PM_{10}$ ). Northern Ada County violated the NAAQS for this pollutant in the early 1990s and has been in compliance ever since.

The federal government mandates that any transportation project using federal funds or deemed to be "regionally significant" in nonattainment or maintenance areas cannot contribute to a degradation of air quality (40 CFR 93)<sup>8</sup>. To achieve this, transportation plans must "conform" to air quality plans. Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of the NAAQS. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

# Coarse Particulate Matter (PM<sub>10</sub>)

Northern Ada County is designated as a maintenance area in attainment of the 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS. Figure 7 in Appendix B shows the extent of the maintenance area boundaries. While exceedances of the 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS have occurred due to wind-blown dust events, no violations of the 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS have occurred since the area was designated as a maintenance area in attainment of the standard in 2003.

Prior to March 12, 1999, Northern Ada County was designated as a nonattainment area for  $PM_{10}$ . However, on that date, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) administrator signed a revocation of Northern Ada County's nonattainment designation based on changes made to the  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS (64 FR 12257)9. This ruling was challenged in the Ninth District Circuit Court. On January 31, 2001, the U.S. Department of Justice approved a settlement agreement for the Idaho Clean Air Force et al. v. EPA et al. lawsuit. A major component of the settlement agreement required that the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) update Northern Ada County's  $PM_{10}$  State Implementation Plan (SIP). In November 2003, the Northern Ada County  $PM_{10}$  SIP Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request became effective (68 FR 61106)<sup>10</sup>.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>7</sup> https://compassidaho.org/maps/

<sup>8</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40/chapter-I/subchapter-C/part-93?toc=1

http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/granule/FR-1999-03-12/99-5380/content-detail.html

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>10</sup> https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/FR-2003-10-27/03-26919

In March 2013, the Northern Ada County  $PM_{10}$  State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: Ten-Year Update<sup>11</sup> (PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan) was submitted to EPA. On May 17, 2013, EPA announced receipt of the maintenance plan and issued determination of adequacy of the motor vehicle emission budgets for transportation conformity purposes. In November 2014, the second ten-year PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan became effective (**79 FR 59435**)<sup>12</sup>.

Past exceedances of the 24-hour  $PM_{10}$  NAAQS in Northern Ada County occurred primarily during severe wintertime air stagnation events. These events, known as atmospheric inversions, are caused when cold, stagnant air is held close to the valley floor by warmer air aloft. During these events, particulates, including those formed in the atmosphere out of gaseous pollutants such as oxides of nitrogen  $(NO_X)$  and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), are trapped near the earth's surface. Because  $NO_X$  and VOCs combine to create particulates, they are considered precursors of  $PM_{10}$ . As a result, the  $PM_{10}$  maintenance plan contains approved  $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_X$ , and VOC motor vehicle emissions budgets.

#### **Rules**

As noted above, the  $PM_{10}$  maintenance plan established motor vehicle emissions budgets for  $PM_{10}$ ,  $NO_x$ , and VOCs. Therefore, to satisfy transportation conformity requirements established by 40 CFR 93.118, budget tests must be performed for the draft FY2024-2030 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and proposed amendment to *Communities in Motion 2050* (CIM 2050). Budget tests are satisfied when regional emissions estimates based on the transportation projects outlined in a TIP or transportation plan are less than or equal to "budgets" established by SIPs and/or air quality maintenance plans.

### **Interagency Consultation**

Idaho Administrative Code (IDAPA 58.01.01.567) requires that agencies within nonattainment and maintenance areas establish Interagency Consultation Committees (ICCs) on transportation conformity. The Northern Ada County ICC is comprised of the following agencies:

- Ada County Highway District (ACHD)
- ACHD Commuteride
- City of Boise
- COMPASS
- Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ)
- Idaho Transportation Department (ITD), District 3
- Valley Regional Transit (VRT)
- Federal Highway Administration Idaho Division
- Environmental Protection Agency, Region X
- Federal Transit Administration

The Northern Ada County ICC approved the assumptions and methodologies employed in the development of the regional emissions analyses used in this demonstration on June 6, 2023. The approved assumptions and methodologies are listed in Appendix C. The roadway project list was also approved by the ICC on June 6, 2023. A complete listing of the ICC requirements can be found in Idaho Administrative Code (IDAPA 58.01.01.563-574<sup>13</sup>).

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>11</sup> https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15913

<sup>12</sup> https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/FR-2014-10-02/2014-23365

https://adminrules.idaho.gov/rules/current/58/580101.pdf

### **Budget Test**

A budget test is a comparison of emissions estimates to an established limit (or budget) for motor vehicles. As per 40 CFR 93.118<sup>14</sup>, budget tests must be performed:

...each year for which the applicable ... implementation plan specifically establishes motor vehicle emissions budget(s), for the last year of the transportation plan's forecast period, and for any intermediate years as necessary so that the years for which consistency is demonstrated are no more than ten years apart...

The PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan established motor vehicle emissions budgets for 2008, 2015, and 2023. Demographic data and transportation projects are grouped in five-year increments. Therefore, the conformity analysis also uses those increments, adhering to the applicable guidelines and regulations of no more than ten years between analysis years. Therefore, budget tests and analyses were performed for:

- 2023 SIP budget year
- 2030 Intermediate analysis year
- 2040 Intermediate analysis year
- 2050 Horizon year of the regional long-range transportation plan, CIM 2050

Projects included in the analyses are shown in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10; the results are shown in Table 3, 6, 9, and 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>14</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83&mc=true&node=sp40.22.93.a&rgn=div6#se40.22.93 1118

#### **Regionally Significant Projects**

Regional emissions analyses, for the purpose of demonstrating transportation conformity of a TIP or long-range plan, must include all regionally significant and/or federally funded projects in the nonattainment or maintenance area.

40 CFR 93.101<sup>15</sup> defines a regionally significant project as:

... a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.

Idaho Administrative Code (IDAPA 58.01.01.566)<sup>16</sup> further defines a regionally significant project as:

A transportation project, other than an exempt project, that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs... and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including, at a minimum:

- a. All principal arterial highways;
- b. All fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel; and
- c. Any other facilities determined to be regionally significant through Section 570, interagency consultation.

The ICC maintains discretionary authority in interpreting and applying these definitions to the area's transportation programs, plans, and projects. Definitions for regionally significant road projects and regionally significant transit projects, as developed by the ICC, are below. For the purposes of this conformity determination, all applicable roadway projects, despite their significance, were included in the travel demand model networks.

