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RESOLUTION NO. 3-2021

## FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE FY2021-2027 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND ASSOCIATED AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DEMONSTRATION

Whereas, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has been designated by the Governor of Idaho as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires metropolitan planning organizations to develop and approve a Transportation Improvement Program;

WHEREAS, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment requires all transportation plans and programs in nonattainment or maintenance areas demonstrate conformity to applicable state implementation plans for air quality improvement;

WHEREAS, FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134 and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require projects contained in the Transportation Improvement Program to be financially constrained;

WHEREAS, FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134 and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require the Transportation Improvement Program be developed and amended in consultation with all interested parties;

WHEREAS, a public comment period was held between August 14 and September 14, 2020, for people to review and comment on proposed projects in the program;

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho desires to take timely action to ensure the availability of federal funds; and

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has developed the FY20212027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program for Ada and Canyon Counties, and corresponding air quality conformity demonstration for Northern Ada County, in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors approves the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program and the associated air quality conformity demonstration.

Adopted this $19^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2020.


## ATTEST:

By:

Matthew J. StolI, Executive Director Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho
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## IN A NUTSHELL

TOTAL BUDGETED FOR TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS IN THE TREASURE VALLEY

TOTAL BUDGETED OVER SEVEN YEARS



TRUCK TRAVEL ON NONINTERSTATE HIGHWAY SYSTEM DOES NOT MEET RELIABILITY TARGET

$\$ 60$ MILLION IN DEFERRED LOCAL ROADWAY MAINTENANCE

\$21.6 MILLION IN DEFERRED TRANSIT ASSET REPLACEMENT

More information available starting on page 22.

## I NTRODUCTI ON

A regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) is a short-range (sevenyear) capital improvement program (budget) of transportation projects consistent with federal regulations and area policies and strategies. The State Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the state's short-range capital improvement program. The Idaho STIP references projects in Ada County and Canyon County via the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho's (COMPASS') TIP.

The TIP is developed through a cooperative process by COMPASS, the designated metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the Boise Urbanized Area Transportation Management Area (TMA) and the Nampa Urbanized Area. This process involves extensive participation by Ada County, Ada County Highway District (ACHD), Boise State University, Canyon County, Canyon Highway District No. 4, Capital City Development Corporation, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality, Golden Gate Highway District No. 3, Idaho Transportation Department (ITD), Valley Regional Transit (VRT), and the Cities of Boise, Caldwell, Eagle, Garden City, Greenleaf, Kuna, Melba, Meridian, Middleton, Nampa, Notus, Parma, Star, and Wilder.

The TIP must be consistent with the regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion $20402.0^{1}$ (CIM 2040 2.0). The COMPASS Board of Directors adopted CIM 20402.0 on December 17, 2018.

The first four years of the TIP are of particular interest since these years are considered "budgeted," while the remaining years are more informational in nature. The latter projects are listed to provide a framework for transportation needs that move from the planning stage to the implementation stage. Per federal regulations, information on the status of projects in the first year of the previous TIP will be posted online ${ }^{2}$ by the end of each calendar year.

This document includes all federally and state-funded projects and those nonfederally funded projects deemed "regionally significant." The TIP projects identified in this document are within the estimates of available funds from a variety of sources, both federal and non-federal.

The Boise TMA includes the cities of Boise, Eagle, Meridian, and Garden City; the Nampa Urbanized Area includes the cities of Nampa, Caldwell, and Middleton. Both urbanized areas also include adjacent densely settled areas outside of city limits. The smoothed urbanized area boundaries for the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas were adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors, via Resolution 15-2013, on August 19, 2013. COMPASS' planning area includes all of Ada and Canyon Counties (Figure 1), an area broadly referred to as the "Treasure Valley."

[^0]

Figure 1: COMPASS Planning Area


Figure 2: Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area

Northern Ada County is a "maintenance area" for two air pollutants - coarse particulate matter (airborne dust and other particulates; referred to as " $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ") and carbon monoxide. Northern Ada County violated the federal standards for these pollutants in the 1980s and early 1990s, and has been in compliance ever since. Plans are in place to ensure the area maintains its compliance with these standards. COMPASS must show that the projects contained in this TIP "conform" to these plans - a process referred to as an "air quality conformity demonstration." This is discussed in more detail in Section VI. The Ada County air quality "maintenance area" encompasses the entire area of Ada County north of the Boise Baseline (Figure 2) (above), which is an imaginary line located seven miles south of the City of Kuna.

This report includes more detail in the following appendices:

- APPENDIX A - LIST OF PROJ ECTS, provides a detailed list of projects that are funded with federal or state funds, or considered "regionally significant," no matter the funding source.
- APPENDIX B - FUNDI NG TYPES AND ACRONYMS, provides a way to decipher transportation and federal jargon.
- APPENDIX C - PUBLIC COMMENTS, provides verbatim comments received on the project list, as well as any amendments that require a public comment period.
- APPENDIX D - PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANALYSIS, provides in-depth analysis, using modeling tools, to explain how projects in the TIP will affect the transportation system.
- APPENDIX E - HISTORICAL AND PROJ ECTED BUDGET DATA, provides additional information about transportation agency budgets.
- APPENDIX F - PRIOR YEAR PROJ ECTS, provides a list of projects that are still active, but no longer have budgeted funds. Projects could still need additional funding or release excess funding throughout the life of this program.


## I. INFLATI ON FACTORS

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requires all reporting documents show project costs in the year of expenditure. This means project costs must be shown with inflationary factors expected by the year funds will be expended.

COMPASS also maintains a project list for balancing purposes in "current dollar format," meaning the costs are not inflated. This format is posted on the TIP web page ${ }^{3}$ and is the project list most typically used by COMPASS and sponsoring agencies.

Agencies in the State of Idaho currently use a $2 \%$ inflation factor to adjust the cost of future expenditures for most projects.

[^1]
## II. PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS

The public outreach process for the annual TIP update begins approximately 16 months prior to its final approval. This effort includes requesting input from local governments in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas, local governments outside of the urbanized areas within Ada and Canyon Counties, and the general public. Public outreach efforts are guided by, and comply with, requirements and recommendations outlined in the COMPASS Public Participation Plan (Chapter 2 of the COMPASS Integrated Communication Plan ${ }^{4}$ ).

The COMPASS Public Participation Plan specifically outlines the public involvement process for the TIP on pages 11 - 13.

Local Government Input. COMPASS staff met with elected officials and local government staff in Ada and Canyon Counties to solicit their desired transportation projects for inclusion in this document from August through December 2019.

COMPASS Regional Transportation Advisory Committee (RTAC). RTAC is comprised of technical experts representing counties, cities, highway districts, state agencies, and other public agencies in Ada and Canyon Counties. RTAC members reviewed and ranked all project applications, and recommended a list of projects to be funded to the COMPASS Board of Directors. RTAC members were also involved throughout the TIP development process, including recommending selection criteria in the COMPASS Funding Application Guide and federal performance measure targets.

30-Day Public Comment Period. Public comment on the draft FY2021-2027 TIP project list was solicited from August 14 through September 14, 2020. The public comment period was promoted through the COMPASS website ${ }^{5}$, COMPASS social media accounts, online community calendars, a news release, newspaper advertisements, legal notices, email blasts, and postcards.

In addition to the TIP and associated air quality conformity demonstration, public comments on a proposed amendment to Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, the regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties, were also solicited during the comment period.

COMPASS website. All draft TIP materials were available on the "Comments and Questions" webpage ${ }^{6}$ from August 14 through September 14, 2020.

Public comment materials posted online included detailed and basic project lists, a "major changes" list, the draft air quality conformity demonstration (see Section VI ), and interactive map of projects contained in the TIP, a TIP brochure, and fact
${ }^{4}$ COMPASS Integrated Communication Plan:
http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/comm/FINAL_2018_COMPASS_Integrated_Com munication_Plan.pdf
${ }^{5}$ COMPASS website: www.compassidaho.org
${ }^{6}$ COMPASS "Comments and Questions":
http://www.compassidaho.org/comm/comments.htm
sheets related to air quality conformity and understanding the TIP. Comment forms and information on how and where to comment, including information on open houses, were also posted online.

Open House. COMPASS hosted two virtual open houses: Wednesday, August 26, 2020, starting at noon and again at 6:30 p.m. using Facebook Live (Figure 3). COMPASS staff provided an overview of the TIP, and representatives from ACHD, ITD, and VRT gave brief presentations on projects in the TIP that are managed by their agencies.

Legal Notice. COMPASS published a legal notice for the TIP public comment period in the Idaho

## Virtual Open House

Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, Transportation Improvement Program, and Air Quality Conformity Demonstration

Presentations By:
Liisa Itkonen, Principal Planner
Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner
Stephen Hunt, Valley Regional Transit
Austin Miller, Ada County Highway District
Mark Wasdahl, Idaho Transportation Department

Figure 3: COMPASS Virtual Open House

Statesman and the Idaho Press on four dates: August 14, August 17 (Idaho Statesman only), August 18, and August 19 (Idaho Press only), 2020.


Figure 4: Newspaper Advertisement

Display Advertisements. Display advertisements (Figure 4) promoting the public comment period and open house (as appropriate) appeared in:

- Idaho Statesman: August 14, August 24, and August 28, 2020
- Idaho Press: August 14, August 25, and September 8, 2020
- Kuna Melba News: August 19, September 2, and September 9, 2020
- Meridian Press: August 14, September 4, and September 11, 2020

No Spanish-language newspapers were in business in Ada or Canyon Counties during the public comment period.

News Release. COMPASS distributed a news release notifying the public of the comment period and open house; the news release was sent to local and regional media on August 14, 2020. One news article ( $\mathrm{KIVI}^{7}$, August 14, 2020) resulted from the news release.

[^2]Email and Mail. COMPASS sent four emails to 2,735 people publicizing the TIP public comment period. COMPASS mailed 20 postcards on August 13, 2020, to interested individuals who prefer to be contacted via US Mail.

Flyer. COMPASS created a flyer (English ${ }^{8}$ and Spanish $^{9}$ ) advertising the TIP public comment period and open house. Additionally, COMPASS requested that committee, workgroup, and agency members post flyers on their websites and other public locations in their jurisdictions.

Social Media. COMPASS posted information about the TIP public comment period on its social media throughout the public comment period.

- Facebook ${ }^{10}$ : COMPASS posted information about the public comment period nine times from August 14 - September 14, 2020. These Facebook posts resulted in a total audience reach of 2,232 and 160 engagements.
- Twitter ${ }^{11}$ : COMPASS tweeted information about the public comment period 17 times from August 14 - September 12, 2020. These tweets resulted in a total of 1,042 viewer impressions and 16 engagements.
- Instagram ${ }^{12}$ : COMPASS posted information about the public comment period five times from August 14 - September 14, 2020. These posts resulted in 332 engagements.
- Nextdoor: COMPASS sent information about the public comment period to six member agencies to post on their Nextdoor accounts on August 14, 2020.

Community Calendars. COMPASS posted the TIP open house information on the COMPASS Facebook events page, the COMPASS website calendar, and on the following community calendars:

- Boise Weekly online calendar
- Idaho Business Review online calendar
- Idaho Press online calendar
- Idaho Statesman online calendar
- Meridian Chamber of Commerce online calendar
- Nampa Chamber of Commerce online calendar

[^3]Brochure. COMPASS staff updated a brochure explaining the TIP; the English ${ }^{13}$ and Spanish ${ }^{14}$ versions were posted on the COMPASS website.

Spanish Translation. COMPASS translated the public comment flyer, TIP brochure, and the TIP comment form (hard copy and online) into Spanish. In addition, the COMPASS website has a Spanish translation option, allowing for translation of all web content.

Comments Received. The public was encouraged to submit written comments throughout the public comment period. Comments were accepted via email, letter, fax, or hard copy or online comment forms. Assistance was offered to those unable to submit comments in writing. Sixty public comments were received (see Appendix C). Figure 5 shows the demographic data collected from public comment forms submitted to COMPASS.


Figure 5: Public Comment Demographic Data

Disposition of Comments. Questions submitted as part of public comments were answered, and all comments were provided to RTAC and the COMPASS Board of Directors. Comments related to specific projects or studies were forwarded to the appropriate agencies. The disposition of individual comments is included with the verbatim comments and staff responses in Appendix C.

Special Assistance. COMPASS notifications, advertisements, other promotional information, and the COMPASS website, included the following statement for those who require special assistance in submitting comments:

[^4]Those needing assistance, including assistance in submitting written comments, may call 208/855-2558 with 48 hours advance notice. Personas que necesitan asistencia especial, llamar al número 208/855-2558 con 48 horas de aviso.

## III. PROJ ECT SELECTI ON

COMPASS serves as a regional forum for making decisions about transportation and related planning, and sets priorities for spending federal transportation funds. COMPASS accepts applications annually for transportation funding and manages the following federal-aid programs:

- Surface Transportation Block Group - Transportation Management Area (STBG-TMA for Boise Urbanized Area)
- Transportation Alternatives Program - Transportation Management Area (TAP-TMA for Boise Urbanized Area)
- Surface Transportation Block Group - Urban (STBG-U for Nampa Urbanized Area)

The STBG funding policy was originally adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors in July 2014 as part of Communities in Motion 2040. This policy directs STBG funding in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas to be programmed (budgeted) as follows:

Specific "off-the-top" funds for each urbanized area:

- ACHD Commuteride
o \$220,000 in the Boise Urbanized Area
o \$55,000 in the Nampa Urbanized Area
- COMPASS Planning
o \$232,000 in the Boise Urbanized Area
o \$99,000 in the Nampa Urbanized Area
Percentage splits of remaining funding:
- $82 \%$ for roadway maintenance projects
- $15 \%$ for public or alternative transportation maintenance projects
- Up to $3 \%$ for planning or special projects

The funding split is calculated as a five-year rolling average to allow flexibility for a larger project in any of the categories to move forward and still remain consistent with the policy.

- Applications are ranked by members of RTAC using a paired comparison method, explained in the COMPASS Application Guide ${ }^{15}$.
- COMPASS staff presents the initial ranking results to RTAC for discussion and approval.
- COMPASS staff presents a funding plan to RTAC, based on the final rankings, for discussion and recommendation to the COMPASS Board of Directors.
- Final recommended projects are included in the draft TIP project list provided for public comment

Programs managed by other agencies (ITD, VRT, and the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council [LHTAC]) follow the managing agency's application and prioritization process. Once projects are selected for funding, they are submitted to COMPASS for inclusion in the TIP. RTAC reviews the full list of projects, including new projects and changes to existing projects, in light of public comments received, and recommends it to the COMPASS Board of Directors for approval.

Additional information about the application process and project selection can be found on the Resource Development and Funding web page ${ }^{16}$ under the COMPASS Application Guide.

## IV. CONGESTI ON MANAGEMENT PROCESS

The Congestion Management Process (CMP) is the application of strategies to improve transportation system performance and reliability by reducing the adverse impacts of congestion on the movement of people and goods. It is a systematic, cyclical, and regionally accepted approach for managing congestion that provides accurate, up-to-date information on transportation system performance and identifies strategies for mitigating congestion and achieving regional transportation goals and objectives. These mitigation strategies include:

- Transportation Demand Management (TDM)
- Transportation Management and Operations (TSMO)
- Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)
- Transit operations improvements
- Roadway capacity improvements (when necessary)

As a part of the CMP, COMPASS uses the National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS) to develop the Congestion Management Annual Report, which shows where and when congestion is occurring in the Treasure

[^5]Valley. These reports are available on the Congestion Management Process ${ }^{17}$ web page. This information helps COMPASS and its member agencies prioritize projects for congested areas and select which types of congestion mitigation strategies to apply. However, the data are limited and not available for every corridor. Figure 6 shows a map of the corridors where NPMRDS data are provided, highlighting the ten most congested roadway segments in Ada and Canyon Counties for 2019.


Figure 6: Top Ten Congested Tier 1 Network Segments Greater than Half a Mile (Peak period maximum, 2019)

For more details about how congestion is defined, please see the Congestion Management Process ${ }^{18}$ web page.

Another way to look at congestion is in terms of reliability. This measure is discussed in detail in Section V, TIP Achievement. Figure 7 shows the segments considered "unreliable" on the National Highway System (NHS).

[^6]

Figure 7: Level of Travel Time Reliability (2019)
The CMP also serves as a mechanism for monitoring the effects transportation projects have on system efficiency after they are completed. This information is helpful for monitoring the effectiveness of specific congestion management strategies as projects are funded and constructed/implemented. These findings are published in the Congestion Management Annual Report as well.

ITD and local agencies manage specific programs to address congestion issues.
ITD

ITD’s Safety and Capacity Program ensures projects are selected to provide safety, mobility, and economic benefits throughout the state. Projects are nominated by ITD district staff, and are then analyzed through a competitive process using the following criteria:

- Benefit/cost ratio
- Benefit as a result of the project to the gross state product
- Number of jobs created over a 20-year period as a result of the project

No single project may use more than $50 \%$ of the available funds.
Projects funded through ITD's safety and capacity programs are selected through this process. The following programs also provide a mechanism for ITD to fund projects to relieve congestion:

## Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE)

The GARVEE program allows the state to issue bonds for large capital projects. The bonds are then repaid using future federal-aid funds. GARVEE funds were initially made available for 13 specific corridors across the state (later reduced to 12), including Interstate 84 and State Highway 16 in the Treasure Valley. The Idaho Legislature first approved the use of GARVEE funds in Idaho in 2005, then extended the GARVEE program in 2017 to allow for an additional $\$ 300$ million in bonding authority.

## Transportation Expansion and Congestion Mitigation (TECM)

The Idaho Legislature also approved funding for the Transportation Expansion and Congestion Mitigation (TECM) program in 2017. This program is specifically for expansion and congestion-related projects. TECM funds use $1 \%$ of the state's sales tax (not less than $\$ 15$ million per year). In 2019, the Idaho Legislature expanded the program to include the ability to bond against these funds for more efficient use of the funds.

TECM funds are limited to the state highway system for projects selected by the Idaho Transportation Board to improve travel times and traffic flow and to mitigate traffic congestion.

## Sales Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR)

In 2007, the Idaho Legislature passed a bill to create a funding mechanism for developers to build large transportation projects to mitigate traffic issues stemming from new developments, and then be repaid using the new sales tax the developments create (known as "STAR Financing"). The bill was modified in 2008, expanding the accessibility of the new financing tool. ITD and local agencies may enter into a STAR financing agreement with a developer.

## Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ)

ITD receives approximately $\$ 13$ million of CMAQ funds per year, of which $\$ 3$ million are set-aside for two areas in non-attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standard for fine particulate matter ( 2.5 microns in diameter or less; called " $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ "): the Cache Valley area in southeast Idaho and the Pinehurst area in north Idaho; neither of which is in the COMPASS planning area. ITD holds these funds, which will eventually lapse or be returned to FHWA if a rescission occurs.

The remaining $\$ 10$ million is allowed by federal regulation to be either transferred to another program or used on STBG-eligible activities. ITD chooses to use the CMAQ funds on STBG-eligible activities across the state.

## Local Agencies

ACHD
ACHD is a county-wide transportation agency, responsible for local (non-ITD) roadways and related public right-of-way in Ada County, including within the city limits of the Cities of Boise, Meridian, Eagle, Kuna, Garden City, and Star. ACHD right-of-way includes sidewalks, bikeways, roadways, bridges, storm drains, and other infrastructure dedicated to the movement of people, goods, and services.

ACHD's Strategic Plan $2035{ }^{19}$ includes two priority focus areas: (1) maintenance and safety needs by asset category (pavement, sidewalks, bridges, traffic signals and materials, and stormwater facilities and (2) system enhancements for congestion management and relief. According to the ACHD Integrated Five Year Work Plan ${ }^{20}$ (IFYWP), system enhancements in the form of widening a roadway are only intended to reach a corridor's "buildout" - the maximum number of lanes planned for a particular roadway. ACHD's Master Street Map ${ }^{21}$ defines the buildout for each road. Once a road reaches its maximum number of lanes, no more lanes will be added. Congestion may still increase as land uses develop, which may require other measures to maximize efficiency of the network.

As shown in Figure 8, ACHD's IFYWP seeks to implement its Complete Streets Policy and meet the needs of all users with the project limits in order to take the most advantage of work going on in the area.

Figure 8: ACHD's Complete Street Policy


## City of Nampa

According to the City of Nampa's Citywide Transportation Plan ${ }^{22}$, the city needs more than 100 capital improvement projects over the next 25 years; however, the cost of these projects is much greater than projected city revenues. Traffic levels

[^7]have increased due to the city's large growth rate and new development. Increased traffic has led to congestion, increased travel times, and associated problems.

## Development Impact Fees

ACHD and the City of Nampa use impact fee programs under Idaho Code 67 Chapter $82^{23}$. The Idaho Development Impact Fee Act allows collection of fees from developers to cover the costs associated with the new developments. Existing transportation deficiencies are covered with other resources.

The City of Nampa adopted a new Transportation Master Plan ${ }^{24}$ in July 2019. During development of the plan, the shortage of funding was clear. One preliminary recommendation was to increase the city's impact fees, which was discussed and approved by the City Council in March 2019, and took effect in July 2019. As the city determines a project list for new funds generated through new impact fees, updates on regionally significant corridors will be included in the project list.

## Current Projects in the FY2021-2027 TIP

Approximately 21 miles of roadway and 5 intersections with high or moderate congestion, as identified in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{25}$, are budgeted for improvements in the FY2021-2027 TIP; additional improvements are budgeted that are classified as low congestion or not classified in the Congestion Management Annual Report. The total cost of projects that are expected to improve congestion is almost $\$ 618$ million. Table 1 , below, highlights all of the projects in the TIP categorized under the congestion management strategies listed above (page 11).

[^8]Table 1: Projects in the FY2021-2027 TIP by CMP Strategy (as of September 2020)*

| KN | Project | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation Demand Management |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20841 | Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge over North Channel of Boise River, Eagle | - | - | - | Federal | \$2,183,000 |
| СРАЗ | Commuteride, ACHD | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,650,000 |
| 20136a | Commuteride, ACHD, Van Replacements, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,062,000 |
| 22385 | Pathway, Greenbelt Completion, Boise State | - | - | - | Federal | \$439,000 |
| ORN23025 | Pathway, Grimes City Pathway Extension, Nampa | - | - | - | Federal | \$456,000 |
| 13918 | Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian | - | - | - | Federal | \$735,000 |
| 22018 | Pedestrian Improvements and Widening, Montana Avenue, Caldwell | - | - | - | Federal | \$667,000 |
| 20542 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | - | - | - | Federal | \$615,000 |
| ORN22931 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55, Bristol Heights to US 20/26, Boise | - | - | - | Federal | \$345,000 |
| ORN22944 | Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa | - | - | - | Federal | \$492,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,644,000 |
| TSMO/ ITS |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ORN22995 | Bicycle Improvements, Signs and Pavement Markings, Ada County | - | - | - | Federal | \$82,000 |
| 21999 | Greenhurst Road, Sunnybrook Drive to Canyon Street, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$1,161,000 |
| 22132 | Holly Street/Northwest Nazarene University Roadway Reconfiguration, Nampa | - | - | - | Federal | \$441,000 |
| ORN22878 | Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, Canyon Highway District | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$999,000 |
| ORN22746 | I-84, Cole Road and Overland Road Interchange, Lighting Improvements, Boise | Mod | Unreliable | - | State | \$255,000 |
| 20430 | Middleton Road and Cornell Street, Intersection Improvements, Middleton | - | - | - | Federal | \$553,000 |
| 13918 | Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian | - | - | - | Federal | \$735,000 |
| 22101 | Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$406,000 |
| 20537 | Railroad Crossing, Benjamin Lane, Boise |  |  | - | Federal | \$326,000 |


| KN | Project | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20358 | Railroad Crossing, Lemp Lane, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$260,000 |
| 20606 | Railroad Crossing, Old Fort Boise Road, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$255,000 |
| 22460 | Railroad Crossing, SH-19, Greenleaf | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$110,000 |
| 20428 | SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise | Mod/Low | Reliable | - | State | \$5,400,000 |
| ORN22718 | SH-44 (State Street), Palmer Lane Intersection Improvements, Star | Mod | Unreliable | - | Private | \$866,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,849,000 |
| Transit Operations I mprovements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19691 | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Boise Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$2,346,000 |
| 19464c | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Canyon County, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$165,000 |
| 19464a | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Nampa Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$2,221,000 |
| 19983 | Transit - Fixed Line Service, Rural Areas, TVT | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,600,000 |
| 18786 | Transit - Operations - Fixed Route and Mobility Management, Nampa Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$10,900,000 |
| 19041 | Transit - Operations - Mobility Management, Boise Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$4,284,000 |
| 19464b | Transit - Purchase of Service, Rural Areas, TVT | - | - | - | Federal | \$353,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$21,869,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Roadway Capacity Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13484 | Centennial Way Roundabout, Caldwell | High | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$4,045,000 |
| IN205-97 | Cole Road, McGlochlin Street to Victory Road, Boise | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$7,728,000 |
| RD207-33 | Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$5,425,000 |
| RD216-04 | Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$6,972,000 |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$3,052,000 |
| 22102 | Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$1,674,000 |
| 22103 | Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$5,880,000 |


| KN | Project | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22196 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Canyon County | Low | Reliable | Poor | GARVEE | \$183,344,000 |
| 22619 | I-84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon County | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$10,390,000 |
| ORN22712 | I-84B (Garrity Boulevard), Stamm Lane Intersection Improvements, Nampa | Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$2,011,000 |
| 22602 | Indiana and Orchard Shared Roadway, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$3,453,000 |
| RD207-29 | Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$6,666,000 |
| RD209-18 | Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County | Low/ Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$8,186,000 |
| RD207-30 | Lake Hazel Road, Five Mile Road to Maple Grove Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$4,889,000 |
| RD216-05 | Lake Hazel Road, Maple Grove Road to Cole Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$4,061,000 |
| RD209-28 | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle | - | - | - | Local | \$7,705,000 |
| RD207-19 | Linder Road, US 20/26 (Chinden) to SH-44 (State), Ada County | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$20,907,000 |
| 13487 | Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | Federal | \$3,023,000 |
| RD207-01 | Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$19,764,000 |
| 20788 | SH-16, I-84 to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada and Canyon Counties | - | - | - | Federal | \$98,640,000 |
| 13476 | SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection, 1/2 CFI, Eagle | High/Mod | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$9,257,000 |
| 20266 | SH-44 (State Street), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County | Med | Reliable | - | State | \$9,083,000 |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County | Med | Unreliable | - | State | \$13,236,000 |
| ORN22717 | SH-45 and Locust Lane Intersection, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$2,688,000 |
| 13349 | SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian Towne Center, Meridian | High/Mod | Reliable | - | STAR | \$5,476,000 |
| ORN22715 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Indiana Avenue to Lake Avenue, Caldwell | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$14,720,000 |
| ORN22716 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Lake Avenue to Midway Road, Caldwell | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$15,185,000 |
| 21867 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Midway Road to Middleton Road, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$6,022,000 |
| 12048 | South Cemetery Road, Highland Drive to Willow Creek, Middleton | - | - | - | Federal | \$4,655,000 |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$3,180,000 |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County | Mod/High | Unreliable- | - | State | \$35,069,000 |


| KN | Project | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost* |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada County | High/Mod | Unreliable | - | State | \$10,170,000 |
| 21858 | US 20/26 (Chinden), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County | Mod/Low | Reliable | - | STAR | \$24,290,000 |
| CAL1 | Ustick Road, Lake Avenue to I-84, Caldwell | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$5,000,000 |
| RD207-24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$3,790,000 |
| 22600 | Western Heritage Byway, Swan Falls Road, ACHD | - | - | - | Federal | \$5,798,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$575,434,000 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | \$617,796,000 |

* Descriptions of acronyms are available in Appendix B.
**Total cost is shown in "year of expenditure" and includes all costs, not only programmed costs.


## Additional Projects

## Local Expansion for Public Transportation

The Cities of Boise, Eagle, and Meridian and Ada County committed to increasing their contributions to VRT to improve service and fund capital (such as buses, equipment, and facilities). The additional funding will increase service in these areas, including a new fixed-line service in Meridian. This new bus service will require a larger bus fleet; new fixed-line service is expected to begin in FY2021. The expanded service is not shown in the TIP because the funds are local, and not considered regionally significant.

## Other Congestion-Related Projects Not Included in the TIP

The TIP does not list every project. Many projects are budgeted with local funding or may even be requirements of development. Therefore, congestion-related improvements are much more numerous than reported in the TIP. Below is an example of additional projects that agencies across the Treasure Valley have completed or planned that will help relieve congestion.

- ACHD completed improvements on three priority corridors
- Canyon Highway District No. 4 and the City of Caldwell are planning a multilane roundabout to replace a four-way stop sign at Middleton Road and Linden Road in FY2021.
- Nampa Highway District No. 1 budgeted three roundabouts in FY2021:
o Idaho Center Boulevard and Cherry Lane
o Happy Valley Road and Victory Road
o Star Road and Cherry Lane
- Local agencies throughout the Treasure Valley have budgeted funding to maintain and expand the active transportation system. Table 2 reports progress made on various measures for active transportation.

Table 2: Progress on the Active Transportation System

| COMPASS Performance <br> Measure | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Percentage of Bicycle Lanes per <br> Arterial Roadway Mile | $17.9 \%$ | $21.3 \%$ | $22.5 \%$ | $>25 \%$ |
| Ratio of Sidewalks Miles per 1 <br> Roadway Mile* | N/A | N/A | $.82 \mathrm{mi}:$ <br> 1 mile | N/A |
| Miles of Trails and Pathway | 565 | 576 | 577 | $>754$ |
| Transit Ridership* | 1.35 Million | 1.29 Million | 1.21 Million | N/A |
| Percentage of Employment Near <br> Transit | $64 \%$ | $60 \%$ | $56 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |

* Measure added or modified in 2019. Targets or data for past years are currently unavailable.

Grey highlight $=$ targets or totals
Peach highlight $=$ does not meet targets

## V. TIP ACHIEVEMENT

Each project funded in the TIP supports one or more COMPASS performance measures as identified in CIM 2040 2.0; many projects also support federally required performance measures. COMPASS identifies in the TIP which performance measure(s) each project supports. This helps ensure all projects receiving federal funds support the goals and vision of CIM 20402.0 and/or federally required performance measures.

Staff is constantly evaluating better ways to examine data to report the analysis in a more meaningful way. As methods are developed, staff will update this report in future versions.

## COMPASS Performance Measures

CIM 20402.0 includes performance measures and targets addressing transportation, economic development, farmland, health, housing, land use, and open space.

Fifty-six performance measures ${ }^{26}$ were approved for CIM 2040, adopted in 2014. To streamline reporting, the 56 measures were grouped into 13 "Achievement Categories." These measures were largely carried forward into CIM 2040 2.0, adopted in 2018. Table 3 provides a matrix demonstrating how the TIP achievement categories reflect the original CIM 2040 performance measures. This section will be updated soon to reflect changes in performance measures as approved for CIM 2040 2.0.

Table 3: TIP Achievement Categories

| TIP <br> Achievement Category | Example of Type of Projects | CI M 20402.0 Performance Measures |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance | - Projects to maintain the current transportation system (roadway chip seals, rehabilitation, or replacing a public transportation vehicle) | * |
| Transportation Infrastructure | - Bridge repair or rebuild <br> - Bus or van replacement <br> - Increase bus service | 1-3, 10-13 |
| Congestion <br> Reduction/System <br> Reliability | - Add park and ride spaces <br> - Increase vanpool service <br> - Increase opportunities to walk and ride bicycles | 4-9, 26-29 |
| Freight Movement and Economic Vitality | - Safety or capacity improvements to decrease congestion on freight routes | 14 |
| Transportation Safety | - Safety projects for autos, sidewalks, bicycle pathways, or public transportation services or facilities (such as bus stops or transfer stations) | 15-24 |
| Environmental Sustainability | - Additional public transportation service <br> - New sidewalks or pathways <br> - Signalization improvements to improve traffic flow | 25 |
| Land Use | - Improve quality of living in downtowns or in-fill areas | 31 |


| TI P <br> Achievement <br> Category | Example of Type of Projects | CI M 2040 2.0 <br> Performance <br> Measures |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Housing | - Widen a medium-to-high congested road to increase <br> access to employment opportunities | 38 |
| Community <br> Infrastructure | - New sidewalks in urban areas | $41-42$ |
| Health | - Specifically add connectivity and accessibility option <br> to parks, schools, or grocery stores | $44-47$ |
| Open Space | - New or improved connections or access to parks and <br> pathway amenities, such as the greenbelt | $50-52$ |
| Farmland | - Maintaining a roadway, but not purchasing prime <br> farm land to widen the road | $55-56$ |
| Support | - Planning projects <br> - Staff salary <br> - Technology improvement <br> - Public transportation operations | $* *$ |

* No performance measures are established for this category, as measurements are not consistent across the region; however, maintaining our current transportation system is a high priority for the region. COMPASS will continue to work toward establishing performance measures for maintenance activities.
** No performance measures are established for this category. These types of projects provide the background to improve performance in the future through planning and operations of the system.

The criteria used to determine how projects in the TIP align with the TIP achievement categories are shown in Table 4.

Table 4: Criteria for TIP Achievement Categories

| TIP <br> Achievement Category | Criteria* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance** | Does the project: <br> - Improve a roadway using preventive maintenance techniques such as an overlay, thin lift overlay, or micro seal? <br> - Maintain vehicles for public transportation (such as oil changes, tires, or engine overhaul)? <br> - Replace a vehicle for public transportation? |
| Transportation Infrastructure | Does the project: <br> - Improve a "functionally obsolete" bridge back to functional condition? (PM 1) <br> - Improve a "structurally deficient" bridge back to quality condition? (PM 2) <br> - Improve public transportation vehicles to extend vehicle life (PM 3) or provide new public transportation vehicles? (PM 10-13) |
| Congestion <br> Reduction/ <br> System Reliability | Does the project: <br> - Improve capacity on the transportation network? (PM 4-6, 9) <br> - Add park and ride spaces? (PM 7) <br> - Add vanpool vehicles? (PM 8) <br> - Add a bus route or improve quality of service? (PM 10-13) <br> - Add, improve, or plan for bicycle or pedestrian infrastructure? (PM 26-29) |
| Freight Movement and Economic Vitality | Does the project: <br> - Improve capacity or travel time on a designated freight corridor? (PM 14) |
| Transportation Safety | Does the project: <br> - Propose a reduction in the number of automobile, bicycle, pedestrian, or public transportation related crashes? (PM 15-24) |


| TIP <br> Achievement Category | Criteria* |
| :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Sustainability | Does the project reduce vehicle emissions by: <br> - Increasing public transportation (including vanpool) options? (PM 25) <br> - Increasing bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure? (PM 25) <br> - Adding signalization or traffic timing, which improves traffic flow? (PM 25) |
| Land Use | Is the project located in or improve multimodal access to: <br> - A designed downtown area? (PM 31) <br> - A current or designated Major Activity Center? (PM 33) <br> - An infill area ${ }^{27}$ (see map)? (PM 34) |
| Housing | Does the project increase access to additional employment by: <br> - Improving congestion on a roadway considered "medium congestion" or "high congestion" based on the most recent travel time index ${ }^{28}$ ? (PM 38) |
| Community Infrastructure | Is the project: <br> - Within city limits? (PM 41) <br> - Within a city area of impact? (PM 42) |
| Health | Does the project improve bicycle and/or pedestrian infrastructure: <br> - Within $1 / 4$-mile of a park, school, or grocery store? (PM 44-47) |
| Open Space | Does the project improve bicycle or pedestrian access to or build: <br> - A trail and/ or pathway ${ }^{29}$ ? (PM 50) <br> - The greenbelt? (PM 51) <br> - Parks or open space? (PM 53) |
| Farmland | Does the project: <br> - Include widening roadways adjacent to farmland? (PM 56) |
| Support*** | Does the project: <br> - Provide support, such as planning, staff salaries, or operations of public transportation? |

*PM = performance measure
**No performance measures are established for this category, as measurements are not consistent across the region; however, maintaining our current transportation system is a high priority for the region. COMPASS will continue to work toward establishing performance measures for maintenance activities.
*** No performance measures are established for this category. These types of projects provide the background to improve performance in the future through planning and operations of the system.

To further report on the support of CIM 20402.0 performance measures, Table 5 provides an analysis of the distribution of funding and number of projects across all TIP achievement categories. A project may meet the goals of up to five different performance measures. These measures are not weighted, but are divided based on the number of performance measures for each project. For example, if a project meets the goals of two performance measures, $50 \%$ of the project cost is reported for each measure.
${ }^{27}$ COMPASS webpage for infill area map:
http://www.compassidaho.org/dashboard/Maps/Infill_Areas_14.jpg.
${ }^{28}$ COMPASS webpage for travel time index:
http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/reports/2014_ChangeinMotionReportFin al.pdf
${ }^{29}$ COMPASS webpage for sidewalks and multiuse pathways map: http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/CIM2040/Maps/CurrentPathways 5_1 \% 5bConverted\% 5d.pdf

Table 5: Analysis of TIP Achievement (as of September 2020)

| TIP Achievement Category | Number of Projects* | Dollar Amount** | Percentage of Dollar Amount |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance | 47 | \$152,365,000 | 23.74\% |
| Transportation Infrastructure | 17 | \$23,848,000 | 3.72\% |
| Congestion Reduction/ System Reliability | 55 | \$132,496,000 | 20.64\% |
| Freight Movement and Economic Vitality | 23 | \$69,594,000 | 10.84\% |
| Transportation Safety | 65 | \$102,656,000 | 15.99\% |
| Environmental Sustainability | 15 | \$8,899,000 | 1.39\% |
| Land Use | 4 | \$745,000 | 0.12\% |
| Housing | 15 | \$17,966,000 | 2.80\% |
| Community Infrastructure | 51 | \$64,436,000 | 10.04\% |
| Health | 24 | \$18,868,000 | 2.94\% |
| Open Space | 8 | \$3,912,000 | 0.61\% |
| Farmland | 11 | \$7,473,000 | 1.16\% |
| Support | 24 | \$38,655,000 | 6.02\% |
|  | Total | \$641,913,000 | 100.00\% |

* Most projects are reported in multiple TIP achievement categories; therefore, the sum of the total number of projects would be skewed, and is not reported.
**Totals may not sum due to rounding. Reported in year of expenditure costs.


## Federal Performance Measures

The last two federal transportation authorization bills, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century (MAP-21) and Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Acts, placed an emphasis on performance-based planning and programming. MPOs have the option to either create regional targets or support the statewide targets. The COMPASS Board of Directors chose to assist ITD in obtaining federal targets on a statewide basis, rather than to set specific regional targets. Projects that help improve conditions to meet statewide targets are represented in the TIP project list with icons. Table 6 shows the federal target areas and deadlines for reporting, with currently-approved targets highlighted in gray.

Table 6: Federal Performance Measures

| I con in TIP Project List | Targets | ```Beginning MPO Target Deadline (updates)``` | Reporting Deadline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\theta$ | Safety | February 2018 (annually) | May 2018 |
| \% | Transit Asset Management | October 2018 (annually) | October 2018 |
| i) | Pavement Condition | November 2018 (every four years) | May 2019 |
| \%10 | Bridge Condition |  |  |
| () | Level of Travel Time Reliability | November 2018 (every four years) |  |
| (0.00\% | Freight Movement |  |  |
| © | Congestion Mitigation Air Quality - Emissions |  |  |
|  | Public Transportation Safety | December 2020 (annually) | June 2021 |
|  | Congestion Mitigation Air Quality - Traffic Congestion | November 2022 (biennially) | May 2023 |

Approved targets are provided below, with analysis regarding projected outcomes. It is important to note that support of statewide targets does NOT mean that COMPASS is required to address a regional "share" of the statewide goals; that is, COMPASS does not have specific goals for Ada and Canyon Counties. It simply means that COMPASS is supporting ITD's statewide efforts. However, when available, regional data are shown for informational purposes.

Additional analysis is available in Appendix D.

(Safety

On December 18, 2017, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support the statewide safety targets established by ITD.

RTAC recommended updated statewide safety targets on November 20, 2019, which seek to improve upon the benchmark five-year statewide average (20132017) (Table 7), taking into account population growth trends. The COMPASS Board of Directors approved the safety targets on December 16, 2019. While the current approved target (grey) is for the years 2015-2019, projects in the FY2021-2027 TIP will help ITD reach future targets (shown through 2022, below).

Table 7: Idaho Statewide Safety Targets, approved December 2019

| I daho | Benchmark | FY2020 <br> Actual | Target <br> FY2021 | Target <br> FY2022 | Target <br> FY2023 | Target <br> FY2024 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7 -}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| Fatalities | 223 | 243 | 249 | 247 | 245 | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| Serious Injuries | 1,293 | 1,290 | 1,290 | 1,287 | 1,285 | 1,283 |
| Fatalities by VMT* | 1.33 | 1.35 | 1.41 | 1.41 | 1.38 | 1.36 |
| Serious Injury by VMT* | 7.74 | 7.59 | 7.30 | 7.30 | 7.21 | 7.13 |
| Non-Motorist Fatalities and <br> Serious Injuries | 117 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 | 120 |

*VMT = vehicle miles traveled per 100 million miles
Grey highlight = current target
Projects with an emphasis on safety in the FY2021-2027 TIP are shown in Table 8. Only projects shown between FY2021 and FY2025 are included in the analysis, as projects beyond FY2025 are considered preliminary. An analysis is provided regarding how each project is expected to decrease overall crashes, as this is the finest level of analysis staff is able to determine at this time. Reduction in overall crashes based on measures reported in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse, which contains predictive data based on studies of similar projects across the nation, will result in reduction of fatalities and serious injuries as well, which are the actual targets. Not every safety measure has predictive data to determine changes in crash rates.

Overall, projects that emphasize safety in the FY2021-2027 TIP are projected to prevent 247 crashes in Ada and Canyon Counties. Almost $\$ 413$ million is budgeted towards projects with safety benefits.

Table 8: Analysis of Safety Projects in the FY2021-2027 TIP (as of September 2020)

| Key <br> Number | Project* $^{*}$ | Total Cost | Improvement <br> $* *$ | Expected <br> \% change <br> based on <br> CMF <br> data*** | Expected <br> actual <br> change <br> in <br> crashes** <br> $* *$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ORN229 <br> 63 | ADA $^{30}$ Ramps, Greenleaf | $\$ 58,000$ | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 20841 | Bicycle and Pedestrian <br> Bridge over North Channel <br> of Boise River, Eagle | $\$ 2,173,000$ | Bicycle and <br> pedestrian <br> bridge | N/A | N/A |
| ORN229 <br> 95 | Bicycle Improvements, <br> Signs and Pavement <br> Markings, Ada County | $\$ 82,000$ | Signs | N/A | N/A |
| 18701 | Capital Maintenance, <br> Phase 1, Boise Area - <br> FY2021 | $\$ 5,441,000$ | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 19465 | Capital Maintenance, <br> Phase 1, Boise Area - <br> FY2022 | $\$ 6,078,000$ | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |

[^9]| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety Improvement ** | Expected \% change based on CMF data*** | Expected actual change in crashes** ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20129 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area FY2021 | \$2,362,000 | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 20122 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area FY2022 | \$2,605,000 | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 20159 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area FY2021 | \$705,000 | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 20006 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area FY2022 | \$386,000 | ADA ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 13484 | Centennial Way Roundabout, Caldwell | \$4,045,000 | Install multi-lane roundabout | Decrease <br> KAB <br> crashes <br> 63.28\% | $\begin{gathered} -13 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { IN205- } \\ 97 \end{gathered}$ | Cole Road, McGlochlin Street to Victory Road, Boise | \$7,728,000 | U-turns, intersection widening, enhanced ped crossing | Decrease KAB crashes 62\% | -8 crashes |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 33 \end{gathered}$ | Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian | \$5,425,000 | Install bicycle lanes, curb, and gutter | Decrease crashes 11\% | -1 crash |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD216- } \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian | \$7,104,000 | Widen road, install roundabout, curb, and gutter | Decrease crashes 46\% | -2 crash |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | \$3,052,000 | Widen road, install curb, gutter, sidewalk, and buffered bike lanes | Decrease crashes 37\% | $\begin{gathered} -16 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 22102 | Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa | \$1,674,000 | Construct dual lane offset roundabout | Decrease KABC crashes 45\% | -1 crash |
| 22103 | Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa | \$5,880,000 | New signalized intersection and other intersection improvement | Decrease <br> all crashes by $17 \%$ | -1 crash |
| 21999 | Greenhurst Road, Sunnybrook Drive to Canyon Street, Nampa | \$1,161,000 | Install traffic control signal, pedestrian crossings, and a raised median | Decrease crashes 94\% | $\begin{gathered} -13 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN228 } \\ 78 \end{gathered}$ | Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, Canyon Highway District | \$999,000 | Widen bridge, rumble strips, visual improvements | Decrease crashes by 34\% | -1 crash |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety I mprovement ** | Expected \% change based on CMF data*** | Expected actual change in crashes** ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN227 } \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | I-84, Cole Road and Overland Road Interchange, Lighting Improvements, Boise | \$255,000 | Lighting improvements | Increase crashes by 15.8\% | $\begin{gathered} +2 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 23081 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Eastbound, Nampa | \$55,000,000 | Widen interstate | Decrease crashes 23\% | $\begin{gathered} -11 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 23080 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Westbound, Nampa | \$68,497,000 | Widen interstate | Decrease crashes 23\% | -9 crashes |
| 22619 | I-84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon County | \$9,529,000 | Widen overpass and install sidewalk | Decrease crashes 66\% | -0 crashes |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN227 } \\ 12 \end{gathered}$ | I-84B (Garrity Boulevard), Stamm Lane Intersection Improvements, Nampa | \$2,011,000 | Widen interstate | N/A | N/A |
| 22602 | Indiana and Orchard Shared Roadway, Canyon County | \$3,453,000 | Add paved shoulders | Decrease crashes 67\% | -2 crashes |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County | \$6,666,000 | Widen road | Decrease crashes 88\% | -6 crashes |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD209- } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County | \$8,186,000 | Widen road | Decrease crashes 34\% | -1 crash |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD209- } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle | \$7,705,000 | Widen road, install curb \& gutter, and multi-use pathway | Decrease crashes 88\% | -4 crashes |
| 20430 | Middleton Road and Cornell Street, Intersection Improvements, Middleton | \$553,000 | Convert to "miniroundabout" | Decrease crashes by 39\% | -1 crash |
| 13487 | Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell | \$3,023,000 | Construct roundabout | Decrease AB crashes by 39\% | -1 crash |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 01 \end{gathered}$ | Orchard Street, Gowen Road to I-84 On-Ramp, Boise | \$19,764,000 | multi-lane roundabout, sidewalk and bike lanes | Decrease crashes by 70\% | -9 crashes |
| 13918 | Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian | \$735,000 | Install multi-use pathway | Decrease crashes by 25\% | N/A |
| 22101 | Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County | \$434,000 | Turning movements for trucks | N/A | N/A |
| 13964 | Peckham Road, US-95 to Notus Road, Canyon County | \$4,373,000 | Install sidewalks, curb, and gutter | Decrease crashes by 11\% | -0 crashes |
| 20542 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | \$615,000 | Install pathway | Decrease crashes by 25\% | -4 crashes |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety Improvement ** | Expected \% change based on CMF data*** | Expected actual change in crashes** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN229 } \\ 44 \end{gathered}$ | Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa | \$492,000 | Extend pathway | N/A | N/A |
| 20549 | Pedestrian Improvements, US 20/26 (Chinden) at 43rd Street, Garden City | \$223,000 | Install pedestrian hybrid beacon | Decrease crashes by 29\% | -1 crash |
| 20537 | Railroad Crossing, Benjamin Lane, Boise | \$326,000 | Install crossing signal | Decrease crashes 79\% | -0 crashes |
| 20358 | Railroad Crossing, Lemp Lane, Canyon County | \$260,000 | Install signal and gates | Decrease crashes 91\% | -0 crashes |
| 20606 | Railroad Crossing, Old Fort Boise Road, Canyon County | \$255,000 | Install gates | Decrease crashes 91\% | -0 crashes |
| 20259 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023 | \$6,025,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 20674 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2024 | \$5,786,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 21896 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2025 | \$5,621,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 19933 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2023 | \$2,582,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 20538 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2024 | \$2,479,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 21898 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part2, Boise Area - FY2025 | \$2,409,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 20080 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2023 | \$380,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 20683 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2024 | \$387,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 21902 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2025 | \$387,000 | Segments not chosen yet | N/A | N/A |
| 20428 | SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise | \$5,400,000 | Install median and pave shoulders | Decrease crashes by 69\% | $\begin{gathered} -17 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 13476 | SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection, ½ CFI, Eagle | \$9,356,000 | Construct a partial (1/2) continuous flow intersection (CFI) | N/A | N/A |
| 20266 | SH-44 (State Street), SH16 to Linder Road, Ada County | \$9,133,000 | Widen road | Decrease crashes 66\% | $\begin{gathered} -11 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety <br> I mprovement ** | Expected \% change based on CMF data*** | Expected actual change in crashes** ** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County | \$13,236,000 | Widen road | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes by } \\ 66 \% \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -11 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 13349 | SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian Towne Center, Meridian | \$5,476,000 | Widen road | Decrease crashes 24\% | -5 crashes |
| 21867 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Midway Road to Middleton Road, Nampa | \$6,022,000 | Widen road | Decrease crashes 66\% | -9 crashes |
| 20245 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County FY2021 and FY2022 | \$344,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 20493 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County FY2023 | \$171,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 21910 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR2S, VRT, Ada County - } \\ & \text { FY2024-2025 } \end{aligned}$ | \$405,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 22031 | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2021 | \$65,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN229 } \\ 22 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2022 | \$65,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { ORN229 } \\ 24 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2023 | \$65,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian | \$3,180,000 | Widen road, install curb, gutter, and sidewalk | Decrease crashes by 69\% | -7 crash |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County | \$35,069,000 | Widen road | Decrease crashes 65\% | $\begin{gathered} -21 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH16, Ada County | \$10,300,000 | Widen road | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -12 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| 21858 | US 20/26 (Chinden), SH16 to Linder Road, Ada County | \$24,290,000 | Widen road and install raised medians | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 80 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} -32 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |
| CAL1 | Ustick Road, Lake Avenue to I-84, Caldwell | \$5,000,000 | Widen road | N/A | N/A |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian | \$3,717,000 | Widen road, install curb \& gutter, and sidewalk | Decrease crashes by 70\% | -4 crashes |
| 22600 | Western Heritage Byway, Swan Falls Road, ACHD | \$5,798,000 | Add shoulders | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes by } \\ 67 \% \end{gathered}$ | -2 crashes |
| Total Cost and Projected Reduction in Crashes |  | \$412,461,000 |  |  | $\begin{gathered} -247 \\ \text { crashes } \end{gathered}$ |

* Only safety projects with construction in the first five years of the TIP are included in this table.
** Only safety improvements that have Crash Modification Factors applied are analyzed here.
***K= Fatal crash; $A=$ Serious injury; $B=$ Minor injury; $C=$ Possible injury; $O=$ Property damage
"All" crashes $=K+A+B+C+O$
$* * * *$ Based on crash history. Information is not available for every safety-related project.

On August 26, 2019, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support regional transit asset management targets for "state of good repair" as described in VRT's Transit Asset Management Plan.

RTAC recommended updated statewide safety targets on May 27, 2020, which seek to improve upon the condition of transit assets in the Treasure Valley. The COMPASS Board of Directors approved the transit asset management targets on August 17, 2020 (Table 9).

Table 9: VRT - Transit Asset Management Targets

| Asset <br> Category | Performance Measure | FY2020 <br> Target | FY2020 <br> Actual | Variance | FY2021 <br> Target |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rolling Stock | Age - \% of revenue <br> vehicles that have met <br> or exceeded their Useful <br> Life Benchmark (ULB) | $<24.67 \%$ | $27.59 \% *$ | $-2.92 \% *$ | $<24.67 \%$ |
| Equipment | $<12.70 \%$ | $5.00 \%$ | $7.70 \%$ | $<12.70 \%$ |  |
| Facilities | Condition - \% of <br> facilities with a condition <br> rating below 3.0 | $<42.86 \%$ | $37.50 \%$ | $5.36 \%$ | $<42.86 \%$ |

* Corrected from version provided to the COMPASS Board.

Grey highlight = current target
Green highlight $=$ meets targets
Peach highlight $=$ does not meet target
In January 2019, the VRT Board of Directors approved a Transit Asset Management Plan (a "TAM Plan"), with an overall goal for all assets to reach a minimum score of at least 2.5 (on a scale of $0-5$ ), bringing the asset inventory to an acceptable level of condition. VRT estimates the total cost of bringing all those assets into a state of good repair is approximately $\$ 36$ million. The FY2021-2027 TIP includes $\$ 14.4$ million budgeted to improve or add capital assets in Ada and Canyon Counties between FY2021 and 2024, as the TAM Plan is a four-year plan. With these programmed funds, VRT will address approximately half of the need for transit asset replacement below the minimum 2.5 score. Although these investments will address essentially all assets with a score of less than 1.5, it still leaves approximately $\$ 17$ million in deferred transit asset replacement.

VRT leverages local dollars with federal funds to address capital needs in both the large and small urban areas. In the past, VRT has not been able to secure enough local match in the small urban area to access all the federal funds available. Increasing local match for in the small urban area will be necessary to address the transit assets below their TAM score in the small urban area.

Projects listed in Table 10 emphasize transit asset management (state of good repair) included in the FY2021-2027 TIP. The costs are shown only for FY2021-

2024, as the TAM Plan is based on a four-year horizon. The TAM Plan is posted on VRT's website ${ }^{31}$ (under Resources).

Table 10: Analysis of Transit Asset Management Projects, FY2021-2024 (as of September 2020)

| Key Number | Project | Asset Category | Total Cost FY2021-2024 | Total Required Local Match |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20136a | Commuteride, Van Replacements, Canyon County - FY2019-2021 | Rolling Stock | \$772,000 | \$154,400 |
| 18788 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$1,826,000 | \$365,200 |
| 19122 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$2,776,000 | \$555,200 |
| 18905 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT FY2021 | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$1,565,000 | \$114,871 |
| 19763 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT FY2022 | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$1,533,000 | \$112,522 |
| 19950 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT FY2023 | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$1,502,000 | \$110,247 |
| 20659 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT FY2024 | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$1,471,000 | \$107,971 |
| 18781 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$2,063,000 | \$412,600 |
| 20136e | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, Equipment, Facilities | \$772,000 | \$154,400 |
| 20136b | Transit - Vehicle Replacements, Rural Areas, TVT | Rolling stock | \$158,000 | \$31,600 |
|  |  | Total | \$14,438,000 | \$2,119,011 |

(|i|Pavement Condition

On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support statewide pavement condition targets established by ITD, which is to maintain a certain percentage of pavement on the NHS in "good" condition, as well as maintain a minimum percentage of pavement in "poor" condition (Table 11).
${ }^{31}$ Transit Asset Management Policy: https://www.valleyregionaltransit.org/about-us/.

Table 11: FY2018 and FY2019 Pavement Conditions and FY2022 Targets

| Type of <br> Roadway | Pavement <br> Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY20222 <br> Target |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate | Good | $31.70 \%$ | $50.70 \%$ | $42.00 \%$ | $59.00 \%$ | $>50 \%$ |
| Interstate | Fair | $67.10 \%$ | $47.90 \%$ | $32.00 \%$ | $37.00 \%$ | N/A |
| Interstate | Poor | $1.20 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $<4 \%$ |
| Interstate | No Data | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ |  |
| Total |  | $37.40 \%$ | $46.10 \%$ | $39.00 \%$ | $41.00 \%$ | $>50 \%$ |
| Non- <br> Interstate | Good | $60.60 \%$ | $51.80 \%$ | $42.00 \%$ | $43.00 \%$ | N/A |
| Non- <br> Interstate | Fair | $2.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $<8 \%$ |
| Non- <br> Interstate | Poor | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |
| Non- <br> Interstate | No Data |  |  | $19.00 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | $10 \%$ |  |

Grey highlight $=$ targets or totals
Green highlight $=$ meets targets
Peach highlight $=$ does not meet targets

2017 pavement conditions are shown on an interactive map called the COMPASS TIP Viewer found on the COMPASS website ${ }^{32}$. Figure 9, below, is an excerpt of this map showing segments that are in good (green), fair (yellow), or poor (red) condition. As updated information is available, this section will be updated.


Figure 9: 2017 Interstate and Non-Interstate Pavement Condition, NHS
${ }^{32}$ COMPASS TIP Viewer:
https://compassidaho.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Cascade/index.html?appid=b0a54a77f 8d047aa9b8b479347cc0463 Contact COMPASS staff if you need assistance at 208-8552558.

Pavement condition targets aim to keep a certain percentage of pavement in good condition. It is less costly to keep roads in good condition than to repair roads in poor condition. Costs increase exponentially when more involved treatments are needed to repair them. Figure 10 provides general information about how pavement management, such as chip sealing and payment overlays, save money over time.

Prevention Saves Costs


Figure 10: Pavement Asset Management Cycle (graphic from David Hein, PE, ARA, used with permission)

COMPASS currently does not have the tools to estimate exactly how projects will accomplish overall pavement condition goals. However, projects are selected by ITD and local agencies to strategically produce the best treatment for dollars available. Many projects shown in the TIP are "placeholders" for pavement management work. Since projects in the TIP start five years or more from the date they are added, the local agency selects the segments of roadway that are most appropriate for the funding when the design phase begins. Through projects in the FY2021-2027 TIP between FY2021 and FY2025, as projects beyond the first five years are considered to be "illustrative" (Table 12), local agencies plan to spend almost $\$ 50$ million on pavement condition improvements on non-interstate local roadways and ITD plans to spend almost $\$ 302$ million on interstate and noninterstate pavement condition improvements. In addition, local agencies also plan to fund maintenance projects with local funds.

Even with almost $\$ 50$ million budgeted for non-interstate local pavement improvements in the TIP and additional local funds for pavement improvements, local agencies report deferred maintenance estimated at $\$ 60$ million in Ada and Canyon Counties.

Table 12: Analysis of Projects that Improve Pavement Condition on the NHS, FY2021-2025 (as of September 2020)

| Key Number | Project | Roadway Type | Total Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18701 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2021* | Non-Interstate | \$5,541,000 |
| 19465 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2022* | Non-Interstate | \$5,970,000 |
| 20129 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2021* | Non-Interstate | \$2,333,000 |
| 20122 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2022* | Non-Interstate | \$2,559,000 |
| 20159 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2021* | Non-Interstate | \$705,000 |
| 20006 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2022* | Non-Interstate | \$386,000 |
| 20738 | I-84, Broadway Avenue to Eisenman Road, Seal Coat, Boise | Interstate | \$1,999,000 |
| 22237 | I-84, East Boise Port of Entry Ramps, Ada County | Interstate | \$632,000 |
| 22196 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Canyon County** | Interstate | \$183,344,000 |
| 20212 | I-84, Garrity Interchange to Ten Mile Interchange, Canyon and Ada Counties | Interstate | \$4,559,000 |
| 20060 | I-84, Sand Hollow Interchange to Farmers Sebree Canal, Seal Coat, Canyon | Interstate | \$1,454,000 |
| ORN22677 | Microseals, Front/Myrtle/Broadway, Boise | Non-Interstate | \$1,558,000 |
| 20536 | Microseals, State/Glenwood/Chinden, Ada County | Non-Interstate | \$2,483,000 |
| 20259 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area FY2023* | Non-Interstate | \$5,917,000 |
| 20674 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area FY2024* | Non-Interstate | \$5,673,000 |
| 21896 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area FY2025* | Non-Interstate | \$5,511,000 |
| 19993 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area FY2023* | Non-Interstate | \$2,536,000 |
| 20538 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area FY2024* | Non-Interstate | \$2,431,000 |
| 21898 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area FY2025* | Non-Interstate | \$2,362,000 |
| 20080 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area FY2023* | Non-Interstate | \$380,000 |
| 20683 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area FY2024* | Non-Interstate | \$380,000 |
| 21902 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area FY2025* | Non-Interstate | \$380,000 |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County | Non-Interstate | \$13,025,000 |
| 21849 | SH-45, SH-78 to Melba Road, Canyon and Owyhee Counties | Non-Interstate | \$6,020,000 |
| 21867 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Midway Road to Middleton Road, Nampa | Non-Interstate | \$5,910,000 |
| 20506 | SH-55, SH-44 (State Street) to Payette River Bridge, Rehabilitation | Non-Interstate | \$11,714,000 |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian | Non-Interstate | \$3,180,000 |


| Key <br> Number | Project | Roadway <br> Type | Total Project <br> Cost |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon <br> County | Non-Interstate | $\$ 34,625,000$ |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, <br> Ada County | Non-Interstate | $\$ 10,028,000$ |
| 21858 | US 20/26 (Chinden), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada <br> County | Non-Interstate | $\$ 24,290,000$ |
| RD207-24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian | Non-Interstate | $\$ 3,717,000$ |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 3 5 1 , 6 0 2 , 0 0 0}$ |

*The "Capital Maintenance" and "Roadway and ADA Improvement" projects listed above may not have specific segments identified. Some segments may not be on the NHS.
**The I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Canyon County includes rebuilding existing lanes and also widening the interstate to add one additional lane in each direction.

Bridge Condition
On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support statewide bridge condition targets established by ITD, which aim to improve or maintain a certain percentage of bridges in "good" condition and a minimum percentage of bridges in "poor" condition on the NHS (Table 13).

Table 13: FY2018 and FY2019 Bridge Conditions and FY2022 Targets

| Bridge <br> Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2022 <br> Target |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Good | $38.7 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $29.09 \%$ | $17.75 \%$ | At least 19\% |
| Fair | $60.7 \%$ | $78.8 \%$ | $70.46 \%$ | $77.95 \%$ | N/A |
| Poor | $0.6 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.45 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $<3 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

Grey highlight $=$ targets or totals
Green highlight $=$ meets targets
Peach highlight $=$ does not meet targets

2018 bridge conditions are shown on an interactive map called the COMPASS TIP Viewer found on the COMPASS website ${ }^{33}$. The map is not shown in this report, as the scale is difficult to see in a small print version.

Bridge condition targets aim to improve or maintain a certain percentage of bridges in good condition on the NHS. In the COMPASS planning area, only two bridges on the NHS are considered to be in poor condition: $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge in Caldwell and the I-84, Blacks Creek Road Interchange. These two bridges were both funded in FY2019 and are currently under construction for full replacement. The Snake River Bridge, on the southern edge of the COMPASS planning area, is also under

[^10]construction for full replacement. See Table 14 for a list of bridge projects in the TIP.

Table 14: Analysis of Projects that Improve Bridge Condition on the NHS, in the FY2021-2027 TIP (as of September 2020)

| Key Number | Project ${ }^{1}$ | Current Condition | Year Built | Treatment | Total Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20251 | I-84, Bridge Repairs, District 3 - FY2021 |  |  | Repairs | \$1,571,000 |
|  | Franklin Boulevard | Good | 2000 |  |  |
|  | Phyllis Canal | Good | 2012 |  |  |
|  | Union Pacific Railroad | Good | 2011 |  |  |
|  | 11th Avenue in Nampa | Good | 2010 |  |  |
| 20405 | I-84, Bridge Repairs, District 3 - FY2022 |  |  | Repairs | \$1,706,000 |
|  | Sand Hollow Road | Good | 1962 |  |  |
|  | Purple Sage Road | Good | 1962 |  |  |
|  | Galloway Road | Good | 1962 |  |  |
|  | US 20/26 (Chinden) | Fair | 1964 |  |  |
|  | Ten Mile Road | Good | 2011 |  |  |
|  | Locust Grove Road | Fair | 2008 |  |  |
|  | Ridenbaugh Canal | Fair | 1966 |  |  |
|  | Indian Creek | Good/Fair | 1960 |  |  |
|  | Mayfield Road | Good | 1960 |  |  |
| 22619 | I-84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon County | Good | 1966 | Replace and Widen | \$10,349,000 |
| 20536 | * Microseals, Ada and Canyon Counties <br> (US 20/26 south of Front to south side of Boise River (Broadway Bridge)) | Good | 2016 | Preservation | \$2,483,000 |
| 20227 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal, Bridge Rehabilitation, near Meridian | Good | 1956 | Replacement | \$4,480,000 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$20,589,000 |

* Project cost includes multiple segments; some of which are outside the COMPASS planning area.

Level of Travel Time Reliability
On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support statewide level of travel time reliability targets established by ITD, which are to improve travel time reliability on the NHS (note that this does not mean to improve travel times, but to improve the consistency of travel times) (Table 15).

Table 15: FY2018 and FY2019 Level of Travel Time Reliability and FY2022 Targets

| Interstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2022 <br> Target |  |
| Reliable | $92.70 \%$ | $97.90 \%$ | $90.40 \%$ | $97.20 \%$ | $>90 \%$ |  |
| Not Reliable | $7.30 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $9.60 \%$ | $2.80 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | 100\% | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |
| Non-I nterstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional <br> Condition | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2022 |  |
| Reliable | $78.60 \%$ | $91.50 \%$ | $76.20 \%$ | $84.80 \%$ | $>70 \%$ |  |
| Not Reliable | $21.40 \%$ | $8.50 \%$ | $23.80 \%$ | $15.20 \%$ |  |  |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100.00 \%$ |  |  |

Grey highlight $=$ targets or totals
Green highlight $=$ meets targets

Travel time reliability provides a more complete look at the on-the-ground experience for the road user than simply reporting congestion, as travel times can vary greatly day-to-day (Figure 11). Congestion of roadways and other factors, such as weather, events, or construction, often makes it difficult to predict how long it will take to travel from one destination to another. One day, it may take 20 minutes to travel from the City of Nampa to the City of Boise, and another day, it may take an hour. The overall goal of level of travel time reliability targets is to make travel times predictable. The intent of these targets is not necessarily to decrease the amount of time it takes to travel, although many of the projects will do just that, but to make travel times as consistent and predictable as possible.


Figure 11: Reliability Measures Capture the Benefits of Traffic Management, from FHWA's Performance Measure website

Level of travel time reliability is defined as the ratio of the longer travel times (80th percentile) to a "normal" travel time (50th percentile), using data from the Federal Highway Administration's NPMRDS, or equivalent. Data are collected in 15-minute segments during all time periods between 6:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. local time.

Travel time reliability measures how consistent travel times are from one point to another, from one day to the next. To determine reliability, data on travel time are examined to see how they vary over time. If the difference between the normal travel time (50th percentile) and the longer travel time (80th percentile time) is greater than $50 \%$, then the segment is unreliable.

Improvements in the reliability of the NHS due to projects programmed through the TIP will be reported in the Congestion Management Annual Report, discussed in Section IV. A sample of the measurement is provided in Figure 12.


Figure 12: Sample Improvements in Average Travel Times, from FHWA's Performance Measure website

The 2019 level of travel time reliability is shown on an interactive map called the COMPASS TIP Viewer found on the COMPASS website ${ }^{34}$. Figure 13, below, is an excerpt of this map showing segments (by direction) that are reliable (gray) and not reliable (red).

[^11]

Figure 13: 2019 NHS Level of Travel Time Reliability
More than $\$ 282$ million is budgeted to improve travel time reliability in Ada and Canyon Counties on the NHS in the FY2021-2027 TIP between FY2021 and FY2025 (Table 16). Even more funds will be spent on the local systems across the region.

Table 16: Analysis of Projects that Improve Travel Time Reliability on the NHS in the FY2021-2027 TIP (as of September 2020)

| Key <br> Number | Project | Roadway <br> Type | Treatment | Total Project <br> Cost |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| IN205-97 | Cole Road, McGlochlin Street to Victory <br> Road, Boise | Non-Interstate | Widen | $\$ 7,728,000$ |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to <br> SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | Non-Interstate | Widen | $\$ 3,052,000$ |
| 22196 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher <br> Interchange, Canyon County (broke out <br> into projects below) | Interstate | Widen | $\$ 11,200,000$ |
| 23079 | I-84, Temp Widening, Franklin IC to <br> Karcher IC, Canyon | Interstate | Widen | $\$ 16,800,000$ |
| 23080 | I-84, Franklin IC to Karcher IC, <br> Westbound | Interstate | Widen | $\$ 68,497,000$ |
| 23081 | I-84, Franklin IC to Karcher IC, <br> Eastbound | Interstate | Widen | $\$ 55,000,000$ |
| 22619 | I-84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon <br> County | Interstate | Widen | $\$ 9,488,000$ |
| 20430 | Middleton Road and Cornell Street, <br> Intersection Improvements, Middleton | Non-Interstate | Mini- <br> Roundabout | $\$ 553,000$ |
| 13487 | Middleton Road and Ustick Road, <br> Roundabout, Caldwell | Non-Interstate | Roundabout | $\$ 2,982,000$ |


| Key Number | Project | Roadway Type | Treatment | Total Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RD207-01 | Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise | Non-Interstate | Realign and widen | \$19,390,000 |
| 13476 | SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection, 1/2 CFI, Eagle | Non-Interstate | CFI* | \$9,356,000 |
| 20266 | SH-44 (State Street), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$8,963,000 |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH16, Ada County | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$13,025,000 |
| 13349 | SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian Towne Center, Meridian | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$5,476,000 |
| 21867 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Midway Road to Middleton Road, Nampa | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$5,910,000 |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$34,625,000 |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada County | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$10,158,000 |
| 21858 | US 20/26 (Chinden), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$24,290,000 |
| CAL1 | Ustick Road, Lake Avenue to I-84, Caldwell | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$5,000,000 |
| RD207-24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian | Non-Interstate | Widen | \$3,717,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$282,203,000 |

*Continuous Flow Interchange

## Truck Travel Time Reliability (Freight Movement)

On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support freight movements through statewide level of truck travel time reliability targets established by ITD, which is to improve upon truck travel time reliability on the interstate system (note that this does not mean to improve travel time, but to improve the consistency of travel times - similar to the level of travel time reliability above, but specific to truck travel on Interstate 84 and Interstate 184) (Table 17). The overall goal of truck travel time reliability is to make freight travel more predictable. Delivery of goods and services in a timely manner is important to the success of the freight industry.

Table 17: FY2017 and FY2019 Truck Travel Time Reliability and FY2018 Target

| Interstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | FY2017 <br> Regional <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2017 <br> Statewide <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2018 <br> Target |  |
| Reliable | 1.47 | 1.17 | 1.50 | 1.20 | $<1.3$ |  |

Grey highlight $=$ targets or totals
Peach highlight = does not meet targets

2019 truck travel time reliability is shown visually on an interactive map called the COMPASS TIP Viewer found on the COMPASS website ${ }^{35}$. Figure 14, below, is an excerpt of this map showing segments that are considered fair (orange) and poor (pink) in regards to truck travel time reliability on the interstate system.


Figure 14: 2019 Truck Travel Time Reliability on the Interstate
The target of less than 1.3 means it would take less than $30 \%$ longer at a highly congested level of service to travel through that same segment. The target of less than 1.3 is the statewide target along Interstate 84. Regional travel through Ada and Canyon Counties is likely to score higher due to higher rate of congestion in the area.

Freight movement is assessed by the Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index. Reporting is divided into five periods: morning peak, midday, and afternoon peak Mondays through Fridays; weekends; and overnights for all days. The TTTR ratio is similar to the level of travel time reliability described earlier, but instead of the 80th percentile, truck travel time reliability is assessed by dividing the 95 th percentile

[^12]time by the normal time (50th percentile) for each segment. See Table 18 for an example of how the index works.

Table 18: Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTR) Index Example

| Segment: Longer Travel Time (95 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ ) divided by Normal Travel Time ( $50^{\text {th }}$ ) $=$ \# seconds $\div$ by \#seconds = TTTR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday - Friday | 6am-10am | TTTR=72 sec $\div 50 \mathrm{sec}=1.44$ |
|  | 10am-4pm | TTR $=1.39$ |
|  | 4pm - 8pm | TTR $=1.49$ |
| Weekends | 6 am - 8pm | TTR $=1.31$ |
| Overnight | 8pm - 6am | TTR $=1.20$ |
| Maximum TTTR |  | 1.49 |
| Measure: TTTR Index <br> - Length $\times \operatorname{Max}$ TTTR $=$ Length-weighted TTTR <br> - $\quad \Sigma$ (All segment length weighted TTTR) $\div \Sigma$ (All segment lengths) |  |  |

This example segment does not meet the target of less than 1.3; therefore it is considered "not reliable."

More than $\$ 183$ million is budgeted to improve truck travel time reliability in Canyon County on Interstate 84 in the FY2021-2027 TIP (Table 19). Within the funded projects, several segments currently not performing well will be widened, which is expected to increase the reliability of these segments, and could possibly improve reliability on adjacent segments of the interstate as well. As these projects are completed, COMPASS will report on how truck travel times and the reliability of travel on Interstate 84 change in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{36}$.

The widening of Interstate 84 from the Karcher Road Interchange to Franklin Boulevard in the City of Nampa is currently under construction, funded in FY20192020 (therefore, not included in the FY2021-2027 TIP).

Table 19: Analysis of Projects that Improve Truck Travel Time Reliability on the Interstate in the FY2021-2027 TIP (as of September 2020)

| Key <br> Number | Project $^{*}$ | Treatment | Total Project <br> Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 22196 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, <br> Canyon County | Widen | $\$ 183,344,000$ |
| 22619 | I-84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon County | Widen | $\$ 10,349,000$ |
| Total |  | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 3 , 3 4 4 , 0 0 0}$ |  |

*Projects include some segments that perform within the target.

[^13]CMAQ - Emissions
On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support the CMAQ - emissions target established by ITD. The ITD target is zero, as ITD does not claim "emission reductions" when CMAQ funds are used on a project; therefore, no changes to vehicles emissions as a result of this funding are reported. See page 14 for more information on how CMAQ funds are used in Idaho.

## VI. AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY

The Clean Air Act mandates that any transportation project using federal funds or deemed to be "regionally significant" in nonattainment or maintenance areas cannot contribute to a degradation of air quality. Thus, transportation plans must "conform" to air quality plans. Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program (e.g., a TIP) or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) health- based air quality standards. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

The air quality conformity demonstration finds that the projects contained in the DRAFT FY2021-2027 TIP meet air quality conformity requirements for Northern Ada County.

The Northern Ada County PM10 State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: TenYear Update ${ }^{37}$ contains motor vehicle emissions budgets for three pollutants: $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, oxides of nitrogen, and volatile organic compounds. Emissions budget tests, as required by 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 93.118, demonstrate conformity of the FY2021-2027 draft TIP. The Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second $10-$ Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan ${ }^{38}$ does not contain any motor vehicle emissions budgets. However, COMPASS conducts a build versus no build carbon monoxide emissions analysis per the carbon monoxide limited maintenance plan in accordance with EPA's limited maintenance plan option.

The complete air quality conformity demonstration, Conformity Demonstration for the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program, Report Number $02-2021$, is provided under separate cover and can be found online. ${ }^{39}$
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## VII. REGI ONALLY SIGNI FICANT PROJ ECTS

The TIP includes all federally funded and "regionally significant" projects, regardless of funding source, in COMPASS' planning area. If federal funds are not used on the project, the listing is considered more informational in nature and may not include as much detail or review as a federally-funded project.

40 CFR 93.101 defines a regionally significant project as:
... a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.

## VIII. LOCAL PLANNI NG ACTI VI TIES

The TIP was developed in accordance with the area's development goals and priorities as specified in the long-range transportation plans. The following planning documents were consulted in preparing this TIP:

2020-2024 Integrated Five-Year Work Plan, ACHD, September 2020.
2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan, ITD, December 2019.
ACHD's 2016 Capital Improvement Plan, ACHD, August 2016.
Blueprint Boise, City of Boise, November 2011.
Campus Master Plan, Boise State University, J anuary 2016.
Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties, COMPASS, December 2018.

Downtown Boise Mobility Study, VRT, October 2005.
Draft 2021-2025 Integrated Five-Year Work Plan, ACHD, June 2020.
Draft FY21 to FY27 Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP), Draft for Public Comment, ITD, July 2020.

Idaho Public Transportation Plan, ITD, April 2018.
Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), September 2012.

Northern Ada County $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: Ten-Year Update, DEQ, March $2013{ }^{40}$.

State Street Corridor Strategic Plan, ACHD and City of Boise, February 2004.
State Street Corridor Transit Oriented Development Policy Guidelines, State Street Corridor partners, April 2008.

State Street Transit and Traffic Operational Plan, Implementation Plan, ACHD, City of Boise, and Valley Regional Transit, J une 2011.

Treasure Valley Transportation System: Operations, Management, and ITS, created by the IBI Group, March 2014.

ValleyConnect 2.0, VRT, April 2018.

## IX. FINANCI AL CONSTRAI NT

The TIP is a financially driven document. Financial constraint is required by federal law and guidance, meaning that the TIP can only be programmed to the level that funding is available. In addition, financial constraint must show that agencies receiving the funds programmed through this document are able to continually maintain and operate the transportation systems for which they receive funding. Based on COMPASS' analyses, funding is reasonably assumed to be available for the projects contained in this document.

COMPASS uses ITD's funding assumptions to estimate available funds for highways and public transportation programs.
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## A. Highway Funding Assumptions

Highway funding assumptions for individual funding sources are based on apportionment levels provided in the FAST Act and from ITD forecasts of state funding levels. Actual funding levels are often not known until after the beginning of each fiscal year (October 1). Therefore, assumptions are made regarding future funding to allow uninterrupted development of projects. The following list of highway assumptions and guidelines is used to develop the highway funding levels shown in the TIP.

## 1. Federal-Aid Funding Assumptions

Program funding levels for FY2020 are reflective of apportionments in the FAST Act. FY2021 through FY2027 funding levels are flat-lined based on FY2020 apportionments, as the FAST Act expires in FY2020.

Funds in the list of projects (Appendix A) are shown in year-ofexpenditure dollars, meaning the costs are inflated to reflect anticipated costs based on the year the project will occur. Inflation is estimated at 2\% annually.

Obligation authority is assumed to be equal to $100 \%$ of estimated apportionments. Funding forecasts do not include year-end redistribution or obligation authority not used by other states.

## 2. State Funding Assumptions

Estimates of state funds available for capital construction take into account projected revenues, the availability of state matching funds for federal aid, and other operational needs not shown in ITD's funding program.

The amount of state highway funding can be impacted by legislation passed in any given year. Two bills were passed in FY2020 that effect transportation funding.

House Bill 334 increases funding for the local bridge inspection account by $\$ 75,000$ annually to $\$ 175,000$. While this legislation does not impact revenue, it does reduce the amount available for distribution from the Highway Distribution Account.

Senate Bill 1379 uses existing law enforcement and ITD resources to inspect commercial vehicles for dyed fuel. This should increase revenue from special fuel taxes because it will act as a deterrent to those drivers who illegally use dyed diesel on highways.

Senate Bill 1201, passed in the FY2019 Legislative session, removes the Idaho State Police from the Highway Distribution Account distribution formula over a period of five years beginning with the FY2022 appropriation. This action will result in an additional \$1.9
million per year for a total of approximately $\$ 11$ million to the State Highway Account when the transition is complete in FY2026.

The estimated state funding for FY2021 through FY2027 for highway capital construction ranges from $\$ 106,200,000$ to $\$ 142,500,000$ annually.

## 3. GARVEE Bonding Assumptions

ITD uses GARVEE bonds to help fund transportation projects. These bonds do not pledge the full faith and credit of the state; ITD uses federal highway revenue to repay them. Idaho Code allows no more than $30 \%$ of ITD's federal apportionment to be used for debt service.

Prior to FY2017, the Idaho Legislature authorized ITD to secure financing to fund $\$ 857,000,000$ of infrastructure improvements in the GARVEE program. Projects funded by those pre-FY2017 authorizations were completed and closed out during FY2016.

In March 2017, the Idaho Legislature authorized the issuance of up to $\$ 300,000,000$ in new GARVEE bonds to fund additional projects to be selected by the Idaho Transportation Board.

Estimated debt service is approximately $\$ 69,500,000$ annually, including the original $\$ 857,000,000$ and the new $\$ 300,000,000$ legislative authorization. Approximately $\$ 64,000,000$ of the debt service are federal funds and approximately $\$ 5,500,000$ are state matching funds.

## B. Public Transportation Funding Assumptions

ITD is the direct recipient of FTA funding for rural and some small urban programs, and VRT is the direct recipient for large urban and other small urban programs. The funds managed by ITD and VRT support fixed route and paratransit services, mobility management, program administration support, transportation services for the elderly and people with disabilities, buses and bus facilities, and general operations and maintenance of the public transportation system in Ada and Canyon Counties.

The FAST Act is set to expire on September 30, 2020. Recipients of federal funds typically assume the same levels of funding for planning purposes until a new authorization bill is passed by Congress. Based on this assumption, the total estimated federal funding for rural and small urban programs for FY2021 is $\$ 15.1$ million.

Total estimated funding under the FAST Act for non-ITD recipients (transit service providers in the urbanized areas, such as VRT) is estimated to be $\$ 12.2$ million in FY2021.

Dedicated state funds of \$312,000 annually are available for vehicle replacement needs under the Vehicle Investment Program and are awarded through a statewide competitive application process.

## C. Reference to MPOs

The STIP does not list projects located in MPO planning areas, but refers to the local TIP in each MPO area; therefore, all projects located in Ada or Canyon Counties are only listed in the COMPASS TIP. Unlike ITD, the COMPASS Board of Directors opted not to group projects; all projects are listed individually in the COMPASS TIP.

## Financial Status of ITD Projects

The FY2021-2027 STIP provides financial data and financial constraint for ITD projects.

ITD seeks to make the best use of its resources and assets through a mixture of performance management and financing options. Idaho's transportation revenue comes from three sources. The State of Idaho receives $46.0 \%$ of its transportation funds from federal revenues such as the National Highway Trust Fund and the Airport and Airways Trust Fund, 51.7\% from state revenues generated by transportation-related taxes and fees paid by Idaho citizens into the state's Highway Distribution Account (HDA) and air-fuel tax accounts, and 2.3\% from other revenues such as local match (Table 20).

Table 20: ITD FY2021 Appropriations
Amounts in millions and rounded.

| Fund Sources | State | Federal | Other | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Distribution of Highway User Revenue to ITD | \$301.6 | - | - | \$301.6 |
| Cigarette Tax - for debt Service (state match) | \$4.7 | - | - | \$4.7 |
| Cigarette Tax - for Transportation Expansion/Congestion Mitigation (TECM) | \$0.6 | - | - | \$0.6 |
| 1\% Sales Tax - for Transportation Expansion/Congestion Mitigation (TECM) | \$19.3 | - | - | \$19.3 |
| Aviation Fuel Tax | \$2.1 | - | - | \$2.1 |
| Federal | - | \$340.0 | - | \$340.0 |
| Local Match | - | - | \$4.7 | \$4.7 |
| Services for State Agencies | - | - | \$0.3 | \$0.3 |
| Miscellaneous State | \$47.6 | - | - | \$47.6 |
| FHWA Funds Appropriated as State | \$25.0 | (\$25.0) | - | \$0 |
| Prior Year Federal Authority Obligated | - | \$40.0 | - | \$40.0 |
| Pre-FY2021 Funding Received / Secured | \$23.3 | \$35.4 | \$14.5 | \$73.2 |
| Cash Adjustment | \$16.0 | - | - | \$16.0 |
| Total Fund Sources | \$440.2 | \$390.4 | \$19.5 | 850.1 |


| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel | \$117.7 | \$14.8 | \$0.4 | \$132.9 |
| Operating | \$90.9 | \$8.6 | \$0.2 | \$99.7 |
| Capital Facilities | \$3.6 | - | - | \$3.6 |
| Equipment | \$30.2 | - | - | \$30.2 |
| Sub-Grantee (Pass-Through) | \$3.2 | \$20.9 | - | \$24.1 |
| Construct Construction \& Right-of-Way Acquisition | \$188.6 | \$284.6 | \$18.9 | \$492.2 |
| Total Expenditures (spending authority) | \$434.2 | $\mathbf{\$ 2 8 . 9}$ \$19.5 <br> $46.3 \%$ $2.3 \%$ |  | \$782.6 |
|  | 54.1\% 46.3\% |  | 2.3\% |  |
| Debt Service | \$5.0 | \$61.5 | - | \$66.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Program Funding | \$439.1 | \$390.4 | \$19.5 | \$849.1 |
|  | 51.7\% | 46.0\% | 2.3\% |  |
| Funds in Excess of Appropriation | \$1.1 | - | (\$0.1) | \$1.0 |

HDA revenue is obtained from various sources and distributed to multiple agencies. ITD's revenue forecast (Table 21) provides the summary of how these funds are forecasted for FY2021.

Table 21: ITD Highway User Revenue - FY2021
Amounts in millions and rounded.

| Revenue Sources | HDA | New <br> Revenue | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Motor Fuel Taxes <br> Less: Parks, Tax Commission, Refunds, Railroad, Bridge <br> Inspection | $\$ 283.5$ <br> $(\$ 21.2)$ | $\$ 72.6$ | $\$ 356.1$ |
| Less: Ethanol transfer to ITD | $(\$ 17.7)$ |  |  |
| Net Motor Fuel to Distribute | $\$ 244.6$ | $\$ 72.6$ | $\$ 317.2$ |
| Registrations <br> Other | $\$ 122.5$ | $\$ 41.1$ | $\$ 163.6$ |
| Net to Distribute | $\$ 11.2$ |  | $\$ 17.7)$ |


| Distributions | HDA | New <br> Revenue | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ITD (57\% HDA) / (60\% New Revenue) | $\$ 215.6$ | $\$ 68.3$ | $\$ 283.9$ |
| Ethanol Transfer to ITD | $\$ 17.7$ |  | $\$ 17.7$ |
| Total to ITD | $\$ 233.3$ | $\$ 68.3$ | $\$ 301.6$ |
| I daho State Police (5\% HDA) / (0\% New Revenue) | $\$ 18.9$ |  | $\$ 18.9$ |
| Locals (38\% HDA) /(40\% New Revenue) | $\$ 143.7$ | $\$ 45.5$ | $\$ 189.2$ |
| Total Distributions | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 9 2 . 0}$ |


| Sub-Allocation of Locals Distribution | HDA | New <br> Revenue |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Locals (38\% HDA) / (40\% New Revenue) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 . 5}$ |
| Less: LHTAC | $(\$ 0.5)$ | $(\$ 0.1)$ |
| Net Local to Distribute | $\$ 143.2$ | $\$ 45.4$ |
| Cities (30\%) | $\$ 188.2$ |  |
| Counties and Highway Districts (70\%) | $\$ 100.2$ | $\$ 13.6$ |
| $\$ 31.8$ | $\$ 132.6$ |  |

Based on August 2020 Forecast
New revenue is the result of 2015 legislation (HB312)

ITD's STIP outlines the department's transportation revenues and expenditures for capital improvement and preservation projects from FY2021 through FY2027. It contains projects impacting highways, public transportation, aeronautics, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and safety that will both maintain and improve a wide variety of transportation choices in all areas of the state. It also includes reference to projects found in Idaho's five MPO's TIPs.

ITD's budget policy section estimates revenues into the state HDA based on past performance and future economic and use factors (Table 22). The amounts shown include the match and federal funds estimated to be available to programmed projects.

Table 22: Available Funding* with Match vs. Programmed Projects DRAFT FY2021-2027 Idaho Transportation Investment Program

| Amounts in \$1,000 and rounded. <br> Program Name | Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY2025* |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (PREL for Locals) } \\ & \text { FY2026* } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ |  | FY2027* |  | Sum FY21-27 |  |
|  | FY2021* |  | FY2022* |  | FY2023* |  | FY2024* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program |
| Pavement Preservation (Commerce) | 27,546 | 23,495 | 31,389 | 31,576 | 14,200 | 15,852 | 24,740 | 25,815 | 38,477 | 37,422 | 28,609 | 28,298 | 61,683 | 44,391 | 226,644 | 206,848 |
| Pavement Preservation (NonCommerce) | 9,684 | 8,196 | 9,534 | 12,681 | 10,833 | 11,029 | 6,894 | 6,720 | 8,473 | 8,074 | 6,202 | 6,533 | 18,000 | 14,218 | 69,620 | 67,450 |
| Pavement Restoration | 71,739 | 80,808 | 81,104 | 62,269 | 64,686 | 64,776 | 92,621 | 77,017 | 94,195 | 73,512 | 140,914 | 117,917 | 58,538 | 70,426 | 603,797 | 546,725 |
| State Highway System (SHS) Pavements | 108,969 | 112,499 | 122,027 | 106,526 | 89,719 | 91,657 | 124,255 | 109,552 | 141,145 | 119,008 | 175,725 | 152,747 | 138,221 | 129,035 | 900,061 | 821,024 |
| Bridge Preservation | 23,522 | 26,565 | 13,611 | 14,506 | 25,640 | 29,097 | 18,722 | 17,413 | 13,139 | 13,121 | 15,372 | 16,389 | 15,000 | 15,721 | 125,006 | 132,813 |
| Bridge Restoration | 43,479 | 29,264 | 62,779 | 68,684 | 97,080 | 92,875 | 82,406 | 88,877 | 55,370 | 97,783 | 50,396 | 71,947 | 65,000 | 58,648 | 456,510 | 508,079 |
| SHS Bridges | 67,001 | 55,829 | 76,390 | 83,190 | 122,720 | 121,972 | 101,128 | 106,291 | 68,509 | 110,904 | 65,768 | 88,336 | 80,000 | 74,370 | 581,516 | 640,892 |
| Supporting Infrastructure Assets | 7,000 | 7,658 | 7,000 | 7,487 | 7,000 | 7,579 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 7,000 | 49,000 | 50,724 |
| Safety - Rest Areas |  | 1,260 |  | - |  | - | - |  |  | - |  | - |  | - | - | 1,260 |
| Safety |  | 8,594 |  | 47,283 |  | 58,540 |  | 48,124 |  | 44,895 |  | 20,970 |  | 37,324 |  | 265,730 |
| Capacity |  | 75,152 |  | 71,856 |  | 50,738 |  | 49,035 |  | 47,505 |  | 46,035 |  | 50,513 |  | 390,834 |
| Safety \& Capacity | 87,378 | 83,746 | 99,840 | 119,139 | 93,579 | 109,278 | 73,168 | 97,159 | 89,522 | 92,400 | 57,991 | 67,004 | 80,000 | 87,837 | 581,478 | 656,564 |
| (Row 4+7+8+9+12) SHS CORE | 270,348 | 260,992 | 305,257 | 316,342 | 313,018 | 330,487 | 305,551 | 320,001 | 306,176 | 329,312 | 306,484 | 315,088 | 305,221 | 298,242 | 2,112,055 | 2,170,463 |
| Significant Projects \& Corridors |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Formula Debt Service + Fees \& Interest ${ }^{1}$ | 67,476 | 67,476 | 69,510 | 69,510 | 69,536 | 69,536 | 69,550 | 69,550 | 69,612 | 69,612 | 69,621 | 69,621 | 71,051 | 71,051 | 486,356 | 486,356 |
| SHS Strategic | 67,476 | 67,476 | 69,510 | 69,510 | 69,536 | 69,536 | 69,550 | 69,550 | 69,612 | 69,612 | 69,621 | 69,621 | 71,051 | 71,051 | 486,356 | 486,356 |
| System Support | 6,500 | 11,956 | 6,500 | 7,847 | 6,500 | 7,596 | 6,500 | 7,596 | 6,500 | 7,626 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 6,500 | 45,500 | 55,621 |
| State Board Unallocated | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| SHS Other | 11,500 | 16,956 | 11,500 | 12,847 | 11,500 | 12,596 | 11,500 | 12,596 | 11,500 | 12,626 | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | 11,500 | 80,500 | 90,621 |
| Planning \& Scoping | 2,100 | 2,430 | 1,600 | 1,835 | 1,600 | 1,625 | 1,600 | 1,350 | 250 | 1,350 | - | - | - | - | 7,150 | 8,590 |
| Metropolitan Planning | 1,885 | 1,895 | 1,885 | 1,895 | 1,885 | 1,895 | 1,885 | 1,895 | 1,885 | 1,895 | - | - | - |  | 9,423 | 9,475 |
| State Planning and Research | 7,030 | 7,090 | 7,030 | 7,090 | 7,030 | 7,090 | 7,031 | 7,090 | 7,031 | 7,066 | - | - | - | - | 35,153 | 35,426 |
| Highway Planning | 11,015 | 11,415 | 10,515 | 10,820 | 10,515 | 10,610 | 10,515 | 10,335 | 9,166 | 10,311 |  |  |  |  | 51,726 | 53,491 |
| Recreational Trails | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | - | - | - | - | 8,553 | 8,555 |
| Transportation Alternatives (TAP) | 3,822 | 3,322 | 3,822 | 3,518 | 3,822 | 5,180 | 3,822 | 3,822 | 3,822 | 3,822 | - | - | - | - | 19,109 | 19,664 |
| Freight | 10,393 | 13,330 | 10,393 | 7,421 | 10,393 | 9,162 | 10,394 | - | 10,394 | 4,336 | 10,394 | 2,988 | 10,394 | 13,767 | 72,755 | 51,003 |
| Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |
| Highway Statewide Competitive | 15,925 | 18,363 | 15,925 | 12,650 | 15,926 | 16,053 | 15,926 | 5,533 | 15,926 | 9,869 | 10,394 | 2,988 | 10,394 | 13,767 | 100,417 | 79,222 |
| STBG - Local Urban | 8,831 | 8,892 | 8,831 | 9,002 | 8,831 | 8,699 | 8,831 | 8,855 | 8,831 | 9,329 | 30,000 | 32,598 | - | - | 74,155 | 77,374 |
| STBG - Transportation Mgt Area | 10,887 | 10,908 | 10,887 | 10,886 | 10,887 | 10,887 | 10,887 | 10,887 | 10,887 | 10,108 | 21,774 | 10,901 | - | - | 76,208 | 64,576 |
| TAP - Transportation Mgt Area | 480 | 400 | 480 | 479 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 480 | 960 | 733 | - | - | 3,358 | 3,051 |
| STBG - Local Rural | 14,683 | 11,258 | 14,646 | 18,010 | 14,538 | 11,304 | 14,430 | 12,329 | 14,322 | 12,504 | 43,431 | 43,431 | - | - | 116,049 | 108,837 |
| Bridge, Local | 5,447 | 5,293 | 5,447 | 3,555 | 5,447 | 7,514 | 5,447 | 4,143 | 5,447 | 5,320 | 23,335 | 23,335 | - | - | 50,570 | 49,159 |
| Bridge, Off System | 4,085 | 7,014 | 4,085 | 2,612 | 4,085 | 5,251 | 4,085 | 7,489 | 4,085 | 6,029 | 8,654 | 8,654 | - | - | 29,078 | 37,049 |
| Safety - Local | 8,904 | 8,904 | 8,904 | 8,904 | 8,904 | 8,904 | 8,904 | 8,905 | 8,905 | 8,904 | 8,905 | 17,634 | 8,905 | 8,905 | 62,330 | 71,059 |
| Safety - Railroad Crossings | 2,191 | 3,080 | 2,191 | 1,915 | 2,191 | 1,755 | 2,191 | 1,515 | 2,191 | 2,090 | 2,191 | 2,191 | 2,191 | 2,191 | 15,338 | 14,737 |
| Highway Local | 55,507 | 55,749 | 55,470 | 55,363 | 55,362 | 54,793 | 55,255 | 54,602 | 55,147 | 54,764 | 139,249 | 139,477 | 11,096 | 11,096 | 427,086 | 425,843 |


| Amounts in \$1,000 and rounded. <br> Program Name | Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY2025* |  | (PREL for Locals) FY2026* |  | FY2027* |  | Sum FY21-27 |  |
|  | FY2021* |  | FY2022* |  | FY2023* |  | FY2024* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program |
| LHTAC Programs | 33,119 | 32,469 | 33,081 | 33,081 | 32,974 | 32,972 | 32,866 | 32,866 | 32,758 | 32,758 | 84,325 | 93,054 | 8,905 | 8,905 | 258,027 | 266,104 |
| Highway Federal Formula \& State Funds | 431,772 | 430,951 | 468,177 | 477,531 | 475,857 | 494,074 | 468,297 | 472,617 | 467,527 | 486,494 | 537,248 | 538,674 | 409,261 | 405,655 | 3,258,139 | 3,305,997 |
| High Priority (TEA-21) | 18,426 | 18,426 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 18,426 | 18,426 |
| Discretionary Earmarks | 5,182 | 5,182 | - | - | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | 5,182 | 5,182 |
| Emergency Relief |  |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Lands Access | 13,898 | 11,027 | 13,898 | 17,110 | 13,898 | 18,482 | 13,898 | 17,699 | 13,898 | 1,686 | - |  |  |  | 69,490 | 66,004 |
| Indian Reservation Roads | 1,000 | 1,000 | 1,007 | 1,007 | 457 | 457 | 887 | 887 |  | - |  |  |  |  | 3,352 | 3,352 |
| Other Federal Non-Formula | 5,262 | 5,262 | 2,262 | 2,262 | 2,062 | 2,062 | 2,262 | 2,262 | 462 | 462 | - | - | - | - | 12,310 | 12,310 |
| Highway Other Federal Programs Federal Non-Participating | 43,768 | 40,897 | 17,167 | 20,379 | 16,417 | 21,001 | 17,047 | 20,848 | 14,360 | 2,148 | - | - | - | - | 108,760 | 105,274 |
| Local/Private Partnership | 8,170 | 8,170 | 448 | 448 | 1,964 | 1,964 | 380 | 380 | 1,835 | 1,835 |  | - | 780 | 780 | 13,577 | 13,577 |
| Highway Other Programs | 8,170 | 8,170 | 448 | 448 | 1,964 | 1,964 | 380 | 380 | 1,835 | 1,835 |  |  | 780 | 780 | 13,577 | 13,577 |
| GARVEE 2017 Legislative Authorization ${ }^{1}$ | 78,697 | 78,697 | - | - | - |  | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | 78,697 | 78,697 |
| Highways Total | 562,407 | 558,715 | 485,792 | 498,359 | 494,239 | 517,040 | 485,724 | 493,845 | 483,722 | 490,477 | 537,248 | 538,674 | 410,041 | 406,435 | 3,459,172 | 3,503,544 |
| Capital | 16,925 | 16,925 | 16,730 | 16,730 | 16,163 | 16,163 | 16,420 | 16,420 | 15,823 | 15,823 | 8,393 | 8,393 |  | - | 90,454 | 90,454 |
| Operations | 55,020 | 55,020 | 27,792 | 27,792 | 27,862 | 27,862 | 27,900 | 27,900 | 27,918 | 27,918 | 2,624 | 2,624 |  | - | 169,116 | 169,116 |
| Public Transit Total | 71,945 | 71,945 | 44,522 | 44,522 | 44,025 | 44,025 | 44,320 | 44,320 | 43,741 | 43,741 | 11,017 | 11,017 |  | - | 259,570 | 259,570 |
| New Airport Facility | 5,444 | 5,444 | 13,559 | 13,559 | 5,308 | 5,308 | 9,212 | 9,212 | 7,711 | 7,711 | 5,399 | 5,399 | 150 | 150 | 46,783 | 46,783 |
| Airport Facility Maintenance | 32,275 | 32,275 | 21,277 | 21,277 | 29,751 | 29,751 | 26,373 | 26,373 | 14,042 | 14,042 | 5,399 | 5,399 | 150 | 150 | 129,267 | 129,267 |
| Airport Planning | 266 | 266 | 1,867 | 1,867 | 884 | 884 | 558 | 558 | 493 | 493 | 5,279 | 5,279 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 14,347 | 14,347 |
| Aviation System Planning | 583 | 583 | 289 | 289 |  |  | 311 | 311 |  | - | 407 | 407 |  | - | 1,590 | 1,590 |
| Aeronautics Total | 38,568 | 38,568 | 36,992 | 36,992 | 35,943 | 35,943 | 36,454 | 36,454 | 22,246 | 22,246 | 16,484 | 16,484 | 5,300 | 5,300 | 191,987 | 191,987 |
| Grand Total | 672,920 | 669,228 | 567,306 | 579,873 | 574,207 | 597,008 | 566,498 | 574,619 | 549,709 | 556,464 | 564,749 | 566,175 | 415,341 | 411,735 | 3,910,729 | 3,955,101 |
|  | * Available estimates are to be used for planning purposes only. Federal FY2020 is last year of FAST Act. FY2021 and later are flat-lined at FY2020 levels. Funds expressed in Year-Of-Expenditure Dollars assuming $2 \%$ annual inflation. <br> Funding levels assume 100\% Obligation Authority Includes set-asides for short/under-programmed programs <br> 1 Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) bonds provide no net additional transportation funding as they are repaid with future federal funds via debt service. <br> PREL = preliminary development, or beyond the first five years of the program <br> TEA-21 (Transportation Equity Act for the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century) $=$ the transportation authorization bill enacted in 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Financial Status of Project Sponsoring Entities

## Roadway Jurisdictions

While local agencies with roadway jurisdiction develop their own budgets, and allocate funding to transportation projects in their jurisdictions, each agency is required to report budgetary information to ITD on an annual basis through the annual "Road and Street Report." The following information is summarized from each agency's Road and Street Report to provide background budgetary information.