#### Regionally Significant Roadway Project Definition

On January 30, 2002, the ICC developed the following definition of a "Regionally Significant" transportation project:

A transportation project in Ada County, Idaho is designated "Regionally Significant" if:

- (a) the project is for the improvement of either:
  - (i) a principal arterial or higher functional classification; or
  - (ii) a minor arterial which will have a twenty (20) year projected traffic volume of at least 45,000 vehicles a day after completion of the project; and
- (b) the project will add at least one new continuous vehicular lane which either:
  - (i) extends from one intersecting principal or minor arterial to another intersecting principal or minor arterial; or
  - (ii) in the case of an interstate, extends from the on ramp of one interstate interchange to a point beyond the off ramp of the next adjacent interstate interchange.

<sup>15</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83&mc=true&node=sp40.22.93.a&rgn=div6#se40.22.93 1101

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>16</sup> Idaho Administrative Code Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho

#### Regionally Significant Transit Project Definition

On August 31, 2005, the ICC adopted the following definition of a "Regionally Significant" transit project:

A transit project in Ada County, Idaho is designated "Regionally Significant" if the transit project:

- (a) has the potential to change the vehicle demand of an existing roadway classified as a principal arterial or higher by 400 vehicles per hour, or 4,000 vehicles per weekday; and
- (b) is a transit service or facility that provides services to (or connects) at a minimum:
  - (i) two counties and;
  - (ii) three incorporated cities

#### **Exempt Projects**

Pursuant to 40 CFR 93.126<sup>17</sup> (Exempt Projects), certain projects listed in a TIP or long-range transportation plan may proceed even in the absence of a conformity finding/demonstration. Exempt projects include highway safety or mass transit projects, landscaping projects, roadway rehabilitation and repair projects, transportation enhancement projects, and transportation planning activities that do not lead directly to construction. However, the exempt projects listed in 40 CFR 93.126 are not considered exempt if the ICC concludes that they may have an adverse impact on air quality.

In addition, 40 CFR 93.127<sup>18</sup> (Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses) considers projects, such as intersection signalization, changes in alignment, bus terminals, and transit transfer points, exempt from regional emissions analyses. However, these projects must demonstrate project-level conformity if they meet the requirements of 40 CFR 93.123(b)(1)<sup>19</sup>. This is determined through consultation. As with the types of exempt projects listed in 40 CFR 93.126, the projects listed in 40 CFR 93.127 may not be considered exempt if the ICC concludes they may have an adverse impact on air quality.

#### **Transportation Control Measures**

As per 40 CFR 93.113(c) $^{20}$ , in order for a TIP or long-range transportation plan to be conforming, it cannot interfere with the implementation of any transportation control measures. There are no transportation control measures requiring implementation in the PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan. Therefore, the TIP and long-range transportation plan meet the requirements of 40 CFR 93.113(c).

<sup>17</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83&mc=true&node=sp40.22.93.a&rgn=div6#se40.22.93 1126

<sup>18</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

 $<sup>\</sup>underline{idx?SID = 348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc = true\&node = sp40.22.93.a\&rgn = div6\#se40.22.93 - 1127acs = 1127a$ 

<sup>19</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83&mc=true&node=sp40.22.93.a&rgn=div6#se40.22.93 1123

<sup>20</sup> https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-

idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83&mc=true&node=sp40.22.93.a&rgn=div6#se40.22.93 1113

#### II. EMISSIONS ESTIMATION

# **Emissions Analysis Assumptions and Tools**

This air quality conformity demonstration is based upon average speed distribution for each roadway type in 16 speed "bins." The regional travel demand model's average weekday<sup>21</sup> estimates or forecasts for each roadway segment provide the necessary data for this input. Emissions factors are generated using the latest version of EPA's motor vehicle emissions model (Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator, or MOVES3). A regional emission analysis was conducted as described below.

#### **COMPASS' Travel Demand Model**

The COMPASS travel demand model provides estimates of average weekday and peak hour travel demand for each link of a given transportation network based on current and future growth assumptions. In addition to travel demand, the model produces weekday vehicle miles of travel forecasts, congested network speeds, and other data relevant to regional emissions analyses. The travel demand model is regularly maintained and updated to include all completed roadway projects. Future-year model networks include anticipated widening and new roadway projects, regardless of significance or exemption status. Transportation network components include interstates, principal arterials, minor arterials, collectors, and select local roads in Ada and Canyon Counties.

Calibration, validation, and updates to COMPASS' travel demand model<sup>22</sup> were performed under the review of the Transportation Model Advisory Committee (TMAC), a technical committee formed by the COMPASS Board of Directors. The committee was made up of local experts, technical staff from COMPASS member agencies, and local traffic engineers from both the public and private sectors. TMAC worked with COMPASS staff to calibrate and validate the regional travel demand model to reflect the actual travel patterns and behaviors in Ada and Canyon Counties. This was completed in January 2015. The committee was disbanded after this work as part of a larger change in COMPASS committee structure. If necessary, a workgroup can be established in the future to advise on modeling activities. In October 2021, COMPASS staff completed refinements to key parameters and inputs to the regional model. These refinements and the results were reviewed with select staff from member agencies and the private sector — experts in the modeling field and/or frequent users of the model outputs.

#### **Demographic Data**

The COMPASS Board of Directors approves the official population and employment forecast control totals for Ada and Canyon Counties. Between September 2019 and August 2021, COMPASS, its member agencies, stakeholders, and the general public participated in the development of a preferred growth scenario for the year 2050 — the *Communities in Motion 2050* Vision. This preferred growth scenario, used for the CIM 2050, plan was adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors in August 2021.

Each year, COMPASS updates and reconciles the demographic forecasts to account for building permit activity and approved developments. The annual "reconciliation" process is coordinated with land use agencies and COMPASS' Demographic Advisory Workgroup. Due to the late release of the 2020 Census data, staff completed refinements to the demographic forecasts based on the 2020 Census data in summer 2022 and completed reconciliation in spring 2023.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>21</sup> "Average weekday" is intended to represent a typical Monday – Thursday weekday.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>22</sup> To learn more about the travel demand model visit <a href="https://compassidaho.org/regional-travel-demand-modeling/">https://compassidaho.org/regional-travel-demand-modeling/</a>

The Communities in Motion 2050 Vision forecasts demographic data in five-year increments (Figure 1). Demographic data for the 2023 analysis year were approved by the COMPASS Board of Directors on April 17, 2023.