In FY2019, the local roadway jurisdictions in Ada and Canyon Counties budgeted only $1.82 \%$ of their budget on capital construction projects. The majority of their funds (58.98\%) were budgeted for reconstruction and routine maintenance, demonstrating that maintaining the existing system is a very high priority for the region. Another $21.44 \%$ was budgeted for "other expenses," such as property purchase and engineering. Equipment consumed 9.35\% of the budgets. Finally, administrative costs were $8.41 \%$ of the overall expenses for roadway jurisdictions.

The local roadway jurisdictions collectively reported on their FY2019 Road and Street Reports that over $\$ 60$ million of maintenance work is currently deferred, due to lack of funding.

Tables 23 through 25 provide FY2019 financial information from local agencies with roadway jurisdictions in Ada and Canyon Counties. Additional information is provided in Appendix D. Detailed information is available by contacting COMPASS staff at info@compassidaho.org.

Table 23: Total Local Income Sources for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2019

|  | Total Local Income | Total State Income | Total Federal Income | Total I ncome |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$82,949,759 | \$36,676,179 | \$2,968,788 | \$122,594,726 |
| Canyon Highway District | \$6,053,910 | \$3,213,492 | \$171,311 | \$9,438,713 |
| Nampa Highway District | \$1,360,633 | \$1,921,490 | \$212,856 | \$3,494,979 |
| Golden Gate Highway District | \$8,446,434 | \$4,582,297 | \$0 | \$13,028,731 |
| Notus-Parma Highway District | \$996,860 | \$1,306,853 | \$0 | \$2,303,713 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$4,497,657 | \$5,473,163 | \$500,879 | \$10,471,699 |
| Greenleaf | \$36,461 | \$42,268 | \$0 | \$78,729 |
| Melba | \$6,572 | \$59,179 | \$0 | \$65,751 |
| Middleton | \$1,143,038 | \$405,061 | \$66,703 | \$1,614,802 |
| Nampa | \$6,746,223 | \$4,864,291 | \$0 | \$11,610,514 |
| Notus | \$22,237 | \$134,051 | \$0 | \$156,288 |
| Parma | \$175,109 | \$108,345 | \$0 | \$283,454 |
| Wilder | \$58,197 | \$81,774 | \$17,605 | \$157,576 |
| Total | \$112,493,090 | \$58,868,443 | \$3,938,142 | \$175,299,675 |

Source: 2019 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2019.

Table 24: Total Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2019

|  | Total Construction Costs | Total Reconstruction Costs | Total Routine Maintenance | Total Equipment | Administration | * Other Expenses | Total Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$1,878,637 | \$45,879,928 | \$26,885,088 | \$9,631,817 | \$7,763,291 | \$26,864,271 | \$118,903,032 |
| Canyon Highway District | \$0 | \$5,488,252 | \$1,484,899 | \$1,350,041 | \$773,203 | \$1,058,540 | \$10,154,935 |
| Nampa Highway District | \$0 | \$658,474 | \$914,069 | \$293,699 | \$543,545 | \$312,801 | \$2,722,588 |
| Golden Gate Highway District | \$786,545 | \$2,570,414 | \$2,950,937 | \$1,218,328 | \$514,714 | \$3,433,188 | \$11,474,126 |
| Notus-Parma Highway District | \$0 | \$234,316 | \$507,874 | \$293,591 | \$430,289 | \$123,367 | \$1,589,437 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$293,429 | \$911,587 | \$1,138,700 | \$1,738,066 | \$1,413,442 | \$2,032,230 | \$7,527,454 |
| Greenleaf | \$0 | \$2,515 | \$30,599 | \$5,325 | \$24,045 | \$26,408 | \$88,892 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$0 | \$869 | \$2,155 | \$22,745 | \$7,815 | \$33,584 |
| Middleton | \$3,000 | \$163,621 | \$297,519 | \$169,681 | \$85,502 | \$633,364 | \$1,352,687 |
| Nampa | \$0 | \$4,776,604 | \$2,270,892 | \$676,938 | \$2,244,332 | \$863,741 | \$10,832,507 |
| Notus | \$0 | \$35,055 | \$17,355 | \$1,746 | \$377 | \$11,306 | \$65,839 |
| Parma | \$0 | \$83,325 | \$65,068 | \$49,018 | \$27,882 | \$29,247 | \$254,540 |
| Wilder | \$38,726 | \$33,189 | \$5,690 | \$7,167 | \$47,774 | \$14,464 | \$147,010 |
| Total | \$3,000,337 | \$60,837,280 | \$36,569,559 | \$15,437,572 | \$13,891,141 | \$35,410,742 | \$165,146,631 |

[^16]Source: 2019 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2019.

Table 25: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway J urisdiction, FY2019

|  | Beginning Balance | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjust | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for projects | Retained for operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$27,274,753 | \$122,594,726 | \$118,903,032 | \$3,691,694 | \$0 | \$30,966,447 | \$30,966,447 | \$0 |
| Canyon Highway District | \$6,255,386 | \$9,438,713 | \$10,154,935 | -\$716,222 | -\$436,084 | \$5,103,080 | \$4,603,078 | \$500,000 |
| Nampa Highway District | \$1,248,654 | \$3,494,979 | \$2,722,588 | \$772,391 | \$0 | \$2,021,045 | \$1,809,045 | \$212,000 |
| Golden Gate Highway District | \$8,280,216 | \$13,028,731 | \$11,474,126 | \$1,554,605 | \$0 | \$9,834,821 | \$6,392,137 | \$3,442,684 |
| Notus-Parma Highway District | \$2,034,883 | \$2,303,713 | \$1,589,437 | \$714,276 | \$0 | \$2,749,159 | \$2,280,585 | \$468,574 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$3,588,502 | \$10,471,699 | \$7,527,454 | \$2,944,245 | \$0 | \$6,532,747 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$130,509 | \$78,729 | \$88,892 | -\$10,163 | \$0 | \$120,346 | \$120,346 | \$0 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$65,751 | \$33,584 | \$32,167 | \$0 | \$32,167 | \$23,000 | \$0 |
| Middleton | \$0 | \$1,614,802 | \$1,352,687 | \$262,115 | \$0 | \$262,115 | \$262,115 | \$0 |
| Nampa | \$7,915,703 | \$11,610,514 | \$10,832,507 | \$778,007 | \$0 | \$8,693,710 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$0 | \$156,288 | \$65,839 | \$90,449 | \$0 | \$90,449 | \$90,449 | \$0 |
| Parma | \$414,458 | \$283,454 | \$254,540 | \$28,914 | \$0 | \$443,372 | \$150,000 | \$293,372 |
| Wilder | \$161,365 | \$157,576 | \$147,010 | \$10,566 | \$0 | \$171,931 | \$171,931 | \$0 |
| Total | \$57,304,429 | \$175,299,675 | \$165,146,631 | \$10,153,044 | \$436,084 | \$67,021,389 | \$46,869,133 | \$4,916,630 |

Source: 2019 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2019.

VRT, the regional transit authority, is the main recipient of the FTA funds in the Treasure Valley - specifically formula funds for the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas and programs for elderly persons or persons with disabilities and for bus and bus facilities in the Boise Urbanized Area.

All local match for the large and small urban areas, outside of directly generated revenues, comes from the voluntary contributions of local jurisdictions from their general funds. Local contributions are determined through the annual budgeting process of each local jurisdiction. This ties VRT's funding levels directly to the annual funding priorities of local jurisdictions. Future service and capital expenditures depend on local jurisdiction priorities and financial capacity; as local priorities change, so does the forecast for public transportation service levels and capital investments.

Budget projections, including inflation, predict that without additional funding, service could be negatively affected and/or VRT would need to push out needed maintenance and capital projects in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas. See additional details in Appendix D. VRT reports a capital shortfall of $\$ 17$ million.

Table 26 provides VRT's FY2021 summary budget. VRT's future budget is based on historical trends and assumes continuation of funding from local jurisdictions at least at the current rate, plus an approximate $2 \%$ increase to cover inflation. However, in FY2020, the City of Boise committed to increasing its contribution to VRT to increase service and fund capital replacements (i.e., buses, equipment, and facilities). The City of Eagle and Ada County are providing additional funding to increase service within the City of Eagle, and the City of Meridian is providing funds to start a fixed-line service within the city. The City of Meridian's expanded contribution for FY2020 and FY2021 will expand the bus fleet; new fixed-line service is expected to begin in FY2021.

In order to provide more certainty to the public transportation program, VRT is developing a five year service and capital plan called the Transportation Development Plan (TDP). This plan will provide more opportunities to align local funding priorities with multi-year public transportation investments. VRT presented the first draft of the TDP to its board in August 2020 and expects a final TDP to be adopted in J anuary 2021.

## Fiscal Year 2021 Budget

## Budget Summary by Budget Area

| REVENUES |  | EXPENSES |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional Overhead and Operations |  | Regional Overhead and Operations |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues | \$ 100,000 | Wages and Salaries | \$ 1,768,497 |
| Auxiliary Revenues | 383,653 | Fringe Benefits | 1,133,787 |
| Federal Assistance | 4,106,073 | Professional Services | 1,514,042 |
| Local Assistance TOTAL | 3,161,298 | Materials and Supplies | 195,005 |
|  | \$ 7,751,024 | Utilities | 116,086 |
|  |  | Casualty and Liability | 108,954 |
|  |  | Purchased Transportation | 1,880,440 |
|  |  | Miscellaneous | 482,858 |
|  |  | Subrecipient Pass Through | 488,000 |
|  |  | Interest | 400 |
|  |  | Leases and Rentals | 62,955 |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$ 7,751,024 |
| Ada County Transportation |  | Ada County Transportation |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues | \$ 813,906 | Wages and Salaries | \$ 4,458,559 |
| Auxiliary Revenues | 226,507 | Fringe Benefits | 3,602,788 |
| Federal Assistance | 2,480,283 | Professional Services | 605,351 |
| Local Assistance TOTAL $^{\text {a }}$ | 6,908,939 | Materials and Supplies | 979,350 |
|  | \$ 10,429,635 | Utilities | 216,300 |
|  |  | Casualty and Liability | 274,387 |
|  |  | Purchased Transportation |  |
|  |  | Miscellaneous | 168,200 |
|  |  | Interest | - |
|  |  | Leases and | 124,7 |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$ 10,429,635 |
| Canyon County |  | Canyon County |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues | \$ 176,954 | Wages and Salaries | \$ 1,016,544 |
| Auxiliary Revenues | 88,086 | Fringe Benefits | 472,207 |
| Federal Assistance | 1,222,177 | Professional Services | 233,674 |
| Local Assistance TOTAL | 775,268 | Materials and Supplies | 356,564 |
|  | \$ 2,262,486 | Utilities | 58,935 |
|  |  | Casualty and Liability | 91,462 |
|  |  | Purchased Transportation | - |
|  |  | Miscellaneous | 25,100 |
|  |  | Interest | - |
|  |  | Leases and | 8,00 |
|  |  | TOTAL | \$ 2,262,486 |
| Capital |  | Capital Projects |  |
| Federal Capital Assistance | \$ 3,032,895 | VRT | \$ 4,042,176 |
| Local Capital Assistance | 1,009,281 | Subrecipient - Pass Through | 536,724 |
| Subrecipient Pass Through | 536,724 | TOTAL | \$ 4,578,900 |
| TOTAL | \$ 4,578,900 |  |  |
| Grand Total Revenues | \$ 25,022,045 | Grand Total Expenses | \$ 25,022,045 |

## General Statement of Financial Constraint

The projects programmed in this document can reasonably be funded through anticipated funding sources. Table 27 demonstrates available funding versus programmed funding for all funding sources in the COMPASS planning area. Funds in programs not managed by COMPASS are assumed to be available if funds are programmed; there is not a specific budget for the COMPASS planning area for these programs. Projection of local revenues is based on assumptions of continued development and economic activities in the area. In light of these facts, it is concluded that the sponsoring agencies listed in this document are capable of providing the required local match and that the document meets the requirement of financial constraint.

Table 27: COMPASS Region Available vs. Programmed Funding (as of September 2020)

| Funding Source* | 2021 |  | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | 2024 |  | 2025 |  | PD** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed |
| Bridge (Discretionary) | \$899 | \$899 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Bridge (Local) | \$732 | \$732 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,626 | \$6,626 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Fed RRX | \$10 | \$10 | \$846 | \$846 | \$235 | \$235 | \$260 | \$260 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FLAP | \$0 | \$0 | \$240 | \$240 | \$380 | \$380 | \$7,261 | \$7,261 | \$944 | \$944 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Freight | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,275 | \$7,275 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FTA 5303*** | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$312 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FTA 5307 LU*** | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 | \$4,543 |
| FTA 5307 SU*** | \$2,615 | \$2,615 | \$2,615 | \$2,615 | \$2,615 | \$2,614 | \$2,615 | \$2,614 | \$2,615 | \$2,616 | \$2,615 | \$2,615 |
| FTA 5310 LU*** | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 | \$313 |
| FTA $5310 \mathrm{R}^{* * *}$ | \$191 | \$191 | \$192 | \$192 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FTA 5310 SU*** | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 | \$258 |
| FTA 5311*** | \$570 | \$570 | \$570 | \$570 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FTA 5339 LU*** | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 | \$555 |
| FTA $5339 \mathrm{R}^{* * *}$ | \$126 | \$126 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| FTA 5339 SU*** | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 | \$309 |
| HB132 and HB312 | \$150 | \$150 | \$5,100 | \$5,100 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| HSIP (Local) | \$1,642 | \$1,642 | \$0 | \$0 | \$21 | \$21 | \$846 | \$846 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| IM | \$10,837 | \$10,837 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,559 | \$4,559 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Local (Regionally Significant) | \$15,427 | \$15,427 | \$8,126 | \$8,126 | \$21,692 | \$21,692 | \$21,426 | \$21,426 | \$8,609 | \$8,609 | \$28,168 | \$28,168 |
| Local Participating | \$434 | \$434 | \$232 | \$232 | \$1,585 | \$1,585 | \$387 | \$387 | \$422 | \$422 | \$612 | \$612 |
| Metropolitan Planning | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$1,193 | \$0 | \$0 |
| NHPP | \$8,130 | \$8,130 | \$510 | \$510 | \$15,395 | \$15,395 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,610 | \$5,610 | \$7,735 | \$7,735 |
| STAR | \$7,800 | \$7,800 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| State | \$4,445 | \$4,445 | \$5,401 | \$5,401 | \$7,385 | \$7,385 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$24,488 | \$24,488 |
| State (GARVEE) | \$140,297 | \$140,297 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |
| STBG-R | \$55 | \$55 | \$3,667 | \$3,667 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,763 | \$2,763 |
| STBG-State | \$1,456 | \$1,456 | \$1,796 | \$1,796 | \$612 | \$612 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,501 | \$2,501 |
| STBG-TMA | \$10,887 | \$9,632 | \$10,887 | \$10,802 | \$10,887 | \$10,664 | \$10,887 | \$10,449 | \$10,887 | \$10,244 | \$21,774 | \$19,277 |
| STBG-U | \$1,456 | \$1,796 | \$612 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,501 |  | \$154 |  | \$2,269 |  | \$6,390 |
| TAP-TMA | \$480 | \$482 | \$480 | \$480 | \$480 | \$472 | \$480 | \$461 | \$480 | \$417 | \$733 | \$606 |
| TAP-Urban | \$1,456 | \$1,796 | \$612 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,501 | \$0 | \$0 | \$34 | \$34 | \$285 | \$285 |
| TECM | \$1,456 | \$1,796 | \$612 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,501 | \$10,775 | \$10,775 | \$5,722 | \$5,722 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Total | \$219,034 | \$218,801 | \$57,256 | \$55,335 | \$75,396 | \$82,667 | \$62,420 | \$62,116 | \$47,365 | \$48,929 | \$97,652 | \$101,418 |

All amounts shown in $\$ 1,000$, most including required local match and shown in year of expenditure - inflated.
*Descriptions of funding sources and definitions of abbreviations can be found in Section IX "Funding Sources and Uses"
**PD=Preliminary Development, meaning the project does not have a construction year, but may begin design work. Column includes projects reported as funded in FY2026 or FY2027.
***Funds are shown as federal only because local match rates vary from $0 \%$ to $50 \%$. Available funds for year shown only, but program may include previous year carry-over funds.
Blue highlight = programs managed by COMPASS or VRT.

## X. PROGRAM FUNDI NG ALLOCATI ONS

The TIP is required to provide a breakdown of federal and regionally significant projects into roadway and alternative solutions. This information is provided in Table 28.

Many projects are complex, containing various transportation elements. The following assumptions were made when developing these data:

- Roadway projects that do not include some aspect of transit, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and/or air quality improvements are shown as $100 \%$ roadway solutions.
- Projects dedicated to transit, pathway, bicycle lanes, or sidewalk improvements are shown as 100\% alternative solutions.
- Roadway projects that also have some aspect of transit, pathway, bicycle lanes, and/or sidewalks are shown as $75 \%$ roadway and $25 \%$ alternative solutions.
- Projects that affect air quality, such as ITS projects, are shown as $50 \%$ road and $50 \%$ air quality.
- Other projects that cannot be classified into one of the above categories, such as planning and safe routes to school coordination, are included as "other."

Table 28: Share of Project Costs Allocated by Type of Project (as of September 2020)

| Year | Total <br> Programmed <br> Funds* | Amount <br> Allocated to <br> Roadway <br> Solutions | Amount <br> Allocated to <br> Alternative <br> Solutions | Amount <br> Allocated <br> to Air <br> Quality | Amount <br> Allocated <br> to Other | Percent to <br> Roadways <br> Solutions | Percent to <br> Alternative <br> Solutions | Percent <br> to Air <br> Quality |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| to |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Other |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |$|$

*Shown in year of expenditure - inflated.
*Funds shown in \$1,000.
*Required match and local funds included.

## APPENDIX A: LI ST OF PROJ ECTS

Provides a detailed list of projects that are funded with federal or state funds, or considered "regionally significant," no matter the funding source.

All projects contained in the TIP are sorted alphabetically by the project name using a $2 \%$ inflation factor for construction projects (projects are noted if inflation is used).
Regionally Significant: $\square$
Key \# : 20789
Requesting Agency:
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations:
Total Programmed Budget:
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): $\$ 0$
Project Description
Funding Source
10th Avenue Bridge, Caldwell
Regionally Significant: $\square$
Key \# : 13055
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 3,426$
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 10$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): $\$ 3,436$
Project Description
Project Description

Replace bridge on 10th Avenue over Indian Creek in the City of Caldwell. Work includes a bicycle and pedestrian tunnel under the bridge.

| Funding S | rce STBG |  |  | gram Lo | cal Hwy - Br |  |  | ocal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 | 9 | 1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | \$10 | \$9 | \$1 |

## 10th Avenue ITS and Overlay, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13905
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$241
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,280
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,521
Project Description


Overlay a half-mile section of 10th Avenue in downtown Caldwell from the railroad overpass to Interstate 84. Install intelligent transportation system (ITS) components and bring pedestrian facilities to current standards.

| Funding Source STBG-U |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Urban |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 25 | 2 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 160 | 1,093 | 1,253 | 1,161 | 92 |
| Fund Totals: | \$20 | \$7 | \$0 | \$0 | \$160 | \$1,093 | \$1,280 | \$1,186 | \$94 |

## 21st Avenue, Chicago Street to Cleveland Boulevard, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 13052
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$2,708
Total Programmed Budget: (\$17)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,691

## Project Description



Widen 21st Avenue from Chicago Street to Cleveland Boulevard from a two-lane to a five-lane arterial. Work will include sidewalks, traffic signals, and railroad crossings.

| Funding | - STP-U |  |  | ram | al Hwy - Urb |  |  | cal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17 | 0 | -17 | -16 | -1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$17) | \$0 | (\$17) | (\$16) | (\$1) |

## ADA Ramps, Greenleaf

Regionally Significant: $\square$
Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 22963

## Safety

Requesting Agency: City of Greenleaf
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$58
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$58

## Project Description



Construct eight wheelchair accessible sidewalk ramps in the City of Greenleaf. Ramps will conform to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) regulations.

| Funding S | rce State |  | Program State Hwy - System Support |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 58 | 58 | 0 | 58 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$58 | \$58 | \$0 | \$58 |

## Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge over North Channel of Boise River, Eagle

Regionally Significant: $\square$ $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20841
Requesting Agency: City of Eagle
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$659
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,791
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,450

## Project Description



Design and construct a bicycle and pedestrian bridge over the north channel of the Boise River adjacent to the State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) Bridge. The bicycle and pedestrian bridge will provide critical link between the Boise River Greenbelt, planned pathways, and a rapidly growing residential and commercial core area in the City of Eagle.

| Funding S | rce TAP-TI |  |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation Al | atives | Local Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 74 | 6 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 | 238 | 221 | 17 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 36 | 3 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$80 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$277 | \$357 | \$331 | \$26 |
| Funding S | urce Local | articipating | Pro | gram H | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | Local Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 565 | 594 | 1,159 | 0 | 1,159 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$565 | \$594 | \$1,159 | \$0 | \$1,159 |
| Funding S | urce TAP-St |  | Pro | gram Lo | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation Al | tives | Local Match 7 | 34\% |
| Cost <br> Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 548 | 548 | 508 | 40 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$548 | \$548 | \$508 | \$40 |


| Funding S | rce STBG | MA |  | gram | al Hwy - Tra | sportation | ement | cal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,727 | 1,727 | 1,600 | 127 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,727 | \$1,727 | \$1,600 | \$127 |

## Bicycle and Pedestrian, Permanent Automated Counters, Nampa, COMPASS

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23026
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$40
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$40

## Project Description



Purchase up to five permanent automated bicycle and pedestrian counters in the Nampa Urbanized Area. Locations to be recommended by the COMPASS Active Transportation Workgroup.

| Funding | rce STBG- |  |  | ram | al Hwy - U |  |  | cal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 3 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | \$37 | \$3 |

## Bicycle Improvements, Signs and Pavement Markings, Ada County

Regionally Significant:Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22995 Safety
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$203
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$203
Project Description


Create approximately five low-stress bicycle routes by adding wayfinding signs and pavement markings in the Boise Urbanized Area. These routes will maximize safety, provide connectivity, and support the bicycle as a viable transportation option for Ada County residents.

| Funding | ce Non- | icipating | al) | am | - Local Pa | erships |  | cal Match 7.3 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 1 | 46 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 44 | 3 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 156 | 145 | 11 |
| Fund Totals: | \$1 | \$46 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$156 | \$203 | \$188 | \$15 |

## Bicycle Parking, Covered Bicycle Facility, Boise State

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21913
Requesting Agency: Boise State University
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$38
Total Programmed Budget: \$4
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$42
Project Description
Construct one covered bicycle parking facility on the Boise State University campus. The covered parking shelters will provide shelter from the sun, rain, and snow.

| Funding | rce STBG | MA |  | ram | al Hwy - T | portation | ment | ocal Match 7. | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$1 | \$0 | \$3 | \$0 | \$4 | \$4 | \$0 |

## Bicycle Parking, Secure Bicycle Facilities, Boise State

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20095
Requesting Agency: Boise State University
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$115
Total Programmed Budget: \$11
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$126
Project Description


Construct a secured bike parking area for student and public access on the edge of the Boise State University campus. (Photo for illustrative purposes only.)

| STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 11 | 10 | 1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | \$11 | \$10 | \$1 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2019

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13903
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$6,178
Total Programmed Budget: \$12
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,190

## Project Description

Supplement the local maintenance program to complete work such as overlays on arterials and collectors in the Boise Urbanized Area. Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on: Amity Road, Enterprise Street to Production Street; Annett Street, Victory Road to Targee Street; Boise Avenue, Leadville Avenue to Gekeler Lane; Division Avenue; Enterprise Street, Amity Road to Commerce Avenue; Latah Street, Overland Road to Alpine Street; and Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to Cloverdale Road.

| Funding | ce Non-P | cipating (L |  | ram | y - Local Pa | nerships |  | cal Match 7.3 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$12 | \$12 | \$11 | \$1 |


| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | -3 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3 | (\$3) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2020

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18728
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$4,719
Total Programmed Budget: \$115
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,834

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to adjoining sidewalks. Segments include: 13th Street, Alturas Street to Brumback Street; Beacon Light Road, SH-16 to Ballantyne Lane; Cole Road, Lake Hazel Rd to Latigo Drive; Edna Street, Five Mile Road to Patton Avenue; Floating Feather Road, Lanewood Road to Linder Road; Hill Road Parkway, Horseshoe Bend Road to Seamans Gulch Road; Horseshoe Bend Road, State Street to Cemetery Entrance; Locust Grove Road, Ustick Road to McMillan Road; Pine Street, Linder Road to Meridian Road; and Technology Way, Columbia Road to SH-21.

| Funding S | urce STBG- |  |  | gram L | cal Hwy - HI | 2020 |  | cal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6 | \$0 | \$6 | \$6 | \$0 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- | MA |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | emen | cal Match | \% \% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 0 | 109 | 101 | 8 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$1) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$110 | \$0 | \$109 | \$101 | \$8 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2021

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 18701
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$494
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 4,750$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,244

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: Fairview Avenue, Maple Grove Road to Milwaukee Road; Curtis Road, Fairview Avenue to Ustick Road; Surprise Way, Amity Road to State Highway 21; Linden Street, Broadway Avenue to Gekeler Lane; Boise Avenue, Broadway Avenue to Gekeler Lane; and Pleasant Valley Road, Hollilynn Drive to Gowen Road.

| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -13 | -69 | 0 | 0 | 425 | 4,407 | 4,750 | 4,401 | 349 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$13) | (\$69) | \$0 | \$0 | \$425 | \$4,407 | \$4,750 | \$4,401 | \$349 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2019

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20003
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 2,200$
Total Programmed Budget: \$304
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,504

## Project Description



Supplement the local maintenance program for arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on Emerald Street, Cole Road to Orchard Street; Orchard Street, Overland Road to Franklin Road (subject to change). More details can be found in ACHD's Design and Construction Review online: http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/DCR/DCR.pdf.



## Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2020

Regionally Significant: $\square$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19887
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,682
Total Programmed Budget: \$60
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,742

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to adjoining sidewalks. Segments include: Gown Road, Orchard Street to Broadway Avenue.


## Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2021

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Pavement
Safety
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$242
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,427
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,669

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: Warm Springs Avenue, Starcrest Drive to Starview Drive and Glacier Drive to State Highway 21; and Edna Street, Five Mile Road to Patton Avenue.

| Funding S | urce Local P | Pricipating |  | gram | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 321 | 0 | 321 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$321 | \$321 | \$0 | \$321 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- | TMA | Pro | gram Lo | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | gement | ocal Match | 4\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 276 | 1,744 | 2,020 | 1,872 | 148 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 321 | 297 | 24 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$276 | \$2,065 | \$2,341 | \$2,169 | \$172 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- | TMA |  | gram Lo | cal Hwy - HIP | 2021 |  | ocal Match | 4\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 612 | 612 | 567 | 45 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$612 | \$612 | \$567 | \$45 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- | TMA |  | gram Lo | cal Hwy - HIP | 2020 |  | ocal Match 7 | 4\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 153 | 153 | 142 | 11 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$153 | \$153 | \$142 | \$11 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2019

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20091
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$588
Total Programmed Budget: \$23
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$611

## Project Description



Supports the local maintenance program for arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on Cherry Lane, Shoshone Street to Broxon Street; Elder Street, Owyhee Street to Vista Avenue; and Owyhee Street, Elder Street to Cherry Lane (subject to change). More details can be found in ACHD's Design and Construction Review online:
http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/DCR/DCR.pdf.

| Funding | ree STBG- | MA |  | ram L | cal Hwy - Tr | portation M | ment | Local Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 23 | 21 | 2 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$23 | \$0 | \$23 | \$21 | \$2 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2020

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19847
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$620
Total Programmed Budget: \$17
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$637

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to adjoining sidewalks. Segments include: Owyhee Street from Cherry Lane to Overland Road.

| Funding | ce STBG | A |  | ram | al Hwy - T | portation | ement | cal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 17 | 16 | 1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17 | \$0 | \$17 | \$16 | \$1 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2021

Regionally Significant:

Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Pavement
Safety
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$91
Total Programmed Budget: \$666
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$757

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segment: NW 8th Street between Pine Avenue and Cherry Lane in the City of Meridian.


## Centennial Way Roundabout, Caldwell

Regionally Significant:$\checkmark$
Inflated
Key \#: 13484
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$422
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,629
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,051

## Project Description

## TIP Achievement:

Safety

Replace a six-legged intersection at State Highway 19 (Simplot Boulevard) and Interstate 84-B (Centennial Way, Cleveland Boulevard, and Blaine Street) with a roundabout intersection in the City of Caldwell.


## Cherry Lane, 11th Avenue North to Idaho Center Boulevard, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22438
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,371
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,371

## Project Description



Rebuild Cherry Lane between 11th Avenue North and Idaho Center Boulevard in the City of Nampa. Work includes adding a center turn lane, pedestrian and bicycle lanes, and intersection improvements.


## Cherry Lane, Franklin Boulevard to 11th Avenue North, Rebuild, Nampa

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22017
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$200
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,324
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,524

## Project Description



Rebuild Cherry Lane from Franklin Boulevard to 11th Avenue North in the City of Nampa. Work includes adding a center turn lane, pedestrian and bicycle lanes, and intersection improvements.


## Cole Road, McGlochlin Street to Victory Road, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : IN205-97
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$5,227
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,501
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,728

## Project Description



Widen Cole Road from McGlochlin Street to Victory Road in the City of Boise to five lanes with median U-turns. Project also includes intersection improvements at Cole Road and Victory Road to seven lanes in each direction. Work also includes widening a bridge to five lanes and adding an enhanced pedestrian crossing at Cole Road and Diamond Street.


## Colorado and Holly, Signal and Pedestrian Improvements, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
Key \#: 13486
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,507
Total Programmed Budget: \$0
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,507

## Project Description



Install traffic signals and pedestrian-friendly improvements at the intersection of Colorado Avenue and Holly Street in the City of Nampa.


## Commuteride, ACHD

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
Key \# : СРАЗ
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$275
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,375
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,650

## Project Description

Continue and improve rideshare program and marketing. Operate a third-party vanpool program in multi-county area and coordinate vanpools in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas. These projects tie to Idaho Transportation Department key numbers 20729, 22015, 22436, 22386, 22738, and ORN23328.

| Funding S | urce STBG- | MA |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | ement | ocal Match | \% \% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,100 | \$1,100 | \$1,100 | \$0 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- |  | Pro | gram L | cal Hwy - Urb |  |  | ocal Match | \% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 0 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$275 | \$275 | \$275 | \$0 |

## Commuteride, ACHD, Van Replacements, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 20136a
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$290
Total Programmed Budget: \$772
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,062

## Project Description



Replace Commuteride vans in the Nampa Urbanized Area and rural areas using funds for bus and bus facilities.

| Funding | ce FTA 5 | 9 SU |  | ram | nsit Capital |  |  | cal Match | .00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 772 | 772 | 618 | 154 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$772 | \$772 | \$618 | \$154 |

## Cost Increase Set-Aside

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 15001
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2022-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$51
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$51

## Project Description

Set-aside for cost increases. Funds currently unprogrammed.


## Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian

Regionally Significant:Inflated
Key \# : RD207-33TIP Achievement:
Safety
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$328
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,097
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,425

## Project Description



Widen Eagle Road from Amity Road to Victory Road in the City of Meridian to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, and level three bike lanes. Project includes enhanced pedestrian crossing facility at Rome Drive and a roundabout at Eagle Road and Zaldia Drive.


## Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian

Regionally Significant: Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD216-04
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$7,104
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,104

## Project Description



Widen Eagle Road from Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road in the City of Meridian to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, and a level three bike facility. Project includes a roundabout at Taconic Drive.

| Funding | ce Local | gionally | ificant) P | am | - Local P | erships |  | cal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 391 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 395 | 0 | 395 |
| 2022 | 0 | 15 | 1,347 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,362 | 0 | 1,362 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 46 | 5,169 | 5,347 | 0 | 5,347 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$406 | \$1,351 | \$132 | \$46 | \$5,169 | \$7,104 | \$0 | \$7,104 |

## Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian

Regionally Significant:

Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$39
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,013
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,052

## Project Description



Widen Fairview Avenue from Locust Grove Road to State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) to seven lanes in the City of Meridian. Project includes curb, gutter, sidewalk, and buffered bicycle lanes.

| Funding S | rce Local | Regionally Si | ificant) Pro | am | wy - Local Pa | erships |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 158 | 345 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 503 | 0 | 503 |
| 2022 | 0 | 30 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 330 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 0 | 2,180 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$188 | \$645 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,180 | \$3,013 | \$0 | \$3,013 |

## Five Mile Road Overpass and Widening, NEPA, Boise



## Project Description

To begin preliminary design and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental review to replace the Five Mile Road overpass over Interstate 84, widen the bridge from two lanes to four lanes, and widen Five Mile Road from two lanes to five lanes from just north of Overland Road to Franklin Road in the City of Boise. Work includes curb, gutter, sidewalks, and enhanced bike lanes on both sides of the roadway. (Construction is considered "unfunded.")

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Highway - Safety |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 101 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$101 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$101 | \$0 | \$101 |
| Funding Source Local Participating |  |  | Program Hwy-Local Partnerships |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 5 |
| 2022 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 0 | 245 |
| 2023 | 0 | 652 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 652 | 0 | 652 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 565 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 565 | 0 | 565 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 566 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 566 | 0 | 566 |
| Fund Totals: | \$5 | \$897 | \$1,131 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,033 | \$0 | \$2,033 |


| Funding S | rce STBG | MA |  | am | al Hwy - T | portation |  | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 552 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 | 511 | 41 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$552 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$552 | \$511 | \$41 |

## Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22102
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$150
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,555
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,705

## Project Description

Construct a dual-lane, offset roundabout at Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road in the City of Nampa. The project includes right-of-way, curb, gutter, sidewalk, lighting, pedestrian ramps, and lane widening. The design includes a roundabout with one entering lane eastbound and westbound, and two entering lanes northbound and southbound. The offset center of the roundabout is approximately 55 feet west and 10 feet north of the existing intersection. A power pole will be relocated on the northeast corner at project expense (power pole is in a private easement outside of the right-of-way).

| Funding S | urce Freigh |  | Program State Hwy - Freight |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 281 | 260 | 21 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$281 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$281 | \$260 | \$21 |
| Funding Source STBG-U |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | cal Match 7 | 4\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 49 | 100 | 270 | 0 | 560 | 2,295 | 3,274 | 3,034 | 240 |
| Fund Totals: | \$49 | \$100 | \$270 | \$0 | \$560 | \$2,295 | \$3,274 | \$3,034 | \$240 |

## Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Key \# : 22103
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$500
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,688
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,188
Project Description


Install a new signalized intersection approximately $1 / 4$ mile south of the existing Franklin Boulevard and Industrial Road intersection in the City of Nampa. Work will include improvements to existing intersections at Franklin Boulevard and Industrial Road, building a new local street east of Franklin Boulevard with a new structure at the Phyllis Canal crossing, and a relocated at-grade rail crossing. A new local street west of Franklin Boulevard will also be built, requiring a new structure at the Mason Creek crossing, and improvements to 5th Avenue North. Franklin Boulevard and 3rd Avenue North will be closed off with cul-de-sacs. Access improvements along Franklin Boulevard (near Jacksons store) will be addressed to reduce conflict points.

| Funding Source Freight |  |  | Program State Hwy - Freight |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | -281 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -281 | -260 | -21 |
| 2022 | 59 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 959 | 889 | 70 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 810 | 7,200 | 8,010 | 7,422 | 588 |
| Fund Totals: | \$59 | \$619 | \$0 | \$0 | \$810 | \$7,200 | \$8,688 | \$8,050 | \$638 |

## Greenhurst Road, Sunnybrook Drive to Canyon Street, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 21999
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$142
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 1,053$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,195

## Project Description



Provide safety improvements on Greenhurst Road from Sunnybrook Road to Canyon Street in the City of Nampa. The project will install a traffic control signal with pedestrian crossing facilities, a pedestrian-activated flashing beacon crossing light, street lighting, and a raised median to reduce or eliminate fatal and serious injury accidents for roadway users.


## Highway 30, Sand Hollow Road to SH-44, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
IIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19951
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$324
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,858
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,182

## Project Description



Rehabilitate Old Highway 30 from State Highway 44 to Galloway Road, and Goodson Road to south of Sand Hollow Road. Work also includes improvements to the intersections at Farmway Road and Goodson Road.


## Holly Street/Northwest Nazarene University Roadway Reconfiguration, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22132
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$101
Total Programmed Budget: \$360
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$461

## Project Description



Reconfigure the four-lane Holly Street roadway section (Roosevelt Avenue to Colorado Avenue) to a three-lane section and add bicycle lanes to improve vehicle, bicycle, and pedestrian transportation safety issues along the Holly Street corridor adjacent to Northwest Nazarene University (NNU) in the City of Nampa. The project will also extend bicycle lanes from Roosevelt Avenue to Hawaii Avenue to the south, connecting existing bicycle lane facilities to the area.


## Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, Canyon Highway District

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22878
Safety
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$999
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$999
Project Description


Widen the Deer Flat North Canal bridge and shoulders on Homedale Road near the intersection of Malt Road in Canyon County. Project includes installation of white thermoplastic rumble strips and flattening of the curve slopes to provide drivers visual guidance with an alert of lane departure and an improved recovery area for reduction in overturning potential.

l-184, Orchard Street Underpass Repair, Boise
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : ORN23457
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$100
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$100
Project Description
Heavy equipment on a trailer was not properly lowered and hit the girders causing damage that now requires repair.

| Funding Source NHPP |  |  | Program State Hwy - Bridge Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Fund Totals: | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$75 | \$100 | \$0 | \$100 |

I-84 and SH-44 Interchange Replacement, Canyon County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Asset Management
Key \# : 23188
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2028
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$16,225
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$16,225
Project Description


Replace the bridge at Interstate 84 and State Highway 44 (Exit 25 to the City of Middleton) in Canyon County. The current bridge was originally built in 1964 and has reached the end of its design life. The bridge will be replaced at current design standards.

| Funding S | rce NHPP |  |  | ram | ate Hwy - Brid | Restorat |  | ocal Match 7. | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 200 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 208 | 17 |
| 2023 | 200 | 800 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 927 | 73 |
| 2028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 14,000 | 15,000 | 13,899 | 1,101 |
| Fund Totals: | \$400 | \$825 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$14,000 | \$16,225 | \$15,034 | \$1,191 |

## -84, Black's Creek Rest Area Well Replacement, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23106
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 500$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$500


## Project Description

The Blacks Creek East Bound Rest Area is located about 5 miles south of Boise along Interstate 84. An on-site well provides water for irrigation and indoor domestic uses. The Rest Area is regulated as a transient public water system by the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality. During the spring of 2020 the well started pumping fine brown sand which caused problems in the Rest Area plumbing and irrigation system and reportedly caused the well pump to fail. The well is currently not in use, and ITD is using the Blacks Creek West Bound Rest Area well to serve the East Bound Rest Area through a pipeline that crosses Interstate 84. District 3 is concerned about excessive pumping of the West Bound Rest Area well, especially during the irrigation season, and wants to address the sand pumping in the East Bound Rest Area well. If the West Bound Rest Area well were to fail, the entire rest area would be completely without water for sanitation or irrigation. After exploring all well rehabilitation and replacement options, the recommended option is drilling a new well.


## 1-84, Bridge Repairs, District 3 - FY2021

Regionally Significant:$\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Bridge
Key \# : 20251


Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$115
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,137
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,252

## Project Description

Provide bridge deck preservation and preventative maintenance to the bridges, approaches, and guardrails on bridges on Interstate 84 in the City of Nampa at the following locations: Franklin Boulevard Interchange, Phyllis Canal, Union Pacific Railroad, and 11th Avenue.


## -84, Bridge Repairs, District 3 - FY2022

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 20405
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$69
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,704
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,773

## Project Description



Provide bridge repairs at the following locations on Interstate 84: Sand Hollow Road, Galloway Road, Purple Sage Road, US 20/26, Ten Mile Road, Hunter Lateral, Ridenbaugh Canal, Five Mile Creek, Indian Creek, Mayfield Road, Squaw Creek, and Canyon Creek. (Ada County 53\%, Elmore County 16\%, Canyon County 23\%, and Payette County 4\%)


## l-84, Broadway Avenue to Eisenman Road, Seal Coat, Boise

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 20738
Pavement


Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$64
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,712
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,776

## Project Description

Seal coat the pavement surface on Interstate 84 between Broadway Avenue and Eisenman Road in the City of Boise to improve ride quality and extend the life of the pavement.


## -84, Centennial Interchange to Franklin Interchange, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\square \square$ Inflated IIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23437
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$11,100
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$11,100

## Project Description



Environmental study, design, and right-of-way on Interstate 84 between the Centennial Way Interchange and the Franklin Road Interchange in the City of Caldwell. Design includes an additional lane in each director and select auximillary lanes, widening the 10th Avenue Interchange and ramp improvements, and draining improvements. Construction is currently unfunded.

|-84, Cole Road and Overland Road Interchange, Lighting Improvements, Boise
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22746
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$255
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$255

## Project Description



Upgrade the existing lighting infrastructure at the Cole Road \& Overland Road Interchange (Interstate 84, Exit 50B) in the City of Boise.


1-84, East Boise Port of Entry Ramps, Ada County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22237
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$60
Total Programmed Budget: \$486
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$546

## Project Description



Restore the pavement surface at the East Boise Port of Entry (weigh station) ramps to extend the lifespan of the pavement. The project is located on the Interstate 84 on and off ramps near milepost 66.

| Funding | rce State |  |  | ram | te Hwy - Pa | nent Prese |  | Local Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 386 | 486 | 0 | 486 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100 | \$386 | \$486 | \$0 | \$486 |

## 1-84, Eisenman Interchange to Mt. Home Interchange, Ada and Elmore

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20203
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,832
Total Programmed Budget: \$191
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,023

## Project Description



Seal coat the pavement surface on Interstate 84 between the Eisenman Interchange (milepost 60) in Ada County to the Mountain Home Interchange (milepost 90) in Elmore County to improve ride quality and extend the life of the pavement. (55\% Ada County and 45\% Elmore County)

| Funding Source HB132 and HB312 |  |  | Program State Hwy - Pavement Preservation |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 191 | 0 | 191 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$191 | \$191 | \$0 | \$191 |

## 1-84, Franklin Boulevard to Northside Boulevard, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20798
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$76,913
Total Programmed Budget: $(\$ 2,385)$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$74,528

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen Interstate 84 from the Franklin Boulevard Interchange to the Northside Boulevard Interchange in the City of Nampa. The project includes widening the existing four-lane section (two lanes in each direction) to an eight-lane section (3 lanes in each direction plus auxiliary lanes between the Franklin Boulevard and Northside Boulevard Interchanges). This project includes replacement of the Mason Creek Culvert under Interstate 84, railroad bridges, and full reconstruction of the Northside Boulevard Interchange, as a Single Point Urban Interchange (SPUI). Design for this project is shown under Key Number 20315.


## -84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Canyon County

Regionally Significant:$\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22196
Freight Movement
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$10,200
Total Programmed Budget: \$672
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$10,872

## Project Description



Design work on Interstate 84 from the Franklin Interchange in the City of Caldwell to the Karcher Interchange in the City of Nampa in Canyon County. Construction was split into KN 23079, 23080, and 23081.

l-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Temporary Widening, Canyon
Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23079
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$11,824
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$11,824

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen eastbound and westbound shoulders on Interstate 84 from the Franklin Road Interchange to the Karcher Road Interchange to provide sufficient pavement sections to temporarily shift traffic during the Interstate 84 reconstruction projects between the City of Caldwell and the City of Nampa in Canyon County. Design was programmed under Key Number 22196.

| Funding S | rce State |  |  | gram H | wy GARVEE - | Future Author |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 7,324 | 8,824 | 0 | 8,824 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,500 | \$7,324 | \$8,824 | \$0 | \$8,824 |
| Funding S | urce TECM |  |  | gram St | ate Hwy - Stra | egic Initiative |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 2,900 | 3,000 | 0 | 3,000 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$100 | \$2,900 | \$3,000 | \$0 | \$3,000 |

## 1-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Eastbound, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23081
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$46,519
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$46,519

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen the eastbound lanes of Interstate 84 from the Franklin Interchange to the Karcher/Midland Road Interchange between the City of Caldwell and the City of Nampa in Canyon County. The project includes widening the existing four-lane section (two-lanes each direction) to a six-lane section (three-lanes each direction). This project includes replacement of the Notus Canal bridge structure just west of Ustick Road. Design was programmed under Key Number 22196.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program Hwy GARVEE - 2017 Legislative Authoriz |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4,896 | 39,833 | 44,729 | 0 | 44,729 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4,896 | \$39,833 | \$44,729 | \$0 | \$44,729 |
| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 236 | 1,084 | 1,320 | 0 | 1,320 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 470 | 470 | 0 | 470 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$236 | \$1,554 | \$1,790 | \$0 | \$1,790 |

## 1-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Westbound, Nampa

Regionally Significant:


Key \#: 23080

Pavement
Safety
NHS-LOTTR
Freight Movement

Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$76,801
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$76,801

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen the westbound lanes on Interstate 84 from the Franklin Road Interchange to Karcher/Franklin Road Interchange between the City of Caldwell and the City of Nampa in Canyon County. The project includes widening the existing four-lane section (twolanes each direction) to a six-lane section (three-lanes each direction). This project includes replacement of the Notus Canal bridge structure just west of Linden Road Overpass, as well as the Linden Road overpass. Design was programmed under Key Number 22196.

| Funding | rce State |  |  | ram | y GARVEE - | ture Autho | n | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,297 | 70,504 | 76,801 | 0 | 76,801 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,297 | \$70,504 | \$76,801 | \$0 | \$76,801 |

l-84, Galloway Road Underpass Repair, Caldwell
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23270
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$500

## Project Description



The underpass was struck by a truck carrying equipment above the restricted bridge height creating weight limits on the bridge until repaired.


## |-84, Garrity Interchange to Ten Mile Interchange, Canyon and Ada Counties

Regionally Significant:Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20212
Pavement
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$90
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 4,559$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,649
Project Description


Resurface the pavement on Interstate 84 between the Garrity Interchange in the City of Nampa and the Ten Mile Interchange in the City of Meridian. This is a routine procedure to maintain the existing pavement.


## l-84, Karcher Interchange to Franklin Boulevard Corridor, Nampa (Design)

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20315
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$15,481
Total Programmed Budget: $(\$ 1,972)$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$13,509

## Project Description



Expand Interstate 84 to three lanes in each direction between Karcher Interchange (Midland Boulevard) and Franklin Boulevard in the City of Nampa. Construction projects will be split out once the phasing is determined.

| Funding | ce Rest | on |  | am | Hwy | ic Initiativ |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 2 | -484 | -185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -667 | 0 | -667 |
| Fund Totals: | \$2 | (\$484) | (\$185) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$667) | \$0 | (\$667) |


| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -97 | 0 | -29 | -31 | 0 | 0 | -157 | 0 | -157 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$97) | \$0 | (\$29) | (\$31) | \$0 | \$0 | (\$157) | \$0 | (\$157) |


| Funding Source INFRA |  |  | Program Hwy-Misc. Federal |  |  |  |  | Local Match 60.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -112 | -768 | -321 | 53 | 0 | 0 | -1,148 | -459 | -689 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$112) | (\$768) | (\$321) | \$53 | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,148)$ | (\$459) | (\$689) |

## -84, Karcher Overpass, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ $\square$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20797
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$5,034
Total Programmed Budget: (\$398)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,636

## Project Description



Demolish and reconstruct the Karcher Road Overpass at I-84 in the City of Nampa. The existing five-span bridge will be replaced with a twospan bridge to allow widening of I-84. The new bridge will have two travel lanes with a shoulder on the southeast side and a bike lane and sidewalk on the northwest side. The project includes minor roadway reconstruction for the approaches on each side of the bridge. Design for this project is shown under Key Number 20315.

| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -56 | -103 | -159 | 0 | -159 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$56) | (\$103) | (\$159) | \$0 | (\$159) |
| Funding Source INFRA |  |  | Program Hwy-Misc. Federal |  |  |  | Local Match 60.00\% |  |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -84 | -155 | -239 | -96 | -143 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$84) | (\$155) | (\$239) | (\$96) | (\$143) |

## I-84, Karcher Road Interchange in the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell

Regionally Significant:


Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20351
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$3,970
Total Programmed Budget: \$9
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,979

## Project Description



Environmental study, design, and right-of-way on Interstate 84 between the Karcher Road Interchange from Karcher Road in the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell. Actual termini and improvements will be determined through the environmental process. Construction projects will be split out once the phasing is determined.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 11 |
| 2022 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$9 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$9 | \$0 | \$9 |

## 1-84, Karcher Road Interchange to Northside Boulevard, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20799
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$29,192
Total Programmed Budget: (\$642)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$28,550

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen- I8 from Northside Boulevard Interchange to the Karcher Road Interchange (Midland Boulevard) in the City of Nampa. The project includes widening the existing four-lane section (two lanes each direction) to an eight-lane section (three lanes each direction plus auxiliary lanes between Northside Boulevard and the Karcher Road Interchanges). Design for this project is shown under Key Number 20315.


## -84, Karcher Road Interchange, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23336
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 4,350$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,350

## Project Description



Preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition to widen the Karcher Interchange on Interstate 84 in the City of Nampa. Work includes adding a free running right turn lane on the westbound to southbound off-ramp and continue the additional lane across the Interstate 84 and Union Pacific Railroad/Indian Creek structures The third westbound lane on State Highway 55 to be terminated prior to Middleton Road. Construction is currently unfunded.


## -84, Middleton Road and Ustick Road Overpasses, Canyon County (Design)

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22154
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2020 ITD
Total Previous Allocations: \$5,804
Total Programmed Budget: $(\$ 1,322)$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,482


## Project Description

Rebuild Middleton Road and Ustick Road overpasses at Interstate 84 in Canyon County. This project is part of the GARVEE project to expand Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell (Key Number 20351). Construction is now shown under key numbers 22618 and 22619.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 274 | 0 | 274 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$274 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$274 | \$0 | \$274 |


| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | -348 | 34 | 0 | 0 | -313 | 0 | -313 |
| Fund | \$1 | \$0 | (\$348) | \$34 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$313) | \$0 | (\$313) |


| Funding S | urce INFRA |  |  | ram | wy - Misc. Fed | ral |  | ocal Match 6 | .00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -28 | -61 | -872 | -48 | 0 | 0 | -1,009 | -404 | -605 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$28) | (\$61) | (\$872) | (\$48) | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,009)$ | (\$404) | (\$605) |


| Funding | ce Strate | Initiatives | Program State Hwy - Mobility |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -40 | -1 | -233 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -274 | 0 | -274 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$40) | (\$1) | (\$233) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$274) | \$0 | (\$274) |

1-84, Middleton Road Overpass, Canyon County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
Key \# : 22618
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$7,747
Total Programmed Budget: (\$814)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,933

## Project Description

Rebuild Middleton Road overpass at Interstate 84 in Canyon County. This project is part of the GARVEE project to expand Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell (Key Number 20351). Design for this project is shown under Key Number 22154.

| Funding S | urce TECM |  |  | gram S | te Hwy - Sa | ty \& Capacity | acity) | Local Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -87 | -483 | -570 | 0 | -570 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$87) | (\$483) | (\$570) | \$0 | (\$570) |
| Funding S | urce INFRA |  | Pro | gram H | wy - Misc. Fed | eral |  | Local Match | .00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -130 | -114 | -244 | -98 | -146 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$130) | (\$114) | (\$244) | (\$98) | (\$146) |

## 1-84, Robinson Road Underpass Repair, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : ORN23455
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$200
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$200

## Project Description

An excavator being towed on I 84 struck the Bridge on Oct 5, 2021. The accident damaged two girders. Shoulder restrictions will be implemented on Robinson Road and repairs will require a closure of the interstate.

| Funding S | rce NHPP |  |  | gram | ate Hwy - Bri | e Restoratio |  | cal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 150 | 200 | 0 | 200 |
| Fund Totals: | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$150 | \$200 | \$0 | \$200 |

l-84, Sand Hollow Interchange to Farmers Sebree Canal, Seal Coat, Canyon
Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20060
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$26
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,821
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,847

## Project Description



Seal coat the pavement surface on Interstate 84 from the Sand Hollow Interchange at the Canyon County border to Farmers Sebree Canal (near the Parma exit). The project will improve ride quality and extend the life of the pavement.

| Funding S | rce IM |  |  | ram S | ate Hwy - Pav | ment Preserv |  | Local Match 7 | 73\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 156 | 1,665 | 1,821 | 1,680 | 141 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$156 | \$1,665 | \$1,821 | \$1,680 | \$141 |

## 1-84, SH-44, Westbound Ramp Improvements, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23099
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,300
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,300

## Project Description



Widen the westbound Interstate 84 off-ramp at State Highway 44 (Exit 25), just west of the City of Caldwell, also known as the Middleton Exit, to reestablish uniform shoulder width, install a traffic signal and queue detection on the westbound off-ramp, and excavate the slope to improve sight distance.

| Funding | rce State |  |  | ram | te Hwy - Sa | \& Capacity | ) | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 0 | 1,300 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,300 | \$1,300 | \$0 | \$1,300 |

## l-84, Temporary Paving Shoulder Widening, Karcher to Franklin, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20796
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$5,843
Total Programmed Budget: (\$157)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,686

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen the eastbound and westbound shoulders o Interstate 84 from the Karcher Road Interchange (Midland Boulevard) to the Franklin Boulevard Interchange in the City of Nampa to provide sufficient pavement to temporarily shift traffic during the Interstate 84 reconstruction projects. Design for this project is shown under Key Number 20315.

| Funding S | urce TECM |  |  | gram | te Hwy - Sa | ty \& Capacity | pacity) | Local Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -42 | -21 | -63 | 0 | -63 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$42) | (\$21) | (\$63) | \$0 | (\$63) |
| Funding S | urce INFRA |  |  | gram H | wy - Misc. Fed |  |  | Local Match | .00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -63 | -31 | -94 | -38 | -56 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$63) | (\$31) | (\$94) | (\$38) | (\$56) |

## -84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon County

Regionally Significant:

```
Inflated
```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22619
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Freight Movement
NHS-LOTTR
Project Year: 2020-2022
Bridge


Total Previous Allocations: \$2,702
Total Programmed Budget: \$12,882
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$15,584
Project Description
Rebuild Ustick Road overpass at Interstate 84 in Canyon County. The overpass will be widened to five lanes and include pedestrian facilities.
This project is part of the GARVEE project to expand Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell. (Key Number 20351).
Design of this project is included in Key Number 22154.

| Funding | rce State |  |  | ram S | ate Hwy - Res | ration |  | ocal Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 59 | 59 | 0 | 59 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$61 | \$61 | \$0 | \$61 |


| Funding | ce Loca | rticipating | Program Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,507 | 3,507 | 0 | 3,507 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,507 | \$3,507 | \$0 | \$3,507 |


| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 320 | 1,067 | 1,387 | 0 | 1,387 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$320 | \$1,067 | \$1,387 | \$0 | \$1,387 |


| Funding Source INFRA |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 0.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 6,416 | 7,209 | 7,209 | 0 |
| Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$793 | \$6,416 | \$7,209 | \$7,209 | \$0 |


| Fundin | e | Initiatives |  | ram | e Hwy - Mo | ity |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 718 | 718 | 0 | 718 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$718 | \$718 | \$0 | \$718 |

l-84B (Garrity Boulevard) and Stamm Lane Intersection Improvements, Nampa
Regionally Significant:

```
Inflated
```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22712
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,011
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,011

## Project Description



Widen Interstate 84B (Garrity Boulevard) at the Stamm Lane intersection in the City of Nampa to improve safety and mobility.


## Indiana and Orchard Shared Roadway, Canyon County

Regionally Significant:Inflated

Key \# : 22602
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,303
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,303

## Project Description

TIP Achievement:
Safety


Add four-foot paved shoulders on Indiana Avenue from Roosevelt Avenue to Orchard Avenue and on Orchard Avenue from Indiana Avenue to Riverside Road in Canyon County (approximately 1.5 miles) using Federal Lands Access Program funds. Project managed by Western Federal Lands.


Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD207-29
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,666
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,666
Project Description


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road in Ada County to five lanes with curb, gutter, and multi-use pathways.

| Funding | ce Local | gionally | ficant) | am | y - Local Pa | rships |  | cal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 444 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 450 | 0 | 450 |
| 2022 | 0 | 22 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 422 | 0 | 422 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 801 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 801 | 0 | 801 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 299 | 4,500 | 4,993 | 0 | 4,993 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$466 | \$1,207 | \$194 | \$299 | \$4,500 | \$6,666 | \$0 | \$6,666 |

## Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County

Regionally Significant:
$\checkmark$
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Key \# : RD209-18

Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,186
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,186
Project Description


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road in Ada County to five lanes with curb, gutter, and multi-use pathways.

| Funding | Local | gionally S | ificant) | ram | y - Local P | erships |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 360 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 364 | 0 | 364 |
| 2023 | 0 | 15 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 216 | 0 | 216 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 309 | 7,287 | 7,606 | 0 | 7,606 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$375 | \$205 | \$10 | \$309 | \$7,287 | \$8,186 | \$0 | \$8,186 |

Lake Hazel Road, Five Mile Road to Maple Grove Road, Ada County
Regionally Significant:
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD207-30
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 4,889$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,889
Project Description


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Five Mile Road to Maple Grove Road in Ada County to five lanes with curb, gutter, and multi-use pathways.

| Funding S | ce Local | gionally | ficant) | am | - Local Pa | rships |  | cal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 416 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 421 | 0 | 421 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 0 | 246 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 62 | 4,100 | 4,222 | 0 | 4,222 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$416 | \$251 | \$60 | \$62 | \$4,100 | \$4,889 | \$0 | \$4,889 |

Lake Hazel Road, Maple Grove Road to Cole Road, Ada County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD216-05
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$4,061
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,061
Project Description


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Maple Grove Road to Cole Road in Ada County to five lanes with curb, gutter, and ten-foot multi-use pathway facility.

| Funding S | rce Loca | egionally | ificant) Prog | am | wy - Local Pa | erships |  | cal Match 10 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 332 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 336 | 0 | 336 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 60 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,665 | 3,665 | 0 | 3,665 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$332 | \$64 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,665 | \$4,061 | \$0 | \$4,061 |

## Linder Road and Deer Flat Road Intersection, Kuna

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13492
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 4,859$
Total Programmed Budget: \$53
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,912
Project Description


Improve the intersection at Linder Road and Deer Flat Road in the City of Kuna, including the addition of curb, gutter, sidewalk, and bike lanes.

| Funding | rce STBG |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - U |  |  | cal Match 7.3 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | -16 | 0 | 0 | 69 | 0 | 53 | 49 | 4 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | (\$16) | \$0 | \$0 | \$69 | \$0 | \$53 | \$49 | \$4 |

Linder Road, Overland Road to Franklin Road, Widen and Add Overpass, Meridi
Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : NEW10
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 0 City of Meridian
ITD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,010


Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,010

## Project Description

Design work to widen Linder Road, from Overland Road to Franklin Road in the City of Meridian. The project includes adding an overpass over Interstate 84. The project is a partnership between the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and City of Meridian. Right-of-way acquisition and construction, estimated at $\$ 20.3$ million, are currently unfunded. (Federal = \$0).

| Funding | ce Non- | icipating | cal) Progr | ram | y - Local P | erships |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 10 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 310 | 0 | 310 |
| 2023 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 |
| Fund Totals: | \$10 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,010 | \$0 | \$1,010 |

## Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD209-28
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$6
Total Programmed Budget: \$7,699
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,705

## Project Description



Widen Linder Road from State Highway 44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road in the City of Eagle to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, and multi-use pathways. Project includes improvements on two bridges.

| Funding | rce Local | egionally | nificant) Progr | am | - Non-Par | ipating |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 392 | 239 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 631 | 0 | 631 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 700 |
| 2023 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 16 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 72 | 6,280 | 6,352 | 0 | 6,352 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$408 | \$939 | \$0 | \$72 | \$6,280 | \$7,699 | \$0 | \$7,699 |

## Linder Road, US 20/26 (Chinden) to SH-44 (State), Ada County

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : RD207-19
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$141
Total Programmed Budget: \$20,766
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$20,907

## Project Description



Widen Linder Road from US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) to State Highway 44 (State Street) in Ada County to five lanes with detached multiuse pathways on Linder Road from Chinden Boulevard to 1,000 feet north of Artesian Road. Right-of-way will be acquired for an ultimate seven-lane buildout. Project includes widening three bridges.

| Funding | ce Loca | gionally | nificant) P | ram | - Local P | erships |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2024 | 0 | 824 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 834 | 0 | 834 |
| 2025 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 431 | 0 | 431 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 1,651 | 0 | 0 | 17,850 | 19,501 | 0 | 19,501 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$1,255 | \$1,656 | \$5 | \$0 | \$17,850 | \$20,766 | \$0 | \$20,766 |

Lone Star Road and Middleton Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20613
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$2,656
Total Programmed Budget: \$3
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,659
Project Description


Install a traffic signal and sidewalk at the intersection of Lone Star Road and Middleton Road in the City of Nampa.


Microseals, Front/Myrtle/Broadway, Boise
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22677
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,589
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,589

## Project Description



Microseal approximately 25 lane-miles of US-20/26 (Front Street, Myrtle Street, and Broadway Avenue) in the City of Boise to improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan.


Microseals, State/Glenwood/Chinden, Ada County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Pavement

Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$75
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 2,455$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,530

## Project Description



Microseal approximately 35 miles of arterial roadways in Ada County to seal asphalt and preserve the road surface. Roadway segments include State Highway 44 (State Street) from State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) to Glenwood Street; State Street, north of Glenwood Street, to US Highway 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard); Chinden Boulevard from Eagle Road to the Interstate 84B connector ramps; Myrtle Street, Front Street; US Highway 20/26, Broadway Avenue, between Myrtle Street and Front Street; and US Highway 20/26, Broadway Avenue, from Myrtle Street to the New York Canal, excluding the Boise River Bridge.

| Funding S | urce State | Program State Hwy - Pavement Preservation |  |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 2,257 | 2,455 | 0 | 2,455 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$198 | \$2,257 | \$2,455 | \$0 | \$2,455 |

## Middleton Road and Cornell Street, Intersection Improvements, Middleton

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$

## Inflated

Key \# : 20430
Requesting Agency: City of Middleton
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$62
Total Programmed Budget: \$491
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$553

## Project Description

## TIP Achievement:

NHS-LOTTR
Safety


Convert the intersection of Middleton Road and Cornell Street in the City of Middleton to a "mini-roundabout" to improve safety.

| Funding Source HSIP (Local) |  |  | Program Hwy Safety - Local |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 316 | 491 | 455 | 36 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$175 | \$316 | \$491 | \$455 | \$36 |

## Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
NHS-LOTTR
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$317
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,706
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,023
Project Description


Construct a roundabout to help traffic flow and congestion at the Middleton Road and Ustick Road intersection in the City of Caldwell.


## Midway Road, SH-55 (Marcher Road) to l-84B, Rehabilitation, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22016
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: PD City of Caldwell
Total Previous Allocations: \$266
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,362
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,628


Project Description
Rehabilitate pavement and construct shared-use shoulders on Midway Road between State Highway 55 (Marcher Road) and Interstate 84B (Caldwell Boulevard) in Canyon County.


## Old Highway 30, Plymouth Street Bridge, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13494
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: 2023 City of Caldwell
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 2,321$
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,605
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$11,926


Project Description
Replace the Plymouth Street Bridge in the City of Caldwell with a new two-lane structure, leaving the existing bridge in place for use as a bicycle and pedestrian bridge.

| Funding S | rce Bridge | Local) |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Bri |  |  | ocal Match 7.3 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 46 | 4 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 152 | 141 | 11 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 863 | 5,763 | 6,626 | 6,140 | 486 |
| Fund Totals: | \$50 | \$0 | \$152 | \$0 | \$863 | \$5,763 | \$6,828 | \$6,327 | \$501 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- |  |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Urb |  |  | ocal Match 7.3 |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 50 | 640 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 690 | 639 | 51 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 174 | 161 | 13 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 313 | 1,600 | 1,913 | 1,773 | 140 |
| Fund Totals: | \$50 | \$640 | \$174 | \$0 | \$313 | \$1,600 | \$2,777 | \$2,573 | \$204 |

## Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise

Regionally Significant:$\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Key \# : RD207-01
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024-2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$177
Total Programmed Budget: \$19,587
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$19,764

## Project Description



Realign and widen Orchard Street from Gowen Road to Victory Road in the City of Boise to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, and a level three bicycle facility.

| Funding S | rce Local | gionally S | ificant) Pro | ram | y - Local Pa | erships |  | cal Match | 00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 557 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 557 | 0 | 557 |
| 2022 | 0 | 35 | 676 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 711 | 0 | 711 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 742 | 0 | 0 | 11,003 | 11,745 | 0 | 11,745 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,574 | 6,574 | 0 | 6,574 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$592 | \$1,418 | \$0 | \$0 | \$17,577 | \$19,587 | \$0 | \$19,587 |

## Pathway, Federal Way and Broadway Avenue Multi-Use Pathway, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23307
Requesting Agency: City of Boise

Health
Active Transportation
Safety


Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,428
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,428

## Project Description

Construct a 10 -foot separated, concrete, low-stress multi-use pathway at the interchange of Broadway Avenue (US 20/26) and Federal Way in the City of Boise. Project will provide bicycle and pedestrian connectivity and make the area compliant with Americans with Disabilities Act requirements.

| Funding S | ce TAP-TI |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | sportation A | tives | ocal Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 42 | 137 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 | 166 | 13 |
| Fund Totals: | \$42 | \$137 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$179 | \$166 | \$13 |


| Funding | ce STBG | A |  | am | al Hwy - T | , | nt | ocal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 107 | 49 | 179 | 914 | 1,249 | 1,157 | 92 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$107 | \$49 | \$179 | \$914 | \$1,249 | \$1,157 | \$92 |

## Pathway, Greenbelt Completion, Boise State

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22385
Requesting Agency: Boise State University
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$439
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$439

## Project Description



Design and construct improvements to the Boise River Greenbelt along Cesar Chavez Road (south side of Boise River) between Theatre Lane and Broadway Avenue on the Boise State University campus. Improvements include widening the pathway from 8 feet to 12 feet and adding a well-defined landscaped buffer between the pathway and the street.

| Funding Source TAP-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2024 | 11 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 47 | 4 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 348 | 388 | 360 | 28 |
| Fund Totals: | \$11 | \$40 | \$0 | \$0 | \$40 | \$348 | \$439 | \$407 | \$32 |

## Pathway, Grimes City Pathway Extension, Nampa

Regionally Significant:

```
Inflated
```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23025
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$470
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$470

## Project Description



Extend Grimes City Pathway from McDonagh Park to Birch Elementary in the City of Nampa. Project includes $1 / 2$ mile of 12 -foot asphalt pathway, lighting, and crosswalk improvements.


## Pathway, Grimes Pathway, Nampa

Regionally Significant:Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 22076
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$264
Total Programmed Budget: \$33
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$297

## Project Description



Add two sections to the Grimes City Pathway in the City of Nampa. A new southern section extending northeast from Karcher Road to between McDonagh Park and the railroad tracks. A new northern section connecting to an existing pathway in Sunset Oaks Park, then extend north to Birch Lane.


## Pathway, Indian Creek, Taffy Drive to Peppermint Drive, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20141
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$598
Total Programmed Budget: (\$32)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$566

## Project Description



Construct approximately 633 feet of 8 -foot-wide, multi-use, asphalt trail for the Indian Creek Pathway from Taffy Drive to Peppermint Drive in the City of Nampa.


## Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Meridian
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 75$
Total Programmed Budget: \$662
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$737

## Project Description



Construct a multi-use pathway in the City of Meridian parallel to the railroad tracks for approximately $1 / 2$ mile west towards Linger Road from Meridian Road.


## Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2022

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Pavement
Safety

Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$543
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,225
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,768

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: 9th Street, Idaho Street to Bannock Street; 20th Street, Lemp Street to Irene Street; 26th Street, State Street to Heron Street; Bluegrass Way, Ellens Ferry Drive to Drawbridge Drive; Drawbridge Drive, Crimson to Castle Hills Way; Turret Way, Drawbridge Drive to Castle Drive; Morris Hill Road, Liberty Street to Hartman Road; Spaulding Street, Phillippi Street to Orchard Street; Roosevelt Street, Emerald Street to Irving Street; Maple Grove Road, Interstate 84 bridge deck to Franklin Road; Amity Road, Enterprise Street to Production Street; and Enterprise Street, Amity Road to Commerce Avenue.

| Funding Source TAP-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 35 | 3 |
| Fund | \$0 | \$38 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$38 | \$35 | \$3 |


| Funding Sour | rce Loca | rticipating | Program Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 142 | 0 | 142 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 186 | 252 | 0 | 252 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$142 | \$0 | \$0 | \$66 | \$186 | \$394 | \$0 | \$394 |


| Funding | rce STBG | MA |  | ram | al Hwy - T | portation | ment | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 722 | 5,071 | 5,793 | 5,368 | 425 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$722 | \$5,071 | \$5,793 | \$5,368 | \$425 |

## Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2022

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20122
Pavement
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$233
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,382
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,615

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on a federal-aid roadway in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segment: Collister Drive, Quail Ridge Drive to North Deadens.



## Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2022

Regionally Significant: Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20006
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$80
Total Programmed Budget: \$306
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$386

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. This project could convert to federal-aid if funds become available. Segments include: Resseguie Street, 16th Street to 13th Street and 13th Street to 8th Street; and Fort Street, 16th Street to 15th Street.


## Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22101
Requesting Agency: Golden Gate HD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$63
Total Programmed Budget: \$494
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$557

## Project Description



Reconstruct three intersections on Peckham Road at Travis Road, Allendale Road, and Van Slyke Road, between the Cities of Wilder and Greenleaf in Canyon County. Each intersection is substandard for truck turning movements. The reconstruction will provide larger turning radii to eliminate truck off-tracking and reduce edge breakup. The intersection improvements will also allow trucks to maintain their own travel lane while making turns. All construction will adhere to current Association of Canyon County Highway District (ACCHD) standards.

| Funding S | ree STBG- |  |  | ram | te Hwy - S | \& Capacity | ) | Local Match 7 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 379 | 494 | 458 | 36 |
| Fund Totals: | \$35 | \$0 | \$0 | \$80 | \$0 | \$379 | \$494 | \$458 | \$36 |

## Peckham Road, US-95 to Notus Road, Canyon County

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13964
Safety
Requesting Agency: Golden Gate HD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$706
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,682
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,388
Project Description


Provide improvements on Peckham Road from US-95 in the City of Wilder to Notus Road in the City of Greenleaf in Canyon County, including: roadway rehabilitation, as well as curb, gutter, and sidewalk improvements within the city limits.

| Funding S | rce STBG- |  |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Ru |  |  | ocal Match 7 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 1 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 793 | 2,874 | 3,667 | 3,398 | 269 |
| Fund Totals: | \$15 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$793 | \$2,874 | \$3,682 | \$3,412 | \$270 |

## Pedestrian Improvements and Widening, Montana Avenue, Caldwell

Regionally Significant:
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22018
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 89$
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 578$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): $\$ 667$
Project Description


Construct sidewalk from Syringa Middle School to Spruce Street on the west side of Montana Avenue in the City of Caldwell. Project includes pedestrian crossings and rectangular rapid flashing beacons at the Alder Street and the Spruce Street intersections across Montana Avenue.

| Funding | rce STBG- |  |  | ram | al Hwy - U |  |  | cal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 487 | 578 | 536 | 42 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$91 | \$487 | \$578 | \$536 | \$42 |

## Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20542
Requesting Agency: City of Meridian
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$633
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$633

## Project Description



Construct a lighted ten-foot-wide concrete multi-use pathway along the east side of State Highway 55 (Eagle Road), from Franklin Road to Pine Avenue in the City of Meridian. Reconstruct the existing sidewalk adjacent to the Shell gas station to the ten-foot width. The project will include an eight-foot separation between the roadway and pathway where possible.

| Funding S | rce TAP-T |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tra | portation | tives | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 11 | 80 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 91 | 84 | 7 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 98 | 91 | 7 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 393 | 426 | 395 | 31 |
| Fund Totals: | \$11 | \$80 | \$98 | \$0 | \$33 | \$393 | \$615 | \$570 | \$45 |


| Funding | ce Loc | rticipating |  | ram H | wy - Local Par | erships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 4 |
| Fund Totals: | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4 | \$14 | \$0 | \$14 |


| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$4 | \$4 | \$4 | \$0 |

## Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55, Bristol Heights to US 20/26, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
Key \# : 22931
Requesting Agency: City of Boise
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$345
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$345
Project Description


Design and construct a ten-foot shared pedestrian and bicycle pathway on the west side of State Highway 55 (Eagle Road), from Bristol Heights to US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) in the City of Boise.

| Funding Sour | urce Local | Participating |  | gram | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | ocal Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 26 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$26 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$26 | \$0 | \$26 |
| Funding Sour | urce TAP-S |  |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation A | tives | ocal Match | 34\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 11 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 32 | 2 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 247 | 285 | 264 | 21 |
| Fund Totals: | \$11 | \$23 | \$0 | \$0 | \$38 | \$247 | \$319 | \$296 | \$23 |

## Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key\# : 22944
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$492
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$492

## Project Description



Extend the Stoddard Pathway from Sherman Avenue to 2nd Street South in the City of Nampa.

| Funding Source TAP-State |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 20 | 58 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 72 | 6 |
| 2022 | 12 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 358 | 0 | 414 | 384 | 30 |
| Fund Totals: | \$32 | \$102 | \$0 | \$0 | \$358 | \$0 | \$492 | \$456 | \$36 |

## Pedestrian Improvements, US 20/26 (Chinden) at 43rd Street, Garden City

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20549
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$223
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$223
Project Description


Install a pedestrian hybrid beacon-controlled crossing on US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) at 43rd Street in the City of Garden City.

| Funding Source TAP-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 8 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 56 | 52 | 4 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 131 | 167 | 155 | 12 |
| Fund Totals: | \$8 | \$48 | \$0 | \$0 | \$36 | \$131 | \$223 | \$207 | \$16 |

## Planning and Mobility Implementation, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant:

## $\square$

 InflatedTIP Achievement:
Key \#: 18854
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$7,311
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,311
Project Description
valleyregionaltransit

Provide program support administration, short-range transit planning, and the implementation of mobility management programs for Valley Regional Transit in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details.

| Funding Sour | rce FTA 53 | 07 LU |  | gram T | ansit Capital |  |  | cal Match 20 | 00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,144 | 1,144 | 915 | 229 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,173 | 1,173 | 938 | 235 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,203 | 1,203 | 962 | 241 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,233 | 1,233 | 986 | 247 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,263 | 1,263 | 1,010 | 253 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,295 | 1,295 | 1,036 | 259 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,311 | \$7,311 | \$5,849 | \$1,462 |

## Planning and Mobility Implementation, Nampa Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18842
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,661
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,661

## Project Description

Provide program support administration, short-range transit planning, and the implementation of a mobility management program in the Nampa Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details.

| Funding Source FTA 5307 SU |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  |  | Local Match 80.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,043 | 1,043 | 209 | 834 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,069 | 1,069 | 214 | 855 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,095 | 1,095 | 219 | 876 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,123 | 1,123 | 225 | 898 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,151 | 1,151 | 230 | 921 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,180 | 1,180 | 236 | 944 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,661 | \$6,661 | \$1,332 | \$5,329 |

## Planning, Communities in Motion Update, COMPASS

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20271
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2023-2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$636
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$636
Project Description


Update the regional long-range transportation plan. Includes: Treasure Valley Transportation Operations, Management, and ITS Plan Update; public involvement; graphics and editing; and printing.

| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 28 | 2 |
| 2024 | 0 | 253 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 253 | 234 | 19 |
| 2025 | 0 | 78 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 78 | 72 | 6 |
| PD | 0 | 275 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 275 | 255 | 20 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$636 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$636 | \$589 | \$47 |

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : CPA1
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$331
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,655
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,986

## Project Description

Assist COMPASS in meeting federal transportation planning responsibilities. These projects tie to Idaho Transportation Department key numbers 19920, 20560, 21889, 22387, 22800, and ORN23327.

| Funding S | rce STBG- | MA |  | ram | ocal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | ement | ocal Match 7.3 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 215 | 17 |
| 2023 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 215 | 17 |
| 2024 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 215 | 17 |
| 2025 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 215 | 17 |
| 2026 | 0 | 232 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 232 | 215 | 17 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$1,160 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,160 | \$1,075 | \$85 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- |  | Pro | gram L | cal Hwy - Urb |  |  | ocal Match 7. |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 198 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 198 | 183 | 15 |
| 2024 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 92 | 7 |
| 2025 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 92 | 7 |
| 2026 | 0 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 | 92 | 7 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$495 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$495 | \$459 | \$36 |

## Planning, High Capacity Corridor Alternatives Analysis, COMPASS

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 13046
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,000
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,000

## Project Description



Study to identify and analyze options to improve mobility in a priority corridor to be determined in the regional long-range transportation plan. The study will evaluate all reasonable public transportation alternatives for high capacity service.

| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| PD | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 927 | 73 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$927 | \$73 |

## Planning, Metropolitan Planning Funds, COMPASS

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : CPA2
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$7,666
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,666
Project Description
Metropolitan planning organization (MPO) planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.
These projects tie to Idaho Transportation Department key numbers 19144, 20640, 22108, 22494, 22998 and ORN23401.

| Funding S | urce FTA 53 |  |  | gram T | ansit Capital |  |  | ocal Match 7. | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 312 | 25 |
| 2022 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 312 | 25 |
| 2023 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 312 | 25 |
| 2024 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 312 | 25 |
| 2025 | 0 | 337 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 337 | 312 | 25 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$1,685 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,685 | \$1,561 | \$124 |
| Funding S | urce Metrop | olitan Planni | Pro | gram H | wy - Metropol | an Planning |  | ocal Match 7. |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 1,185 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,185 | 1,098 | 87 |
| 2022 | 0 | 1,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,199 | 1,111 | 88 |
| 2023 | 0 | 1,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,199 | 1,111 | 88 |
| 2024 | 0 | 1,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,199 | 1,111 | 88 |
| 2025 | 0 | 1,199 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,199 | 1,111 | 88 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$5,981 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,981 | \$5,542 | \$439 |

## Planning, Travel Survey Data Collection, COMPASS

Regionally Significant:
Key \#: 19303
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2020-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$150
Total Programmed Budget: \$700
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$850

## Project Description



Collect local travel data from households and bus riders to update and recalibrate the regional travel demand model.

| Funding | ce STBG- | MA |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation | ment | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 649 | 51 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$700 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$700 | \$649 | \$51 |

## Railroad Crossing, Benjamin Lane, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20537
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$336
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$336

## Project Description



Install crossing signal, including constant warning detection, at the Boise Valley Railroad crossing at Benjamin Lane in the City of Boise. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.


## Railroad Crossing, Lemp Lane, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20358 Safety
Requesting Agency: Notus-Parma Highway District
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$260
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$260

## Project Description



Install signals and gates at the Union Pacific railroad crossing at Lemp Lane in Canyon County between the Cities of Parma and Notus. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.


## Railroad Crossing, Look Lane, near Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20355
Requesting Agency: Notus-Parma Highway District
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$90
Total Programmed Budget: \$724
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$814

## Project Description



Relocate and realign the Union Pacific Railroad crossing at Look Lane near the City of Caldwell. Work includes adding a signal, gates, planking, and a cabinet for electronics. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.


## Railroad Crossing, Milwaukee Street, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$Inflated
TIP Achievement: Safety
Key \#: 23389
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$407
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$407

## Project Description



Resurface the railroad crossing on Milwaukee Street in the City of Boise. Work will also include improvements to the concrete planking, ballasts, ties, and rails at the intersection. Costs will be shared with the railroad.


## Railroad Crossing, Old Fort Boise Road, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20606
Safety
Requesting Agency: Notus-Parma Highway District
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$255
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$255

## Project Description



Install signals and gates at the Union Pacific railroad crossing at Old Fort Boise Road in Canyon County, west of the City of Parma. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.

| Funding S | urce Fed |  |  | gram | wy Safety - Feder | eral Rail |  | ocal Match 1 | .00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 18 | 2 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 235 | 235 | 212 | 24 |
| Fund Totals: | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$0 | \$235 | \$255 | \$230 | \$26 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2024

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \#: 20674
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,343
Pavement
Safety

Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,343

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.

| Funding S | rce Local | articipating |  | ram H | wy - Local Pa | nerships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 30 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 212 | 0 | 212 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 765 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 765 | 0 | 765 |
| Fund Totals: | \$30 | \$182 | \$765 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$977 | \$0 | \$977 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- |  | Pro | gram L | ocal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | gement | cal Match |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 1,076 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,076 | 997 | 79 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 820 | 5,470 | 6,290 | 5,828 | 462 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$1,076 | \$0 | \$0 | \$820 | \$5,470 | \$7,366 | \$6,825 | \$541 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2025

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21896
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Pavement

Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 0$
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,231
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,231

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.

| Funding S | rce Local | articipating |  | gram H | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 0 | 30 |
| 2023 | 0 | 509 | 765 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,274 | 0 | 1,274 |
| Fund Totals: | \$30 | \$509 | \$765 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,304 | \$0 | \$1,304 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- | MA |  | gram L | ocal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | ment | ocal Match 7.3 | 4\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 730 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 730 | 676 | 54 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 808 | 5,389 | 6,197 | 5,742 | 455 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$730 | \$0 | \$0 | \$808 | \$5,389 | \$6,927 | \$6,419 | \$508 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2026

Regionally Significant: $\square$ $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22390
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,621
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,621

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2027

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22816
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,624
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,624

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.

| Funding S | ree STBG- | A |  | gram | al Hwy - Tra | sportation | ement | cal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 20 | 494 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 514 | 476 | 38 |
| 2027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 667 | 4,443 | 5,110 | 4,735 | 375 |
| Fund Totals: | \$20 | \$494 | \$0 | \$0 | \$667 | \$4,443 | \$5,624 | \$5,211 | \$413 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20259
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$538
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,793
Pavement
Safety

Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,331

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: Allumbaugh Street, Franklin Road to Fairmont Street; Cole Road, Ustick Road to Mountain View Drive; Edgewood Road, Harvey Street to Floating Feather Road; Goldenrod Avenue, western terminus to Cloverdale Road; Orchard Street, Emerald Street to Fairview Avenue and Fairview Avenue to Chinden Boulevard.

| Funding S | rce TAP-T |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | sportation A | atives | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 139 | 129 | 10 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$139 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$139 | \$129 | \$10 |
| Funding S | urce Local | Participating |  | gram H | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 167 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$167 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$167 | \$0 | \$167 |


| Funding S | rce STBG | TMA |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation N | ement | ocal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 716 | 4,771 | 5,487 | 5,084 | 403 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$716 | \$4,771 | \$5,487 | \$5,084 | \$403 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2023

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19993
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Pavement

Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$231
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,154
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,385

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segment includes: Beacon Light Road, State Highway 16 to Ballentyne Lane.

| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | -197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -197 | -183 | -14 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 2,045 | 2,351 | 2,178 | 173 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | (\$197) | \$0 | \$0 | \$306 | \$2,045 | \$2,154 | \$1,996 | \$158 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2026

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22391
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,419
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,419

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.

| Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2024 | 9 | 210 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 203 | 16 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 1,914 | 2,200 | 2,039 | 161 |
| Fund Totals: | \$9 | \$210 | \$0 | \$0 | \$286 | \$1,914 | \$2,419 | \$2,241 | \$178 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2027

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 22817
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 2,410$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,410

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.

| Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program L |  | Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 9 | 211 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 204 | 16 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 1,904 | 2,190 | 2,029 | 161 |
| Fund Totals: | \$9 | \$211 | \$0 | \$0 | \$286 | \$1,904 | \$2,410 | \$2,233 | \$177 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2023

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key\#: 20080
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$80
Total Programmed Budget: \$306
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$386

## Project Description

Pavement
Safety

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: Idaho Street, 16th Street to Broadway Avenue; 16th Street, Front Street to State Street; Bannock Street, 16th Street to 15th Street and 9th to 2nd; and 15th Street, Front Street to State Street.

| Funding Source Local Participating |  |  | Program Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 306 | 306 | 0 | 306 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$306 | \$306 | \$0 | \$306 |

## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2024

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20683
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$387
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$387

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. This project could convert to federal-aid if funds become available. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2025

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21902
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Safety
Pavement
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$387
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$387

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. This project could convert to federal-aid if funds become available. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2026

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22392
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$387
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$387

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. This project could convert to federal-aid if funds become available. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


## Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2027

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22927
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$387
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$387

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet American's with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. This project could convert to federal-aid if funds become available. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the the design year.


## SH-16, Franklin Road to Ustick Road, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23409
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$55,500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$55,500

## Project Description



Construct new segment of State Highway 16 from Franklin Road to Ustick Road the City of Nampa and Canyon County. The roadway will include two lanes in each direction with at-grade intersections at Franklin Road and Ustick Road, utilizing the on and off ramps for the future interchanges. Work also includes a new structure over the Union Pacific Railroad, Cherry Lane, Ten Mile Creek, and irrigation structures. Design and right-of-way budgeted in separate, previous project (KN 20788).

| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,500 | 50,000 | 55,500 | 0 | 55,500 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,500 | \$50,000 | \$55,500 | \$0 | \$55,500 |

## SH-16, I-84 to Franklin Road, Nampa

Regionally Significant:Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 23410
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$60,500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$60,500

## Project Description



Construct new segment of State Highway 16 from Interstate 84 to Franklin Road in the City of Nampa. Improvements include a new interchange across Interstate 84 with on and off ramps from Interstate 84 to Franklin Road and on to State Highway 16. Design and right-ofway budgeted in separate, previous project (KN 20788).

| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,500 | 55,000 | 60,500 | 0 | 60,500 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,500 | \$55,000 | \$60,500 | \$0 | \$60,500 |

## SH-16, l-84 to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada and Canyon Counties

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20788
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$125,140
Total Programmed Budget: \$23,291
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$148,431

## Project Description



Environmental re-evaluation, final design, and right-of-way acquisition to extend State Highway 16 between Interstate 84 and US Highway 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) and State Highway 44 (State Street) in Ada and Canyon Counties. Work is for Phases 2 and 3 of this project. Phase 2 construction projects were split out into KN 23408, 23409, and 23410. Phase 3 completes the system interchange at Interstate 84 and service interchanges at Franklin Road, Ustick Road, US 20/26, and State Highway 44; construction is unfunded.

| Funding S | urce IM |  |  | gram S | ate Hwy - Res | ration |  | Local Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 7,650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,650 | 7,088 | 562 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,650 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$7,650 | \$7,088 | \$562 |
| Funding S | urce State |  |  | gram H | wy GARVEE - | uture Author | on | Local Match | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 100 | 17,281 | -1,790 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 15,641 | 0 | 15,641 |
| Fund Totals: | \$100 | \$17,281 | $(\$ 1,790)$ | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$15,641 | \$0 | \$15,641 |

## SH-16, Phyllis Canal Bridge \& South STG

Regionally Significant:$\checkmark$ Inflated
Key \#: 12917
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$13,105
Total Programmed Budget: \$391
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$13,496

## Project Description

TIP Achievement:
Bridge

SH 16, SH 44 (State Street) to US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) River Crossing. This project includes a bridge over the Phyllis Canal and the South Phase.

| Funding Source STBG-State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 | 391 | 362 | 29 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$391 | \$391 | \$362 | \$29 |

## SH-16, Ustick Road to US20/26 and SH-44, Ada County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23408
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$55,500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$55,500

## Project Description



At request of ITD, update description: Construct new segment of State Highway 16 from Ustick Road US 20/26 in the City of Meridian and Ada County. The roadway will include two lanes in each direction with at-grade intersections at Ustick Road and US 20/26, utilizing the on and off ramps for the future interchanges. Work also includes an overpass at McMillan Road and Five Mile Creek, several local frontage roads to connect to the roadway system, and irrigation structures. Design and right-of-way budgeted in separate, previous project (KN 20788).

| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,500 | 50,000 | 55,500 | 55,500 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,500 | \$50,000 | \$55,500 | \$55,500 | \$0 |

## SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise

Regionally Significant:

```
Inflated
```

TIP Achievement:
Key\#: 20428
Safety
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$4,332
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,332

## Project Description



Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project includes two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders.

| Funding S | ce HB | d HB312 |  | ram S | ate Hwy - Saf | \& Capacity | ty) | Local Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 146 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 612 | 3,570 | 4,182 | 0 | 4,182 |
| Fund Totals: | \$146 | \$4 | \$0 | \$0 | \$612 | \$3,570 | \$4,332 | \$0 | \$4,332 |

## SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection Improvements, Eagle

Regionally Significant:

TIP Achievement:
Safety
NHS-LOTTR
Key \# : 13476
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,376
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,527
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,903

## Project Description



Expand the current intersection configuration to increase capacity, as well as remove all four existing free-flowing right-turn lanes at State Highway 44 (State Street) and State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle. Work includes pavement widening and resurfacing, installation of raised medians, signage and striping improvements, pedestrian access, signalization, Intelligent Transportation System improvements, and localized drainage improvements.


## SH-44 (State Street), Palmer Lane Intersection Improvements, Star

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22718
Requesting Agency: Private Developer
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$850
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$850
Project Description


Install a traffic signal at the State Highway 44 (State Street) and Palmer Lane intersection in the City of Star to improve safety and mobility.


SH-44 (State Street), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County
Regionally Significant:
$\checkmark \quad \square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20266
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$463
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,760
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,223

## Project Description



Add an additional westbound and eastboud lane to improve congestion and reduce crashes along State Highway 44 (State Street), from State Highway 16 to Linder Road near the City of Eagle.

| Funding | rce TECM |  |  | ram | ate Hwy - Saf | \& Capacit | acity) | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 0 | 90 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 765 | 7,905 | 8,670 | 0 | 8,670 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$90 | \$0 | \$0 | \$765 | \$7,905 | \$8,760 | \$0 | \$8,760 |

## SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Pavement
NHS-LOTTR
Key \#: 20574
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,400
Total Programmed Budget: \$11,836
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$13,236

## Project Description



Widen State Highway 44 (State Street) from Star Road to State Highway 16 in Ada County. An additional lane in both directions will alleviate congestion issues and improve safety.

| Funding S | rce TECM |  |  | gram | te Hwy - Saf | \& Capacity | acity) | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 61 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,061 | 0 | 1,061 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 704 | 10,071 | 10,775 | 0 | 10,775 |
| Fund Totals: | \$61 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$704 | \$10,071 | \$11,836 | \$0 | \$11,836 |

## SH-44, Junction l-84 to Plummer Road in Star, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13463
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2016
Total Previous Allocations: \$6,684
Total Programmed Budget: \$100
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,784

## Project Description



To rehabilitate State Highway 44 from Interstate 84 in Canyon County to Plummer Road in the City of Star. The project will extend the service life of the roadway and improve the riding surface. Other improvements include: guardrail replacement, ensuring accessibility for people with disabilities at intersections, and replacing two failing culverts.

| Funding | ree STBG- | tate |  | ram S | ate Hwy - Res | oration |  | ocal Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 50 | 50 | 100 | 93 | 7 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$50 | \$50 | \$100 | \$93 | \$7 |

## SH-45 and Locust Lane Intersection, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 22717
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,741
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,741


Project Description
Install a traffic signal at State Highway 45 and Locust Lane in the City of Nampa to improve safety.

| Funding S | urce State |  |  | gram S | ate Hwy - Saf | y \& Capacity | pacity) | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 20 |
| 2022 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 150 |
| 2027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 204 | 1,585 | 1,789 | 0 | 1,789 |
| Fund Totals: | \$170 | \$0 | \$150 | \$0 | \$204 | \$1,585 | \$2,109 | \$0 | \$2,109 |
| Funding S | urce Local | Participating |  | gram H | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 632 | 0 | 632 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$632 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$632 | \$0 | \$632 |

SH-45, SH-78 to Deer Flat Road, Canyon and Owyhee Counties
Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21849
Pavement
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$520
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,637
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$10,157

## Project Description



Reconstruct State Highway 45 from the junction of State Highway 78, just across the Snake River in Owyhee County, to Melba Road in Canyon County. Work also includes a mill and inlay treatment on State Highway 45 from Melba Road to Deer Flat Road. These treatments will rebuild the structural capacity of the pavement. ( $82 \%$ Canyon County and $17 \%$ Owyhee County)

| Funding S | rce NHPP |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -131 | 69 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -62 | -57 | -5 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 271 | 9,428 | 9,699 | 8,987 | 712 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$131) | \$69 | \$0 | \$0 | \$271 | \$9,428 | \$9,637 | \$8,930 | \$707 |

## SH-55 (Eagle Road), I-84 to SH-44, Meridian to Eagle

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22665
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$10,035
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$10,035

## Project Description



Rehabilitate the pavement on State Highway 55 (Eagle Road), from Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian to State Highway 44 (State Street) in the City of Eagle, to improve ride quality and extend pavement life.

| Funding Source NHPP |  |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 50 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 | 695 | 55 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125 | 8,160 | 9,285 | 8,603 | 682 |
| Fund Totals: | \$50 | \$700 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125 | \$8,160 | \$10,035 | \$9,298 | \$737 |

## SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian Towne Center, Meridian

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13349
Requesting Agency: Private Developer
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$176
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,300
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,476

## Project Description



Add one lane southbound from River Valley Street to Franklin Road in the City of Meridian. The project will be constructed by the developer of the Meridian Towne Center shopping center (The Village at Meridian) using State Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR) financing.

| Funding S | rce STAR |  |  | ram H | wy - Local P | nerships |  | ocal Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 300 | 5,000 | 5,300 | 0 | 5,300 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$300 | \$5,000 | \$5,300 | \$0 | \$5,300 |

## SH-55 (Karcher Road), 10th Avenue to Middleton Road, Construction, Canyon

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
System Performance
Safety


Widen State Highway 55 (Karcher Road) from 10th Avenue to Middleton Road in Canyon County to improve safety and mobility. Project includes intelligent transportation system improvements (ITS). Design and right-of-way for Farmway Road to Middleton Road is shown under Key Number 22715.

| Funding S | urce State |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,570 | 35,700 | 39,270 | 0 | 39,270 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,570 | \$35,700 | \$39,270 | \$0 | \$39,270 |

## SH-55 (Karcher Road), Farmway Road to Middleton Road, Canyon

Regionally Significant: Inflated
Key \# : 22715
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$42,840
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$42,840

## Project Description



To design and acquire right-of-way in preparation to widen State Highway 55 (Karcher Road) from Farmway Road to Middleton Road in Canyon County. The project will add one travel lane in each direction to improve mobility and reduce crashes along the corridor. Work includes a continuous median traffic seperation, with signalizations intersections at the each miles, and u-turn opportunities at the half-mile.

| Funding S | rce State |  | Program |  | State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 1,020 | 10,200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,220 | 0 | 11,220 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 26,520 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 26,520 | 0 | 26,520 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 5,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,100 | 0 | 5,100 |
| Fund Totals: | \$1,020 | \$10,200 | \$31,620 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$42,840 | \$0 | \$42,840 |

## SH-55 (Karcher Road), Indiana Avenue to Middleton Road, Design, Canyon

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 21867
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2

## Project Description

## TIP Achievement:

Pavement
NHS-LOTTR
Safety


Widen State Highway 55 (Karcher Road) from Indiana Avenue to Lake Avenue to Middleton Road in the Cities of Caldwell and Nampa. The project will add one travel lane in each direction to improve mobility and reduce crashes along the corridor. Construction is shown under Key Number 22715.

| Funding S | urce TECM |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Fund Totals: | \$2 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2 | \$0 | \$2 |

SH-55, Pear Lane to Farmway Road, Canyon County
Regionally Significant:
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23335
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$15,000
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$15,000

## Project Description



Preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition to improve safety and mobility on SH-55 by widening the corridor from two lanes to five lanes (two through lanes each direction and a center turn lane) from Pear Lane to Indiana Avenue in Canyon County. Construction is currently unfunded.