Figure 1: COMPASS Population Estimates<sup>23</sup> and Forecasts

# **Roadway Network Assumptions**

The projects used in the regional emissions analysis for the draft FY2024-2030 TIP and propose amendment to CIM 2050 were derived from:

- COMPASS' draft FY2024-2030 TIP<sup>24</sup>
- ACHD's FY2022-2026 and draft FY2024-2028 Integrated Five-Year Work Plan (IFYWP)25
- ITD's draft FY2024-2030 Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP)<sup>26</sup>
- ACHD's 2020 Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) (FY2020-2040)<sup>27</sup>
- CIM 2050, the regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canvon Counties
- VRT's current fixed route services and Transportation Development Plan (TDP)

Transportation projects were placed into analysis (or budget) year networks based on information contained in the above sources. The anticipated project completion date was used to place the transportation project in the appropriate network year. Projects listed as "preliminary development" in the TIP or "future" in ACHD's IFYWP were placed in the network year based on information provided by the transportation agencies. Other future roadway projects listed as funded in both the CIM 2050 plan and ACHD's CIP were placed in a network year based on information contained in ACHD's CIP. For transparency, COMPASS includes all transportation projects that can be modeled, even those that are not regionally significant, in

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> https://compassidaho.org/demographics-current-and-historical-population-estimates/

https://compassidaho.org/transportation-improvement-program/

https://www.achdidaho.org/Departments/PlansProjects/IFYWP.aspx

https://itd.idaho.gov/funding/

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>27</sup> http://www.achdidaho.org/departments/Engineering/DevelopmentServices/impactFees.aspx

its conformity analysis. This is reflected in the projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10. Roadway projects listed as unfunded in CIM 2050 were not included in the roadway networks. These "unfunded" projects cannot be considered funded or move to the construction phase without an accompanying emissions analysis.

### **Transit Service Assumptions**

Regional impacts from access to the area's transit system were included in the emissions analysis. This was done within COMPASS' travel demand model using a "mode choice" model. A "mode choice" model is the third step in a traditional four-step travel demand model, such as the one maintained by COMPASS. It takes estimates of "person trips" and predicts the mode of travel the trip will use.

Figure 2 shows the modes available to the travel demand model for assignment. The "M's" represent different types of transit services. Transit trips are assigned to a transit network input into the travel demand model. Non-motorized trips are not assigned to a network.




Figure 2: COMPASS Model Travel Modes

Annually, COMPASS reviews the transit system and changes with VRT and updates the model accordingly. Currently, VRT anticipates system expansion per the draft TDP; the projects that comprise this expansion are shown in Tables 1, 4, and 7. The current VRT system provides:

- Seventeen all-day routes and one express route with peak hour headways varying between 15-60 minutes in the Boise/Garden City service area.
- Four inter-county routes (between Ada and Canyon Counties); two run all day and two run during peak hours only. Three of the four are express routes, including one of the all-day routes. Peak hour headways vary between 30-60 minutes.
- VRT OnDemand in the Caldwell and Nampa service area.
- Americans with Disabilities Act required paratransit service in both Ada and Canyon Counties.

VRT's fixed route system served 1.2 million riders in 2019 but experienced a significant decline in ridership due to COVID-19. The system ridership is rebounding and served just under 940,000 riders in 2022. Specific information on routes, schedules, and planned changes be found at VRT's website at <a href="http://www.valleyride.org/">http://www.valleyride.org/</a>.

Travel demand models are used to calculate vehicle miles of travel (VMT). VMT is an estimate of the amount of vehicular travel in a given geographical area and is dependent upon land use (growth) and assumptions about the transportation system (programmed and funded projects). VMT and roadway speed are the outputs of the regional travel demand model

necessary to run MOVES for emission estimates. The VMT for select years between 2000 and 2050 is shown in Figure 3.




Figure 3: Vehicle Miles of Travel Estimated by the Travel Demand Model

# **Emissions Modeling**

EPA's emissions model, MOVES, was used to estimate the air quality impacts associated with current and future roadway networks.

The MOVES model<sup>28</sup> uses local data inputs for climate, elevation, Northern Ada County's vehicle emissions testing program, and travel demand model forecasted VMT and roadway speeds to develop emission factors for specified air pollutants. For detailed information on the conformity modeling process, see "Air Quality  $101^{29}$ ." Appendix C summarizes the MOVES modeling assumptions approved by the ICC for use in this demonstration. These model settings and inputs were reviewed during the interagency consultation process. The PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan was updated by DEQ's Boise Regional Office in 2013. All of the methodologies, assumptions, processes, and results are documented in the updated maintenance plan. The PM<sub>10</sub> maintenance plan and associated appendices are available on DEQ's website, as listed below:

PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan

https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15913

PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan appendices

https://www2.deg.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15998

As described on page 10,  $PM_{10}$ , VOC, and  $NO_x$  budget tests were performed under the four scenario years: 2023, 2030, 2040, and 2050. Results are shown in Table 3, 6, 9, and 11.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>28</sup> http://www.epa.gov/otag/models/moves/index.htm

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>29</sup> https://compassidaho.org/wp-content/uploads/Air Quality Conformity 101.pdf

#### 2023 Scenario

The 2023 scenario uses 2023 population and employment estimates with the 2023 public transit and roadway projects listed in Tables 1 and 2. (Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.) Public transportation projects 1 through 4 and roadway projects 9 through 13 are included for information purposes only. These projects were recently completed, are currently under construction, or are expected to be complete by the end of 2023.

Table 1: Public Transportation Projects Included in the 2023 Network for the 2023 Scenario

No.	Route Name	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	(ITIP, IFYWP,	Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity		
				CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally n Funded*
1.	Route 30: Pine	New fixed route service in Meridian mainly along Pine Ave. from Ten Mile Rd. and Franklin Rd. to River Valley St. and Records Ave. (a.k.a. The Village)	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
2.	Route 7A: Fairview- Ustick	Twice daily extension to Capital High School was eliminated. Increase "all-day" frequency from 60- to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
3.	Route 40: Nampa/Meridian Express	Reduction in one morning and one afternoon trip and a minor reroute to improve productivity. These hours were repurposed to Route 42.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
4.	Route 42: Happy Day to Towne Square Mall	Added on midday trip per survey and repurposed hours from the Route 40.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
5.	Route 2: Broadway	Increase peak hour frequency from 60- to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
6.	Route 3: Vista	Increase peak hour frequency from to 15-minute. Increase off-peak hour frequency from to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
7.	Route 17: Warm Springs	Increase peak hour frequency from 60- to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
8.	Route 45: Boise State / CWI via Fairview	Reroute from I-84 to Cherry Ln./Fairview Ave. to increase transit access for residents and to jobs.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes

<sup>\*</sup>VRT uses federal funds for preventative maintenance and routes are eligible to receive federal funds for operations.