## SH-55, SH-44 (State Street) to Payette River Bridge, Rehabilitation

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key\#: 20506
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$200
Total Programmed Budget: \$11,744
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$11,944

## Project Description



Rehabilitate approximately 18 miles of pavement on State Highway 55 from State Highway 44 (State Street) to the Payette River Bridge in Ada and Boise Counties. ( $45 \%$ Ada County and $55 \%$ Boise County)


## SH-55, Snake River Bridge, Marsing

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
Key \# : 13387
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019-2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$17,964
Total Programmed Budget: \$115
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$18,079

## Project Description

Replace bridge on State Highway 55 over the Snake River near the City of Marsing. (69\% Canyon County and 31\% Owyhee County).


## SH-69, Pavement Preservation, Kuna to Meridian

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22699
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,593
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,593

## Project Description



Sealcoat the pavement surface on State Highway 69 (Meridian Road), from Orchard Avenue in the City of Kuna to Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian, to improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan.


## South 4th Avenue, Indian Creek Bridge, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22593
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$278
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,540
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,818

## Project Description



Improve bridge on South 4th Avenue over Indian Creek in the City of Caldwell. The bridge is in poor condition. Funds will come from the Competitive Highway Bridge Program, as well as formula funds.

| Funding S | rce Bridge | ocal) |  | ram Lo | cal Hwy - Bri |  |  | cal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 2 | 166 | 0 | 0 | 472 | 1,001 | 1,641 | 1,521 | 120 |
| Fund Totals: | \$2 | \$166 | \$0 | \$0 | \$472 | \$1,001 | \$1,641 | \$1,521 | \$120 |
| Funding S | urce Bridge | Discretionary) | Pro | gram B | idge - Discre | onary |  | cal Match |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 899 | 899 | 833 | 66 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$899 | \$899 | \$833 | \$66 |

## South Cemetery Road, Highland Drive to Willow Creek, Middleton

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key\#: 12048
Requesting Agency: City of Middleton
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$762
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,921
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,683

## Project Description



Construct a new 0.284 mile roadway segment linking State Highway 44 and Middleton Road by way of Highland Drive and Sawtooth Lake Drive in the City of Middleton.

| Funding | rce STBG- |  |  | ram | al Hwy - Ur |  |  | ocal Match 7. | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 12 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 456 | 3,425 | 3,921 | 3,633 | 288 |
| Fund Totals: | \$12 | \$28 | \$0 | \$0 | \$456 | \$3,425 | \$3,921 | \$3,633 | \$288 |

## SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2021 and FY2022

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20245
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$344
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$344
Project Description

Support up to three full-time staff for Safe Routes to Schools (SR2S) coordination serving school in Ada County with a focus on the Boise and West Ada School Districts for service years FY2021 and FY2022.


## SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2023

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 20493
Safety
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$171
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$171


Project Description
Support up to three full-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on Boise and West Ada School Districts for service year FY2023.


SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2024 and FY2025
Regionally Significant: $\square$
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21910
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2024-2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$405
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$405
Project Description

Support up to three full-time and one part-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on the Boise and West Ada School Districts for service years FY2024 and FY2025.

| Funding | rce TAP-T |  |  | ram | al Hwy - Tr | portation | tives | ocal Match 7.3 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 405 | 405 | 375 | 30 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$405 | \$405 | \$375 | \$30 |

## SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2026

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22993
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$218
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$218

## Project Description



Support up to three full-time and one part-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on the Boise and West Ada School Districts for service year FY2026.

| Funding Source TAP-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 218 | 218 | 202 | 16 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$218 | \$218 | \$202 | \$16 |

SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2021
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22031
Safety
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$65
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$65
Project Description


SR2S
Safe Routes to School

Provides for Safe Routes to School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events, and indirect costs in Canyon County.

| Funding Source TAP-State |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 5 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$65 | \$65 | \$60 | \$5 |

## SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2022

Regionally Significant: $\square \square$ Inflated
Key \# : 22922
TIP Achievement:

Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$65
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$65
Project Description

## SR2S Safe Routes to School

Provides for Safe Routes to School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events, and indirect costs in Canyon County.

| Funding S | rce TAP- |  |  | am | al Hwy - Tr | portation A | ives | ocal Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 5 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$65 | \$65 | \$60 | \$5 |

SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2023
Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22924
Safety
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$65
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$65

Project Description
Provides for Safe Routes to School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events, and direct costs in Canyon County.


## State Street and Collister Drive Intersection, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13481
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2018
Total Previous Allocations: \$13,820
Total Programmed Budget: (\$115)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$13,705

## Project Description



Improve the intersection of State Street and Collister Drive in the City of Boise.


State Street Lighting, 16th Street to 23rd Street, Boise
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20275
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$480
Total Programmed Budget: \$10
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$490

## Project Description



Install street lighting on State Street between 16th Street and 23rd Street in the City of Boise.


## Study, Big Data Purchase, COMPASS

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22394
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$150
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$150

## Project Description

Purchase data from vendors) to supplement the COMPASS Household Travel Survey data (2021) and census data. The data will be used to update the "external" trip (trip to/from the surrounding counties) rates and characteristics in the regional travel demand model; analyze nonmotorized travel; and allow for review of trips, travel time, time of day, and mode to and from sub-areas (smaller than cities) in the region to help refine specific parameters in the regional model.


Study, Fiscal Impact Analysis, COMPASS
Regionally Significant:

Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22395
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$60
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$60

## Project Description



To better implement the goals of Communities in Motion, this project will provide information on the fiscal impact of various growth patterns. Work will update the fiscal impact analysis calculator, develop a web-based interface to help analyze the public costs and revenues of various land use patterns, build an economic model that can be used in the COMPASS development checklist for highlighting the costs of development, and conduct outreach and training for local decision-makers so that fiscal analysis can be used in local land use decisionmaking.

| Funding S | ce STBG- | MA |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation I | ment | ocal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 0 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 56 | 4 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$60 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$60 | \$56 | \$4 |

## Study, I-84, SH-44 to Centennial Way, Caldwell

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23341
Support
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,142
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,142

## Project Description



Corridor study on I-84 from SH-44 (Exit 25) to Centennial Way (Exit 27) in the City of Caldwell to evaluate capacity and safety improvements needed. Design, right-of-way acquisition, and construction projects may be split into separate key numbers when funding is available.

| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Safety) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 102 | 2,040 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,142 | 0 | 2,142 |
| Fund Totals: | \$102 | \$2,040 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,142 | \$0 | \$2,142 |

Study, Northside Boulevard, Karcher Road to Birch Lane, NEPA, Nampa
Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : NAM01
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$40
Total Programmed Budget: \$250
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$290

## Project Description



Complete concept and start the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) alternatives analysis study to widen Northside Boulevard between Karcher Road and Birch Lane in the City of Nampa. The study includes a possible roundabout at the intersection of Northside Boulevard and Karcher Road and a pathway on the east edge of the roadway. Construction is considered "unfunded."


## Study, SH-44, Junction I-84 in Canyon County to SH-55 in Eagle

Regionally Significant:$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 07827
Support
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$5,506
Total Programmed Budget: \$960
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,466

## Project Description



Study the SH-44 corridor to recommend possible future improvements from the junction of Interstate 84, north of the City of Caldwell, to State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle.


## Study, SH-45 Realignment Alternatives Analysis, PEL, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23071
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2020-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$405
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$405

## Project Description



Complete a Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) review as a first step to determine possible alternatives to realign State Highway 45 (12th Avenue South) through the City of Nampa from Sheridan Avenue to 1st Street South, with connections to Interstate 84 on Northside Boulevard. Construction is considered "unfunded."


## Study, SH-55, Pear Lane to Middleton Road, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21906
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$2,752
Total Programmed Budget: \$20
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,772


## Project Description

Evaluate the environmental impacts as a result of widening SH-55 (Marcher Road) from two lanes to five lanes from Pear Lane to Middleton Road in Canyon County south of the City of Nampa.


## Study, Southern Connection to l-84 at SH-16 Interchange, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : NAM02
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$200
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$200

## Project Description



Corridor study to determine needs and weigh options for a potential southern connection to the future Interstate 84 Interchange at State Highway 16. A connection to local roads would be between McDermott Road and Robinson Road in the City of Nampa. Community outreach and engagement will be limited to information updates, as no decisions regarding specific design, location, impacts, or mitigation are intended as part of the study.

| Funding | rce Non- | cipating | al) Prog | ram | y-Local P | rships |  | cal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 185 | 15 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$200 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$200 | \$185 | \$15 |

## Study, US 20/26, Junction l-84 to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Caldwell to Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 07826
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$4,113
Total Programmed Budget: \$1
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,114
Project Description


Study the US 20/26 corridor to recommend possible future improvements on US 20/26 from the junction of I-84 in the City of Caldwell to SH55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Boise.

| Funding | ce STP-S |  |  | ram S | ate Hwy - Res | oration |  | cal Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$1 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1 | \$1 | \$0 |

Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RC0299
Pavement
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022-2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$43
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,137
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,180

## Project Description



Widen Ten Mile Road, from Victory Road to Overland Road in the City of Meridian, to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, and level three bicycle facility.


## Transit - Acquisition of Service, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19691
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,346
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,346

## Project Description



Provide transportation services through local human service organizations who work with the elderly and persons with disabilities in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details.


## Transit - Acquisition of Service, Canyon County, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\square \quad \square$ Inflated IIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19464c
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$126
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$126

## Project Description

Provide transportation services through Valley Regional Transit in rural and urban areas of Ada and Canyon Counties, for services in FY2021 and FY2022. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.


## Transit - Acquisition of Service, Nampa Area, VRT

Regionally Significant:Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 19464a
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026

## - valleyregionaltransit

Total Previous Allocations: \$283
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,938
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,221

## Project Description

Provide transportation services through local human service organizations who work with the elderly and persons with disabilities in the Nampa Urbanized Area. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.


Transit - Fixed Line Service, Rural Areas, TVT
Regionally Significant:

## $\square$

Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19983
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,600
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,600

## Project Description

Fixed line service in rural areas of southwest Idaho, including Ada and Canyon Counties for all groups, including but not limited to, older adults, persons with disabilities, youth, low income, non-drivers, minority groups, veterans, and refugee populations. The project includes required demand response service, as well as administration, operations, preventive maintenance, and capital purchases. Various aspects of the project have different local match rates. The match rate shown is an average of the rates combined. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.

| Funding | rce FTA 53 |  |  | gram | ansit Operati |  |  | cal Match 28 | 75\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 800 | 570 | 230 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 800 | 800 | 570 | 230 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,600 | \$1,600 | \$1,140 | \$460 |

## Transit - Fueling Station and Parking Lot, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23091
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,675
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,675

## Project Description



To renovate the compressed natural gas fueling island (installed in 1995) and rehabilitate and expand the parking lot at the Orchard mateinance facilitity in the City of Boise. This project is funded with a nationally-competitive grant for bus and bus facilities.

| Funding Source FTA 5339 (b) |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 267 | 267 | 214 | 53 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,408 | 2,408 | 1,926 | 482 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,675 | \$2,675 | \$2,140 | \$535 |

## Transit - Nampa Transit-Oriented Development, Design and Property, TVT

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19380a
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$384
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$384

## Project Description

Design and construct a transit-oriented development using an existing building to create administrative offices for Treasure Valley Transit, and a central transportation and community services hub in an economically depressed area in the City of Nampa. Other aspects of the project include an expanded network of local complete streets, pedestrian and bicycle improvements, and transit linkages. Funds are currently for design and property acquisition only. Construction is considered "unfunded." Construction funding will be added as costs and the location are determined, and funds become available. Companioned with KN 20789 and KN 20136d.

| Funding Source FTA 5311 |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 384 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 384 | 307 | 77 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$384 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$384 | \$307 | \$77 |

## Transit - Nampa Transit-Oriented Development, Property, TVT

Regionally Significant: $\square$
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20136d
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,125
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,125

## Project Description



Design and construct a transit-oriented development using an existing building to create administrative offices for Treasure Valley Transit, and a central transportation and community services hub in an economically depressed area in the City of Nampa. Other aspects of the project include an expanded network of local complete streets, pedestrian and bicycle improvements, and transit linkages. These funds are currently for property acquisition only. Construction is considered "unfunded." Construction funding will be added as costs and the location are determined, and funds become available. Companioned with KN 20789 and KN 19380a.

| Funding Source FTA 5339 R |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 1,125 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,125 | 900 | 225 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,125 | \$900 | \$225 |

## Transit - Operations - Fixed Route and Mobility Management, Nampa Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\square \quad \square$ Inflated $\quad$ TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18786
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$10,900
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$10,900
Project Description
Provide for fixed route and mobility management operations and services in the Nampa Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's
Program of Projects for more details.


## Transit - Operations - Mobility Management, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19041
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$4,284
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,284
Project Description
Provide operations for mobility management programs in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details.


## Transit - Preventive Maintenance and Demand Response, Nampa Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18914
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,436
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,436

## Project Description

Provide preventive maintenance and complementary paratransit to sustain vehicle and facility safety and security in the Nampa Urbanized
Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details.

| Funding S | rce FTA 53 | 7 SU |  | ram | ansit Capital |  |  | cal Match 20 | .00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 538 | 538 | 430 | 108 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 551 | 551 | 441 | 110 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 565 | 565 | 452 | 113 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 579 | 579 | 463 | 116 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 594 | 594 | 475 | 119 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 609 | 609 | 487 | 122 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,436 | \$3,436 | \$2,749 | \$687 |

## Transit - Preventive Maintenance and Paratransit, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\square \quad \square$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19137
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
valleyregionaltransit
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$21,908
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$21,908
Project Description
Provide preventive maintenance and complementary paratransit operation services to sustain vehicle and facility safety and security in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details.

| Funding Source FTA 5307 LU |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,499 | 3,499 | 2,799 | 700 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,558 | 3,558 | 2,846 | 712 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,618 | 3,618 | 2,894 | 724 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,680 | 3,680 | 2,944 | 736 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,744 | 3,744 | 2,995 | 749 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,809 | 3,809 | 3,047 | 762 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$21,908 | \$21,908 | \$17,526 | \$4,382 |

Transit - Purchase of Service, Rural Areas, TVT
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19464b
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$353
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$353

## Project Description

Provide transportation services through Treasure Valley Transit in rural areas of Southwest Idaho, including Canyon County, for services in FY2021 and FY2022. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.

| Funding Source FTA 5310 R |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 176 | 176 | 141 | 35 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 177 | 177 | 142 | 35 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$353 | \$353 | \$282 | \$71 |

## Transit - Rolling Stock and Infrastructure, Boise Area, VRT - FY2020

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22509
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,750
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,750
Project Description


Funds will be used to replace rolling stock as outlined in the Transit Asset Management Plan, expand rolling stock, and add electric charging stations in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding | rce FTA 53 | (c) |  | ram | ansit Capital |  |  | cal Match 20 | 00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,750 | 3,750 | 3,000 | 750 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,750 | \$3,750 | \$3,000 | \$750 |

## Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18788
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,270
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,270

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement (identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan) or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Boise Urbanized Area


## Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19122
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$898
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,014
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,912

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement (identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan) or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Boise Urbanized Area.


Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18905
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Transit Asset Management

TRANGIT ASMET MANAEEHENT
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,496
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,496

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2021 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding S | rce STBG- |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation M | ment | ocal Match 7. | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,496 | 2,496 | 2,313 | 183 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,496 | \$2,496 | \$2,313 | \$183 |

## Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19763
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,117
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,117

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2022 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding S | rce STBG | MA | Pro | gram | ocal Hwy - CR | RSAA 2021 |  | ocal Match 7.3 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,599 | 2,599 | 2,408 | 191 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,599 | \$2,599 | \$2,408 | \$191 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- | TMA | Pro | gram Lo | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | gement | ocal Match | 34\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 518 | 518 | 480 | 38 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$518 | \$518 | \$480 | \$38 |

Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19950
Transit Asset Management

Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$0
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$0

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2023 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding | rce STBG- | MA |  | ram | cal Hwy - T | portation | ment | ocal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20659
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2024

Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,500

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2024 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding | ce STBG- |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation M | ement | ocal Match | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,500 | 1,500 | 1,390 | 110 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,500 | \$1,500 | \$1,390 | \$110 |

Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21903
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,470
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,470

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2025 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding | rce STBG | MA |  | ram | al Hwy - T | portation M | ement | ocal Match 7.3 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,470 | 1,470 | 1,362 | 108 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,470 | \$1,470 | \$1,362 | \$108 |

Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant: $\square \quad \square$ Inflated $\quad$ TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22393
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,470
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,470
Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2026 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding | ce STBG- |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation M | ement | ocal Match | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,470 | 1,470 | 1,362 | 108 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,470 | \$1,470 | \$1,362 | \$108 |

## Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22815
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,470
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,470

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in 2027 in the Boise Urbanized Area.

| Funding S | rce STBG- | MA |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation M | emen | cal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,470 | 1,470 | 1,362 | 108 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,470 | \$1,470 | \$1,362 | \$108 |

Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,704
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,704

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement, identifed in the Transit Asset Management Plan, or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Nampa Urbanized Area.

| Funding Source FTA 5307 SU |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 610 | 610 | 488 | 122 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 548 | 548 | 438 | 110 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 485 | 485 | 388 | 97 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 | 420 | 336 | 84 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 355 | 355 | 284 | 71 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 286 | 286 | 229 | 57 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,704 | \$2,704 | \$2,163 | \$541 |

## Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20136e
Transit Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,544
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,544

## Project Description

Fund capital replacement (identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan) or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Nampa Urbanized Area.

| Funding Source FTA 5339 SU |  |  | Program Transit Capital |  |  |  | Local Match 20.00\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 386 | 309 | 77 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 386 | 309 | 77 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 386 | 309 | 77 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 386 | 386 | 309 | 77 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,544 | \$1,544 | \$1,235 | \$309 |

## Transit - State Street Premium Corridor, Part 1, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant:

$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23178
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,250
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,250


## Project Description

Implement Part 1 of the State Street Premium Corridor improvements project in the Boise Urbanized Area. Project will improve infrastructure and pedestrian connections to public transportation on State Highway 44 (State Street) between State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle and downtown Boise. The enhanced bus stops will be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act, improve safety, and provide passenger amenities such as benches, distinct shelters, real-time information, off-board fare payment, lighting, and bicycle racks.

| Funding | ce FTA 53 | LU |  | am | nsit Capital |  |  | ocal Match 20 | 00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 1,250 | 1,000 | 250 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$250 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$1,250 | \$1,000 | \$250 |

## Transit - State Street Premium Corridor, Part 2, Boise Area, VRT

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23179
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,882
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,882

## Project Description



Implement Part 2 of the State Street Premium Corridor improvements project in the Boise Urbanized Area. Project will include deployment of real-time information, off-board fare payment, reaised platforms, and necessary pullouts to accommodate all bus stops along State Highway 44 (State Street) between State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle and downtown Boise. Improvements will also include bus stop and vehicle branding.


## Project Description

Purchase replacement transit vehicles that are currently operating fixed route and demand response services within rural areas of District 3.
Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.

| Funding | ce FTA 53 | R |  | ram | nsit Capital |  |  | ocal Match 20 | 00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 473 | 473 | 378 | 95 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$473 | \$473 | \$378 | \$95 |

US 20/26 (Chinden), l-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County
Regionally Significant:

```
Inflated
```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22165
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$225
Total Programmed Budget: \$50,940
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$51,165

## Project Description



Widen US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) from Interstate 84 to Middleton Road to six lanes in the City of Caldwell. Work includes a continuous median traffic seperator with u-turn opportunities, and installation of two additional traffic signals.

| Funding | ce TECM |  |  | ram | te Hwy - S | y \& Capacit | pacity) | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 200 | 2,000 | 11,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,200 | 0 | 13,200 |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37,740 | 37,740 | 0 | 37,740 |
| Fund Totals: | \$200 | \$2,000 | \$11,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$37,740 | \$50,940 | \$0 | \$50,940 |

## US 20/26 (Chinden), Linder Road to Locust Grove, Meridian and Eagle

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20594
Requesting Agency: Private Developer
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$894
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,480
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$10,374

## Project Description



Widen US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) from Linder Road to Locust Grove Road in the Cities of Meridian and Eagle. An additional lane in both directions will improve congestion issues. Work also includes improvements to existing intersections. Project is funded and constructed by a private developer using State Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR) funds.

| Funding Source STAR |  |  | Program External to ITD Financials |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 | 0 | 0 | 8,480 | 9,480 | 0 | 9,480 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8,480 | \$9,480 | \$0 | \$9,480 |

## US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada County

Regionally Significant:

```
Inflated
```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20367
Requesting Agency: ITD

Safety
Pavement
NHS-LOTTR


Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$350
Total Programmed Budget: \$12,647
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$12,997

## Project Description

Widen US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) from the Phyllis Canal Bridge (just west of Star Road) to State Highway 16 in Ada County. The project will add one additional lane in both directions and add bicycle and pedestrian facilities.

| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 30 | 0 | 3,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,730 | 0 | 3,730 |
| 2022 | 153 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 167 | 0 | 167 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 794 | 7,956 | 8,750 | 0 | 8,750 |
| Fund Totals: | \$183 | \$14 | \$3,700 | \$0 | \$794 | \$7,956 | \$12,647 | \$0 | \$12,647 |

US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge, Rehabilitation, near Meridian
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Bridge


Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$750
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 3,801$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,551

## Project Description

Replace a culvert on US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) at the Phyllis Canal near the City of Meridian due to restrictions for freight.

| Funding | urce NHPP |  | Program State Hwy - Bridge Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 139 | 11 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 285 | 3,366 | 3,651 | 3,383 | 268 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$150 | \$0 | \$0 | \$285 | \$3,366 | \$3,801 | \$3,522 | \$279 |

## US 20/26 (Chinden), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County

Regionally Significant:
Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21858
Requesting Agency: Private Developer
Pavement
Project Year: 2019-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$21,790
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,021
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$24,811

## Project Description



Widen US Highway 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) from State Highway 16 to the existing five-lane section, approximately 700 feet to the west of Linger Road to five lanes (two through lanes in each direction and a two way left center turn lane). The project will include turn lanes and raised medians where necessary. Project funded and constructed by the developer of the Costco shopping center using State Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR) financing.


## US 20/26 and SH-44, Culvert Repair, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22258
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$15
Total Programmed Budget: \$260
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$275

## Project Description



Clean and line six culverts on US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) near Knott Lane, Northside Road, Madison Road, Prescott Lane (2), and CanAda Road in Canyon County; and one culvert on State Highway 44 (Main Street) near Cemetary Road in the City of Middleton to preserve and maintain the roadway infrastructure.


US 20/26, Intersection Improvements, Canyon County
Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19415
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$398
Total Programmed Budget: (\$223)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$175

## Project Description



Add improvements to turning radii for truck movements and minor widening and reestablish draining ditches along the US 20/26 corridor in Canyon County at Northside Blvd and Franklin Blvd.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Highway - Safety |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | -1 | -32 | 0 | 0 | -78 | -112 | -223 | 0 | -223 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$1) | (\$32) | \$0 | \$0 | (\$78) | (\$112) | (\$223) | \$0 | (\$223) |

US 20/26, Middleton Road to Star Road, Ada and Canyon Counties
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23337
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$54,000
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$54,000
Project Description


Preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition to widen US 20/26 from Middleton Road in the City of Caldwell to Star Road in Ada County. Work will include widening the corridor from two lanes to five lanes (two through lanes each direction and a center turn lane). Intersection improvements will include signalization at the mile. Construction is currently unfunded.

| Funding S | rce TECM |  |  | gram | e Hwy - Sa | \& Capacity | ) | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 1,000 | 6,774 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,774 | 0 | 7,774 |
| 2023 | 0 | 5,226 | 28,274 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33,500 | 0 | 33,500 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 12,726 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,726 | 0 | 12,726 |
| Fund Totals: | \$1,000 | \$12,000 | \$41,000 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$54,000 | \$0 | \$54,000 |

## Ustick Road, Lake Avenue to I-84, Caldwell

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : CAL01
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: $\$ 5,000$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,000

## Project Description



Widen Ustick Road from Lake Avenue to Interstate 84 in the City of Caldwell from three lanes to five lanes. Work includes curb, gutter, sidewalk, and bicycle lanes.

| Funding | ce Local | gionally | icant) | am | Local Pa | rships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 0 | 5,000 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5,000 | \$5,000 | \$0 | \$5,000 |

## Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Pavement
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,717
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,717

## Project Description



Widen Ustick Road from Ten Mile Road to Linder Road in the City of Meridian to five lanes. The projects includes curb, gutter, sidewalk, and a level three bicycle faility. The concept-level design will further clarify the scope of the project.

| Funding | ce Local | gionally S | ificant) P | am | y - Local P | erships |  | ocal Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| 2022 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 0 | 292 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 140 | 0 | 140 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,185 | 3,185 | 0 | 3,185 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$392 | \$140 | \$0 | \$0 | \$3,185 | \$3,717 | \$0 | \$3,717 |

## Western Heritage Byway, Swan Falls Road, ACHD

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22600
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024-2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,342
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,342

## Project Description



Add five-foot shoulders to Swan Falls Road from just north of Initial Point Road to the southern terminus of the roadway using Federal Lands Access Program funds. Project will also improve accessibility to Bureau of Land Management facilities. Project managed by Western Federal Lands.

| Funding S | urce FLAP |  | Program Hwy - Federal Lands Access |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2021 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 185 | 15 |
| 2022 | 0 | 226 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 226 | 209 | 17 |
| 2023 | 0 | 146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 146 | 135 | 11 |
| 2024 | 0 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,620 | 3,826 | 3,545 | 281 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 539 | 405 | 944 | 875 | 69 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$778 | \$0 | \$0 | \$539 | \$4,025 | \$5,342 | \$4,950 | \$392 |

# APPENDIX B: FUNDI NG TYPES AND ACRONYMS 

Provides a way to decipher transportation and federal jargon.

Federal funds administered by ITD are received from FHWA, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Federal Aviation Administration, and the National Highway Safety Administration. Federal-aid projects generally require state or local matching funds of $7.34 \%$ to $50 \%$, depending on the type of project and funding source.

Federal and state funding combined allow the state to preserve and improve the current transportation system. Table 29 provides a brief description of those funding sources.

COMPASS staff created a funding fact sheet ${ }^{41}$ titled Transportation Funding Terms and Acronyms...Unraveling the Jargon, for a more succinct version of the information below, including definitions of transportation acronyms.

The information below reflects typical use of funds and is provided to help the reader understand terms used in the TIP. It is NOT intended to set policy regarding the use of federal or state funds. Federal and state regulations provide additional details that further describe how funds can and cannot be used, and by whom. Contact COMPASS with specific questions on any funding source or type of project.

Table 29: Funding Sources and Uses

| Funding source* | What it's used for | Example | Whose can use this funding in Ada/ Canyon Counties** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridge (Local) | Replacing or rehabilitating local (non ITD) bridges. | Fixing a current bridge or replacing an old bridge with a new one. | Local highway districts or cities |
| Bridge Discretionary | Replacing or rehabilitating bridges using funds from a nationally competitive program. | Fixing a current bridge or replacing an old bridge with a new one. | Any (nationally competitive) |
| Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) | Projects that enhance access to federal lands. Program managed by the Western Federal Lands division of the US Department of Transportation. | Improvements to Bogus Basin Road. | Any (must be associated with federal Iands) |
| Federal Rail Crossing (Fed RRX) | Projects that enhance transportation safety at railroad crossings using federal funds. | Rebuild railroad crossing or add crossing arms. | Any |
| FTA 5303 | FTA funding for metropolitan planning. | Funding for COMPASS to conduct regional transportation planning. | COMPASS |
| FTA 5307 LU | Planning, developing, improving, and operating public transportation services in large urban areas with populations over 200,000. | Purchasing new buses, or paying operating costs, such as fuel and drivers' salaries. | Public transportation providers in the Boise Urbanized Area (generally, northern Ada County) |

[^17]| Funding source* | What it's used for | Example | Whose can use this funding in Ada/ Canyon Counties** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FTA 5307 SU | Planning, developing, improving, and operating public transportation services in small urban areas with a population between 50,000 and 200,000. | Purchasing new buses, or paying operating costs, such as fuel and drivers' salaries. | Public transportation providers in the Nampa Urbanized Area (generally, eastern Canyon County) |
| FTA 5310 LU | Public transportation services and equipment that directly benefit the elderly and people with disabilities in large urban areas with populations over 200,000. | Purchasing buses or vans for senior centers. | Public transportation providers in the Boise Urbanized Area (generally, northern Ada County) |
| FTA 5310 R | Public transportation services and equipment that directly benefit the elderly and people with disabilities in rural areas with a population less than 50,000. | Purchasing buses or vans for senior centers. | Public transportation providers outside of the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas |
| FTA 5310 SU | Public transportation services and equipment that directly benefit the elderly and people with disabilities in small urban areas with a population between 50,000 and 200,000. | Purchasing buses or vans for senior centers. | Public transportation providers in the Nampa Urbanized Area (generally, eastern Canyon County) |

FTA 5311
Planning, developing, improving, and operating public transportation services in large urban areas with populations under 50,000.

Purchasing new buses, or paying operating costs, such as fuel and drivers' salaries.

Rural public transportation providers

## Capital funding to replace or rehabilitate

FTA 5339 LU

FTA 5339 R

FTA 5339 SU buses or bus facilities, purchase buses and related equipment, and to construct busrelated facilities in large urban areas with a population over 200,000.

Capital funding to replace or rehabilitate buses or bus facilities, purchase buses and related equipment, and to construct busrelated facilities in small urban areas with a population between 50,000 and 200,000.

Capital funding to replace or rehabilitate buses or bus facilities, purchase buses and related equipment, and to construct bus-

Purchasing buses or building bus shelters. related facilities in small urban areas with a population between 50,000 and 200,000.

Capital funding to improve freight movement on prioritized freight corridors.

Public transportation providers in the Boise Urbanized Area (generally, northern Ada County)

Public transportation providers in rural areas (5,000 population or less)

Public transportation providers in the Nampa Urbanized Area (generally, eastern Canyon County)
ITD, local highway districts, or cities (but must be on prioritized freight corridor)

| Funding source* | What it's used for | Example | Whose can use this funding in Ada/ Canyon Counties** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) | A project that improves safety on state managed roadways. | Adding rumble strips along the side of a road. | ITD |
| Highway Safety Improvement Program (HSIP) (Local) | A project that improves safety on locally managed roadways. | Adding safety lighting along a corridor. | Local highway districts or cities |
| House Bill 132 and House Bill 312 (HB132 and HB312) | Increased taxes for projects to keep roads and bridges in good condition. | Rehabilitating a road. | ITD (other agencies receive these funds, but they are not reported in the TIP) |
| Interstate Maintenance (IM) | A project to resurface, restore, rehabilitate or reconstruct most routes on an Interstate System. | Replacing an interchange on Interstate 84. | ITD |
| Local Participating | A project that is primarily federally funded, but the sponsoring agency (and/or others) pay more than the minimum required match. Thus, the local agencies are "participating" in the funding. | Any project where the sponsoring agency (and/or others) chooses to pay more than the minimum to help make the project more competitive when projects are selected for funding. | Any |
| Local (Regionally Significant) | Locally funded projects (no state or federal funds) that are included in the TIP because they meet the definition of "regionally significant." | Adding an additional traffic lane between two intersections on a major roadway, using local (not state or federal) funds. | Local highway districts or cities |
| National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) | A project that provides support for the condition and performance of the National Highway System. | Intersection improvements at a congested intersection on a state highway. | ITD |
| Metropolitan Planning | FHWA funding for metropolitan planning. | Funding COMPASS to conduct regional transportation planning. | COMPASS |
| Sales Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR) | Refunding money to a developer who pays for transportation improvements up front. Refunds come from anticipated sales tax generated by the new development. | Improvements funded by a developer, such as widening a road to accommodate increased traffic at a new shopping mall. | Private Developers |
| Surface <br> Transportation Block Grant - Rural (STBG - R) | Mainly roadway projects in small towns and rural areas with populations under 5,000. However, this funding has flexibility to fund a broad range of projects, including studies, maintenance, sidewalks, bike lanes, and more. | Nearly any type of road construction project, including projects such as road widening or chip sealing. | Jurisdictions in areas with populations under 5,000 |


| Funding source* |  | What it's used for | Example |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Table 30 provides general acronyms and terms found throughout the TIP or in discussions about projects and funding.

Table 30: General Acronyms and Terms Used in the TIP

| Acronym or Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| ACHD | Ada County Highway District; the agency responsible for all non-state <br> roadways in Ada County. |
| ACCHD | Association of Canyon County Highway Districts |
| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act; civil rights legislation prohibiting <br> discrimination against persons with disabilities. <br> Generally, northern Ada County, including the cities of Boise, Eagle, Garden <br> City, and Meridian. |
| Boise Area / Boise | For FHWA funding: Generally, eligible for Transportation Management Area <br> (TMA) funds. |
| Urbanized Area / For FTA funding: Eligible for "large urban" (LU) funds. |  |
| Large Urban (LU) | Part of a City of Caldwell key number. Local key numbers are used when a <br> project is regionally significant, but 100\% locally funded. |
| CAL | Continuous flow intersection; a type of intersection where vehicles <br> attempting to turn cross the opposing direction of traffic before they enter <br> the intersection |
| CFI | Code of Federal Regulations; federal interpretation of United States law |
| CFR | Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho |
| COMPASS/CPA | Transportation funding collected by the federal government, then distributed <br> to the states for use on both state and local transportation projects. The |
| Federal funding | main purpose of the TIP is to budget federal funding. |
| Federal Highway | An agency within the US Department of Transportation (DOT) that provides <br> financial and technical assistance to state and local governments for the <br> construction, maintenance, and preservation of the nation's highways, <br> bridges, and tunnels. |
| for use on local projects. |  |


| Acronym or Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| Local match | The portion of a primarily federally funded project paid for with state or local <br> funds. While match is required for most federally funded projects, the <br> minimum required amount varies based on the type of funding. |
| MPO | Metropolitan planning organization (COMPASS is the MPO for Ada and |
| Canyon Counties). |  |

## APPENDIX C: PUBLIC COMMENTS

Provides verbatim comments received on the project list, as well as any amendments that require a public comment period. The summaries of each comment period are also included in the appendix.

## Summary of Public Comments

Questions submitted as part of public comments were answered, and all comments were provided to the Regional Transportation Advisory Committee and the COMPASS Board of Directors. Comments related to specific projects or studies were forwarded to the appropriate agencies. The disposition of individual comments is included with the verbatim comments in this section.

A public comment period was held for the Draft FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) August 14 through September 14, 2020. Sixty public comments were received. One project description and one graphic were updated based on public comment.

## Amendment 1

The COMPASS Executive Committee adopted a resolution to amend the FY20212027 TIP on November 10, 2020, to add a Transit Fueling Station and Parking Lot in the City of Boise and increase the cost of the Transit Asset Management project in the Boise Area and the ACHD Capital Maintenance, Phase 3 project in the Boise Area (FY2020). The COMPASS Board of Directors ratified the action on December 21, 2020. A public comment period was held September 29 through October 13, 2020. Eleven comments were received. No changes were made based on public comments.

## Amendment 2

The COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a resolution to amend the FY2021-2027 TIP on December 21, 2020, to increase the cost of the Bicycle I mprovements, Signs and Pavement Markings project in Ada County. A public comment period was not required for this action.

## Amendment 3

The COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a resolution to amend the FY2021-2027 TIP on February 22, 2021, to add the Five Mile Road Overpass and Widening, NEPA, project in the City of Boise. Twenty-one comments were received. During the public comment period, the City of Boise contacted ACHD staff directly requesting to extend the southern terminus from the original proposed terminus at Smoke Ranch Drive to just north of Overland Road to allow for a complete bike facility treatment. ACHD staff agreed with the city's request. This change was made in the final approval.

## Amendment 4

The COMPASS Executive Committee adopted a resolution to amend the FY20212027 TIP on March 9, 2021, to widen the westbound Interstate 84 off-ramp at State Highway 44 (Exit 25), just west of the City of Caldwell. The COMPASS Board of Directors ratified the action on April 19, 2021. A public comment period
was held February 8, 2021 through February 22, 2021. Six comments were received. No changes were made based on public comments.

## Amendment 5

The COMPASS Executive Committee adopted a resolution to amend the FY20212027 TIP on April 13, 2021, to add two projects for Valley Regional Transit and change scope of work on the State Highway 44 (State Street) and State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) intersection in the City of Eagle from a half Continuous Flow Intersection to a traditional intersection. The COMPASS Board of Directors ratified the action on April 19, 2021. A public comment period was held March 24 through April 7, 2021. Forty comments were received by COMPASS and ten comments were received by the Idaho Transportation Department. No changes were made based on public comments.

## Amendment 6

The COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a resolution to amend the FY2021-2027 TIP on June 21, 2021, to significantly change the scope of an Idaho Transportation Department project to replace two culverts on US 20/ 26 (Chinden Boulevard) into a preventive maintenance project to repair six culverts on Chinden Boulevard and one on State Highway 44 (State Street). A public comment period was held May 3 through May 17, 2021. Thirteen comments were received. No changes were made based on public comments.

## Amendment 7

The COMPASS Executive Committee adopted a resolution to amend the FY2021 TIP on August 16, 2021 to add multiple design and land purchase projects and a study on Interstate 84 in Canyon County, a study to determine needs and weigh options for a southern connection to I nterstate 84 in the City of Nampa, additional design on State Highway 16 in Ada and Canyon Counties, design and land acquisition on State Highway 55 in Canyon County, design and land purchase on US 20/ 26 in Ada and Canyon Counties, and a rail and safety project in the City of Boise. A public comment period was held July 6 through July 20, 2021. Seventeen comments were received. No changes were made based on public comments.

## Public Comments Received (Verbatim)

- Draft FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program
- Proposed changes to the regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0)
- Air Quality Conformity Demonstration for Northern Ada County

Public Comment Period: August 14 - September 14, 2020
Total number of individuals submitting comments: 60
Phone: 1
Online Comment Form: 56
Hard Copy Comment Form: 2
Letter: 1
(Individuals could submit comments on any of the three topics on one comment form; therefore, the total number of comments in the table below is greater than the number of individuals submitting comments)

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such,

## Staff Response

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

# Comments on projects in the DRAFT FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) 

ADA Ramps, Greenleaf - As a person in an electric wheelchair, if that picture is accurate, my wheelchair will not be able to negotiate the ramp. It will turn off as soon as it hits that "bump" to go up the ramp. I don't care about what the ADA approves, unless you have someone actually show you, in an electric wheelchair that it is not negotiable, you wouldn't understand the difficulty.
Centennial Way Roundabout, Caldwell -Round-a-bouts cause more confusion, driver hesitancy, possible increase in accidents, than a traditional four-way stop. It would be more prudent to install a signal. This may be a more costly idea, but it is so much easier to handle.
Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa - See above - no more round-a-bouts.
Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell - Please, just put in traffic lights with crossing abilities. These are all roads that I

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department and the Cities of Caldwell and Nampa, and Valley Regional Transit.

The picture of the ADA ramp was intended to provide an example for those who do not understand the term. The picture was replaced to better represent current guidelines.

Multiple projects are included for "Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology." 1) Some projects are for the Boise Urbanized Area and some for Nampa Urbanized Area, 2) projects include various funding sources. More explanation is provided in Valley Regional Transit's (VRT's) Program of Projects, which is developed each year. These projects are also tied closely to VRT's Transit Asset Management Plan
(http://valleyregionaltransit.org/) which is a program to replace assets at the end of their service life - Rolling Stock (buses, commuter vans, support vehicles), equipment (for operations and maintaining vehicles), and facilities (buildings, bus stops).
Sue Hoffman Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, Staff Response

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Format typographical errors have not been corrected.) travel (see above), and I find myself trying to avoid the round-abouts that already exist. TRAFFIC LIGHTS!

SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection, 1/2 CFI, Eagle - I see this, and I understand what you're trying to do - but there will be accidents!

May I suggest that the next time you put out items for comment:

1. That the drafts are separated by city and county for relevancy, and then even more separated by types of issues like pavement redos, bridges, transportation purchases, etc.
2. Put the information out in stages - this was very long and very detailed. Most people won't bother reading all of this.
3. I noticed that in one of the Boise items Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY2025/6/7has three inputs. I am assuming that just because you gave them different numbers that it should be okay to request the same amount of money for three different things with the same descriptions. Nampa did that also for 2 things. I have no idea why you need that much money without more explanation. Key\# 20428 SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise. It isn't clear what if any benefit a 4-foot median will provide, but we badly need a left turn lane from Hwy 21 northbound into Alta Ridge Ct. Heartleaf Dr was vacant land five years ago, but now has 30 newly built homes and a lot more traffic. There are often a lot of trucks pulling big boats and moving fast on Hwy 21 during the summer, and that left turn lane would reduce some of the scary near-misses. Even a right turn lane from Hwy 21 southbound would be helpful, though less crucial.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho

## Stephen Leonard

## 83716

 Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) ITD needs to look at placing an exit at Ustick Road on I-84. The Bridge is already going to be redesigned to accommodate increased traffic loads. In the next 5-7 yrs Ustick road will get encumbered with subdivision replacing open farm ground. Some Residence will need to get to work using I-84, they would need to use midland or northside. Which is already going though a redesign to "Catch up" with traffic loads. An exit at Ustick would help with midland congestion releasing pressure from the caldwell-nampa blvd. and help when accidents happen between exit 28 \& exit 33, ( 2 happened today making me and others 40+ min late). Idaho has been playing catch up with traffic with regards to I-84 for my whole life, by the time 3 lanes are installed it will require a 4th. It would be proactive to install an exit for these new residence of our area, both Nampa and Caldwell are growing along Ustick. This will also help development along the Blvd, to facilitate growth around caldwells walmart making the area much easier to access.
Eagle road needs to expanded from I84 to chinden to 7 lanes in both directions. This could impact some biking/pedestrian ways that are in draft. Please consider it before proceeding. In addition to the expansion of Eagle Rd, it needs to include the tall barriers at the few subdivisions impacted similar to the barriers going up on Chinden. Eagle road is supposed to be up to 55 mph with often large trucks using it. It must be kept as a strong north/south thoroughfare. In future, laws should be changed that buildings cannot be placed so close to highways and highways should be built with large medians between the two directions to allow for future expansion to take place by using the land in the median.

## Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Caldwell.

The I-84, Ustick Road Overpass is currently scheduled for replacement in FY2022. An interchange at this location is not anticipated.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

## Kyle Schab <br> 83661

Format

Online

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the Cities of Boise, Meridian, and Eagle.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Highway 69 south to Kuna was repaved recently but right-hand turn lanes were not provided at major intersections any further South than at Columbia Rd. Kuna is growing at an incredibly fast pace and residents are using the shoulders as turn lanes as a courtesy to other drivers at Hubbard and other intersections along the highway. This is not what the shoulders were designed for. Please plan to add right turn lanes at every major intersection along HW69 south to Kuna. Thank I would propose that the state street project should be light rail/ subway instead of bus. Also, the fare should be free to encourage more people to use.
For the project with Key \#20428, will the widening include a center turn lane? We have been asking for a center turn lane for the last 20 years and now, with the road widening, this would be the time to add the turn lane.

Additionally, will the road be extended on both sides from the widening or will it only affect the field? Will ITD be widening the road into the berm on the Superior/Legend Ridge Neighborhood side, as well?

I saw nothing in this document that addresses road improvements to serve the Hidden Springs/ Dry Creek area. With continued construction and increased population in this area, road improvements are needed on Seaman's Gulch, Dry Creek Road and Cartwright Road. There are many bicyclists sharing the roads with vehicular traffic. There are no designated bike lanes and vehicular traffic often crosses the double yellow line to pass slower moving cyclists. In addition, the current roads are eroding. If the County is approving land development projects in this area, road access and infrastructure to support additional traffic must be part of the plan and not an afterthought. If there is a plan in place to address these issues please inform me of the plan.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Kuna.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Format

| 83634 | Online |
| :--- | :--- |

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit.

Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the Idaho Transportation Department and the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Boise.
Yes, the continuous center turn lane will extend through the area/intersection in question (from Technology Way to Surprise Way).
No additional right-of-way is being acquired as part of this project; all widening will be within existing ITD right-of-way. Generally speaking, ITD will widen equally on both sides; however, in some locations, in order to make the drainage work ITD will widen towards the open land vs the existing rock walls or berms.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, the Ada County Highway District, and Ada County.

Widening State Highway 55 from Beacon Light Road to Ada/Boise County line is \#8 of the unfunded state system priorities in Communities in Motion 2040 2.0. As part of the regional long-range transportation plan update, in 2021, COMPASS will develop a regional pathway plan that will include an analysis of other infrastructure accommodations.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Pedestrian access to the Boise River from Downtown should not be overlooked in the Centennial Way roundabout.

PLEASE provide a sidewalk alongside Eagle between Ustick and the Village. On either side of the road one encounters "no sidewalk" and must walk on the shoulder with traffic 55 mph two or three feet away; bicycles and strollers make me shudder.

We live on Legend Ridge off of Hwy 21 and would REALLY like to see the project (key 20428) tweaked just a bit to ensure the safety of our residents and families. As it stands, the project plan mentions a 4 ft median but this is NOT the same as an actual turn lane which is what is really needed.

The project Key number is: Key \#: 20428
*A center turn lane is needed for the safety of our residents sure to the high volume of traffic on Highway 21 (previous accidents have happened).
*Can the speed be reduced to 45MPH past our street?
*A center turn lane is desperately needed since have no other safe alternative entering/exiting our street.

Key \#: 204280 SH-21 Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise

RE: Key\# 20428
My family resides in Legend Ridge, a neighborhood with its only ingress/egress being Hwy 21, in the subject area of this project. I'm writing in hopes of enhancing the

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Caldwell.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders." Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit. Thank you for your comment. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Format

| Mark Pemble <br> 83605 | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| 83646 | Online |
|  |  |
| 83716 | Online |

Online
83

Douglas Gale
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## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) proposed improvements so that the results will better benefit all of those who use HWY 21 and those who live off of Hwy 21. To be as concise as possible, I'll get right to bullet points.

- Currently, there is no turn lane into our neighborhood. There are 54 homes in this development, many of us with children who drive, so at least 120 drivers, not to mention guests. Stopping on Hwy 21, backing up traffic, to turn into our neighborhood is unsafe, and I have seen more than a few near accidents. This is especially true on the weekends as people head to Lucky Peak and beyond to go boating and camping. We desperately need a left turn lane into Legend Ridge as part of this widening project. The project identifies a 4 ft center median, but it makes no mention of turn lanes.
- Similar to the last point, the increased traffic on HWY 21 makes it difficult to enter it and get up to 55 mph in the small gaps in traffic, again especially on the weekends. Moreover, the traffic at 55 mph creates a lot more noise than the 35 mph speed limit that was in place when we bought our lot. We request that the speed limit be reduced to 35 mph at least $1 / 4 \mathrm{mi}$ before the entrance to Legend Ridge. The traffic flow from Lucky Peak is highly likely to be stopped at Technology Way (1/4 mi away) or at Federal Way (1/2 mi away) anyway, so this isn't a large impediment to their travel. Similarly, traffic flowing toward Lucky Peak is just taking off from one of those two lights and must use excessive fuel (causing unnecessary pollution) to get up to 55 mph (sometimes just to slow down again at Technology Way when coming from Federal Way). It makes more sense, and is more environmentally friendly, to stage the speed limit on Hwy 21, setting it to 35 mph from Federal Way to $1 / 4$ mile past Legend Ridge entrance, then increasing it to 55 mph . This reduces the speed for only about 1 mile, but will make a world of difference to the residences of Legend Ridge.
- Boise has great trail systems, but on this end of town they are not connected. The

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, Greenbelt comes down Surprise Way and ends at Hwy 21; similarly, there is a large pathway that runs alongside Federal Way ending at HWY 21. We get a lot of bikers coming down HWY 21 and it is unsafe because the shoulders are tiny. It seems to me that it would make a lot of sense to connect the Federal Way pathway to the Greenbelt with a wide, protected (either by separation from the highway, or a curb, or something), paved pathway on the south side of Hwy 21. This has the added benefit of connecting the paved trial systems to the Oregon Trail Reserve and recreational area. It is sad that we live less than 1 mile from these sites, but we can't travel to them safely without getting into our car. This connector would open many more opportunities for distance bikers and runners, as well as those venturing downtown on scooters, e-bikes, and such.

- The plan calls for a 4 ft center median, but it does not specify what kind of median. This area has a lot of new development, with a lot more to come. It is also the gateway to the local boating hot spot, as well as many camping spots. Accordingly, a raised median with trees, flowering bushes, etc. would be pleasing to users and would welcome the right kind of development in the area. Much like the other edge of Boise, bordering Meridian, used roadway improvements and development guidelines to minimize the effects of that area's industrial parts, we should be doing the same here.
Thank you for your time and consideration of my comments.
Project 20428: I live in Legend Ridge, near the fire station on Highway 21 where the speed limit is 55 . I can't even count the number of times we've almost been rear-ended while trying to turn left into our neighborhood off 21. I once watched a motorcycle pass me on the left while I was stopped and had my turn signal on to turn left. During the summer, trucks w/ boats \& campers, barrel down this stretch with no expectation of having to slow

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be
shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho
Heidi Dean
83716 Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) down or stop and it's scary. Please add a turn lane for Legend Ridge/Alta Ridge in this expansion and consider dropping the speed limit until all residential areas are passed. The only reason nobody has died is because of the large dirt patch to the right that lets vehicles illegally pass on the right when they can't stop.

## Key \# : 20428

A center turn lane is needed for the safety of our residents sure to the high volume of traffic on Highway 21 (previous accidents have happened).
-Would speed be reduced to 35 MPH past our street?

- A center turn lane is desperately needed since have no other safe alternative entering/exiting our street.


## Project key 20428 (widening of Hwy 21 from

 Technology Way to Surprise Valley). Please put in a turning lane for Alta Ridge residents.There are over 50 homes in this subdivision and no ability to safely turn into the subdivision. Many of us in the subdivision have had multiple close calls and have witnessed accidents at this intersection. As this road is being widened, it would be the perfect time to make this a safer place for all people driving highway 21. Thank you.

Staff Response
project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12-foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit. Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

| Dirgha Khatri <br> 83716 | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
| 83716 | Online |
|  |  |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) The project Key number is: Key \# : 20428A

Center turn lane is needed for the safety of our residents sure to the high volume of traffic on Highway 21 (previous accidents have happened).

- Can the speed be reduced to 35MPH past our street?
- A center turn lane is desperately needed since have no other safe alternative entering/exiting our street.

A center turn lane is needed for the safety of our residents sure to the high volume of traffic on Highway 21 (previous accidents have happened).

Can the speed be reduced to 45MPH past our street?

A center turn lane is desperately needed since have no other safe alternative entering/exiting our street.
We have a lot of teenagers in our neighborhood and big trucks with boats or campers won't slow down!
am a resident of the Legend Ridge (Superior Ridge) subdivision off Highway 21. This stretch of road has become increasingly dangerous over the years with the increased speed limit ( 55 mph ), the lack of a turn lane, and the increased volume of cars utilizing Hwy. 21. I strongly support the need to widen this stretch of road, but I am deeply concerned about the details outlined in the Regional TIP (Key \#20428). A 4 ft . center median will not adequately ensure the safety of our residents as we enter and exit the subdivision, especially as we will now be required to cross 2 lanes of traffic. In addition, the speed limit of 55 mph is DANGEROUS when we are forced to stop on Hwy. 21 to make a left turn onto Alta Ridge Dr. There have been many accidents at this

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation
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Online

| Name, Zip Code, | Format |
| :--- | :--- |
| Affiliation |  |
| 83716 | Online |
| 83716 |  |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) location. Thankfully, to my knowledge, there have been no fatalities, but that possibility only increases with the addition of more lanes. Since Hwy. 21 is the only entrance / exit from our subdivision, there is no other option than to use this road. PLEASE consider 1) putting a turn lane on Hwy. 21 into the Legend Ridge (Superior Ridge) subdivision, and 2) lowering the speed limit to a maximum of 45 mph along this stretch of road. Thank you for your consideration.
More pedestrian improvements on Chinden/US 20-26, please. One crosswalk in the year 2023 is inadequate. There should be crosswalks at 33rd, 34th, and 35th Streets so folks can cross the road safely when needed. Same goes for 44th, 46th and 48th. "Frogger"-style road crossing is for video games, not real life. I am disappointed to see that a stoplight or traffic circle isn't on the plan for Hwy 45 at Locust Ln. in Nampa. It is a very dangerous intersection. Midland Ave. also needs a traffic circle at Lake Lowell Ave. where accidents occur frequently.
What is the ratio of planned capital expense on automobile infrastructure vs. bicycle infrastructure? Also, what is the net present value of maintenance liabilities that will be incurred from those new infrastructure investments? From a quick glance at the projects list, it is very light on spend for bicycle infrastructure. I would like to see at least one of the major intersection/interchange projects (ie. \$34 million) scrapped to make room for expanded spending on bicycle infrastructure.

I would like to see more projects focusing on mass transit to move more people in smaller pockets of high density areas: e.g. expand bus service to Eagle, Star, Middleton and beyond, more bus stops; reinstate the rail system in Boise area up to Nampa, for example; or institute a modified rail system in Treasure Valley.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, the Ada County Highway

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be

Please note that some roadway projects include some aspect of public transportation, pathway, bicycle lanes, and/or sidewalks. In these cases, the ratio of cost is figured at 75\% roadway solutions and 25\% alternative solutions. With these assumptions, the overall percentage of funds programmed in the Draft FY2021-2027 TIP for $25.07 \%$, air quality is $2.28 \%$, and "other" is $3.04 \%$.
The net present value of maintenance liabilities is not
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley

District, and the City of Boise. Transportation Department, and the City of Nampa. shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. roadways is $69.61 \%$ and alternatives solutions is readily available. Regional Transit.
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## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Generally support the list. I would hope that when SH69 improvements are made between Kuna and Meridian that right turn lanes could be added when exiting Meridian Road at both Hubbard and Lake Hazel Roads. In heavy traffic these right turns are hazardous. SH 16 right of way acquisition should be fully funded before other street widening projects are undertaken. SH 16 should be completed to Ustick. By phasing the completion of SH 16 funding should be found by delaying the widening of other state highways.
Project Key 20428: SH- Technology Way to Surprise Valley. The proposed 4' median is insufficient, particularly for turning in or out of my subdivision off Legend Ridge.
The amount of traffic on ID-21 has reached a point that it is dangerous in that corridor. I have been passed by people on the left doing above the speed limit while waiting for traffic to clear to turn left off the highway.

The growth of Boise - and use of that stretch of highway - is making it more dangerous.
There truly needs to be a full turn lane for Alta Ridge so we can safely enter and exit our subdivision - particularly as there is not a usable alternate entrance/exit to this subdivision.
Project Key 20428: SH- Technology Way to Surprise Valley. The proposed four foot median is not a safe or sufficient improvement. HWY 21 is dangerous and deadly. Please listen.

With the growing sprawl of Southeast Boise (the ever-expanding Harris Ranch area and the subdivisions off Columbia Road behind Micron in particular), many more people are using Highway 21. I live on Alta Ridge Court off Highway 21. Over the past eight years my subdivision, Legend Ridge, has nearly doubled in the number of homes and drivers that access Highway 21. Turning left or right onto Highway 21 from Alta Ridge is treacherous. Oncoming cars on Highway 21 are often traveling sixty or more miles per hour. Please add a turn lane on Highway 21. The proposed

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the Cities of Meridian and Kuna.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

Format Affiliation
83709 Online

8368
Online

83716

Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) four foot median is not a sufficient improvement. There already have been fatal accidents in this corridor of Highway 21 in 2016 and 2018. I've also had several instances where I've been in near collisions with speedy cars that drive around me while I'm waiting for a clear left turn onto my street. This section of Highway 21 is dangerous. How many more people need to die for ACHD to listen?
http://www.ktvb.com/article/news/local/man-killed-in-highway-21-motorcycle-crash-identified/277-197365078\}
https://www.ktvb.com/article/news/local/boise -teen-dies-after-idaho-21-collision/277534283359
I don't want any more deaths or near deaths in my neighborhood.

Key \# : 20428 SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise - as residents of Legend Ridge since 2002, we have often been concerned about the danger involved in turning into our subdivision off Hwy 21. It is now habit to watch the rearview mirror in fear of someone rear-ending us as we wait to turn into our subdivision (not that we could do anything to prevent it at that point). A turning lane is desperately needed as the area is rapidly increasing in traffic, both into the subdivision and traveling past it on their way to/from Lucky Peak/Surprise Valley/Harris Ranch, etc. We urge you to provide for a turning lane in the interest of safety for all. Thank you for your consideration.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) We are current homeowners on E. Heartleaf Dr. and would like to see the following considered and implemented. 1) Adding a left hand turn lane into E. Alta Ridge Court. 2) Lowering speed to ( 45 mph ) until after Alta Ridge Ct. going north. 3) Making it a double yellow from Technology Way until Diversion Dam as there is a potential for a head-on collision.

## Re: Key \# 20428

SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise
Regionally Significant:
We live in the Legend Ridge Subdivision. The only entrance/ exit into our subdivision is off of Hwy 21. With the HWY 21widening project, we would like to request the following:

1) Left Turn Lane into Legend Ridge Subdivision. As it is, the left turn is very dangerous, and there have been several accidents where cars turning left have been rear-end at 55 mph ,
2) Reduce speed to 45 mph until past the Legend Ridge entrance heading east

Thank you for your attention.
A glaring omission in the plan is the lack of widening with bike lanes on Beacon Light Road and Floating Feather Road. Traffic has increased dramatically over the past 10 years with the many approved subdivisions with no improvement to the roadways. This is long overdue.
Project Key 20428: SH- Technology Way to Surprise Valley. The proposed 4-foot center median is not a safe turn lane into our neighborhood. Highway 21 is dangerous with many drivers going well above the speed limit on this stretch of Highway.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.
Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit and extending the "No Pass" zone.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12-foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Ada County Highway District.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14-foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has

Online
Kim Carstensen Online

Kim Carstensen
Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

83716

83716
Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) The growth in Southeast Boise has many more residents/people/recreationists using Highway 21. I live on Alta Ridge Court off of Highway 21. During the 21 years I have lived in the Legend Ridge subdivision we have more than doubled the amount of people/homes/drivers. Turning left or right in or out of our neighborhood to/from Highway 21 from Alta Ridge is treacherous. Cars travelling on Highway 21 are usually going much faster than the posted 55MPH. We need a sufficient turn lane that can keep everyone safe. The proposed 4 -foot center median is not a sufficient improvement. In the last 5 years there have been 2 fatal accidents in this area. I recently went to turn left onto Alta Ridge Ct from Highway 21 and a car was attempting to pass me on the left as I was turning. We currently have a dotted yellow stripe right in front of our street. NO cars should be passing where there is an intersection. We often gets cars passing on the shoulder, which is also a violation since they are partially on the gravel. This section of Highway 21 is dangerous. As a neighborhood we also need a double yellow strip on this section of Highway 21 while we wait for the widening project to begin. As residents of Legend Ridge, we do not have the luxury of another entrance/exit to our neighborhood. We are bound to one way as an exit and entrance and we need to have a safe way to do that. We would also love if the speed limit could be lowered to 45 MPH when the project is completed.
I have viewed the proposed projects in the budget. That is a lot of material. It still seems inadequate and piecemeal. Perhaps because this is just the federal dollars being spent. Then there are other transportation projects funded by the state or county?
been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from
Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit and extending the "No Pass" zone.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.
The budget ("TIP") includes projects that are federallyfunded, state-funded, and projects considered to be "regionally significant" (generally capital improvements on principal arterials) no matter the funding source. The cities and highway districts in Ada and Canyon Counties have additional projects funded with local funds that are not included in the TIP.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Project Key 20428: SH- Technology Way to Surprise Valley.
ATTENTION PLEASE. The proposed four feet median is not a safe or sufficient improvement. HWY 21 is dangerous! Please listen.
With the ever-expanding neighborhoods of Southeast Boise, many more people are using Highway 21. I live on Alta Ridge Court off Highway 21. In the past 8 years alone, the number of homes in my subdivision, Legend Ridge, has doubled. The only access into our neighborhood is off Highway 21...and it is treacherous! Oncoming cars on Highway 21 are often traveling 60+mph.
WE NEED A TURN LANE ON HIGHWAY 21 INTO THE LEGEND RIDGE SUBDIVISION. I constantly worry for myself, my neighbors, and especially my teenage drivers. There have already been fatal accidents in this corridor of Highway 21 in 2016 and 2018. I personally have had several instances where I've been in near collisions with speedy cars that drive around me while I'm waiting for a clear left turn onto my street. It is also crucial that the speed limit be lowered in the section of Highway 21 where you enter and exit neighborhoods. Perhaps 45 mph and NO PASSING until you hit Diversion Dam.

I don't want any more avoidable deaths or near deaths in my neighborhood. Please make this dangerous section of Highway 21 safe for the thousands that use it daily!!
Project Key 20428: SH- Highway 21 Legend Ridge/Superior Ridge E. Alta Ridge Rd.
Subject: Left turn lane needed for subdivision on Hwy 21.

HWY 21's issues causing hazard for cars entering or leaving subdivision:

- Increased volume of traffic;
- A hazard for subdivision cars stopping to turn into or exiting;

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12-foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit and extending the "No Pass" zone.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

83716

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

- 55mph speed limit or cars traveling faster;
- Passing permitted on Hwy 21 at subdivision entrance;
- Unsignaled intersections and/or lack of turn lanes;
- Increased volume of multi-axle vehicles unable to react quickly.
There are young drivers in our neighborhood and the potential for serious injuries and/or fatalities are very real when exiting and entering Hwy 21. Traveling vehicles on Hwy 21 are not expecting a car to stop in front of them or pull out in front of them. A left turn lane, lower speed limit and prohibited passing needs to be incorporated to Project 20428 Thank you for considering our safety concerns during the planning phase.
I think more walking and biking projects would be a great asset for the newer subdivisions in Nampa and Caldwell. Currently, access to shopping is restricted due to all of the road work being conducted, and with a few walking and biking paths put in place prior to these projects, both time and money could be saved by residents by allowing them to get to shopping and dining without having to drive long detours, which would also help local businesses.
More emphasis on safety for pedestrians as well as other mobility, such as bikes, scooters, etc...
Highway 21 Widening Technology Way to Surprise Way Key \# : 20428
My husband \& I have lived on Alta Ridge for 5 $1 / 2$ yrs. \& have seen drastic changes in the traffic on Hwy 21. Heartleaf Rd has been completely built out which has greatly increased the number of cars going in \& out of our entrance. I would love to see a turn lane added, speed limit decreased \& a no passing zone extended. Each time I pull into our neighborhood from either direction I continually watch my rear view mirror to make sure an impatient driver isn't passing \& plowing into me and that my turn signal has

Staff Response

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit and extending the "No Pass" zone.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Nampa, and the City of Caldwell.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

| Kyle Farley <br> 83687 | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
|  |  |
|  |  |
|  |  |

83709
Online

83716

#  

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) been recognized. I am especially concerned about our young teen drivers who have not developed good judgement in evaluating speed of oncoming traffic when pulling out. The evidence of skid marks on the roadway is testimony to the close calls we have endured.

I also would love to see a paved bikeway added that would connect us to the greenbelt. The current rough, gravel path is very unsafe with traffic whizzing by at 55 mph . To safely access the greenbelt we must bicycle through Columbia Village then out to Amity Rd. It would be so nice to have easier access from our end of town.
This is regarding project key \#20428, Technology way to Surprise Way in Boise (altering Highway 21). As a resident of Alta Ridge and with a NEW driver in the next two years, we are HIGHLY SUPPORTIVE of this plan! We would hope that a SLOWER SPEED LIMIT (55pmh is TOO FAST and drivers consistently exceed this limit making turning on to Alta Ridge dangerous) would be taken in to consideration, as well as NO PASSING lines and signs (trying to wait for traffic so I can turn left is scary as fast cars from the rear often GO AROUND a stopped car!), and a TURNING LANE available in both directions (a 4 foot center median is NOT SUFFICIENT!!! Instead of a 4 foot median and a 6 foot shoulder, we need a DESIGNATED TURNING LANE.). Noting that there is only ONE entrance end exit to Legend Ridge, I truly hope that by the tine my children are old enough to drive (18 months!!!!) that our access to our OWN HOMES is made SAFE. I am tired of being honked at and "flipped off" for slowing down to safely turn on to the street in which I LIVE with my children and husband. THANK YOU SO MUCH for your consideration and for your time in reading my comments.

Staff Response

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.
Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit and extending the "No Pass" zone.

Format
Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

8371
Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) I live in Legend Ridge off SH 21. There is only one way in and out of the neighborhood. We are a family of six with three inexperienced drivers. There have been numerous times I have been making a left-hand turn into our neighborhood and have nearly been rearended or the cars come up right on me and swerve into the dirt instead of stopping and waiting for me to turn. This is especially bad in the summer when there is far more traffic going to and coming from the lake.
Last week my husband was driving, I was in the passenger seat and my 12 year old was in the back. We made a RIGHT hand turn out of the subdivision onto SH21 and were nearly hit head-on by someone who was passing the cars travelling toward the lake. At a bare-minimum that should be a double-yellow line so, in theory, people wouldn't pass there. We really need this widened with a turn lane into the subdivision. I have had too many near-misses and have only lived in the subdivision for 2 years.
I am not in favor of bicycle bridge over the river on Eagle Road Key \#20841.
I am generally not in favor of the funding for VRT. Especially with the decreased ridership recently, we can better use the funds for improved maintenance work and roadway widening projects.

I am in favor of increased funding for ACHD's Commuter Ride, it's used!
It appears that our particular subdivision is not included in a project, although I could not understand how to use the "interactive" map to identify one short segment that appeared to be located in or near us. (When I was working in downtown Boise, I stopped in to participate in COMPASS surveys. They were far less complex then.)
RE: Key\# 20428
My family resides in Legend Ridge, a neighborhood with its only ingress/egress being Hwy 21, in the subject area of this project. I'm writing in hopes of enhancing the

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly extending the "No Pass" zone.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Danielle Scarlett-Hardee Online
83716

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Eagle, Valley Regional Transit, and the Ada County Highway District.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) proposed improvements so that the results will better benefit all of those who use HWY 21 and those who live off of Hwy 21. To be as concise as possible, I'll get right to bullet points.

- Currently, there is no turn lane into our neighborhood. There are 54 homes in this development, many of us with children who drive, so at least 120 drivers, not to mention guests. Stopping on Hwy 21, backing up traffic, to turn into our neighborhood is unsafe, and I have seen more than a few near accidents. This is especially true on the weekends as people head to Lucky Peak and beyond to go boating and camping. We desperately need a left turn lane into Legend Ridge as part of this widening project. The project identifies a 4 ft center median, but it makes no mention of turn lanes.
- Similar to the last point, the increased traffic on HWY 21 makes it difficult to enter it and get up to 55 mph in the small gaps in traffic, again especially on the weekends. Moreover, the traffic at 55 mph creates a lot more noise than the 35 mph speed limit that was in place when we bought our lot. We request that the speed limit be reduced to 35 mph at least $1 / 4 \mathrm{mi}$ before the entrance to Legend Ridge. The traffic flow from Lucky Peak is highly likely to be stopped at Technology Way (1/4 mi away) or at Federal Way (1/2 mi away) anyway, so this isn't a large impediment to their travel. Similarly, traffic flowing toward Lucky Peak is just taking off from one of those two lights and must use excessive fuel (causing unnecessary pollution) to get up to 55 mph (sometimes just to slow down again at Technology Way when coming from Federal Way). It makes more sense, and is more environmentally friendly, to stage the speed limit on Hwy 21, setting it to 35 mph from Federal Way to $1 / 4$ mile past Legend Ridge entrance, then increasing it to 55 mph . This reduces the speed for only about 1 mile, but will make a world of difference to the residences of Legend Ridge.

Staff Response
description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such,

- Boise has great trail systems, but on this end of town they are not connected. The Greenbelt comes down Surprise Way and ends at Hwy 21; similarly, there is a large pathway that runs alongside Federal Way ending at HWY 21. We get a lot of bikers coming down HWY 21 and it is unsafe because the shoulders are tiny. It seems to me that it would make a lot of sense to connect the Federal Way pathway to the Greenbelt with a wide, protected (either by separation from the highway, or a curb, or something), paved pathway on the south side of Hwy 21. This has the added benefit of connecting the paved trial systems to the Oregon Trail Reserve and recreational area. It is sad that we live less than 1 mile from these sites, but we can't travel to them safely without getting into our car. This connector would open many more opportunities for distance bikers and runners, as well as those venturing downtown on scooters, e-bikes, and such.
- The plan calls for a 4 ft center median, but it does not specify what kind of median. This area has a lot of new development, with a lot more to come. It is also the gateway to the local boating hot spot, as well as many camping spots. Accordingly, a raised median with trees, flowering bushes, etc. would be pleasing to users and would welcome the right kind of development in the area. Much like the other edge of Boise, bordering Meridian, used roadway improvements and development guidelines to minimize the effects of that area's industrial parts, we should be doing the same here.

Thank you for your time and consideration of my comments.
Re \# 20428 SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise I live in one of the 54 homes on Legend Ridge. Hwy 21 is the sole access road to our street. I have listed my comments below.
\#1 Please include a turning lane in the 4 foot median into our neighborhood from Hwy 21. a. Much of the traffic coming into our
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Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be
8371
Online shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) neighborhood is coming from the west (due to I-84 access) and requires a left turn. We must slow down from the 55 mph road and signal left. The cars traveling behind are not always expecting this change in speed and it can be dangerous. Many of us have experienced "close calls" performing this left hand turn. As we have new drivers each year I worry about their ability to navigate this situation.
\#2 Please include a NO passing zone near all residential access streets along this stretch of Hwy 21. a. Currently traffic heading to Lucky Peak can pass on either side. The landscape appears very remote (for now) and drivers heading to recreation really aren't expecting residents to be turning out onto the road. Drivers can pass each other on the left or right. If a resident is making a right hand turn out of the neighborhood they can encounter a head-on collision if a driver is trying to pass another at that same point in the road. My neighbors have had "close calls" with this scenario as well.
\#3 Please decrease the speed limit to 35 mph on this stretch of Hwy 21. a. It is difficult to turn into a neighborhood off of a 55 mph street. There are 4 residential streets that intersect with Hwy 21 along this stretch. This is especially difficult for new drivers to navigate. b. It is very common to have bikers along this stretch of the highway. There is a nice spot for bikers to come off Federal Way in front of Albertsons. They can pick up the greenbelt across the bridge or access it from Surprise Way. It would be safer for the speed limit to be less to accommodate for this type of recreation.
\#4 It is worth considering a biking lane along this stretch of Hwy 21. a. As mentioned above, bikers can enjoy what Boise has to offer the outdoor scene by riding down Federal Way and connecting to the greenbelt. Having a safer way to close this loop for them would be safe and increase our bike friendly scene. b. I personally would enjoy easier access to the green belt and Bown Crossing from my home

Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit and extending the "No Pass" zone.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) There will likely be many homes in the future in this area and many people would benefit from this feature. Where good outdoor trails exist, so do nice homes. It would benefit us all to continue to improve Southeast Boise into an attractive living area for all.
Having an East bound center lane for cars to turn in to Legend Ridge (Alta Vista) is an absolute must. If that makes the road 5 lanes wide then it needs to be 5 lanes. Honestly, I'd take a center lane over having duplicate lanes in each direction. The center lane would also definitely need several hundred feet of length so that during the snow season there is enough room to safely slow down from 55 mph . No matter what the solution ends up being the goal must be to allow cars to safely slow down for a left turn without risking a rear end collision or slowing everyone down to a stop.

For Westbound traffic it would also be extremely beneficial to have a dedicated turn lane on to Alta Ridge for those turning right after coming up the hill. This, again, would allow cars to safely slow down to a reasonable turning speed without affecting the other cars behind or risking a rear end collision in the snow season.
We don't need that much excess capacity that two lanes (or wider lanes) in each direction would provide but we do need safe ways to turn off of (and maybe even on to) Hwy 21 without risking damage or safety.

The proposed plans do not help the safety situation. The shoulders don't solve the problem of a single car having to stop completely while waiting for a gap in opposing traffic to turn onto Alta Ridge. The larger shoulders do not solve the problem, the center median don't solve the problem, and the one thing (a full on center lane) isn't even mentioned.
I strongly encourage someone from the planning agency to come talk to every single house of the Legend Ridge community (Alta Ridge, Heartleaf Drive, and all the off shoot streets) so that the situation can be properly understood. If congestion is the thing that is

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, Staff Response

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Format typographical errors have not been corrected.) being solved then it must be understood that it is only congested because of the times when people have to stop, or nearly stop, traffic to safely turn on to Alta Ridge. Anything that is done that does not solve that problem is entirely a waste of funds! The residents of Legend Ridge are part of the Boise community and contribute a lot to taxes (especially property taxes) and we deserve access in and out of our street to be safe for us and for everyone using Hwy 21. As it stands now there have been far too many accidents and too many times we have been at a stop and watching with held breaths wondering if the person behind us is actually going to stop or if they won't notice the brake lights or realize that it is icy and ram into us.
Center turn lane for East bound, right turn lane for west bound, and maybe even a merge lane going westbound for people exiting Legend Ridge. These are the things that will reduce congestion and contribute greatly to safety. Please pay attention and solve the real problem and not spend a lot of time and money (because we know whatever is done will take the better part of two years to accomplish) on things that won't help the problem.
Key \#: 20428-widening highway 21 from T geology way to Surprise way.
We live in Alta Ridge subdivision, Off from highway 21 just past the fire station. we have seen our share of accidents in front of the turn into our subdivision. This is our request to improve the safety of our family and the neighbors who live in our subdivision:

1. Please change the speed limit to 35 ( no more than 45). Current speed limit is 55 . People speed 60-70 on that road with their trailers and boats going to lucky peak. Turning 'right' out of our subdivision is difficult with fast drivers as there is hill coming up from the bridge and You can't easily see the drivers speeding up to you until it is too late. You either have to gun it onto the highway or you

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, make them slow down as they come upon you (road rage waiting to happen).
2. Please add a dedicated turn lane from the highway into our subdivision. A 4 food wide center meridian with an opening into our subdivision is not sufficient. It is extremely dangerous to turn left into our subdivision when you make drivers slow down from 55+ to 0 . Many times they try to go around you onto the dirt edging, several months back I was turning left Waiting for traffic the opposite direction to clear when a car came fast upon me, slammed on their breaks and the car behind them did not have time to stop and slammed into them and they went careening off the side of the road. The speed limit HAS TO CHANGE! And for public safety there has to be a dedicated turn lane much like the turn into Columbia village just down the road before the bridge.
3. Please make this widening extension into the BLM Land and not take up any space into our subdivision. The road noise is already really bad. Putting the vehicles closer to our subdivision will make the noise even worse. Slowing the speed down will also help the road noise.
4. Perhaps the center meridian will solve this problem, but there should not be a passing lane going too or from the entrance of our subdivision. When I moved in a few years ago, I almost got in a head-on with a car passing in The opposite lane in front of our subdivision. It is a dashed yellow line, not solid. When turning right, since it is difficult to see fast moving traffic coming up the hill, you focus most your attention looking to the left, you assume no one is coming from the Right, so when you pull out, that is when you notice cars passing. In my case I swerved off the side of the road just missing the head on collision. Please make solid yellow or no passing meridians to prevent this from happening. Note: we only have one in trance in and out of our subdivision.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) RE: KEY 20428
A four foot center turn lane is an inadequate solution along this section of Highway 21. The common vehicles that travel Highway 21 are large SUVs/pickups, often with tow behind RV's and Boats. There have been accidents along this road in the past and speeding is commonly observed. For the safety of our community we must lower the speed limit to no more than 45 mph and widen the center median to at least 10 feet, large enough to accommodate a full size pickup with trailer. Please hear our call for safety before there are additional deaths along this corridor. Thank you!
Key \#20428, project SH-21 Technology Way to Surprise Valley, Boise.
The widening project MUST include a center turn lane at Alta Ridge Drive and Highway 21 (the only entrance and exit point for residents). There are turn lanes all along Highway 21 from Technology Way to Surprise Valley, a total of 3 turn lanes, not one at Alta Ridge Drive. Development at Alta Ridge Drive goes into 2 developments with over 55 high end homes, many of which have children who are learning to drive and elderly residents. I have lived in my home for 12 years and have nearly been rear ended dozens of times (being saved only by making a turn earlier than what was safe or pulling off onto the dirt shoulder). How is it reasonable for all other housing developments to have turn lanes, except ours? Money must be allocated for a center turn lane onto Alta Ridge Drive for consistency in planning and safety for residents, as well as those driving on Highway 21. An additional safety concern is the lack of an acceleration lane when exiting Alta Ridge Drive onto southbound Highway 21 (there is an acceleration lane at Surprise Valley). There is a limited sight distance of slightly more than $1 / 10$ th of a mile with vehicles driving at 55 mph (residents are expected to make a 90 degree turn and get up to speed or risk being rear ended). Though the speed limit could be decreased, an acceleration lane would solve this safety concern.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.
Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

## Decateur Reed

Citizen for consistency and safety
83716

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Dear IDT, I am writing you as a resident of the Superior Ridge I subdivision and would like for you to please consider adding a center turn lane for project \#20428 (SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise). I have lived at E. Alta Ridge since year 2000 and over the years have seen many residence have very close calls getting rear ended turning off the highway into our sub-division. Also as our city growth rate continues to rapidly increase it s getting much harder to pullout of our subdivision due to the heavy traffic on HWY 21. Turning east bound on the weekend is very difficult. If we had a center lane it would be much easier to turn out into the center lane and then continue to merge as traffic permits. Please consider the safety of the residence living in both Superior Ridge I and II as you proceed with the build out of this project. With the planned 4 ft median it would seem like making some modifications to the current plan to change this median to an 8 ft center lane could be considered. Thank you for allowing me to provide feedback and I hope you will please take this into
consideration.
Letter from the Ada County Highway District attached at the end of this table.

## Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.

| Name, Zip Code, <br> Affiliation | Format |
| :--- | :--- |
| Shawn Gunter <br> 83716 | Online |
|  |  |
| 83714 | Letter |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such,

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

## Comments on changes to CIM 20402.0

Exit at Ustick. It is going to be 5 Lanes wide without an large ingress-egress route to the interstate. it is just creating a corridor to be clustered up with all the 4 way stop idaho likes o put in. Creating back ups at each mile causing more expensive redesigns to elevate traffic in Congestion areas (Northside). The road need to be designed to accommodate rush hour traffic. All this money is wasted on these projects if the Treasure valley turns into a small LA and 2 hr commutes are the norm. Plan for the busy times and the rest will work out. The last 3 yrs I have seen the congestion issue first hand with my commute into Nampa from Payette. Accidents on the interstate can completely clog 5 miles of traffic. Before Covid-19 an accident was happening in that corridor almost twice a week. It takes 25 min to drive from the Oregon border to Middleton, hen traffic makes the next 10 miles take another 25 min . one fender bender it adds 1020 more mins, increase the ability to exit the interstate between Caldwell and Nampa before development requires it.
I believe a light rail system that connects downtown Boise to Eagle, Meridian, and so forth should be in this plan.

Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the Cities of Caldwell and Nampa.

Kyle Schab
83661

Online

Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Cities of Boise, Eagle, and Meridian.
COMPASS also recently updated a 2009 High Capacity Transit Study on passenger rail connecting Caldwell and Boise (find the link here:
https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/planning/stud ies/Treasure_Valley_High_Capacity_Transit Study_2020 Update Final0907.pdf). COMPASS will solicit public feedback on high capacity transit options via a survey in early 2021.
Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit.

In Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, State Highway 16 is envisioned as a north/south limited access expressway from I-84 to Ada/Gem County line. The segment from I84 to US 20/26 has funding for preliminary engineering and right-of-way purchase, all construction is currently unfunded.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) I would like an app that makes it easy for me to see where the buses are at and also exactly how I get from point $A$ to point $B$. like google maps in big cities or something similar. I'm here, how to get there.

## Compass has been very wrong in recent

 history with determining growthing in the valley and needing to fix roads more upgrade roads. I feel that the Ustick overpass should be made into an on and off ramp as well to accomodate growth and help lessen congestion on the Karcher overpass in Nampa and on the 20/26 overpass in Caldwell. SH55 should be widened to five lanes from Middleton Rd to Farmway Rd. Would be nice to have more buses more frequently going back and forth between Ada and Canyon counties. Maybe look at developing plans for passenger rail to help reduce traffic at rush hour.PLEASE provide a sidewalk alongside Eagle between Ustick and the Village. On either side of the road one encounters "no sidewalk" and must walk on the shoulder with traffic 55 mph two or three feet away; bicycles and strollers make me shudder.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit.
Real time bus information is currently available through the Routeshout app. It can also be accessed through the VRT website. VRT is working with Routematch on getting real time information about our buses available on other platforms. Trip planning is also currently supported through Google trip planning and other transit applications. These options are also identified on the VRT website (http://valleyregionaltransit.org/).
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Caldwell.
The I-84, Ustick Road Overpass is currently scheduled for replacement in FY2022. An interchange at this location is not anticipated.

The widening of SH-55 from Pear Lane to Indiana Avenue is \#4 of the unfunded state system priorities in Communities in Motion 2040 2.0.
COMPASS also recently updated a 2009 High Capacity Transit Study on passenger rail connecting Caldwell and Boise (find the link here:
https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/planning/stud ies/Treasure_Valley_High_Capacity_Transit_Study_2020 Update Final0907.pdf). COMPASS will solicit public feedback on high capacity transit options via a survey in early 2021.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian.

| Monte Gerlach | Online |
| :--- | :--- |
| 83713 |  |

Online

Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) The project Key number is: Key \#: 20428 *A center turn lane is needed for the safety of our residents sure to the high volume of traffic on Highway 21 (previous accidents have happened).
*Can the speed be reduced to 45MPH past our street?
*A center turn lane is desperately needed since have no other safe alternative entering/exiting our street.

A center turn lane is needed at all road/street intersections with Highway 21: this is a dangerous roadway with vehicles traveling in excess of speed limit of 55 MPH. A 4 foot center median will not be wide enough to handle the issue.

I prefer that the roads are not widened
Please spend money on community transportation, protected bicycle lanes, and pedestrian improvements. Look to areas of Europe for ideas instead of congested areas in California. Making more space for traffic increases speed and congestion. We love our neighborhoods when roads don't get wider. People will choose to live near places that they usually drive if we keep narrower calmer roadways. Neighborhoods will be designed to meet the needs of people living within them. It is fine to have the interstate highway just leave the wide big roads out of the city. I would like to see a 3-4 times a day shuttle from points in the western part of the Treasure Valley to the Boise Airport.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit.
Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

The Idaho Transportation Department will review your inquiry about possibly reducing the speed limit

Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit.

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

## Debi

83702

Online Affiliation

## Name, Zip Code,

8371

Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) What would the cost be to repave sections of the greenbelt that are very rough and bumpy? Can we include that on the spending list?
support dropping expansion to seven lanes on both Fairview and Overland. While useful, other items seem to have a higher priority. Project Key 20428: SH- Technology Way to Surprise Valley. The proposed four foot median is not a safe or sufficient improvement. HWY 21 is dangerous and deadly. Please listen. With the growing sprawl of Southeast Boise (the ever-expanding Harris Ranch area and the subdivisions off Columbia Road behind Micron in particular), many more people are using Highway 21. I live on Alta Ridge Court off Highway 21. Over the past eight years my subdivision, Legend Ridge, has nearly doubled in the number of homes and drivers that access Highway 21. Turning left or right onto Highway 21 from Alta Ridge is treacherous. Oncoming cars on Highway 21 are often traveling sixty or more miles per hour. Please add a turn lane on Highway 21. The proposed four foot median is not a sufficient
improvement. There already have been fatal accidents in this corridor of Highway 21 in 2016 and 2018. I've also had several instances where I've been in near collisions with speedy cars that drive around me while I'm waiting for a clear left turn onto my street. This section of Highway 21 is dangerous. How many more people need to die for ACHD to listen?
http://www.ktvb.com/article/news/local/man-killed-in-highway-21-motorcycle-crash-identified/277197365078
https://www.ktvb.com/article/news/local/boise-teen-dies-after-idaho-21-collision/277-534283359

I don't want any more deaths or near deaths in my neighborhood.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.
To repave $11^{\prime}$ wide pathway with $2.5^{\prime \prime}$ thickness costs about $\$ 46 /$ foot of pathway. This cost includes additional components such as tree removal, root cutting, fence removal and rebuilding, hydrant relocation, etc. Communities in Motion doesn't list individual maintenance projects (which this would be) even when they use federal funds.
Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders."

| Name, Zip Code, <br> Affiliation | Format |
| :--- | :--- |
| 83703 | Online |
|  |  |
| 83709 | Online |
| Laureen Scheid |  |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) The additions to Ustick road will be a great help for the newer homes being added along the road. Especially with the nearby schools, dedicated bike lanes will go along way with helping students arrive safely.
Add training for the ACHD planners to study traffic flow in other cities and states.
Hopefully, will discover that a simple way to reduce congestion and vehicle emissions is to just reduce the light cycle times. By half. Maybe more. No other city in my travels has the ridiculously long light cycles as here in the Treasure Valley, especially along Eagle Road and Chinden.
Where are the rail projects, bicycle infrastructure and pedestrian accommodations?

| Staff Response | Name, Zip Code, Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, and the City of Meridian. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Kyle Farley } \\ & 83687 \end{aligned}$ | Online |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, and the City of Meridian. | 83713 | Online |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> CIM 20402.0 includes a list of unfunded public transportation needs, and passenger rail is part of the 2040 (unfunded) system: <br> https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/CIM 2040_20/TechDocs/Unfunded_All_Priorities.pdf. <br> COMPASS also completed the Rails with Trails Feasibility and Probable Cost Study <br> (https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/CI M2040 20/COMPASS FINAL RWT COST STUDY 090419 _web.pdf) in September 2019 to estimate cost of trail development along the Boise Cutoff rail corridor, and identify barriers associated with trail development. <br> Most funded roadway projects include enhancements for bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure. Pathway and pedestrian improvements with federal funding are listed in the short-term and long-term funded projects: <br> https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/CIM 2040 20/TechDocs/Funded All.pdf. | 83712 | Online |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) I will be submitting comments on the Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian Key\#: RD207-24. I'm a resident of the Bridgetower Homes that are located in the square mile bordered by Ustick, Ten Mile, McMillan, and Linder roadways in Meridian. My home at W. Primeland Drive, is an original Phase I property that is closest to the Main Bridgetower entrance at Towerbridge and Ustick. Since we purchased our home in 2010, we haved experienced dramatic increases in the track at this intersection. During the evening commute traffic heading West on Ustick between Linder and Ten Mile because it is only a 2-lane road, traffic often is backed up from the traffic signal at Ten Mile all the way back to Linder. Heaven forbid we try to exit our tract from Towerbridge onto Ustick during these times! And now Owyhee High School will be opening in the Fall of 2021 about 2 miles to our West which will certainly make matters worse. In addition, there is currently no Bike Lane on Ustick because of the narrow roadbed caused by Ustick being paralleled on its Northside by Five Mile Creek. Whenever a bicyclist is riding on this section of Ustick, it is extremely unsafe with the current level of daily traffic. This project has been delayed and rescheduled several times and I believe that any further delay in scheduling this project beyond 2021, should be seriously reevaluated. Thank you for your consideration. I am concerned as to how these surveys relate to municipality decision making inasmuch as, although the survey results in all cases confirm our neighborhood's position, yet the comprehensive plan developed by the City of Meridian contradicted our preferences in almost all ways, e.g. homes on larger lots, residential neighborhoods, nature (for leisure), more farming methods.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, and the City of Meridian.

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

## David Foster <br> 83646

Format

Online

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Meridian.

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) In the "Add to Short-Term Funded Projects," a study similar to the Northside Boulevard (Karcher Road to Birch Lane)'s "Complete concept and start the National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) alternative analysis study ..." for \$250,000. That would be to develop a study to place an interchange on I-84 at Five Mile Road with the same low level of early study money to be made. ACHD's Integrated Five Year Work Plan 2021-25 has a project to widen Five Mile Road from Overland Road to Franklin Road with design to be in 2023 and with the overpass cost to be by the Idaho Department of Transportation. The large distance of four miles between interchanges is likely greatly excessive in an urban area. It appears the standard distance is two miles from East Boise at Broadway Avenue for 21 miles to the Karcher Road Interchange. (That is with the future connection of Idaho Highway 16 near McDermott Road.) It seem much beyond time for the City of Boise to stand up and advocate for their west side residents. Other towns are capturing the potential west side Boise development. The congestion on the four mile distance interchanges at Eagle Road and the Cole Road areas seems very great and has the potential to become much greater with more development in these areas. Any study would seem, of course, limit Boise city center inbound users to their current routes because of lane cross-over constraints; however, I-184 connector outbound users could be greatly benefitted as well as the nearby I-84 main road users. IN SUMMARY - Time is of essence because of the Five Mile overpass early rebuild design study in 2023. So again it beyond time for the City of Boise's leaders and other local leaders to get behind this west side Boise need that was first shown in a January, 1981, ITD interchange study.

## Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Ralph Mellin Mellin Properties Limited Partnership 83709

Format

Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such,

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

## Comments on the Air Quality Conformity Demonstration for Northern Ada County

Thank you for the air quality study. Similar study needs to take place with sound in some areas. Eagle road subdivisions are exceedingly federal sound requirements.
Air quality should be given top attention. We need cleaner transportation options like light rail/subway. Also, we should be taking advantage of solar power.
I question the logic and even the authority for Compass acting as AQB to require all motor vehicles someone owns to a single address, even if that individual owns multiple homes in various locations and leaves a vehicle at those additional locations.
Would be nice to see something like this for Canyon County
PLEASE provide a sidewalk alongside Eagle between Ustick and the Village. On either side of the road one encounters "no sidewalk" and must walk on the shoulder with traffic 55 mph two or three feet away; bicycles and strollers make me shudder.
That's a lot of information to digest. Keeping things simple, if we encourage people to ride bikes instead of drive automobiles not only will that result in an IMPROVEMENT to air quality (unless those automobiles are run on $100 \%$ renewable energy), it will also result in a healthier population and community.

| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83713 | Online |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83616 | Online |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83646 | Online |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83607 | Online |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83646 | Online |
| Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83703 | Online |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Project Key 20428: SH- Technology Way to Surprise Valley. The proposed four foot median is not a safe or sufficient improvement. HWY 21 is dangerous and deadly. Please listen.

With the growing sprawl of Southeast Boise (the ever-expanding Harris Ranch area and the subdivisions off Columbia Road behind Micron in particular), many more people are using Highway 21. I live on Alta Ridge Court off Highway 21. Over the past eight years my subdivision, Legend Ridge, has nearly doubled in the number of homes and drivers that access Highway 21. Turning left or right onto Highway 21 from Alta Ridge is treacherous. Oncoming cars on Highway 21 are often traveling sixty or more miles per hour. Please add a turn lane on Highway 21. The proposed four foot median is not a sufficient improvement. There already have been fatal accidents in this corridor of Highway 21 in 2016 and 2018. I've also had several instances where I've been in near collisions with speedy cars that drive around me while I'm waiting for a clear left turn onto my street. This section of Highway 21 is dangerous. How many more people need to die for ACHD to listen?
http://www.ktvb.com/article/news/local/man-killed-in-highway-21-motorcycle-crash-identified/277-197365078\}
https://www.ktvb.com/article/news/local/boise -teen-dies-after-idaho-21-collision/277534283359

I don't want any more deaths or near deaths in my neighborhood.
The easiest way to reduce emissions is to allow for other modes of transport, such as biking and walking. We are blessed with great weather here in the valley, and could walk and bike for many months out of the year. With a few walking paths and shopping destinations like The Village in Meridian, air quality could improve greatly. Add in that many will be working form home even in a post Covid environment, and walkways and bike lanes will improve our air quality further.

## Staff Response

Thank you for your comments. Your comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Boise.

Key Number 20428 (State Highway 21) does include a continuous 14 -foot turn lane. We apologize that the description did not indicate that. The description has been updated to read: "Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project will include two 12-foot travel lanes, a continuous 14-foot center turn lane, and two 6-foot shoulders."

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Meridian.

## Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Laureen Scheid
83716

Online

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) This is probably the most important way in which our input (approximately 40 families) aligns with COMPASS concerns but which have been ignored or dismissed in planning during the last two years. Our subdivision of 50 acres has abundant, mature, diverse trees and open space, yet the City has projected in its FLOM replacing us with the commercial development. We believe this will destroy the great advantage and contribution our land currently adds to air quality. Could COMPASS please give us some attention in this overall process?

## Staff Response Affiliation

Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Meridian.

COMPASS doesn't have authority for zoning or other land use decisions. COMPASS has designed the development review tool for local governments to evaluate whether land developments are consistent with the goals of Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0). This checklist is not intended to be prescriptive, but rather a guidance document based on CIM 20402.0 goals. https://www.compassidaho.org/dashboard/devreview.ht

Format

Hard Copy Comment Form


Mary May, President Kent Goldthorpe, Vice-President Rebecca W. Arnold, Commissioner Sara M. Baker, Commissioner Jim D. Hansen, Commissioner

September 9, 2020
Matt Stoll, Executive Director
COMPASS
700 NE $2^{\text {nd }}$ St., Ste. 200
Meridian, ID 83642

Dear Mr. Stoll:

ACHD appreciates the opportunity to comment on the DRAFT FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). COMPASS' support of Ada County transportation projects through grants, federal funding, and planning is also greatly appreciated by ACHD. The draft TIP supports the Communities in Motion 2040 funding policy by dedicating a steady funding source for maintenance and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) projects within the Boise Urbanized Area. ACHD has found that these types of projects are an efficient and effective use of federal dollars, and support ACHD's efforts to sustain the public's infrastructure in a "very good" condition for motorists, cyclists and pedestrians. The TIP aligns with the ACHD Strategic Plan and supports ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) by freeing up local dollars for capacity improvement and Community Programs projects.

ACHD offers the following specific comments on projects added to, or advanced in the draft FY20212027 TIP:

- The advancement of all FY2027 Roadway and ADA Improvement Part 1 and Part 2 projects (ORN22816 and ORN22817) supports ACHD's efforts to use federal funds to maintain the Ada County roads.
- The addition of three ITD pavement preservation/restoration projects supports the region's need to maintain its roads. The three Microseal projects on, Front/Myrtle/Broadway, Boise FY2022 (ORN22677, SH-55(Eagle Road), I-84 to SH-44 Meridian to Eagle (ORN22665) and SH-69, Pavement Preservation, Kuna to Meridian are all state routes that support the local network. ACHD is also pleased to see the addition of an I-84 Bridge repair project (KN 20405) that helps maintain the region's bridges.
- ACHD fully understands the necessity to sometimes delay large, complex projects like the State Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR) capacity improvement project on US 20/26 from SH-16 to Linder Road (KN 21858). When completed in FY2021, this $\$ 24$ million project will benefit the residents of north Meridian and help share the traffic load on parallel ACHD roadways.
- The addition of the SH-44 (State Street), Palmer Lane Intersection Improvements project (ORN22718) supports the efficient operation of SH-44 and the local street network in the growing city of Star.
- The support of ACHD's Commuteride public outreach efforts is valuable for current and future commuters of the Treasure Valley. ACHD appreciates COMPASS' support through the advancement of ORN22386 and the addition of ORN22738.
- The new 5339 Small Urban funding project titled "Commuteride, ACHD, Van Replacements, Canyon County", will help Commuteride replace vans that have exceeded their useful life.
- ACHD welcomes the addition and highlighting of locally funded and regionally significant ACHD road capacity projects on Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove road to SH-55 (RCO133), Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3 (ORN22927) and Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linger Road, Meridian (RD207-24).
- The funding of ACHD's Bicycle Improvements, Signs and Pavement Markings, Ada County (ORN22995) project will benefit cyclists with some of the first low-stress bikeways, based on ACHD's approved Roadways to Bikes plan.