Table 2: Roadway Projects Included in the 2023 Network for the 2023 Scenario

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclusion in onal Conformity	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally n Funded
9.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD209-17, RD2020- 0690	Yes	principal arterial	No
10.	Locust Grove Rd.	Victory Rd. to Overland Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD202-44, RD2020- 0870	No	minor arterial	No
11.	Maple Grove Rd.	Victory Rd. to Overland Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-22, RD2020- 0930	No	minor arterial	No
12.	State Hwy 44	State Hwy 16 to Linder Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	TIP and ITIP, CIM 2050	20266	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP, IFYWP,	Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity		
					CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classificatio	Federally n Funded
13.	State Hwy 44	Can Ada Rd. to Star Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	CIM 2050	n/a (developer funded)	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
14.	State Hwy 55 (Eagle Rd.)	Southbound River Valley Rd. to Franklin Rd.	Widen southbound from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	TIP, ITIP (FY2021)	13349	Yes	principal arterial	No
15.	Ten Mile Rd.	Victory Rd. to Overland Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RC0299, RD2020- 1310	Yes	principal arterial	No
16.	US Hwy 20/26	Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	TIP and ITIP	20594	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
17.	US Hwy 20/26	Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	TIP and ITIP	20594	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated Five-Year Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan <sup>2</sup> Key #: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.

Table 3 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for  $PM_{10}$ , VOC, and  $NO_X$  from the 2023 scenario. Appendix C contains links to the documentation on how unpaved and paved road dust are calculated outside of MOVES using AP-42.

Table 3: 2023 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

Tubic 51 20	able 5. 2025 Estimated Limssions, Tons per Day										
		PM	VOC	NO <sub>X</sub>							
2023	Unpaved Road Dust Emissions	Paved Road Dust Emissions	Tailpipe, Tire, and Brake Wear Emissions	Total PM <sub>10</sub> Emitted							
Estimated Emissions	2.65	25.06	0.67	28.38	3.78	6.68					
Budget	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.1	17.2	34.2					

Yes\* = previous phases funded with federal dollars.

#### 2030 Scenario

The 2030 scenario uses 2030 population and employment estimates with the 2030 transportation network (Tables 4 and 5). The 2030 transportation network also includes all projects listed in Tables 1 and 2. (*Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.*)

**Table 4: Public Transportation Projects Added to the 2023 Network for the 2030 Scenario** 

No.	Route Name	Description Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	(ITIP, IFYWP,	Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity			
				CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classificatio	Federally n Funded*	
18.	FR-005-1: Fairview Ave. / Cole Rd.	Route restructure providing service between Towne Square Mall and Glenwood St.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
19.	FR-005-1: Fairview Ave. / Ustick Rd.	Route restructure providing service between The Village (Meridian) and Towne Square Mall	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
20.	FR-007-0: Fairview Ave. Corridor	New route providing service V		n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
21.	FR-008-0 9: State St	Route extension providing service to the City of Eagle. Increase "all-day" frequency to 15-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
22.	FR-009-1-S Route 5: Emerald St.	Increase "all-day" frequency to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
23.	FR-011-0 Route 3: Vista Ave.	Increase "all-day" frequency to 15-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
24.	FR-013-1 Route 10: Hill Rd.	Increase peak hour frequency from 60- to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
25.	FR-015-0 Route 7B: Fairview Ave	Increase "all-day" frequency to 15-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
26.	FR-019-0-S: City of Kuna	New express route providing peak hour service between the City of Kuna and The Village (Meridian).	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
27.	FR-020-0-S: Micron Inter- County Express	New express route providing peak hour service between the City of Nampa and Micron.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
28.	Route 42: Happy Day to Towne Square Mall	Increase "all-day" frequency from 120- minute to 100-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	
29.	Route 52: Caldwell Blvd.	Increase "all-day" frequency from 60- to 30-minute.	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes	

Table 5: Roadway Projects Added to the 2023 Network for the 2030 Scenario

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,	Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity		
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
30.	Amity Rd.	State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD2020- 0020	No	minor arterial	No
31.	Amity Rd.	Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD219-03, 200628, RD2020- 0030	No	minor arterial	No
32.	Amity Rd.	Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	202369, RD2020- 0040	No	minor arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus ional Conforr	
					IFYWP, CIP)		Functional Classification	Federally Funded
33.	Amity Rd.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	200939, RD2020- 0050	No	minor arterial	No
34.	Amity Rd.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	MP400165, 200929, RD2020- 0060	No	minor arterial	No
35.	Cloverdale Rd.	Victory Rd. to Overland Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-11, RD2020- 0280	No	minor arterial	No
36.	Eagle Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD216-04, RD2020- 0340	Yes	principal arterial	No
37.	Fairview Ave.	Locust Grove Rd. to State Hwy 55 (Eagle Rd.)	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RC0133, RD2020- 0420	Yes	principal arterial	No
38.	Five Mile Rd. and Overpass	Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd. Includes widening of the overpass.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD203-04, 201969, RD2020- 0490, ORN23591 23095	Yes	minor arterial	Yes*
39.	Franklin Rd.	McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	102497, RD2020- 0580	Yes	principal arterial	No
40.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD209-18, RD2020- 0700	Yes	principal arterial	No
41.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD207-29, RD2020- 0710	Yes	principal arterial	No
42.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD207-30, RD2020- 0720	Yes	principal arterial	No
43.	Linder Rd. South Phase	US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) to Boise River South Channel	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD207-19, 102120, RD2020- 0840	Yes	principal arterial	No
44.	Linder Rd.	State Hwy 44 to Floating Feather Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD209-28, RD2020- 0850, ORN24099	Yes	principal arterial	No
45.	Linder Rd. and Overpass	Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd. Including a new overpass.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RC0207, RD2020- 0800	Yes	principal arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus onal Conforr	
					IFYWP, CIP)		Classification	Federally Funded
46.	Maple Grove Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-21, RD2020- 0920	No	minor arterial	No
47.	State Hwy 16 (Phase 2 — currently under construction and anticipated to be complete by 2025)	I-84 to US 20/26	Construct new north-south divided highway: 2 lanes per direction, an interchange at I-84, and at-grade intersections at Franklin Rd, Ustick Rd, and US 20/26	TIP, CIM 2050	23410, 23408, 23409	Yes (2 miles of this of this 4.5-mile corridor is in Ada County)	Other freeway / expressw ay	Yes*
48.	State Hwy 16 (Phase 3)	I-84 to US 20/26	Complete the interchange at I-84. Convert atgrade intersections (Franklin Rd, Ustick Rd, US 20/26 and SH 44) to interchanges	TIP, CIM 2050	20788	Yes (2 miles of this of this 4.5-mile corridor is in Ada County)	Other freeway / expressw ay	Yes*
49.	State Hwy 44	Star Rd. to State Hwy 16	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	TIP, CIM 2050	20574	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
50.	State Hwy 55	Beacon Light Rd. to Brookside Ln.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	Draft TIP, prop amend to CIM 2050	23638	Yes	principal arterial	No
51.	State St.	Hertford Way to Ellens Ferry Dr.	Widen roadway from 5 to 7 lanes (part of the State St. and Peirce Park Ln. intersection project)	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	IN210-03, 101650	Yes	principal arterial	No
52.	US Hwy 20/26	Star Rd. (Phyllis Canal bridge) to SH 16	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	TIP, CIM 2050	20367 20227	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
53.	US Hwy 20/26 Interim	Can Ada Rd. to Star Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes	TIP, CIM 2050	23337	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
54.	Ustick Rd.	Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP and CIM 2050	200919, 204189, RD2020- 1360	Yes	principal arterial	No
55.	Ustick Rd.	Ten Mile Rd. to Linder Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD207-24, RD2020- 1370	Yes	principal arterial	No
56.	Ustick Rd.	Star Rd. to McDermott Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	203719, RD2020- 1340	Yes	principal arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP, IFYWP	Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity		
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
57.	Ustick Rd.	McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	102502, RD2020- 1350	Yes	principal arterial	No
58.	Victory Rd.	Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	200450, RD2020- 1430	No	minor arterial	No
59.	Victory Rd.	Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD215-11, RD2020- 1440	No	minor arterial	No
60.	Victory Rd.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-26, RD2020- 1450	No	minor arterial	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated Five-Year Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan <sup>2</sup> Key #: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.