The fiscal uncertainty of the FY2021 federal fiscal year prompts the need for continued good planning and cooperation. ACHD appreciates COMPASS' efforts to update the Treasure Valley's Regional Transportation Improvement Program, and COMPASS' oversight of the region's federal funds. ACHD will continue to work with its city and county partners, COMPASS and ITD to maintain the network and plan for necessary capacity improvements in growth areas across the county.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Tom Ferch, Transportation Funding Coordinator, at tferch@achdidaho.org or 208-387-6157.

Sincerely,


ACHD Commission President

Cc: COMPASS Executive Committee

# Public Comments Received (Verbatim) 

## For amendments to:

## FY2020-2026 and FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation I mprovement Programs

Public Comment Period: September 29 through October 13, 2020
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 11

## Topic: Transit - Fueling Station and Parking Lot, Boise. Transit - Fueling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise, VRT. Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise, 2020. More details can be found here.

Outreach methods: Three email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels

| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As a senior citizen I am concerned that not enough attention is paid to those of us on foot. It appears that so much attention is paid to auto traffic, even bike traffic and now electric scooters that so-called improvements have actually diminished safety and convenience of pedestrians. It has become worse with the addition of scooters. Many bike riders will at least ring a bell or announce "on your left". I have never received any such warning from a scooter rider who are traveling much faster than pedal powered bikes. <br> Contractors are allowed to close sidewalks for months, often with no good detour except having to walk in a busy street. I had to use a walker after hip surgery and found $I$ had to take a four block detour to get to a destination one block away. It stayed that way for weeks with no sign of work on the project for several days. <br> The road changes for St Lukes is another example. I kept seeing reports of solving issues for auto and bike traffic but things are worse for those on foot. <br> I keep seeing that we want to reduce auto use but, for seniors at least, more hurdles keep showing up for foot traffic. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Ada County Highway District. | 83716 (Boise) Richard Rapp | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Before the pandemic I regularly met with fellow BSU Emeriti and found that many of us shared the same concerns. |  |  |  |
| I'm not a big fan of the mostly empty buses running around the Valley. <br> But I am in favor of this change. <br> Trust you are doing well \& staying safe | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Mac McOmber | Email |
| I would be happier to hear that the Treasure Valley was working to design an electrically powered light rail system or electrically powered bus network. <br> Thank you for asking for community input, | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. <br> While the project presented in the public comment period is for improvements to a fuel island and parking lot extension, the overall need for these improvements is due to the transition to an electric-powered bus fleet. <br> However, compressed natural gas-powered buses will be used as the fleet is converted. | Alex McKinley | Email |
| We need transport FROM \& TO downtown Eagle Area. <br> I'm only 48 w/rare brain \& autoimmune diseases \& trouble getting tranport as local Senior/Disabled Van is overused by local ABLE BODIED seniors to get to non essential serviceswhile I have to fight to get to essential medical services- not ok! <br> (The organizers do their best- it isn't their fault- PRIORITY seating needs to be put in place until more options $r$ available. Those able bodied \& w/financial means should be using Uber \& Lyft as well as drive themselves when they $r$ perfectly capable!) | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | 83616 (Eagle) Lisa Schweiter | Email |
| To whom it may concern Please vote YES on the proposed CNG fueling station. I can see it would result in greater efficiencies for the current bus system and hopefully the station will be accessible to the public. While few in number the closeness to the interstate would enhance the use of this under utilized cleaner air fuel Thank You | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | 83686 (Nampa) Hubert Osborne | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| To keep up with the explosive population growth in the Treasure Valley, the addition and expansion of public transportation in densely populated areas are crucial, in the form of public buses using compressed natural gasses, and the reinstatement of the light rail system. <br> Bicycles or scooters made available in the Boise area are useful for those who are not impaired mobility-wise. Those who are mobility-impaired need other forms of transportation, such as accessible buses or vans. <br> Expansion of roads is a temporary solution to explosive growth. More creative mass transit is needed to move more people into smaller geographical areas. <br> Any questions or comments, please feel free to contact me via this email or phone | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | (Eagle) Fely A. Brooks | Email |
| Hello, I support VRT applying for the competitive grant to make improvements to the compressed natural gas fueling system and the parking lot at the Orchard maintenance facility in the City of Boise. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Caile Spear | Email |
| Regional transportation <br> I support the proposed TIP amendment to add a project for Valley Regional Transit for improvements to the compressed natural gas fueling system and the parking lot at the Orchard maintenance facility in the City of Boise. <br> I also support the Come Together senecio. I am an Idaho native and have lived here the majority of my life, however I lived in Alexandria, Virginia for almost 6 years. I commuted to the Smithsonian via the metro system, and used public buses to travel about DC. The commute worked so well for for me, and will work for the commuters of the Treasure Valley. <br> The benefits of a Fully intagrated transit system include; speed, ease of use, avoiding traffic hassles, parking expense, better mental well being, and a bit of exercise to get to transit hubs. <br> Of course the BIG WIN is reduction of greenhouse gases. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | (Caldwell) Carol Prentice | Email |
| Thanks for pushing forward to electric vehicles. The amendment to update the fueling station for to help accomplish this goal seems a good idea. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | (Boise) Liz Vavricka | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the <br> commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been <br> corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Codermat <br> (City) <br> Name <br> Affiliation |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| I support any and all amendments or proposals that would <br> expand and bring forward to next year any project that <br> supports or enhances public transportation infrastructure. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional <br> Transit. | 83703 <br> (Boise) | Email <br> Gary Roeder |
| Please built a freeway off and on-ramp on Middleton rd while <br> there is still space... | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with <br> the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho <br> Transportation Department. | Email |  |
| I would ease congestion on Karcher and Cleveland blvd |  |  |  |
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## Public Comments Received (Verbatim)

## For amendments to:

Communities in Motion 20402.0 and

## FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation I mprovement Program

> Public Comment Period: December 28, 2020 through January 11, 2021
> Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 21

## Topic: Five Mile Road Overpass, Boise, widen bridge with curb, gutter, sidewalks, and bike lanes

Outreach methods: Three email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels

| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thank you for continuing to provide this kind of outreach. <br> I very much appreciate that bike lanes are being considered for this overpass. As a bike commuter and a previous ad hoc member of the COMPASS planning efforts, I'm pretty aware of the biking facilities around town and also the areas for improvement for biking around the Valley. Clearly, the closer to Meridian biking gets the less accommodating the roadways are for biking. I'm very glad to see that planning for the future includes these types of considerations. <br> By the way, I haven't been as involved in COMPASS as I previously was and would be interested in getting more involved again. l've done quite a bit of research on what types of bike safety recommendations have been developed and implemented around the US. My research is out of date but I would definitely update it if there was a way for me to be part of planning. <br> My previous participation in COMPASS came as a member of the board for the Treasure Valley Cycling Association (TVCA). I'm no longer involved with them but I would still like to be a contributor to efforts like those of COMPASS. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> [Staff will follow up "off-line."] | Dan Morrow | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I'm supportive of replacing the Five Mile overpass. It's currently a bottleneck for automobile traffic and should be four lanes. It's also very dangerous for bicyclists and pedestrians. I assume you'll take care of that issue in the new design. | The concept level design for the Five Mile Overpass and roadway widening includes curb, gutter, sidewalks, and enhanced bike lanes on both sides of the roadway. <br> Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Rick Just | Email |
| Purchase lands now for a North and South of Boise highway. Down to Kuna and up to North Eagle. From Memory road to Middleton. Phoenix, Las Vegas, Denver all waited and paid premium prices for the real estate later. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. |  | Email |
| I'm all for it. That being said, not having an off-ramp availability between the flying Y and Eagle Rd. is severe traffic liability and feel the ability to create this on/off connection particularly going east to west is a huge long term liability for the amount of people that are expected to move to the Boise area in next 10 years and creating that ability at 5 mile seems logical. You can't do a full circular like 10 Mile - but simply having the ability long term is needed. <br> In addition, another on/off between 10 mile and Garrity should also be addressed and a 4 lane highway either State St. or Chinden all the way to Middleton or Caldwell will be needed. Lastly a large circle loop/freeway from E. of Micron <br> Kuna - Caldwell(ish) circling south and west of valley where the growth is happening should be a long term strategy. It's not that far fetched for us to be the next Austin, TX - and they failed miserably at traffic.....yet other larger cities who institute these "loops" have done a better job of weathering large traffic concerns. <br> Now - who writes the check? To start - increase DMV car/truck registration fee's. I grew up in Cd'A and moved to Portland for college - and now I'm back in Boise. I feel we could easily "double" these fee's statewide and still be under the cost of what most states charge in vehicle fee's. A great way to make money statewide - and keep those funds in the counties where the vehicles are registered. <br> Thanks. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83642 (Meridian) Mike Kirby First Federal Bank | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I fully support going forward with the planning/studies for the Five Mile Road overpass at I-84. <br> I am sure it will be designed, similar to the Cloverdale overpass, such that the abutments will allow for the future widening of I-84 to 5 lanes in each direction. | Yes, the concept level design is very similar to the Cloverdale Road Overpass with the intent to allow for future widening of I-84 underneath. <br> Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Mac | Email |
| I am surprised that comments are not being captured directly from the website. May times citizens may be reluctant to comment if they are required to use their an e-mal address, not wanting to get added to some distribution list. | Thank you for your comments. They will be provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Patrick Malloy | Email |
| I am not in favor of the additional unfunded $\$ 10.2$ million for construction and $\$ 2.6$ million in designing the project. <br> There must be another budget minded solution to this issue. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Philip Johnson | Email |
| 100 \% support widening this overpass and adding pedestrian areas to cross. It is dangerous and traffic is getting heavy with this $* * * * * * *$ of a mayor and the prior one building a **** ton (technical term for too many) of apartments out this way. Five mile needs to be widened to move people along across that bottleneck that currently exists. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. |  | Email |
| Hello and thank you for allowing us to comment on this project. <br> I think it is a good idea to replace the overpass. I don't think Five Mile needs to be widened, we need to promote mass transit not more cars on the roads. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Caile Spear | Email |
| I would like to personally go on record as being opposed to this project and concept unless and until it is expanded to include an interchange, complete with on and off ramps. Merely widening the overpass will not accommodate the growth that is currently being experienced in our region. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Kent Goldthorpe, President ACHD Board of Commissioners | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Looks good from what I see. <br> I'm sad there wasn't a COMPASS comment period for the Karcher, Middleton, Ustick and Linden overpass projects. <br> If there was, I don't remember. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> Regarding public comment opportunities on the other projects you mentioned... <br> Public comment is requested each year (usually in August) on the entire transportation improvement program (budget). Individual projects typically only have their own unique comment periods if they are added or significantly changed mid-year. <br> The Karcher Interchange project was added mid-year, so did have its own public comment period, which occurred in May 2016. <br> Similarly, the environmental study (comparable to this study for Five-Mile) for the I-84, Karcher Interchange in Nampa to the City of Caldwell project was added midyear, so had its own public comment period in summer 2017. Actual construction of the project was also added mid-year, with a comment period in January 2018. The Middleton, Ustick and Linden overpasses were part of this larger project, which was later broken into smaller projects and more detail was added as design occurred. Through that process, the Middleton and Ustick overpasses became discrete projects. The Linden overpass technically remains part of the larger widening project. <br> These additional details were reflected in the annual update open for comment, but did not have their "own" separate public comment periods. | Mark | Email |
| I vote to create another East-West Freeway running from I84 east, then west along the Terrace Front, north of the North End, through North Eagle and North Middleton to connect with I-84 north. That IMHO should be your \#1 priority. <br> I am not enthused about additional Bike Lanes running outbound from the downtown college. <br> I am DEFINITELY NOT in favor of any light rail options ANYWHERE. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | John D. Fortier Middleton | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hi, this piece of 5 mile Road is a real bottle neck on a busy artery. I can say that this really needs to be done. Thanks | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Susan Bradley | Email |
| I would like to put forth my support toward the amendment of the CIM 2040 2.0, adding 5 Mile overpass and a section 5 mile to the TIP. This is a hugely important area of improvement for pedestrian and bicycle movements. This overpass has no sidewalk or bike lane, no shoulder even, and it serves as an important connection to grocery, jobs, medical, and other services for the northern side of the current bridge. Given the future of 5 Mile road to the south of this project, and the widening that is coming, this project will be the necessary network connection in the regional bike and pedestrian movements. <br> One item which was brought to my attention is the maintenance plan for this overpass, as well as so many other projects put forth by ITD/ACHD. It is my understanding that there is a deficit in the budget for ongoing facility maintenance, and that is concerning. Expansion should always be weighed against the long term care and keeping of the roadway system. I assume that this study will take into account all types of design, and will land on the most long term cost efficient and equitable project possible. <br> Thank you for allowing public voice to this project! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | $\begin{gathered} \hline 83702 \\ \text { (Boise) } \\ \text { Lisa Brady } \end{gathered}$ | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the Five Mile Overpass Project! <br> Having worked as a Senior Right-of-Way Agent for the Idaho Transportation Department I understand the process. <br> Having worked in civil engineering and construction also I understand obstacles. <br> I am grateful to see the cooperative agreement between agencies and all that is necessary to widen Five Mile. <br> Note 1. I earlier requested personnel to drive south on Five Mile Road from Franklin Rd. after dark being sure to go over the overpass as cars with headlights on are driving north on Five Mile. <br> The southbound driver will experience headlights appearing to be approaching him from his own lane. <br> As a R/W agent I looked around the area for a logical explanation for this alignment configuration (without bothering anyone for a public records request). <br> A couple of guesses: a. At the corner of the storage units, near the freeway, which is north of I-84, there might be an irrigation system or other utility that may have not wanted to sign off on an air easement, b. I talked to former landowners who dealt with right-of-way in that area and perhaps, at the time, an agency could not obtain the land needed for a clean alignment. <br> To wit: I am pleased to read the widening will happen. It would be fascinating to look at the original project plans and R/W notes. <br> You are surely inundated with requests for road improvements. Best of luck to you. If I can be of assistance in any way I am more than happy to listen. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Jan Strough | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I believe replacing and widening the Five Mile Road Overpass over I-84 to 4 lanes is a valuable project and improves another important north-south corridor. However, I think the money can be better spent: <br> - Is the Five Mile Road Overpass currently a significant safety risk because of repair status? <br> - Five mile is only 2 -lane with a turn lane north of Ustick Road and not an efficient solution for a continuous northsouth corridor all the way to Chinden Blvd. I believe that most important roadway investments need to efficiently connect south Boise and Meridian all the way to Chinden Blvd. (Hywy. 20/21). This is needed to keep traffic from diverting among alternate streets to find the fastest route connecting south of I-84 to Chinden Blvd. <br> - Cloverdale Road already does provide a 4 -lane with center turn lane all the way to Chinden Blvd. <br> - The next overpass that would greatly relieve north/south commuting traffic congestion would be constructing a Linder Road Overpass over I-84. <br> - I think the greatest valley need is enhancing east-west corridors to provide greater capacity for commuting traffic, (i.e., I-84 corridor, Chinden BIvd., and State Street). <br> - I would much rather see this proposed $\$ 2.6$ million earmarked and set aside to develop and start a high-capacity east/west rail solution. <br> This is the pertinent information I was seeking. <br> You all have expert knowledge that I do not have. I would consider that "fair" condition means there is not an urgent roadway/bridge security issue. The lack of dedicated pedestrian and bicycle facilities is more complicated. I will have to observe again when I cross the overpass. While definitely not ideal, is there not a road shoulder on the overpass that is currently used. If that is the case, my position is that construction of a new Linder Road overpass would be of much greater value to our communities and do more to ease traffic congestion and pressure. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> The current overpass was built in 1966 and is considered in "fair" condition. It does not have dedicated pedestrian and bicycle facilities, so a new overpass will provide a much safer facility for those users and is anticipated to function better for all users. | 83713 <br> (Boise) <br> Michael (Mick) <br> D. Armstrong, <br> Jr, CPA, CGMA <br> Idaho State <br> Board of <br> Accountancy, <br> Meridian Chamber of Commerce, Boise Rescue Mission Ministries | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I wished to comment regarding my support for expanded bicycle and sidewalks along 5 mile, and hopefully along all roads in the valley eventually. Walkable neighborhoods and communities have become more desirable over the years, and have had positive impacts on home values for any home I've owned. Personally, I'd love to take a bike shopping trip during the summer instead of driving. It'll be good for both the environment and my waistline! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Kyle Farley | Email |
| These comments are regarding the project to plan for the Replacement of the Five Mile Bridge over I-84 and the Widening of Five Mile from Smoke Ranch Rd. to the south side of the Franklin Rd. intersection. <br> This project has been extremely needed for the last ten years! I am shocked to find that planning has not even started! The current two lane bridge is completely inadequate and could be completely blocked by a single accident, which is very likely when the bridge is icy. <br> The replacement bridge needs to be at least four lanes wide. It needs to have wide sidewalks on both sides of the bridge. The approaches to the bridge need to be at least four lanes wide. The current bridge is totally inadequate for emergency vehicle use, especially by Fire Trucks! <br> I think this is the most urgent project in all of Ada County! It should be completed by 2022 if at all possible. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Elizabeth Brigante | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| See attached letter. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> We maintain an email list for direct contact for public comment opportunities. Please let us know if you would like to be added to that list for direct notification in the future. In addition, we post information about public comment in the Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press, and on COMPASS' social media sites. <br> This public comment opportunity is just a first step, to add a study to the budget. Once the study begins, there will be multiple opportunities to be involved through the Ada County Highway District (ACHD) and Idaho Transportation Department. Information on the project, and how to be involved, will be available here: <br> http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/projects.aspx <br> We reached out to ACHD for answers to your cost questions. Their response is below: <br> ACHD has been planning a 5 -lane Five Mile Road between Overland and Franklin. It has been included in our Capital Improvements Plan since 1992. ITD has jurisdiction over the interstate including the Five Mile Road overpass. ACHD can't design and build the overpass, ITD must design and build the overpass. We will be involved with improvements leading up to the overpass. <br> The cost estimate for this project is a planning level estimate. Right-of-Way impacts, irrigation crossings, and other specific project elements differ between Cloverdale and Five Mile. In addition, Five Mile is being prepared as a Federal Aid project which has more requirements including a full environmental review. Costs also increase over time due to inflation and increased construction costs. | David Palumbo | Letter via Email |
| I highly support the replacement and widening of the five mile overpass. It is very important as it would be the final bridge on I-84 to upgrade in Boise, and it would provide the space under the bridge for a HOV lane which is very important. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors |  | Email |
| See attached letter. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors | Ralph Mellin | Letter via Email |
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ttisdale@compassidaho.org January 11, 2021

RE: Compass Amendment \#3; Communities in Motion 2040 2.0; Five Mile Road Overpass and Widening, NEPA, Boise

I have lived south of I-84 off Five Mile Road (5MR) for over 40 years. I have traveled over the interstate uncountable times at a multi-variety of times over those years.

I am greatly surprised the replacement of the Five Mile Road Overpass is not further along in the planning process. With the extensive residential and commercial real estate development south of the interestate between Meridian Road and Curtis Road, I would believed the priority of the subject project planning and construction would be much higher on the planned transportation construction timeline. Do you know how many living units are approved and planned for the cited area?

Prior to the current Covid situation, the traffic on Five Mile Road, Cloverdale Road ad Maple Grove Road was very busy. During morning and evening commute times, autos were stopped behind traffic lights for half a mile or more. Funneling that traffic through the Five Mile Road overpass can be an interesting opportunity for defensive driving. Especially, given the fact drivers in the right hand merge lane, in front of the post office, believe they have the right of way! I also believe the living unit development at the SW corner of 5MR \& I-84 to be very dangerous opportunity for accident.

With the current Covid situation, traffic has been reduced to below the most recent traffic counts I have read. These counts were apparantly taken during the summer of 2018 and 2019 and dishonestly utilized as traffic statistics for approval of real estate projects south of the interestate. Dishonest because " $+/$ - half" the population of those who utilize Five Mile Road were on vacation.

Therefore, please do the the project planning that should have been completed years ago. Please schedule the completion of the project for sooner than "as soon as possible." I find it discouraging that Compass and Ada County Highway District do not have the authority to require the area cities and Ada County to delay planned residential and commercial projects until the transportation and other required infrastructure has been properly completed to best serve all the people (the primary source of funding for both ACHD and Compass) impacted by those development activities.

I do not have much experience in budgeting the planning or construction of road/overpass projects. The $\$ 2.6$ million dollar estimate appears excessive given you recently completed the overpass on Cloverdale Road. There should be considerable cost savings by utilizing the plans for that project. The end result would be similar. Do you utilize David Bacon Wage Rates for the project planning process? The estimate for the construction portion also appears to be excessive. Is this due to Davis Bacon Wage Rates or there are not adequate competitive companies (who may take turns low bidding projects) to work on these type of road work or some other reasons? With the current unemployment rates in and around Idaho, there sould be plenty of potential workers willing to work for less than the inflated and unnecessary David Bacon Wage Rates.

Lastly, today, I just learned of this request for comments on this specific project as today being the deadline for these comments. Please communicate why I and my many neighbors were not notified in writing in a more timely fashion.

Thank you and Peace,
David E. Palumbo

# COMMENTS ON ADMENDMENTS TO CIM 2040 2.0 AND FY 2021-2027 TIP <br> By Mellin Properties Limited Partnership on January 11, 2021 

We support the proposed amendments to the above CIM and TIP to add an item for the replacement of the Five Mile Road overpass and to widen Five Mile Road.

NEED. This section of road improvement is long overdue in this increased use area of the western portion of the City of Boise and the eastern portion of the City of Meridian. This overpass is seemingly the last one-mile section-line road to not to be replaced from the original construction of I-84 about 60 years ago in the early 1960s in Ada County. That is when the population of this part of the county was very much less.

This somewhat narrow two-lane overpass is certainly quite dangerous for pedestrians and bicyclists that use it to cross I-84 from the suburban shopping centers located $1 / 2$ mile and $1 \frac{1}{2}$ mile on either side of it.

In addition, the congestion in this two-lane, one-mile road is such that traffic generally flows continuously at a slightly reduced rate during its daily high-use time. However, adjacent subdivision traffic attempting to access Five Mile Road during these times is forced into a dangerous situation. There are generally no merge lanes from these side road or businesses. Even if available, a merger would generally have to force a break in traffic to gain access to the main single traffic lane. Also during lengthening high use times, southbound traffic on Five Mile Road at the Franklin Road traffic light back up for several blocks as they are forced from two lanes into a single lane. So in summary, a four to five lane road is sorely needed in this last main section line road crossing of the interstate without four or five lanes in Ada County.

DESIGN CONSITERATIONS. Now when design discussion is undertaken, it is strongly encouraged that the elected decision makers look seriously to encourage an interchange be placed at this two-mile interval from the adjacent interchanges so that we do not continue to have four miles between interchanges in this fast growing area of the western portion of Boise and eastern portion of Meridian. The congestion at the Eagle Road Interchange and connecting roadways are becoming a significant problem. A significant amount of this congestion can be relieved by letting some of the traffic off and on at their closer desired entrance/exit point in this four mile area.

Now, of course, any such interchange design must be made so the east-bound on ramp does not allow but blocks entering traffic from immediately doing left lane changes to enter the l-184 connector to downtown Boise because of the danger of such a three-lane lane change. Those desired users would continue to use their current traffic routes.

IN SUMMARY. It seems it is significantly past the timely time to improve the above noted roadway. And even with the current addition to the noted plan, several more years still will be needed to design and construct such a needed road improvement.

## For amendments to:

## Communities in Motion 20402.0 and

## FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Public Comment Period: February 8-22, 2021
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 6
Topic: To widen the westbound Interstate 84 off-ramp at State Highway 44 (Exit 25), just west of the City of Caldwell, install traffic signal and improve sight distance
Outreach methods: Three email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels

| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Can we see a drawing of the proposed widening, stop light, etc for the Middleton exit of I84. I worry a stoplight will just back traffic up further on I84, unless there is a new lane installed specifically for the exit by widening the existing 184 to accomodate an additional lane. <br> That exit is already a backup onto 184 at 5 pm . <br> Also, I am curious if there is a proposed stoplight at the intersection of Hwy 44 and Emmett Road? | Thank you for taking the time to comment! We will share your comments with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD). <br> Please see the information posted on the COMPASS website: https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prod serv/trans/FY21/1\% 20Amend4_public.pdf. (It is also attached for your convenience.) This information provides details, including a conceptual drawing of proposed improvements. <br> There is currently not a stoplight planned at the intersection of SH-44 and Emmett Road. <br> We look forward to receiving any additional comments you may have. | Frances Gale | Email |
| I think this is a good addition to the TIP. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and ITD District 3 staff. | Susan Bradley <br> Technical Records Specialist I | Email |

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the CIM 2040 2.0 proposed amendment to include Interstate 84 off-ramp at State Highway 44 (State Street) (Exit 25). This off-ramp regularly backs up traffic on to the westbound lanes of I-84 at peak hour, creating an unsafe condition, especially for unfamiliar drivers who don't use the Interstate shoulder for storage. The District recognizes ITD's efforts to improvement the situation by providing a speed limit reduction on I-84 at this locatin and providing reconfigured lanes at the intersection as a temporary measure. The signal and additionl lane proposed will help to improve this situation. Canyon Highway District No. 4 supports this proposed mendment. The District would like to stress that the Old Hwy $30 \&$ SH 44 intersection is also in need of improvements to address capacity issues and has a significant crash history. We would urge ITD to evaluate the proposed traffic signal at the I-84 off-ramp to assure that it does not further degrade the traffic operations and safety of the Old Hwy $30 \&$ SH 44 intersection and encourage this intersection also be considered for improvements in the near future.
Given the cost and in my opinion what is proposed will do little to improve traffic flow I would recommend a roundabout at the intersection where traffic meets the hiway to Middleton
Even with a traffic light a left turn at the intersection could be very dangerous
The most important project in the Treasure Valley is the completion of highway 16. It is the only project which has the potential to relieve widespread congestion across routes. Continuing to disperse hundreds of thousands of dollars in monies for lessor projects delays the real fix.
The Project proposed to make improvements to Exit 25 from 184 in the Caldwell area would be very helpful.
The sooner it could be done the better! It would not be costly and by improving drivers vision as they exit, it would make both the Freeway and the exit safer for everyone.
t:\fy21\600 projects\685 tip\fy2127tip\amend\amend4\public\public_comments_verbatim.docx

## For amendments to: <br> Communities in Motion 20402.0 and

## FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Public Comment Period: March 24 through April 7, 2021
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 40
The Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) also received comments directly. Comments received by ITD during the COMPASS comment period are also shared for consideration by the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Total number of comments received by ITD: 10
Topic: Add two projects for Valley Regional Transit and change scope of work on the State Highway 44 (State Street) and State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) intersection in the City of Eagle from a half Continuous Flow Intersection to a traditional intersection
Outreach methods: 3 email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels

| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I think a transportation service to all of the major city centers in the treasure valley to include the BOI airport would be a great way to use taxpayer money. This would allow for greater use of public infrastructure, local amenities, and makes travel from out of state more accessible which in turn can allow for more money to enter the valley. This also allows under privileged families or individuals to travel with ease, still allowing money and capital to be better spent on local shops, small business, and within the valley. All in all I think public transportation infrastructure would be a great fiscal and public benefit to the treasure valley and all its visitors. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Bryce W. | Email |
| The removal of the CFI from the plan seems like a lost opportunity to take a progressive measure before the problem gets more severe and less manageable. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. | M. Rea | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I am absolutely on board with fixing our highways and streets for sure and making our transportation in our valley better. I think staying ahead of the trends makes all the difference in the world. However! I do believe the highway between State Street and Emmett needs to be better. People die on that highway, Car crashes happen all the time it needs to be four lanes for the most of it out there. If we can have five Lanes going to Kuna which literally goes nowhere then we should be able to have five Lanes going to Emmett which goes north to Council, Cascade, Payette! So it only makes sense to have a bigger highway to handle more traffic out there. That would be my thoughts on all of this is to please expand the Emmett highway. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. |  | Email |
| The following email was sent to various parties within ITD as well as the state government. While I did receive a phone call from ITD's Community Outreach? department, my opinion as indicated below has not changed. The fact that the Project had already started, the fact that there are new people in positions of power that were not there when the CFI plan was selected is and should be irrelevant. I was also informed that the City of Eagle was not even included as a party in the discussion as to whether or not to cancel the CFI design, nor were numerous parties in upper management within ITD. Lastly, as indicated in my email, the public has a right to know who made that call. <br> "Apparently, someone has decided to cave to recent complaints regarding the proposed CFI at State Street and Eagle Road. I could make a pretty safe bet that most complainants have never been on a CFI, but being fabulous armchair quarterbacks, backseat drivers or whatever other term you might choose, they have more expertise than those who design and facilitate our roadways. They have all had ample opportunity to air their grievances prior to the implementation of this RIGHT OF WAY project! At this point, substantial money has gone into research, traffic studies, design, property acquisition, implementation, and preconstruction. So, the State should just toss away 7 MI LLI ON dollars give or take for redesign, paying of the current construction contract, etc. because somebody thinks they have a better idea of what is best for that intersection? And just who was it that made that call??? Apparently, it seems to be a secret as to who is responsible ( not even RIGHT OF WAY personnel at ITD know) which oozes of caving politicians or | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. | Cindy Schechter | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| those within ITD with political ambitions! I want to know who made that call because I am going to work on making sure they do NOT get elected again. It is their primary responsibility to utilize State money (which we pay for) in a balanced fashion.......not just squander it because you can't take the pressure and think you that it might affect you politically. If that is your stance you have NO right to be in that position in the first place. There are many traffic issues in Idaho and $\mathbf{\$ 7 , 0 0 0 , 0 0 0}$ would go a long way to finance other needed projects. Not any less important is the fact that it sets a really bad precedent! One that could have horrible implications for all transportation departments throughout the state - cities, counties, etc. No project decision will again be safe from opposition, even after spending enormous amounts of money and time to design, hold public hearings and acquire necessary properties. We all want to know who is responsible for this terrible and wasteful decision! It is our right to know!" |  |  |  |
| What we need in treasure valley now. Mass light rail, like what Portland Oregon and Salt Lake City Utah has now. We used to live in Salt Lake area for ten years and we used the light rail system all the time going to large events such a Bee's baseball games, football games at Univ of Utah, Jazz basketball games and concerts. The Portland light rail is nice because we can stay at less expensive hotel on out skirts of town and take the train into town down. Now is time to start grabbing the land and right always needed for light rail. Like to also see interstate-84 expanded to at least 3-4 lanes in each direction all the way past the Param/Notus HWY-20-26 exit. You may also want to think about another interstate HWY that goes around the city, like 205 in Portland or 215 in Salt Lake does. Anyway, wish you best in getting these projects going. We look forward to riding the rails from Nampa to BSU football games in the future. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. | Don Benson | Email |
| I grew up in San Diego California and as a kid my mom and I used the bus system daily. It was great, one of the best. Then they got the trolley. Fantastic! The trolley system is so good there. It has been expanded over the years to go many places in the county. Well worth looking into. I would use it if we had it here! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. |  | Email |
| I support the amendments outlined in the link below. https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/trans/F Y21/1Amend4_Public.pdf | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and Valley Regional Transit. | Bob Taunton President, Taunton Group, LLC | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I highly oppose high capacity transit in this valley. I grew up in the Portland area and have seen first hand what this type of transportation does to a community. I understand the need some have to get from place to place, but what I have experienced is individuals using these modes of transportation (light rail specifically) for free rides, a place to sleep, Etc. All my experience has taught me is that these types of transit options more easily bring crime and are aesthetically unappealing. This valley needs to stay special, not end up looking like Portland or Salt Lake. I DO NOT want my tax dollars wasted on such a project. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Becky Woolley | Email |
| I am hoping that these projects will assist the transition from all automobile transportation to a more social form of transportation. A form of transportation where most folks will use the bus or train like much of the east coast does. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | $\begin{gathered} 83704 \\ \text { (Boise) } \\ \text { Joe Gallagher } \end{gathered}$ | Email |
| I'm in favor of proceeding with the State Street Corridor Plan, as long as it specifically includes pull-outs for all bus stops. I seem to recall there was talk about when the 3rd lanes were to be added to State Street, that the buses would stop for loading in that 3rd lane, thereby blocking all other traffic. I am totally against this. Is there a plan for holding COMPASS meetings in person again? | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. <br> COMPASS Board and committee meetings are currently open to the general public subject to physical distancing. The public is requested to let us know if they plan on attending and are requested to wear a mask. The public can also watch the meetings live via COMPASS' various social media platforms (i.e., Facebook, Instagram, etc). We will resume in person meetings with the option of Board and Committee members to participate virtually once the Governor moves the state to Stage 5. We are currently in Stage 3. | Mac | Email |
| The state street corridor for Valley Ride is terrible idea and bad plan in my opinion. Else your widening State Street from the beginning to the end of public transportation, so valley ride may have their own lane with covered / heated/ with actual pickup times and drop off times it will never work for majority of workers. It's always needs to run 7 days a week and 24 hrs a day for at least some routes. It's time we as a Society get out of thinking the Treasure Valley isn't ready for real access public transportation that won't block traffic that needs to get to our main northbound route 55. I'm tried of stop and go /stop lights that aren't synchronized. Most importantly we as a community need some type of train transportation between Caldwell and Boise. Then the same maybe from Star to Boise. Stop saying we as a State aren't ready for 21 century public transportation. I'm sick of North | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | 83709 (Boise) Robert Clark | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the <br> commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been <br> corrected.) |  | Sip Code <br> (City) <br> Name <br> Affiliation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| End running our city and city council. It's time for real term | Format |  |
| limits on all boards and politicians in Idaho. |  |  |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | The decision to change from a CFI to a traditional intersection is in that vein. With some fresh perspective, it was decided the risk of wrong-way driving at the displaced left turns was reasonably high. It is also the only CFI in the corridor, which means people may not expect to see it. A surprised driver is one more likely to make dangerous maneuvers (think of the person who swerves over three lanes on the Interstate to make their exit they weren't ready for). I hope that helps clarify the rationale for the decision. <br> Thank you for taking the time to comment! |  |  |
| I would like to see a new East-West Trending Freeway north of the Boise River along the break-in-slope between the Boise River Floodplain and the Pleistocene River Terraces. This Freeway would start East of Boise near the Highway 21/I-84 Interchange, and travel westward North of Downtown Boise, the North End, Eagle, Star and Middleton. It would then connect into I-84 North near the Highway 44/I-84 Interchange near Middleton. I am also NOT in favor of any light rail system. I am in favor of a regional bus system. Thank you for listening. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. | 83644 (Middleton) John D. Fortier | Email |
| (regarding the Five Mile Overpass) The overpass should only be expanded if it includes an additional on and off ramp onto I-84. There is entirely too much traffic on at the Eagle Road interchange and it does not need to be that way. Thank you. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. |  | Email |
| Stop this constant push for light rail. Don't you realize that light rail is just a racist attempt to get low income minorities, in Idaho, this means Hispanics, to underpaid jobs in the more affluent business areas so they can do the grunt work in the convention and hospitality industry and other low pay jobs? Rather than pay them a wage worthy of funding their own commute, you make taxpayers pay for expensive fixed guideway transportation systems that require continued taxpayer subsidies. Any public -transportation system should be required to be self-funding. Taxpayers are taxed out. Instead, encourage business development away from downtown Boise and closer to residential areas. The real estate developer can make money developing other areas. | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | (Meridian) Mark | Email |
| (regarding transit) It looks wonderful and I hope to get it continued to work towards a route from eagle to kuna and a redoing of the train across treasure valley and a way to have it run more regularly given covid-19 and not most people doing the bus however that will take some time | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Ian Bott | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I'm 48 \& disabled. Please consider ramping up bus access to \& from downtown Eagle. There is a disproportionately large group of people (young \& older disabled \& teens) that would REGULARLY access a bus system if available to them. Also please consider ADA compliant improvements to sidewalks to/from all bus access points- such that it's more realistic for scooters \& bikes to get to/from the bus pickup/drop off \& bk hm. I'm dual insured (Medicare \& Medicaid) my own car (but can't drive it myself) \& qualify for caregiver (but hardly hsve 1 due to severe shortage \& they don't want to drive anywhere) I have additional insur policy \& still have very very limited true transport options- as almost no errand (including Dr appts) can a person gain a 3+ minimum BUSINESS day notice to use any transport system- many many many more people could be working \&/or volunteering if they had access to same day transport. Thank u for your time. (Disabled financial planner- excuse typos per brain disease.) | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Lisa A Schweiter | Email |
| I have a special needs daughter who lives in Boise and uses the busses, longer hours if possible so she can use them in the evening, and more frequent time runs so the wait isn't too long. It's a great service! Thank you! | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. |  | Email |
| I do not have any input on which of the options I recommend or why. But, having seen these discussions unfold over many years in medium and large cities, I offer the advice to "always go big" because, especially in a growing environment like Ada county is in, having good transit is critical. It supports the growing community, makes life better for those who are not happy with the population growth, and is basic to a good local economy. These are things that we all want no matter what political side or personal preference we have. Hope this helps. | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. | Paul Moller | Email |
| I am in favor of light rail in the middle or on the side of the interstate between Boise and Caldwell. It would be awesome on so many levels, especially if bus stops were at light rail stops, so short interior journeys could be made by passengers using the bus. I so hope this happens. | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. | 83631 (Boise) B A McClain | Email |
| I am opposed to the State of Idaho spending and wasting money on public transportation in the City of Boise! I support the other two amendments. They are not a waste of money. Public transportation is never affordable and will never pay for itself. It is a drain on all citizens of the state. If Boise wants public transportation then they, the citizens of Boise, should pay for it. Not all the other citizens of the state. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. |  | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| There's only one possible future for the public transportation method and volume. That is its increase in various current abilities. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. |  | Email |
| I 100\% support expanding public transportation. We need it SO badly. I know people in Star, for example, that need out patient rehab in Ada County. Anything closer, such as Eagle, would make it easier on the person recovering and the family and friends supporting them. I have a disability. I may not be able to drive for much longer. I would also like to lose the expense and pollution of a car. I do live in Ada County. Pretty close to downtown Boise. I'm lucky because I am near several bus stops. However there is always room to improve. It would be great if I could (and users) be educated on how to get from point A- Point B via bus. I have no idea how it works. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Katy Costa | Email |
| I think having a system like Denver's light rail would be a great idea for the Boise area. | Thank you for your comments. They will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. |  | Email |
| Dear Sir, I see our busses are rarely filled and notice the same observations in most cities. Which gives the appearance buses are a poor use of taxpayer dollars in every community, with possible exception of high density cities like New York or Chicago. The hey day of trains and busses ended shortly after WWII, replaced by improved road infrastructure, vehicle dependability and commercial aviation modes of travel. I've studied bus and train modes of travel and observed $100 \%$ of the community pays taxes to finance systems which never run profitability and only attracts $2 \%$ or less of a local populations use! I read an article in the statesman in February 2017 essentially telling us taxpayers we should pay more taxes to create a better bus system for the less than 2\% of our population to use in SW Idaho! A somewhat offending article which never addressed how to wisely use rather than waste taxpayer dollars. I responded to that article; we should shut down our bus system and with the massive savings realized issue travel vouchers to the under $2 \%$ who truly need transportation assistance for use with local taxi cabs. Cabs already run 24/7 and improve public safety by taking customers door to door, which systems based upon predetermined routes cannot compete with. Shutting down our community bus system would save millions, while still insuring those in need obtain a safer door to door commute. Further, route systems are highly inefficient to the high demand schedules of the remaining | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | (Boise) Ron Dale | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $98+\%$ of our population, hence it's cheaper when time savings are factored in to use ones own personal vehicles. Therefore expanding transportation systems of buses or trains becomes a terrible waste of taxpayer dollars ultimately destroying community affordability like that which has occurred in Portland Oregon where until 8 years ago we lived. During the years of 2008 to 2013, I observed a paradigm shift occurring. In those years my customers started taking other jobs after retiring because runaway taxes destroyed their retirements incomes. Let's not let the same bad management decisions destroy our livability here in Idaho. Oh these inadequate transportation systems are always passed off as a method of reducing traffic congestion. Another lie, because $98 \%$ of our population will continue to use their own cars. Portland, OR (and other cities) have a massive traffic problem every day, not solved with the use of trains or busses. Here in SW Idaho close our 'on ramps' out to around Nampa forcing local traffic to use our surface streets, reducing freeway congestion to all traveling greater distances. Stop Crime from expanding radically in our communities by not bringing trains here, and replace our inefficient bus system with taxi cabs. Save millions in tax payer dollars while significantly improving citizen user safety ${ }_{* * *}^{\text {and community affordability. }}$ <br> I responded earlier, but received another invitation to do so again. The following clarifies better my earlier response and thank you for this opportunity to respond with clarification. As for traffic projects to improve traffic flow, all look excellent and I believe will benefit our community directly. However <br> I see our busses are rarely filled (as Valley Regional Transit's website picture confirms) and I notice the same in most cities. Which gives the appearance buses represent a very poor use of taxpayer dollars in every community, with possible exception in high-density cities like New York and Chicago. | Thank you! We included the new information in your comment to the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. |  |  |
| Road widening HAS to be the top priority. Hwy 44 between linder and Hwy 16 needs to be \#1! Hwy 55 from Nampa to sunny slope \#2. Chinden sb 4 lanes out to caldwell. Stop doing needless intersection changes! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. | (Middleton) Steve Flora | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Glad to see the amendment for VRT as it will be an important long term community investment. Hopefully after enough enhancements to public transit, we'll need less highway construction projects. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. | Jordan Engstrom | Email |
| I think its fair to say that people, on the whole, are resistant to change. They are worried they won't know how to navigate through the continuous flow intersection and instead of opting for something new that would work more efficiently, people pitched a fit to cover their insecurity. Maybe take a tiny fraction of the amount that a redesign will cost and invest that in education. People will adjust. State Street and Veteran's Memorial Parkway is a perfect example. People didn't feel confident that they would be able to navigate the thru-U intersection and resisted passionately...... until after construction when traffic from that intersection was no longer backed up to Lake Harbor or on a slow day, Collister and suddenly they had a shorter drive to work because traffic kept moving. The excuse "that's how we've always done it" is not sufficient to justify spending a significant amount of taxpayer dollars on a facility that will be obsolete and reach failure much sooner. Don't waste taxpayer dollars on redesign simply to stay with the familiar and avoid the insecurities of those who are stuck in old ways of doing things. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. | Becca Randall | Email |
| I just wanted to send you my comment. I agree with the amendments to CIM 2040. I am very glad that they are going to do a traditional intersection configuration at the State Highway 44 and 55 intersection. I don't like the half Continuous Flow Intersection, I don't think they do enough to offset the hassle of it. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. | 83709 <br> (Boise) <br> Susan Bradley <br> Technical <br> Records <br> Specialist Idaho <br> Commission on Aging | Email |
| Please use buses instead of dedicated rail. Cheaper, and more flexible. It uses roads instead of having to get right of way land. Don't spend so much for landscaping and then there is no money to keep it up. Educate drivers on common rules of road. Glad they changed the CFI to a regular one, that one was going to be very confusing to motorists. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, and the Idaho Transportation Department. | Gary Gray | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| An amendment to the 2021-2027 TIP is needed to change plans for the intersection of State Highway 44 (State Street) and State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle from a half Continuous Flow Intersection to a traditional intersection configuration. The traditional intersection configuration has been favored by those who use that area frequently, and will better serve everyone using that intersection. I request that this change be made. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. | 83704 <br> (Boise) <br> Elizabeth <br> Brigante | Email |
| I have had the pleasure of living in many places in this beautiful country and here is my 2 cents.... Highway 55 and 16 MUST be extended to meet I-84! I REALIZE 16 is probably cheaper but it must be a done ASAP! All these new developments being built without the builders being responsible for widening the roads is a HUGE mistake. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. |  | Email |
| I have biked and or bused to work for the last 20 years. It is cheaper for me to use bus transportation than it is to own a car. That being said, I have also used bus and rail transportation in other states. All have had systems that had busses or trains running every 15 minutes to half an hour. Most had a system that had ridership till 10pm. You can't build a ridership if you don't have a system that is useful to the average consumer. We have a great downtown hub that is not being utilized by people. As far as I know the only route that has a lower wait time is the State Street route. I know ridership is down due to covid, but it is my hope that we can have a better bus system in the near future. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. | Kim Gibbons | Email |
| Changes to regional valley transit will be of no use, unless bus stops/pick-up points are located where residents can actually get to the bus. For Meridian, a bus stop is needed at either Cherry Lane/Ten Mile or at Ustick/Ten Mile. Another pick up point, for residential passengers, should be at Franklin Road and Locust Grove. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. |  | Email |
| I've been thinking about the proposed Transportation Projects. Have also been using the portion of I-84 Between Nampa and Ten Mile Road daily (which is probably a good sampling of all Boise Area I-84). And I have lived in several communities that have seen lots of growth, most notably Reno, Nevada. Seems you folks should develop some supportable perspective on how to make additional incoming/out going paths into the whole Boise Area both going East/West \& North/South. Seems there is only one main incoming/outgoing path - I-84 which in the last say 6 months seems to be getting some serious heavy traffic! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. <br> To view the full list of regional projects, please see our FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), here: <br> https://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/transimproveme nt.htm\#FY2021 <br> Communities in Motion 20402.0 is the region's long-range transportation plan, which includes the list of transportation improvements for the long-term, as well as | (Meridian) Alan Unterreiner | Email |


| $\begin{array}{l}\text { Comment } \\ \text { (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the } \\ \text { commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been } \\ \text { corrected.) }\end{array}$ |  | $\begin{array}{c}\text { Staff Response } \\ \text { Zip Code } \\ \text { (City) } \\ \text { Name }\end{array}$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Affiliation |  |  |$]$


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I am pleased there is a project to be mindful of traffic and 'passenger amenities' such as bus stops/bike racks/cross walks, but I didn't see any information concerning the environmental impact or how this is included in the construction. I did see plans for a retaining wall, however it would be prudent to include and implement natural water wise plants and utilizing Idaho native plants/trees around the area of increased pavement. Especially if bus stops are not covered, and flooding is a concern. It is important to include and be mindful of the natural landscape not only for esthetic purposes but also for the animals that are local to the areas and to benefit the ecosystem in which we take part in. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. <br> The following response is provided by Valley Regional Transit staff regarding your inquiry about environmental impact: <br> The State Street Premium Corridor Part1 and 2 TIP amendments include projects that are to occur largely within the existing right of way. The project is currently in planning and environmental review but it is expected that the majority, if not all elements related to these amendments will fall under a Categorical Exclusion under National Environmental Policy Act. | Stephanie Grover | Email |
| Comments Received by ITD |  |  |  |
| Comment to ITD: Thank you, thank you, thank you for scrapping that ridiculous, maddening continuous flow idea on Eagle Road. Driving there is hazardous enough as it is without adding that monstrosity. Thank you. | Response from ITD: Thank you for providing the Idaho Transportation Department with feedback on this decision, Kathy. | 83703 (Boise) Kathy Grover | Email to ITD |
| Comment to ITD: Thank you for scrapping the Eagle Road/ State St nonsense. As a retired police officer of 25 plus years more times than not I have seen clever ideas dreamed up by engineers have no place in the real world. If you really want to impact traffic safety, in Ada Co. stop allowing left turns on flashing yellow lights on multilane roads. Also increase the delay between a red light and the cross traffic green. These no doubt will slow traffic but that is can be a good thing. Most accidents and near misses I have seen have been caused by idiots forcing a yellow or jumping a green light. I know you can't engineer idiots but maybe you can slow them up a bit. Thank news. | Response from ITD: Thank you for providing the Idaho Transportation Department with feedback on this decision, Greg. | 83646 (Meridian) Greg Stock | Email to ITD |
| Comment to ITD: Personally I think eliminating the free right turn lanes at 55/44 is a bad idea. I can't imagine this will help traffic flow. This is not an intersection that is frequently used by pedestrians/ cyclists. | Response from ITD: Thank you for taking the time to reach out to us. The revised design removes the free rights to make room for additional turn and through lanes. I understand your feelings about removing them though. Also thanks for the info about cyclists and pedestrians. We've been told by advocacy groups that it is used by both and there were concerns about them crossing to the islands through the free right turn lane. | (Eagle) Damien Schilling | ITD Comment Form |

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

Comment to ITD: Since one of the goals at the ID-44/ID-55 intersection is to move more traffic through, is it possible to restrict large truck traffic to the right lanes on ID-44 before and after the intersection? There have been numerous times I did not make it though the intersection before the light changed due to large trucks in both traffic lanes slowing traffic through.

Comment to ITD: Woohoooo!!

Comment to ITD: Thank you for listening to our concerns and keeping this a more "traditional" intersection!!!! =)

Comment to ITD: thanks for the update. I agree that the CFI is not the best idea. Thanks for making it just a regular intersection with lots of capacity. On another matter --circles-- they only work with little traffic. If one lane is busy, like full of traffic, they do not let the other lane in. And 2 lanes turning with one straight and another turning, a very confusing.. Thanks for listening.
Comment to ITD: Dear Sir, RE: CFI at Eagle RD and ID 44 I have SO MUCH respect for IDT. You have done excellent work in the past. I am very disappointed that the Eagle City council and others came along way late in the game, way after the time for public comments, and asked you to change based on their fears of the unknown. Can it be changed again if you get enough public comments?
Thank you

Comment to ITD: I can't believe that you scrapped the innovative design for the Eagle Rd./ US 40, 44 intersection. I have to commute daily from Middleton to Boise an that intersection is a major hurdle and I was really looking forward to the new design with improved traffic flow. I also think that our state agencies should be the ones to bring us 21st century innovation, even if all the retired folks think otherwise. I really urge you to reconsider your decision on this modern traffic management design. People have gotten used to the new traffic flow at Veterans and State as well.