Table 6 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for  $PM_{10}$ , VOC, and  $NO_X$  from the 2030 scenario.

Table 6: 2030 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

Tubic of E		Ellissions, 101			W0.0	NO
		PM <sub>1</sub>	.0		voc	NOx
2020	Unpaved Road	Paved Road	Tailpipe,	Total PM <sub>10</sub>		
2030	Dust		Tire, and			
	Emissions	Emissions	Brake Wear			
			Emissions			
Estimated	2.65	29.51	0.73	32.89	3.12	4.70
Emissions	2.03	29.51	0.75	32.09	J.12	4.70
Budget	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.1	17.2	34.2
baaget	II/ a	11/ a	II/ a	00.1	17.2	34.2

Yes\* = previous phases funded with federal dollars.

#### 2040 Scenario

The 2040 scenario uses 2040 population and employment estimates with the 2040 transportation network (Tables 7 and 8). The 2040 roadway network also includes all projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4 and 5. (*Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.*)

Table 7: Public Transportation Projects Added to the 2030 Network for the 2040 Scenario

No.	Route Name	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,	Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity		
				IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally n Funded*
61.	FR-009-2 Route 29: Overland Rd.	Increase "all-day" frequency to 30-minute	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
62.	FR-018-0 Route 4: Roosevelt St.	Route restructure providing service between Towne Square Mall and the Boise Airport	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes
63.	FR-018-0 Route 6: Orchard St.	Route restructure providing service between Gowen Field and downtown Boise	VRT	n.a.	No	n.a.	Yes

Table 8: Roadway Projects Added to the 2030 Network for the 2040 Scenario

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus onal Conforn	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
64.	36th Street Extension	Cartwright Rd. to Bogus Basin Rd.	Construct new 2- lane roadway	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0010	No	minor arterial	No
65.	Beacon Light Rd. / New Hope Rd.	Can Ada Rd. to Munger Rd.	Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1070	No	minor arterial	No
66.	Beacon Light Rd. Extension	Munger Rd. to Pollard Rd.	Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0070	No	minor arterial	No
67.	Beacon Light Rd.	Pollard Rd. to State Hwy 16	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0080	No	minor arterial	No
68.	Beacon Light Rd.	State Hwy 16 to Palmer Ln.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0090	No	minor arterial	No
69.	Black Cat Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0150	No	minor arterial	No
70.	Black Cat Rd.	Victory Rd. to Overland Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0160	No	minor arterial	No
71.	Black Cat Rd.	Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes (does not include widening of overpass)	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0170	No	minor arterial	No
72.	Black Cat Rd.	Franklin Rd. to Cherry Ln.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0180	No	minor arterial	No
73.	Black Cat Rd.	Cherry Ln. to Ustick Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0190	No	minor arterial	No
74.	Black Cat Rd.	Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0200	No	minor arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus onal Conforr	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
75.	Black Cat Rd.	McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0210	No	minor arterial	No
76.	Cloverdale Rd.	Kuna Rd. to Deer Flat Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0220	No	minor arterial	No
77.	Cloverdale Rd.	Deer Flat Rd. to Hubbard Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0230	No	minor arterial	No
78.	Cloverdale Rd.	Hubbard Rd. to Columbia Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0240	No	minor arterial	No
79.	Cloverdale Rd.	Columbia Rd. to Lake Hazel Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0250	No	minor arterial	No
80.	Deer Flat Rd.	Linder Rd. to State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.)	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0300	No	minor arterial	No
81.	Cloverdale Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	M-RD207- 09, RD2020- 0260	No	minor arterial	No
82.	Cloverdale Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-10, RD2020- 0270	No	minor arterial	No
83.	Deer Flat Rd.	State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0310	No	minor arterial	No
84.	Deer Flat Rd.	Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0320	No	minor arterial	No
85.	Deer Flat Rd.	Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd.	Construct new 3- lane road	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0330	No	minor arterial	No
86.	Eisenman Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Gowen Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0360	No	minor arterial	No
87.	Executive Dr.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes. Includes the one-way couplet between President Dr. and Meadowland Dr. ("the peanut")	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0400	No	minor arterial	No
88.	Fairview Ave.	Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0410	Yes	principal arterial	No
89.	Fairview Ave.	State Hwy 55 (Eagle Rd.) to Cloverdale Rd.	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0430	Yes	principal arterial	No
90.	Five Mile Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0470	No	minor arterial	No
91.	Fairview Ave.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0440	Yes	principal arterial	No
92.	Five Mile Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0480	No	minor arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus ional Conforr	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
93.	Five Mile Rd.	Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 500	No	minor arterial	No
94.	Floating Feather Rd. Ext.	Can Ada Rd. to Star Rd.	Construct new 3- lane road	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0510	No	minor arterial	No
95.	Floating Feather Rd.	Star Rd. to Plummer Ln.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0520	No	minor arterial	No
96.	Floating Feather Rd. Realignment	Plummer Ln. to State Hwy 16 (Emmett Hwy)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0530	No	minor arterial	No
97.	Floating Feather Rd. Realignment	State Hwy 16 (Emmett Hwy) to Palmer Ln.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0540	No	minor arterial	No
98.	Floating Feather Rd.	Palmer Ln. to Linder Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD219-22, 200647, RD2020- 0550	No	minor arterial	No
99.	Floating Feather Rd.	Linder Rd. to Park St.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD219-23, 200648, RD2020- 0560	No	minor arterial	No
100.	Floating Feather Rd.	Park Ln. to Ballantyne Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD219-24, RD2020- 0570	No	minor arterial	No
101.	Gowen Rd.	Orchard St. to Pleasant Valley Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0590	Yes	principal arterial	No
102.	Hill Rd./ Hill Road Pkwy	Horseshoe Bend Rd. to Duncan Ln.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0600	No	minor arterial	No
103.	Hill Rd./ Hill Road Pkwy	Duncan Ln. to Seaman Gulch Rd.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0610	No	minor arterial	No
104.	Hill Rd./ Hill Road Pkwy	Seaman Gulch Rd. to Gary Ln.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0620	No	minor arterial	No
105.	Hubbard Rd.	Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0630	No	minor arterial	No
106.	Lake Hazel Rd.	State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0680	Yes	principal arterial	No
107.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD216-05, RD2020- 0730	Yes	principal arterial	No
108.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Cole Rd. to Orchard Ext. W (built at 2 lanes in 2018)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0740	Yes	principal arterial	No
109.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Orchard Ext. W to Pleasant Valley Rd.	Construct new 5- lane road	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0750	Yes	principal arterial	No
110.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Railroad Crossing to Eisenman Road	Construct new 5- lane road	2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 0765	Yes	principal arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus onal Conforn	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
111.	Linder Rd. (Middle and North Phases)	Boise River South Channel to State Hwy 44	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD207-19, 102120, RD2020- 0840	Yes	principal arterial	No
112.	Locust Grove Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0855	No	minor arterial	No
113.	Locust Grove Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-34, RD2020- 0860	No	minor arterial	No
114.	Locust Grove Rd.	Fairview Ave. to Ustick Rd.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD210-02, RD2020- 0880	No	minor arterial	No
115.	Locust Grove Rd.	Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD202-20, 200405, RD2020- 0890	No	minor arterial	No
116.	Locust Grove Rd.	McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD202-19, 200603, RD2020- 0900	No	minor arterial	No
117.	Maple Grove Rd	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD207-20, RD2020- 0910	No	minor arterial	No
118.	McMillan Rd.	Star Rd. to McDermott Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0950	No	minor arterial	No
119.	McMillan Rd.	McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0960	No	minor arterial	No
120.	McMillan Rd.	Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0970	No	minor arterial	No
121.	McMillan Rd.	Ten Mile Rd. to Linder Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0980	No	minor arterial	No
122.	McMillan Rd.	Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 0990	No	minor arterial	No
123.	McMillan Rd.	Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1000	No	minor arterial	No
124.	McMillan Rd.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1020	No	minor arterial	No
125.	Meridian Rd. Ext.	King Rd. to Kuna Rd.	Construct new 3- lane road with railroad overpass	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1030	Yes	principal arterial	No
126.	Meridian Rd.	Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	200407, RD2020- 1050	No	minor arterial	No
127.	Meridian Rd.	McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	200607, RD2020- 1060	No	minor arterial	No
128.	Orchard St. Realigned	Gowen Rd. to I-84 Interchange	Realign and widen from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, TIP, CIM 2050	RD207-01, RD2020- 1100	Yes	principal arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclus onal Conforr	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
129.	Orchard St. Ext. W.	Lake Hazel Ext. to Orchard Ext. (built at 2 lanes in 2018)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1080	Yes	principal arterial	No
130.	Orchard St. Ext.	Orchard Ext. W to Gowen Rd. (built at 2 lanes in 2018)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1090	Yes	principal arterial	No
131.	Overland Rd. Ext. (Airport Rd.)	McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd.	Construct new 2- lane road	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1110	No	minor arterial	No
132.	Overland Rd.	Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1120	No	minor arterial	No
133.	Pleasant Valley Ext.	Orchard Ext to Pleasant Valley Rd.	Construct new 5- lane road	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1180	No	minor arterial	No
134.	Star Rd.	Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1190	No	minor arterial	No
135.	Star Rd.	McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.)	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1200	No	minor arterial	No
136.	Star Rd.	US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) to State Hwy 44	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1210	No	minor arterial	No
137.	State St.	Glenwood St. to Hertford Way	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St. TTOP**	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1220	Yes	principal arterial	No
138.	State St.	Ellens Ferry Dr. to Collister Dr.	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St. TTOP	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1230	Yes	principal arterial	No
139.	State St.	Collister Dr. to 36th St.	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St. TTOP	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1240	Yes	principal arterial	No
140.	State St.	36th St. to 27th St.	Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St. TTOP	IFYWP, 2020 CIP, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1250	Yes	principal arterial	No
141.	Ten Mile Rd.	Deer Flat Rd. to Hubbard Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD209-37, RD2020- 1260	No	minor arterial	No
142.	Ten Mile Rd.	Hubbard Rd. to Columbia Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD 209- 38, RD2020- 1270	No	minor arterial	No
143.	Ten Mile Rd.	Columbia Rd. to Lake Hazel Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1280	No	minor arterial	No
144.	Ten Mile Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1290	Yes	principal arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		easons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
145.	Ten Mile Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes	2020 CIP	RD2020- 1300	Yes	principal arterial	No
146.	US Hwy 20/26 (Ultimate)	SH 16 to Linder Rd.	Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes	CIM 2050	TBD	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
147.	US Hwy 20/26 (Ultimate)	Linder Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes	CIM 2050	TBD	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
148.	Victory Rd.	Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD219-46, RD2020- 1410	No	minor arterial	No
149.	Victory Rd.	Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	IFYWP, 2020 CIP	RD2020- 1420	No	minor arterial	No
150.	Victory Rd.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen from 2 to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 1460	No	minor arterial	No
151.	Victory Rd.	Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd.	Widen from 4 to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 1470	No	minor arterial	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated Five-Year Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan <sup>2</sup> Key #: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.

Yes\* = previous phases funded with federal dollars.

Table 9 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for  $PM_{10}$ , VOC, and  $NO_X$  from the 2040 scenario.

Table 9: 2040 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

10010 11 1	040 Estimated		,,,,, p.,, p.,,			
		PM	VOC	NOx		
	Unpaved Road	Paved Road	Tailpipe, Tire,	Total PM <sub>10</sub>		
2040	Dust	Dust	and Brake	Emitted		
	Emissions	Emissions				
			Emissions			
Estimated	2.65	35.31	0.82	38.78	2.87	4.09
Emissions	2.05	33.31	0.62	36.76	2.07	4.05
Budget	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.1	17.2	34.2

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup>TTOP = Transit and Traffic Operational Plan; see <a href="https://www.buildabetterstatestreet.org/documents">https://www.buildabetterstatestreet.org/documents</a>

#### 2050 Scenario

The 2050 scenario uses 2050 population and employment estimates with the 2050 transportation network (Table 10). The 2050 transportation network also includes all projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8. (*Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.*)

Table 10: Roadway Projects Added to the 2040 Network for the 2050 Scenario

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,	Reaso	ns for Inclus ional Conforr	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
152.	Beacon Light Rd.	Palmer Ln. to Linder Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 100	No	minor arterial	No
153.	Beacon Light Rd.	Linder Rd. to Park Ln.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 110	No	minor arterial	No
154.	Beacon Light Rd.	Park Ln. to Ballantyne Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 120	No	minor arterial	No
155.	Beacon Light Rd.	Ballantyne Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 130	No	minor arterial	No
156.	Beacon Light Rd.	Eagle Rd. to State Hwy 55	Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 140	No	minor arterial	No
157.	Emerald St.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 370	No	minor arterial	No
158.	Emerald St.	Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd.	Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 380	No	minor arterial	No
159.	Emerald St. and Overpass	Cole Rd. to Curtis Rd.	Widen roadway to 5 lanes (existing number of lanes varies through this section)	CIP 2020	RD2020- 390	No	minor arterial	No
160.	Fairview Ave.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 450	Yes	principal arterial	No
161.	Fairview Ave.	Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 460	Yes	principal arterial	No
162.	King Rd.	Swan Falls Rd. to Meridian Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 640	No	minor arterial	No
163.	King Rd.	Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 650	No	minor arterial	No
164.	King Rd.	Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 660	No	minor arterial	No
165.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd (SH 69).	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 670	Yes	principal arterial	No
166.	Lake Hazel Rd.	Pleasant Valley Rd. to Railroad Crossing	Construct a new 5-lane roadway	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 760	Yes	principal arterial	No

No.	Street Name	Location	Description	Source <sup>1</sup>	Key # <sup>2</sup> (ITIP,		ns for Inclusi onal Conforn	
					IFYWP, CIP)	Regionally Significant	Functional Classification	Federally Funded
167.	Linder Rd.	Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 770	No	minor arterial	No
168.	Linder Rd.	Amity Rd. to Victory Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 780	No	minor arterial	No
169.	Linder Rd.	Victory Rd. to Overland Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 790	No	minor arterial	No
170.	Linder Rd.	Pine Ave. to Cherry Ln.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 820	No	minor arterial	No
171.	Linder Rd.	Cherry Ln. to Ustick Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 830	No	minor arterial	No
172.	McMillan Rd.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen from 3 to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 1010	No	minor arterial	No
173.	Overland Rd.	Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1130	Yes	principal arterial	No
174.	Overland Rd.	Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1140	Yes	principal arterial	No
175.	Overland Rd.	Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1150	Yes	principal arterial	No
176.	Overland Rd.	Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1160	Yes	principal arterial	No
177.	Overland Rd.	Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd.	Widen from 5 to 7 lanes	CIP 2020, CIM 2050	RD2020- 1170	Yes	principal arterial	No
178.	US Hwy 20/26 <b>Ultimate</b>	Can Ada Rd. to SH 16	Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes	CIM 2050	TBD	Yes	principal arterial	Yes*
179.	Ustick Rd.	Cole Rd. to Curtis Rd.	Widen from 3 to 5 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 1380	No	minor arterial	No
180.	Victory Rd.	Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 1390	No	minor arterial	No
181.	Victory Rd.	Ten Mile Rd. to Linder Rd.	Widen from 2 to 3 lanes	CIP 2020	RD2020- 1400	No	minor arterial	No

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup> ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated Five-Year Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan <sup>2</sup> Key #: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.

Yes\* = previous phases funded with federal dollars.

Table 11 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for  $PM_{10}$ , VOC, and  $NO_X$  from the 2050 scenario.

Table 11: 2050 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

		PM	110		voc	NO <sub>x</sub>
2050	Unpaved Road Dust Emissions	Paved Road Dust Emissions		Emitted		
Estimated Emissions	2.65	37.14	1.00	40.79	2.88	4.48
Budget	n/a	n/a	n/a	60.1	17.2	34.2

#### III. CONCLUSIONS

Combustion emissions — NOx and VOC — continue to decrease in all modeled years due to the motor vehicle fleet turnover, as newer vehicles have more stringent emission standards to meet.  $PM_{10}$  emissions related to brake wear, tire wear, and road dust increase in modeled years because of the rise in VMT attributable to the assumed growth in population.

# PM<sub>10</sub> Budget Test

The results of the  $PM_{10}$  budget test for the draft FY2024-2030 TIP and proposed amendment to CIM 2050 show that the emissions impacts associated with the planned improvements to the Northern Ada County transportation system (projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10) will not exceed the  $PM_{10}$  emissions budgets established by the  $PM_{10}$  maintenance plan (Figure 4).




Figure 4: PM<sub>10</sub> Budget Test Results

# **VOC Budget Test**

The results of the VOC budget test for the draft FY2024-2030 TIP and proposed amendment to CIM 2050 show that the emissions impacts associated with the planned improvements to the Northern Ada County transportation system (projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10) will not exceed the VOC emissions budgets established by the  $PM_{10}$  maintenance plan (Figure 5).




Figure 5: VOC Budget Test Results

# **NO<sub>x</sub> Budget Test**

The results of the  $NO_x$  budget test for the draft FY2024-2030 TIP and proposed amendment to CIM 2050 show that the emissions impacts associated with the planned improvements to the Northern Ada County transportation system (projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10) will not exceed the  $NO_x$  emissions budgets established by the  $PM_{10}$  maintenance plan (Figure 6).




Figure 6: NO<sub>x</sub> Budget Test Results

# **APPENDICES**

#### Appendix A: Carbon Monoxide, End of 20-Year Maintenance Period Letter



# UNITED STATES ENVIRONMENTAL PROTECTION AGENCY REGION 10

1200 Sixth Avenue, Suite 155 Seattle, WA 98101

AIR & RADIATION

Mr. Matt Stoll Executive Director Community Planning Association - COMPASS 700 NE 2nd Street, Suite 200 Meridian, ID 83642

Re: End of Transportation Conformity Requirements Following 20 years of Maintenance for the Northern Ada County Carbon Monoxide (CO) Maintenance Area

Dear Mr. Stoll:

Our records indicate that the Northern Ada County carbon monoxide area has reached the end of the 20 year maintenance period for the carbon monoxide National Ambient Air Quality Standard (NAAQS). Congratulations on reaching this milestone which is the culmination of efforts to reduce and maintain CO in the Northern Ada County area to healthy levels.

The purpose of this letter is to provide information regarding transportation conformity requirements for a maintenance area that has achieved 20 years of maintenance. We are providing this information given that the Northern Ada County CO maintenance area has maintained the CO standard for 20 years.

"The provisions of this subpart apply to maintenance areas through the last year of a maintenance area's approved CAA section 175A(b) maintenance plan, unless the applicable implementation plan specifies that the provisions of this subpart shall apply for more than 20 years."

Additional information, regarding the end of 20 years of maintenance, is also presented in our Office of Transportation and Air Quality's guidance document entitled "Transportation Conformity Guidance for Areas Reaching the End of the Maintenance Period; EPA-420-B-14-093, October 2014" available at <a href="http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100KPP0.PDF?Dockey=P100KPP0.PDF">http://nepis.epa.gov/Exe/ZyPDF.cgi/P100KPP0.PDF</a>?Dockey=P100KPP0.PDF.

With regard to the Northern Ada County CO maintenance area, the EPA approved the first 10-year maintenance plan on October 28, 2002 (67 FR 65713) with an effective date of December 27, 2002. We approved the second 10-year Limited Maintenance Plan on August 2, 2012 (77 FR 45962) with an effective date of October 1, 2012. The Northern Ada County CO maintenance area has shown continuous maintenance of the CO NAAQS (see 40 CFR 50.8) from December 27, 2002, through December 27, 2022, and has met its obligation to demonstrate maintenance of the CO NAAQS for 20 years. Therefore, as of December 27, 2022, COMPASS is no longer required to address the transportation conformity determination requirements of 40 CFR part 93 for CO. As such, a CO conformity determination is no longer required for the COMPASS Regional Transportation Plan and Transportation Improvement Program.

We note that as other Idaho maintenance areas approach 20 years of maintenance, the EPA will provide additional guidance for those areas.

Finally, we note that the other provisions of the second 10-year maintenance plan continue to remain in effect and all measures and requirements contained in the plan must be complied with until the state submits, and the EPA approves, a revision to the State Implementation Plan consistent with the anti-backsliding requirements of CAA section 110(1) and CAA section 193, if applicable. Furthermore, the maintenance requirement in CAA section 110(a)(1) remains in place for all areas, including attainment areas.

If there are any questions regarding transportation conformity or the Northern Ada County CO maintenance plan, please have your staff contact Claudia Vaupel, of my staff, at (206) 553-6121 or at vaupel.claudia@epa.gov.

Sincerely,

Digitally signed by DAVIS ZHEN Date: 2023.04.27 12:22:02-07'00'

for

Krishna Viswanathan

Director

Maureen Gresham, FHWA cc: Ned Conroy, FTA Scott Stokes, IDT Mary Ann Waldinger, COMPASS Mary Anderson, ID DEQ Aislinn Johns, ID DEQ Carl Brown, ID DEQ

# Appendix B: Northern Ada County PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Area Legal Description and Map

# **Legal Description**

The legal description of the area boundaries is as follows:

 Beginning at a point in the center of the channel of the Boise River where the section line between Sections 15 and 16 of Township 3 North, Range 4 East, crosses the Boise River.

#### Northern Boundary

- Thence down the center of the channel of the Boise River to a point opposite the mouth of Mores Creek.
- Thence in a straight-line going 44 degrees north and 38 minutes west until said line intersects the north line of Township 5 North in Range 1 East.
- Thence west to the northwest corner of Section 6, Township 5 North, Range 1 West.

#### Western Boundary

- Thence south to the northwest corner of Section 6, Township 3 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence east to the northeast corner of Section 5, Township3 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence south to the southeast corner of Section 32, Township 2 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence west to the northwest corner of Section 6, Township 1 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence south to the southwest corner of Section 31, Township 1 North, Range 1 West.

#### Southern Boundary

• Thence east to the southeast corner of Section 33, Township 1 North, Range 4 East.

#### Eastern Boundary

• Thence north to the point of beginning.




Figure 7: Northern Ada County PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Area Map

#### **Appendix C: Approved Regional Emission Assumptions**

#### **On-Road Mobile Source**

Source type population and fleet age distribution:

DEQ decoded individual Idaho Division of Motor Vehicles (DMV) registration records of vehicles registered in the Treasure Valley using the Data One, Inc. and CVINA vehicle identification number (VIN) decoding system. The decoded VINs provide information regarding the vehicle make, model, type, age, and fuel types. This information was then used to develop source-related MOVES input.

Inspection Maintenance Program - June 1, 2010 - June 30, 2023 (anticipated date).

MOVES model runs for 2023, 2030, 2040 and 2050 will assume no inspection / maintenance program for both counties.

#### Meteorology

The meteorology input compiles the average hourly temperature and relative humidity data for each county. Baseand future-year inventories were modeled using average hourly temperature and relative humidity data by county for each month from a representative weather station for each county. Ada County is represented by the National Weather Service station at the Boise Air Terminal and Canyon County is represented by the data set from the Caldwell Industrial Airport.

#### Fuel-Related Inputs

**Alternative Vehicle Fuels and Technology (AVFT):** Ada and Canyon Counties were modeled using a custom AVFT input file derived from VIN-decoded registration data, the Idaho Department of Education school bus database, and telephone surveys of local garbage collection and public transportation providers.

**Fuel Supply, and Fuel Formulation:** National default fuel supply inputs were used for all source types. **Fuel Usage Fractions:** Assume that all E-85 capable vehicles are using conventional (E10) gasoline

#### Average Speed Distribution

The average speed distribution allocates the different source types (vehicles) for each roadway type to 16 speed bins ranging from 0 to >75 miles per hour. Average speed distributions were developed from the regional travel demand model average daily estimates or forecasts for each roadway segment and hourly traffic count statistics developed from detailed automatic traffic recorder (ATR) traffic count data provided by Idaho Transportation Department (ITD).

The hourly ATR-based traffic count profiles for each roadway type were used to estimate hourly volume on each segment and the modified Bureau of Public Roadways volume/capacity curve was used to develop the average speed distribution database for each hour.

Hourly Vehicle Speed = Free Flow Speed 
$$*\left(1 + A * \left(\frac{Volume}{Cavacity}\right)^B\right)$$

Where A and B are local coefficients used in the regional travel demand model as provided by COMPASS.

Base- and future-year average speed distributions were developed for all four MOVES road types using travel demand model base and future-year outputs developed by COMPASS for the Treasure Valley and detailed ATR data provided by ITD.

#### **Paved and Unpaved Road Dust Emissions**

Both paved and unpaved road dust emissions are calculated outside of the MOVES model.

**Paved Road Dust:** The paved road dust emissions were calculated for the national emissions inventory. **Unpaved Road Dust:** The unpaved road dust emissions, 2.65 tons per day, are held constant for all scenario years. Detailed documentation of how the unpaved road dust emissions were calculated are provided in section 3.1.4 of Appendix D. 2008, 2015, and 2023 Emissions Inventories for the Treasure Valley Airshed which is found in the <a href="Maintenance Plan appendices">PM<sub>10</sub> Maintenance Plan appendices</a><sup>30</sup>.

MOVES input and runspec files are available online: <a href="https://compassidaho.org/air-quality-conformity-demonstration/">https://compassidaho.org/air-quality-conformity-demonstration/</a>

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>30</sup> https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15998