Response from ITD: The only law I could find addressing lanes of travel is Idaho Code § 49-630. This is in the "Rules of the Road" section and only talks about driving on the right side of center line. ITD will offer advisory signage on certain intersections that may have tight corners for trucks to use a specific lane. I do not believe that is needed for the turns on this intersection. Other than that, I don't believe what you're asking is something we can do. I do understand the frustration slower accelerating vehicles can create. We appreciate you choosing to have patience and driving well.
Response from ITD: Glad you like the change.
Response from ITD: You are welcome. Thanks for sharing your thoughts with us!

No response from ITD.

Response from ITD: Thank you for providing your feedback on this intersection. The Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) is collecting comments on this decision and I've forwarded yours along to them. I do want to clarify that this decision origination with the Idaho Transportation Department, not the Eagle City Council - though they are a very important partner to the Department and were the first to be informed of the change. I appreciate you taking the time to reach out to us and engage.
Response from ITD: Thank you for providing your feedback on this intersection. Your comment will be included on the record for this decision. I appreciate you taking the time to reach out to us and engage.

Format
(Eagle) Russell B. Blair

ITD
Comment
Form

83616
(Eagle)
Email to Beth Landis

83644 (Middleton) Bernd Gallwitz

Email to ITD

## Comment

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
Comment to ITD: We have great respect for your operation and the work you do. However, I was disappointed that the design for changing the intersection of Eagle Rd and ID 44 was modified from continuous flow back to a traditional approach. I read in the Statesman that this will result in longer expected wait times compared with the continuous flow design.

| Staff Response | Zip Code <br> (City) <br> Name <br> Affiliation | Format |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Response from ITD: Thank you for reaching out to the <br> Idaho Transportation Department regarding the <br> intersection redesign. While the new design will not have <br> as much reduction in delay, it is still expected to reduce <br> overall delay by nearly 50\%. It also eliminates the <br> possible confusion of the displaced left turn and the <br> chance for people to drive into opposing lanes of travel. <br> Our partners at the Community Planning Association of <br> Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) are receiving public <br> comment on this update to the project and I have <br> forwarded your comment to them for consideration. | 83616 <br> (Eagle) <br> Jack Swaim | Email to <br> ITD |

t:\fy21\600 projects\685 tip\fy2127tip\amend\amend5\public $\backslash$ public_comments_verbatim.docx

## For amendments to:

## FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation I mprovement Program

Public Comment Period: May 3 through 17, 2021
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 13

Topic: Significantly change the scope of an Idaho Transportation Department project to replace two culverts on US 20/ 26 (Chinden Boulevard) into a preventive maintenance project to repair six culverts on Chinden Boulevard and one on State Highway 44 (State Street)

Outreach methods: 3 email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels

| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ITD, Why would you want our comments? You're just like Gov't, you don't listen to us and haven't for the past 10 years. Look at the mess on hwy 55 which I've been commenting on for the past 10 yrs; you didn't listen then and won't now so why bother. Your organization has absolutely no forward planning at all and every time it doesn't happen, you use \$ as an excuse. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (Caldwell) Chuck Stadick | Email |
| Has anyone ever thought about a car pool lane, encourages car pooling and motorcycles. Thank you for your time | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> Regarding your question, yes, carpool lanes have been discussed for various corridors in the region. However, while carpool lanes, or high-occupancy vehicle lanes, can provide many benefits, they are currently not allowed in Ada or Canyon Counties, per state statute. Removing this restriction is one of the COMPASS Board of Directors' legislative priorities. | (Nampa) Dusty | Email |
| I am 100\% behind any effort to improve our east to west transportation in Boise. I would love to see a light rail from east Boise out to Caldwell. It would ease up the traffic on I84 tremendously. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Kevin Dougherty | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Dear loved ones, <br> It seems to me as this: <br> YES - on preventative, and YES to additional access points for commuters. But NO to the majority of these being solely placed on the Chinden Blvd area. State street corridor is long, even unto Middelton. I am not sure of the numbers, but one maintenance covert on each stretch (Chinden and State St). And a more even divide of additional coverts for each stretch (Chinden and State St). I will let you decide on the comparison of numbers of coverts per stretch, but it had seemed to me as being off in balance. Thank you for your time. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. |  | Email |
| Can we get the doted turning lane from karcher heading south turning left onto caldwell boulevard repainted. I see so many drivers start on the outside lane of karcher end up on the inside lane of caldwell boulevard because they turn to early or sharp. Many close calls. It's sad no one can drive without lines any more. <br> Please and thank you. <br> Thank you so much. I greatly appreciate you taking care of this. <br> I drive this intersection in a semi 3 times a day. | I forwarded your request to ITD and received this response: <br> In response to this concern, our paint crew was able to adjust their schedule in order to get the intersection of Karcher Rd. and Caldwell Blvd. completed yesterday evening. Please see the attached photo. <br> Thank you for your comment! | (Nampa) Dusty | Email |
| My 2 comments <br> 1) do it once. Make the culvert changes so they are compatible with the coming road widening projects. <br> 2) fast track this. We are years behind on road improvements. We need to start catching up! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (Middleton) Steve Flora | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response |  | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I fully support this amendment to the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program. Completing preventative maintenance work by doing culvert repairs now preventative maintenance work by dod to while other work is already scheduled to berformed will reduce future restrictions to traffic along these corridors. *** <br> I am generally in favor of any fiscally responsible projects that improve traffic flow, safety, road wear, and add more public transportation options. We are woefully behind on public transportation and have been for decades. Yes, change the scope of work at the intersection of State Highway 44 and State Highway 55 to a traditional intersection if studies show that it will improve traffic flow. Additionally, this valley cannot wait 20 more years for a light rail system. In addition to high traffic, low parking, and the safety issues caused by them, our valley is missing out on vast amounts of commerce. Large corporations want to move to areas like the Treasure Valley, but when they review our mass transit system to get their employees to their locations, they find we basically don't have one. We have a subsidized private bussing system that covers about half the routes that it should at this point in our Valley's development. No light rail means no major corporations moving their headquarters here and bringing thousands of high-paying jobs with them. The lack of public transportation also greatly increases the current housing crisis that we face. Without effective public transportation, every adult in this valley must have a car to get where they are going. Having a car becomes a much bigger burden when living in high-density housing as there are parking costs and restrictions involved. Improving our mass transit systems in the short-term will go a long way toward improving both our housing shortage and improve our economic outlook. I don't like taxes anymore than anyone else, which is one reason that I love Idaho. But we must do something in the Treasure Valley to address this mass-transit issue today. If that means a special transportation tax for all Treasure Valley residents, I am for it. I know that our legislature must change laws for that to happen, but it is time for somebody to step up and lead that charge. Thank you for your time. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> Thank you for your additional comments, they will also be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. |  | Email |
| I'm OK with this | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Mac | Email |
| These look like justified projects to improve traffic and public safety. Full speed ahead. Best Wishes, | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Ron | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I'm hopeful as a bus passenger and walker that this part of town becomes safer for that population I also feel like in the future there should be a north south route bus that goes to kuna eagle and meridian and a more regular chinden route that more people know about this will help with the transportation and it will be helpful to have it walkable walkability is lacking in chinden and I know that because of frequent times at the fairgrounds at memorial stadium at the special Olympics office and riverside hotel at the bowling alley and on the garden city greenbelt thanks for your time I hope to hear from the other statements soon | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> We did receive your comments submitted on April 8, 2021, about the transit projects on State Street. Thank you. They were provided to the COMPASS Board of Directors, and the Board did vote to add the projects to the regional long-range transportation plan and transportation improvement program (budget of federally funded transportation projects). You can find the updated long-range plan here and the updated transportation improvement program here. <br> In addition, you can find all comments submitted in recent public comment periods, as well as results from the survey we conducted earlier this year on high-capacity transit, on the COMPASS website at <br> https://www.compassidaho.org/comm/comments.htm\#Co mm . <br> If you are looking for additional information, or response from us regarding a question that we missed, please let me know and I'll be happy to get you what you are looking for. | Ian Bott | Email |
| I support the change of plan to include the two now scheduled into the upcoming upgrade of six more. The only concern is to not delay more than six months as that might lead to destructive deterioration. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. |  | Email |
| The proposed amendment to the FY2021-2027 TIP to provide for adding 6 culverts on US20/26 (Chinden Blvd), and adding 1 culvert on State Highway 44 (State St.) is very needed. Both these roads are heavily used, melting snow or heavy rains can cause serious problems. I personally use Chinden Blvd. frequently. It will be much safer for everyone when these needed improvements are made. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> Just to clarify, the proposed change is to repair seven culverts instead of replacing two. With the change, no new culverts will be added at this time, but the repair will extend the overall life of the existing infrastructure. | $\begin{gathered} 83704 \\ \text { (Boise) } \\ \text { Betty Brigante } \end{gathered}$ | Email |
| I believe that to address further growth, etc. that the 6 culverts should be added rather than just two. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> Just to clarify, the proposed change is to repair seven culverts instead of replacing two. With the change, no new culverts will be added at this time, but the repair will extend the overall life of the existing infrastructure. | Gary Martin | Email |
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## Public Comments Received (Verbatim)

## For amendments to: Communities in Motion 20402.0 and FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Public Comment Period: July 6 through 20, 2021

## Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 17

Topic: Add multiple design and land purchase projects and a study on Interstate 84 in Canyon County, a study to determine needs and weigh options for a southern connection to Interstate 84 in the City of Nampa, additional design on State Highway 16 in Ada and Canyon Counties, design and land acquisition on State Highway 55 in Canyon County, design and land purchase on US 20/ 26 in Ada and Canyon Counties, and a rail safety project in the City of Boise.

Outreach methods: 3 email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels

| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| The rapidity of growth in Canyon County is deserving of the proposed amendments to Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0). Like a tree you plant under whose shade you may not sit I see that the effort for the future is getting a good hold in this plan. The over-all scope of these projects is huge and the frequent construction delays that I encounter in my everyday life living in Caldwell and frequently going to Meridian and Boise are well warranted. Thank you for inviting comment. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83605 (Caldwell) Sharon Hubler | Email |
| All those projects appear worthwhile. What is needed in a Freeway north going east/ west .. State street might be used,. Also, north/South connectors should be built all the way south in preparation for the massive population increases coming as our southwest neighbors find their states unlivable due to heat. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (unsigned) | Email |
| All improvements that COMPASS solicited for public comment need to be done; however there is another major need, widen and improve Ustick Rd in Nampa, including 184 ramps. Not only is the Ustick overpass degraded" it is underdesigned. In addition, the distance between Franklin and Midland interchanges is much too far. <br> Also need to buy ROW to widen Tenmile south of 184. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> Improvements to the Ustick Road Overpass started in May 2021. You can get additional information, as well as sign up for updates on ITD's I-84 corridor webpages. | Tricia Matthews | Email |
| I'm in favor of the projects in the Plan. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Mac | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| this is not in response to ITD's proposal but it is a request to plan and build a new bridge over the Boise River. I use Glenwood and Veterans often. Glenwood is horrid. Always crowded. Veterans is occasionally crowded. Use the area between Glenwood and 51st street for a new bridge. It won't be cheap but it will be needed sooner rather than later. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | 83704 (Boise) Joe Gallagher | Email |
| I support all highway infrastructure improvements. Our payback on tax payer dollars is short and highly beneficial. Your public transportation proposals are a terrible waste of tax payer dollars. So few will ride high capacity rail and costs to build and maintain will destroy citizen affordable in SW Idaho with sky rocketing tax increases. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Ron | Email |
| Thank you for allowing a public comment on these future plans. I am not sure how many people participate but I know that I speak for many fellow Idahoans that I see and communicate with on a daily basis working in retail sales. My comment is that in the vast majority of cases, widening roads is not the answer to future traffic congestion. There has been much evidence that widening roads just encourages more people to drive. I do not feel that in an age where we absolutely must limit CO2 emissions and with vehicles being a major emitter of these emissions that we should be expanding roads. I know that the treasure valley used to have an extensive system of trolleys and rail which effectively moved people all around the valley. This is what we should be striving for again. We should not make the same mistakes as cities such as Seattle, San Francisco and Los Angeles who have some of the worst traffic in the nation. We should be proactive work toward a multifaceted public transportation system. I would also like to point out that roads, being black, have a very low albedo (the amount of light absorbed or reflected). More roads means more heat (heat island effect) and in an era of wildly increasing temperatures it is a public health issues to add to add black tarmac. Heat induced deaths are on the ride nationally and will only get worse with climate change. I seriously urge you to reconsider these antiquated road widening policies and have provided some literature to check out as well via hyperlink after this paragraph. <br> www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0967070X18 301720 <br> www.epa.gov/heatislands/smart-growth-and-heat-islands Thank you again for the opportunity to comment and I hope this email finds you well. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (Boise) Ryan Goforth Idaho Mountain Touring | Email |

Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

Thanks for gathering public input on how best to move ahead with transportation in the Valley. I much appreciate your efforts! My input is pretty simple. I think it best that we no longer implement any further widening projects or other improvements that increase the capacity of our highways and roads. This is an endless and futile cycle to address congestion that usually only leads to more congestion through growth. Rather I would suggest that transportation projects focus on how best to remove vehicles from the roads. This would reduce congestion as well as improve air quality and reduce CO2 emissions that continue to exacerbate climate change. If we discontinued highway and road expansions, I think we would find we had more than enough funding to pay for those projects that would reduce vehicles and peoples need for them.
***
One more thing I would like to add for input. I think some of what they are doing in TX is relevant to the Treasure Valley. I would strongly urge you to consider some of what they are doing and to evaluate those same opportunities here.
You can find some background on this here:
https://www.thenation.com/article/environment/texas-transportation-highways-racism/
When are you going include added bus service in Treasure Valley Transportation Improvements, all additions and improvements are directed toward auto road travel. Also just came aware of Amtrak adding 160 new stations to their service, even Wyoming is getting a new connection. Why does Idaho lag behind in alternate transportation, especially for seniors, those below driving age, and adults who are nondrivers. Surely the added population and property tax should cover these improvements. Your minimum wage businesses are lacking employees and many of your citizens would be able to apply if they had convenient transportation, and from this need additional tax revenue would be generated. Thank you for bringing my concerns to Compass and the planners

I agree with the proposed amendments to the proposed Communities in Motion 2040.

Staff Response
Zip Code

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.
(unsigned)

Format

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Regarding funding for an expanded bus system: Idaho is one of only two states in the nation that does not have dedicated funding for public transportation. Therefore, funding for public transportation is extremely limited. We are currently trying to obtain the ability to provide a dedicated funding source through the Idaho Legislature.

We are aware of discussions regarding Amtrak expanding services, and are currently working with the United State Congress on authorization language for Amtrak longdistance service through Idaho. Amtrak has not been receptive to returning service to Idaho. Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Emai

|  | Mary Lynn <br> Kaldenberg |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | Email |
|  | Lynda Friesz- <br> Martin |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| I think all cars should be stopped before the tracks and not one, two and that third with its butt of the car sitting on tracks, in front at the light. It's the same with the intersection at Cole and Franklin. I've lived here since 1997 and I have never seen a train at either of those crossings. Weird but true. <br> Because Nice Matters | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (unsigned) | Email |
| Here are my comments: <br> I approve of all of the adjustments being considered but am concerned that almost all focus on Canyon County, which has important needs and is growing rapidly, but there is more growth happening in the eastern end of the valley. (South Meridian and Northwest Meridian specifically.) <br> I definitely support the preparation for widening the roads between I-84 and Star on Star road. That is really needed now and in the long run. So is widening of 20/26 everywhere. But I also think that railroad safety is hugely important and long overdue on several roads in the valley, but Milwaukee is a great starting point since it's such a high-traffic road. I believe that Meridian will grow even faster over time, as it's closer to Boise and more central to the valley and there are so many business parks moving in, and it will need more widening of roads heading south from central Meridian. That is where most of the land is left and the building is happening. Once materials are back in stock, the building will skyrocket and we can be assured that the roads will be needed sooner than expected. Also, Northwest Meridian out to CanAda road is in need of preparation for road widening. It isn't built out yet, but that means now is the time to prepare and make sure the land is available while it's undeveloped. The entire valley will fill in faster than expected over time. Be sure of it. <br> Thank you so much for all you do! | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Marit Welker | Email |
| I've emailed and left messages multiple times requesting signs be put up at Cassia \& Karcher Rd. interchange. Many times, thru out the day, east bound traffic on Karcher RD. is blocking traffic from Cassia Rd. to get out unto Karcher Rd when light turn green. <br> We need large signs put up on Karcher Rd. stating not to block intersection. <br> Also, it would be nice to see right turn only lane on Cassia. This would help in traffic build up. <br> Thanks again for your time. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the Idaho Transportation Department and the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Don Benson | Email |


| Comment (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| These projects aren't bad, but we need functional public transportation as well as safe options for bicycles and pedestrians. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (unsigned) | Email |
| I think the two projects that need funding and to be priority is connecting highway 16 to 84 and the Karcher interchange. Especially important would be highway 16. Heck it's been in the works for twenty years. There's too many people for the current road system. I also think highway 16 needs to be more of an expressway with exists at Ustick and Franklin only. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | Lynda Wojcik | Email |
| I support the proposed changes on the I-84 corridor. They are long overdue and will aid the growth in the valley. As we have seen with the last two years of explosive growth, our highway system (while much improved) falls far short of the current and future need. And yes, I support increased gas taxes if needed. <br> I will probably sound like stuck record, but I think more attention should be paid to light rail. A few years ago it was dismissed as not big enough for such a project. It seems clear to me that we are or soon will be. Buy the right away now. Be planning now or we will be faced with staggering costs in right away purchase in the future (look at Seattle). Let's attack the problem now before it gets beyond our reach. | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. | (unsigned) | Email |
| I would like to comment on the changes to the TIP. I say changes because we all know its already a done deal. ITD is only asking for this because its required by law. But I do challenge you to actually ready the comments as I have 17 years of transportation experience in the Army coordinating truck convoys and have been trained to route truck convoys through large cities and to design cargo transfer points. I also know a thing or two about money since I have a decades worth of governmental accounting. KEY NAMO2 <br> Happy Valley and the Garrity East bound onramp are getting to capacity once again. You can either spend more money updating Garrity and Happy Valley RD. or you can connect Robinson RD. or McDermott Rd. This will decrease the traffic flowing to Happy Valley and on to Garrity. The land will be a lot cheaper near Robinson than trying to buy business to add lanes. This would also make it easier for tractor trailers to bypass Garrity's tight interchange. KEY 23336 <br> This Should not be funded by TECM funds. This should be funded by Garvee Bonds and should have been included in the widening of I84. However, do to the poor leadership of | Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the City of Nampa, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the COMPASS Board of Directors. <br> All comments are considered and shared with decisionmakers; we also endeavor to answer any question raised in comments submitted. | Craig Allison | Email |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| this COMPASS and ITD, especially Board member DeLorenzo, who saw fit to not approve any money for pre- planning for widening I 84 and to minimize the scope of the project to save money to spend on pre-planning for HWY 16. I guess it was more important to please Representative Moyle than do the job correctly in the first place out here. <br> This lack of planning and funding has now yielded a project that will waste $\$ 30$ million on a free running right turn lane that will not serve one purpose. First of all, ITD couldn't even label the current offramp properly so tractor trailers are still getting in the wrong lane. I can get you're the photos if you like. All they needed to do was to put up a big brand new sign saying Marsing and Caldwell BLVD trucks stay right before they enter the off ramp. However, the first sign anyone see is after you complete the tight right turn where you then finally see one little sign that says trucks only. However, by that time its to late for some to get into the right lane. <br> This intersection has been a disaster since it has opened and you keep putting band aids on it wasting millions that don't work or doesn't even last long enough have justified the cost. Here is a list of items that needs to be fixed that your design fails to fix or will create more headaches. <br> 1. <br> No second lane for East bound onramp coming from <br> HWY 55 and the BLVD- This should have been done when 184 was widened but you left it only one lane and we still have cars stacked all the way to Middleton intersection. Got photos of cars having to hit the brakes and almost stopping to let cars merge into the lane. If you create another lane you could add a lot more capacity and stop the stacking and the ten minutes to get from Middelton to the onramp. <br> 2. Lack of storage for the west bound on onrampconsistently cars and trucks overflow the storage on the interchange blocking one of the through lanes. <br> 3. The interchange already has a flying right turn and it creates major issues with cars trying to get to the left turn lanes onto the BLVD. So adding this new flying right turn is only going to exasperate the problem when you allow traffic to come south bound through the interchange while allowing a significant amount of cars and trucks trying to get over. <br> 4. Unless ITD has designs to have 2 right turn lanes onto the BLVD only having the third through lane end only 100 ft after going through the intersection is going to cause a huge traffic jam and choke point. |  |  |  |


| Comment <br> (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) | Staff Response | Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation | Format |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This would all be fixed if they just turn the interchange into a SPUI. However, since the men and women of ITD don't bother to actually come out and evaluate the traffic at the height of traffic we will get a stupid design that we will have once again need to complain about so they can come back and actually fix the new problems they will bring or not have fixed. <br> With using the GARVEE bonds on this project you can use the TECM funds to move up the widening of Karcher from Middleton to Indiana KEY 22715. Currently there is between 20,000 and 21,000 cars on the road at Indiana and if we wait till 2025-2027 to complete this project we will have 25,000 on the road. We don't have the luxury like people using HWY 16 to go down 1 mile on a brand new 4 lane road to ten mile which is 4 lanes all the way to 184 . We have secondary roads that have stop signs every mile. That's some great planning pushing all this traffic to side roads. <br> KEY 20351 <br> Once again this should be funded by GARVEE not TECM funds. TECM funds should be used to advance current projects that don't qualify for GARVEE bonds. <br> KEY 23337 <br> This must be a priority over HWY 16. There is so much traffic on $20 / 26$ that when you get behind a slow moving vehicle you don have enough time and distance to pass the vehicle. Once again when you have Ada County residents making the decisions on the fund for projects Canyon County residents "get the short end of the stick" since the powers to be don't drive those roads because if they did it would be at the top of the list. <br> KEY 23335 <br> Right funding source but the money needs to be spent on 20/26 and on Karcher from Indiana to Middleton first. Those two project need to be advanced by years. If COMPASS or ITD doesn't think so maybe we should relocate COMPASS' headquarters out in Canyon County and see if the members like the drive. <br> KEY 20788 <br> This should be funded last after the funding for 184 interchange and widening is paid for by GARVEE Bonds out in Canyon County. HWY 16 extension right now is just a road of convenience. Neither ten mile or 20/26 from HWY 16 to ten mile are near compacity. All this $\$ 156$ million is being used for right now is to save a minute or two of travel time to I <br> 84. However, politically connected people from the Star and |  |  |  |

(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

Gem County area are pushing this project over projects that are more congested and have not other better options to travel on.
There is a lot here but everything I said is true. HWY 16 has less traffic than Karcher and 20/26. ITD cant argue those numbers because those numbers came from their website. You can see by looking at the projects ITD has completed or is currently working on in Canyon County the cost cutting measure they have performed on the projects. However, if you look at Ada county projects you can see the extra care and extras they are willing to put in for their projects and I will gladly point that out to them or to the COMPASS board. Lastly I believe COMPASS or ITD should be responding to everyone concerns or comments. If you have any questions please let me know
$\mathrm{t}: \backslash f \mathrm{fy} 21 \backslash 600$ projects 685 tip $\backslash f y 2127$ tip $\backslash$ amend $\backslash a m e n d 7$ \public $\backslash$ public_comments_verbatim.docx

## APPENDIX D: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANALYSIS

Provides in-depth analysis, using modeling tools, to explain how projects in the TIP will affect the transportation system.

Analysis for Level of Travel Time Reliability on the NHS
Travel Demand Forecast Model
COMPASS is responsible for the development, maintenance, and application of the regional travel demand forecast model covering Ada and Canyon Counties. An indepth analysis of the impact of major capital expansion projects programmed in the TIP on the state system was conducted using this model. A map showing the projects included in the analysis is shown in Figure 15 (segments included in the analysis are shown in blue; referred to as "NHS-State"); results are shown in Figures 16 through 21.


Figure 15: NHS-State System Capital Projects
COMPASS applied the regional travel demand forecast model to analyze how these projects could benefit regional transportation system by the year 2040. Figures 16 through 21 summarize vehicle hours of travel (VHT), congested vehicle miles of travel (CVMT), and vehicle miles of travel (VMT) for the overall system with and without the projects shown above. An assumption used in this analysis was that all other projects anticipated to be built by 2025 are constructed as scheduled.
Projects programmed beyond 2025 are not included in this analysis. This analysis uses the official demographic data sets for the years of analysis. The population in Ada and Canyon Counties is forecasted to increase from 737,790 to 1,044,491 between 2020 and 2040.

Figure 16 demonstrates that regional VHT decreases by 4,360 hours per average weekday by 2025 if these projects are built as compared to if they are not built. By 2040, hours decrease by 11,070 per day when these projects are included.

Figure 17 demonstrates that the most significant benefit to building these segments is congestion relief. By 2025, the CVMT decrease by 226,300 per average weekday. However, by 2040, CVMT are reduced by more than 1.27 million on the entire transportation system because of these projects.

Figure 18 demonstrates VMT increase if these projects are built. VMT increases by 9,600 per average weekday by 2025, but only increases by 11,800 miles by 2040. The reason for the increase in miles of travel is because people are willing to travel a little farther for a more reliable and less congested route.

Another way to review the benefits of these projects is to look at the benefits within these corridors only; in other words, looking only at the travel on the specific segments that are being widened.

Figure 19 demonstrates that VHT on the scheduled NHS segments would be reduced by constructing the NHS projects. By 2025, VHT would decrease by 1,300 hours per average weekday, but these segments would realize a reduction of 3,630 hours of travel by 2040.

Figure 20 demonstrates that congestion is reduced significantly by constructing the NHS segments. By 2025, CVMT within the limits of the NHS projects decrease by 11,400 per average weekday. By 2040, CVMT within these same corridors decrease by 413,700 .

Figure 21 shows the opposite when demonstrating total VMT. By 2025, VMT increase by 106,600 per average weekday. By 2040, VMT on these segments increase by 173,300 . The reason for the increase in VMT is that people are willing to drive farther miles to take the less-congested route.


Figure 16: Vehicle Hours of Travel, Average Weekday, Overall System


Figure 17: Congested Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, Overall System


Figure 18: Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, Overall System


Figure 19: Vehicle Hours of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS-State Project Limits


Figure 20: Congested Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS-State Project Limits


Figure 21: Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS-State Project Limits
Figures 22 through 25 compare travel time savings in the morning and afternoon commutes using the same information above, for example, the morning commute on Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell will take about 9\% less time with the NHS-State projects than without them.


Figure 22: 2025 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Morning Commute


Figure 23: 2040 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Morning Commute


Figure 24: 2025 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Afternoon Commute


Figure 25: 2040 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Afternoon Commute

## Crashes on the NHS

Another aspect of determining reliability of the NHS system is the rate of vehicle crashes, as crashes slow traffic and decrease reliability. These segments of the NHS system experience approximately one crash per day, on average. Figure 26 shows the locations of crashes between 2015 and 2019 on the NHS. Tables 31 and 32 provide the details of crashes over the last available five-years of data on the NHSState system and also specifically on Interstate 84.


Figure 26: Location of Fatal and Injury Crashes, 2015-2019 on the NHS-State Project Limits

Table 31: Crash Events by Severity and Vehicle Types Involved, NHS-State Project Limits, 2015-2019

| Crashes - NHS State Project Limits | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Crash Events |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |
| Injury Type (A, B, and C)* | 155 | 184 | 185 | 195 | 208 |
| Property Damage | 189 | 224 | 205 | 187 | 251 |
| Total Crash Events | 348 | 410 | 392 | 385 | 460 |
| Vehicle Types | 693 | 857 | 787 | 810 | 915 |
| Automobile | 19 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 23 |
| Freight | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |
| Pedestrian | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |
| Bicycle | 15 | 14 | 19 | 10 | 15 |
| Other** | 730 | 889 | 830 | 842 | 956 |
| Total Vehicle Types |  |  |  |  |  |

* $A=$ Serious injury, $B=$ Minor injury, $C=$ Possible injury
** Other includes units reported as other, motorcycle, moped, farm equipment, hit and run, construction equipment, motorhome, etc.

Table 32: Crash Events by Severity and Vehicle Types Involved, Interstate Project Limits, 2015-2019

| Crashes - I nterstate Project Limits | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Events |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal | 7 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 6 |
| Injury Type (A, B, and C)* | 29 | 34 | 35 | 31 | 33 |
| Property Damage | 8 | 15 | 13 | 19 | 57 |
| Total Crash Events | 44 | 51 | 58 | 52 | 96 |
| Vehicle Types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile | 70 | 85 | 96 | 92 | 152 |
| Freight | 9 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| Pedestrian | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other** | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Total Vehicle Types | 79 | 94 | 115 | 98 | 165 |

* $A=$ Serious injury, $B=$ Minor injury, $C=$ Possible injury
** Other includes units reported as other, motorcycle, moped, farm equipment, hit and run, construction equipment, motorhome, etc.

As projects are completed, COMPASS will report on how travel times and the reliability of travel on the NHS change in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{42}$.

## Benefit-Cost Analysis

COMPASS prepared a benefit-cost analysis (BCA) with TREDIS ${ }^{43}$ software using outputs from four travel demand model runs: two for the base scenario (current and future year) and two for the project scenario (also current and future year). The current year is 2025, which is the year the projects will be completed, and the

[^18]future year is 2040, which is the horizon year of the current long-range transportation plan, CIM $20402.0^{44}$.

TREDIS requires input data including annual vehicle trips, VMT, VHT, and CVMT, and the fraction of internal, incoming, outgoing, and through trips, all of which are from the travel demand model.

Table 33 provides a summary of the BCA and Table 34 describes the benefits by category included in the BCA for the completion of NHS-State projects shown above and is for information only. This is not intended to be used for project selection, prioritization, or any other purposes. The NHS-State projects are already programmed in the TIP and some of them are underway.

Table 33: Summary of Benefit-Cost Analysis


[^19]Table 34: Description of BCA Analysis of NHS-State Projects

| Benefits | 7\% discount (\$ in millions) | Narrative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Benefits | \$4,491.9 |  |
| Vehicle Operating Costs | \$6.9 | Vehicle operating costs (e.g., maintenance, tires, depreciation, and fuel) are affected by changes in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and how much VMT occurs in congested conditions (causing higher fuel consumption). The completion of these projects contributes to an increase in VMT due to drivers traveling longer distance to access more efficient facilities, but reduces congested driving conditions, leading to a decrease in overall vehicle operating costs. |
| Business Time and Reliability Costs | \$442.5 | Business time and reliability costs are two benefit categories associated with business (i.e., "on-the-clock") travel purposes and make up about $30 \%$ of the total benefit. Improved speeds on these corridors enable businessoriented travelers to reach their destinations faster and therefore provide a time savings benefit. Improvements in reliability also enable travelers to reduce the amount of "buffer" time (i.e., schedule padding) added into their planned trip to ensure an on-time arrival. |
| Personal Time and Reliability Costs | \$979.8 | Personal time and reliability costs are two benefit categories associated with personal travel purposes and make up about 66\% of the total benefits. Improved speeds on US 20/26 enable travelers to reach their destinations faster and therefore provide a time savings benefit. Improvements in reliability also enable travelers to reduce the amount of "buffer" time (i.e., schedule padding) added into their planned trip to ensure an on-time arrival. |
| Safety | Reported separately | Safety benefits (crash reductions) were not included in the BCA since they are part of the federal performance reporting. These projects are expected to reduce the overall number of crashes by 121 - see the safety federal performance measures in Section V. |
| Logistics/Freight Costs | \$63.5 | The value of freight logistics cost savings is in addition to the values of savings in vehicle operating cost and driver time. The value of freight logistics cost represents the opportunity cost savings accruing to shippers and receivers related to freight handling, inventory/storage, and duration of delivery. Logistics/freight costs are affected by changes in vehicle hours traveled. Each commodity type has a unique cost reflecting the value industries place on travel time improvements expected to occur along these freight corridors. |


| Benefits | 7\% discount (\$ in millions) | Narrative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Environmental Factors | -\$0.8 | Environmental benefits are directly affected by changes in VMT and congested conditions. Increases in VMT lead to higher emissions because vehicles consume more fuel as they travel longer distances. However, reductions in congestion reduce higher levels of emissions associated with stop-and-go traffic. These projects are expected to increase VMT but decrease traffic congestion. |
| Costs | 7\% discount <br> (\$ in millions) |  |
| Total Costs | \$200.2 |  |
| Capital Investment Costs | \$209.6 |  |
| Operation and Maintenance Costs | -\$9.4 | Maintenance cost savings through the year 2040 are attributable to a mill/inlay on Interstate 84 and a pavement resurfacing, restoration, and rehabilitation (3R) treatment needed on both US 20/26 and State Highway 55 required in the "without" scenario. Combined, these maintenance projects cost \$19.1M. |
| Benefit-Cost Ratio | 7.45 | Benefit-Cost Ratio 7\% discount rate for year 2040 |

A benefit-cost ratio over " 1 " is good. Therefore, it can be deducted from this analysis that the state projects on the NHS are a good value for the Treasure Valley.

Since projects on the interstate are significant for truck travel, additional analyses were conducted regarding how projects on these segments will affect travel throughout the region. These analyses included only the segments for which adequate data exist (Figure 27, marked in blue).

Analysis for Level of Truck Travel Time Reliability on the Interstate System


Figure 27: Interstate Project Segments

## Travel Demand Forecast Model

COMPASS staff determined, through the travel demand forecast model, how scheduled projects on Interstate 84 (only) could benefit regional traffic flow. The model results below provide benefits to the overall system by adding only the specific corridors shown above. The population in Ada and Canyon Counties is forecasted to increase from 737,790 to 1,044,491 between 2020 and 2040.

Figure 28 demonstrates that VHT on the scheduled interstate segments would be reduced by constructing the projects. By 2025, VHT would be reduced by 630 hours. These segments would realize a reduction of VHT of 1,480 by 2040.

Figure 29 demonstrates that congestion is reduced completely by constructing the interstate segments. Even with a large population increase, the model shows no congested miles based on the configuration of the roadway.

Figure 30 again shows the opposite when demonstrating total VMT. By 2025, VMT increases by 26,300 miles. By 2040, VMT on these segments increases by 51,300 miles. The reason for the increase in VMT is because people are willing to drive further to take the less-congested route.


Figure 28: Vehicle Hours of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS Interstate Project Limits


Figure 29: Congested Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS Interstate Project Limits


Figure 30: Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS Interstate Project Limits

## Crashes on the I nterstate

As discussed for NHS projects (page 191), another aspect of determining reliability is the rate of vehicle crashes, since crashes cause delay and thus reduce reliability. The interstate system within the programmed segments experience a crash approximately every three days, on average.

Over 15\% of crashes on NHS-State corridors between 2015 and 2019 occurred on Interstate 84 between Franklin Road in the City of Caldwell and State Highway 55/Karcher Road in the City of Nampa.

As projects are completed, COMPASS will report on how truck travel times and the reliability of travel on the interstate change in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{45}$.
${ }^{45}$ Congestion Management Process: http://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/cms-intro.htm.

## APPENDIX E: HISTORICAL AND PROJ ECTED BUDGET DATA

Provides additional information about transportation agency budgets.

Tables 35 through 38 provide summarized information from FY2015 through FY2018 for agencies with roadway jurisdiction, as reported in the Road and Street Reports. Detailed information is available by contacting COMPASS staff at info@compassidaho.org.

Table 35: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway J urisdiction, FY2015

|  | Beginning Balance | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$2,203,705 | \$94,153,479 | \$95,104,871 | $(\$ 951,392)$ | \$0 | \$1,252,313 | \$0 | \$1,252,313 |
| Canyon | \$2,691,231 | \$6,335,705 | \$5,885,471 | \$450,234 | (\$381,293) | \$2,760,172 | \$2,410,173 | \$350,000 |
| Golden Gate | \$465,377 | \$1,966,555 | \$1,981,072 | $(\$ 14,517)$ | \$0 | \$450,860 | \$400,000 | \$50,860 |
| Nampa | \$1,926,515 | \$9,741,040 | \$7,615,947 | \$2,125,093 | \$0 | \$4,051,608 | \$4,481,479 | \$0 |
| NotusParma | \$1,066,420 | \$1,668,527 | \$1,665,601 | \$2,926 | \$309,094 | \$1,378,440 | \$1,044,747 | \$333,693 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$985,198 | \$5,786,607 | \$5,546,041 | \$240,566 | \$325,337 | \$1,551,101 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$0 | \$59,189 | \$53,059 | \$6,130 | \$81,743 | \$87,873 | \$79,060 | \$8,813 |
| Melba | \$245 | \$176,745 | \$164,914 | \$11,831 | \$0 | \$12,076 | \$12,000 | \$76 |
| Middleton | \$515,421 | \$2,343,739 | \$2,073,186 | \$270,553 | \$0 | \$785,974 | \$735,974 | \$50,000 |
| Nampa | \$8,947,653 | \$8,646,438 | \$8,097,167 | \$549,271 | \$0 | \$9,496,924 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$61,662 | \$37,220 | \$52,438 | $(\$ 15,218)$ | \$0 | \$46,444 | \$36,444 | \$10,000 |
| Parma | \$288,054 | \$220,741 | \$241,031 | $(\$ 20,290)$ | \$0 | \$267,764 | \$125,000 | \$142,764 |
| Wilder | \$57,097 | \$217,485 | \$223,365 | $(\$ 5,880)$ | \$0 | \$51,217 | \$51,217 | \$0 |
| Total | \$19,208,578 | \$131,353,470 | \$128,704,163 | \$2,649,307 | \$334,881 | \$22,192,766 | \$9,376,094 | \$2,198,519 |

Source: 2015 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2015.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 4.39\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 55.55\%
- Equipment - 11.73\%
- Administration - 7.24\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - 21.09\%

Table 36: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway J urisdiction, FY2016

|  | Beginning Balance | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$1,252,313 | \$105,197,558 | \$90,099,462 | \$15,098,096 | \$0 | \$16,350,409 | \$16,350,409 | \$0 |
| Canyon | \$2,760,173 | \$7,422,801 | \$6,586,330 | \$836,471 | \$11,714 | \$3,608,368 | \$3,258,358 | \$350,000 |
| Golden Gate | \$450,860 | \$2,234,584 | \$2,135,265 | \$99,319 | \$0 | \$550,179 | \$500,000 | \$50,179 |
| Nampa | \$4,051,608 | \$10,641,607 | \$9,735,230 | \$906,377 | \$0 | \$4,957,985 | \$4,957,985 | \$0 |
| NotusParma | \$1,378,440 | \$1,866,607 | \$1,828,312 | \$38,295 | \$0 | \$1,416,735 | \$1,085,549 | \$331,186 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$1,551,101 | \$9,406,153 | \$8,127,477 | \$1,278,676 | \$0 | \$2,829,777 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$87,873 | \$59,363 | \$58,969 | \$394 | \$0 | \$88,267 | \$82,105 | \$6,162 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$57,771 | \$31,711 | \$26,060 | \$0 | \$26,060 | \$23,000 | \$3,000 |
| Middleton | \$87,713 | \$1,694,063 | \$1,666,340 | \$27,723 | \$0 | \$115,436 | \$0 | \$27,723 |
| Nampa | \$9,496,925 | \$10,345,673 | \$9,282,700 | \$1,062,973 | \$0 | \$10,559,898 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$46,444 | \$45,028 | \$18,270 | \$26,758 | \$0 | \$73,202 | \$63,202 | \$10,000 |
| Parma | \$267,764 | \$251,354 | \$191,841 | \$59,513 | \$491 | \$327,768 | \$125,000 | \$202,768 |
| Wilder | \$51,217 | \$215,019 | \$149,252 | \$65,767 | \$0 | \$116,984 | \$116,984 | \$0 |
| Total | \$21,482,431 | \$149,437,581 | \$129,911,159 | \$19,526,422 | \$12,205 | \$41,021,068 | \$26,562,592 | \$981,018 |

Source: 2016 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2016.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 3.47\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 55.11\%
- Equipment - 10.13\%
- Administration - 7.54\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - 23.76\%

Table 37: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway J urisdiction, FY2017

|  | Beginning Balance | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$16,350,410 | \$110,148,015 | \$98,169,591 | \$11,978,424 | \$0 | \$28,328,834 | \$28,328,834 | \$0 |
| Canyon | \$3,608,358 | \$8,019,103 | \$5,940,872 | \$2,078,231 | $(\$ 539,214)$ | \$5,147,375 | \$4,797,376 | \$350,000 |
| Golden Gate | \$550,179 | \$2,449,293 | \$2,392,335 | \$56,958 | \$0 | \$607,137 | \$557,000 | \$50,137 |
| Nampa | \$4,957,987 | \$11,291,689 | \$7,846,332 | \$3,445,357 | \$0 | \$8,403,344 | \$6,231,039 | \$2,172,305 |
| NotusParma | \$1,416,735 | \$2,025,653 | \$1,746,268 | \$279,385 | \$0 | \$1,696,120 | \$1,264,220 | \$431,900 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$2,829,777 | \$6,589,570 | \$6,021,119 | \$568,451 | \$0 | \$3,398,228 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$88,267 | \$65,373 | \$64,386 | \$987 | \$0 | \$89,254 | \$89,254 | \$0 |
| Melba | \$60 | \$60,636 | \$43,203 | \$17,433 | \$0 | \$17,493 | \$17,450 | \$43 |
| Middleton | \$87,713 | \$1,330,947 | \$1,308,735 | \$22,212 | \$0 | \$109,925 | \$109,925 | \$0 |
| Nampa | \$10,559,898 | \$11,535,999 | \$13,535,260 | (\$1,999,261) | \$0 | \$8,560,637 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$73,202 | \$49,243 | \$32,170 | \$17,073 | \$ | \$90,275 | \$78,275 | \$12,000 |
| Parma | \$327,768 | \$262,870 | \$211,276 | \$51,594 | \$142 | \$379,504 | \$125,000 | \$254,504 |
| Wilder | \$116,984 | \$163,496 | \$211,943 | $(\$ 48,447)$ | \$0 | \$68,537 | \$68,537 | \$0 |
| Total | \$40,967,338 | \$153,991,887 | \$137,523,490 | \$16,468,397 | (\$539,072) | \$56,896,663 | \$41,666,910 | \$3,270,889 |

Source: 2017 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2017.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 1.85\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 57.04\%
- Equipment - 11.11\%
- Administration - 8.06\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - 21.94\%

Table 38: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway J urisdiction, FY2018

|  | Beginning Balance | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$28,321,792 | \$118,574,056 | \$119,621,096 | (\$1,047,040) | \$0 | \$27,274,752 | \$27,274,752 | \$0 |
| Canyon | \$5,147,376 | \$8,401,567 | \$7,746,586 | \$654,981 | \$124,027 | \$5,926,384 | \$5,576,384 | \$0 |
| Golden Gate | \$659,217 | \$2,690,299 | \$2,328,405 | \$361,894 | \$0 | \$1,021,111 | \$821,111 | \$200,000 |
| Nampa | \$7,641,833 | \$12,039,325 | \$12,114,769 | $(\$ 75,444)$ | \$0 | \$7,566,389 | \$5,052,219 | \$2,514,170 |
| NotusParma | \$1,696,120 | \$2,101,721 | \$1,762,958 | \$338,763 | \$0 | \$2,034,883 | \$1,659,983 | \$374,900 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$3,398,228 | \$7,117,743 | \$6,934,830 | \$183,363 | \$6,911 | \$3,588,502 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$89,254 | \$99,075 | \$57,820 | \$41,255 | \$0 | \$130,509 | \$130,509 | \$0 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$59,638 | \$45,661 | \$13,977 | \$0 | \$13,977 | \$10,000 | \$3,977 |
| Middleton | \$109,925 | \$1,639,846 | \$1,269,360 | \$370,486 | \$0 | \$480,411 | \$480,411 | \$0 |
| Nampa | \$8,560,637 | \$11,637,254 | \$12,282,188 | $(\$ 644,934)$ | \$0 | \$7,915,703 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$90,275 | \$224,083 | \$229,780 | $(\$ 5,697)$ | \$0 | \$84,578 | \$72,578 | \$12,000 |
| Parma | \$379,504 | \$266,604 | \$233,479 | \$33,125 | \$1,829 | \$414,458 | \$125,000 | \$289,458 |
| Wilder | \$68,537 | \$219,593 | \$126,765 | \$92,828 | \$0 | \$161,365 | \$161,365 | \$0 |
| Total | \$56,162,698 | \$165,070,804 | \$164,753,697 | \$317,557 | \$132,767 | \$56,613,022 | \$41,364,312 | \$3,394,505 |

Source: 2018 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2018.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 1.32\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 61.45\%
- Equipment - 8.93\%
- Administration - 6.51\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - $21.80 \%$

Tables 39 through 44 provide summarized information projecting budgeting information for FY2020 - FY2025 extrapolated from the Road and Street Reports, using a five-year average of year-to-year changes in total income and total disbursement ratios.

The projections predict that some agencies will need additional funds to cover expected expenses, use reserve accounts, or remove projects in order to balance their budgets in the future.

Table 39: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2020

|  |  | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$132,138,081.77 | \$128,541,851 | \$3,596,231 |
|  | Canyon | \$10,400,671 | \$12,002,199 | (\$1,601,528) |
|  | Golden Gate | \$4,017,950 | \$3,102,037 | \$915,913 |
|  | Nampa | \$14,673,746 | \$11,397,281 | \$3,276,465 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,471,574 | \$1,766,492 | \$705,082 |
| $\frac{y}{ \pm}$ | Caldwell | \$12,446,439 | \$8,321,153 | \$4,125,286 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$90,374 | \$92,674 | $(\$ 2,300)$ |
|  | Melba | \$94,273 | \$51,677 | \$42,596 |
|  | Middleton | \$1,618,834 | \$1,410,287 | \$208,547 |
|  | Nampa | \$12,271,931 | \$11,223,325 | \$1,048,606 |
|  | Notus | \$200,049 | \$84,274 | \$115,775 |
|  | Parma | \$297,081 | \$294,467 | \$2,614 |
|  | Wilder | \$161,774 | \$152,069 | \$9,706 |
|  | Total | \$190,882,777 | \$178,439,785 | \$12,442,992 |

Table 40: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2021

|  |  | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$142,424,338 | \$138,962,035 | \$3,462,302 |
|  | Canyon | \$11,460,667 | \$14,185,495 | (\$2,724,828) |
|  | Golden Gate | \$4,619,176 | \$3,534,370 | \$1,084,806 |
|  | Nampa | \$16,526,461 | \$11,320,950 | \$5,205,511 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,651,666 | \$1,963,269 | \$688,397 |
| $$ | Caldwell | \$14,793,573 | \$9,198,539 | \$5,595,034 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$103,741 | \$96,617 | \$7,124 |
|  | Melba | \$135,167 | \$79,517 | \$55,650 |
|  | Middleton | \$1,622,876 | \$1,470,340 | \$152,537 |
|  | Nampa | \$12,971,027 | \$11,628,244 | \$1,342,783 |
|  | Notus | \$256,062 | \$107,871 | \$148,192 |
|  | Parma | \$311,363 | \$340,657 | $(\$ 29,294)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$166,084 | \$157,301 | \$8,783 |
|  | Total | \$208,042,202 | \$193,045,206 | \$14,996,996 |

Table 41: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2022

|  |  | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$153,511,325 | \$150,226,928 | \$3,284,397 |
|  | Canyon | \$12,628,695 | \$16,765,951 | $(\$ 4,137,256)$ |
|  | Golden Gate | \$5,310,366 | \$4,026,958 | \$1,283,408 |
|  | Nampa | \$18,613,102 | \$11,245,131 | \$7,367,971 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,844,880 | \$2,181,966 | \$662,914 |
| $\frac{y}{ \pm}$ | Caldwell | \$17,583,326 | \$10,168,438 | \$7,414,888 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$119,086 | \$100,728 | \$18,358 |
|  | Melba | \$193,801 | \$122,356 | \$71,445 |
|  | Middleton | \$1,626,929 | \$1,532,950 | \$93,979 |
|  | Nampa | \$13,709,949 | \$12,047,771 | \$1,662,178 |
|  | Notus | \$327,760 | \$138,074 | \$189,685 |
|  | Parma | \$326,331 | \$394,093 | $(\$ 67,761)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$170,509 | \$162,714 | \$7,795 |
|  | Total | \$226,966,058 | \$209,114,057 | \$17,852,001 |

Table 42: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2023

|  |  | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$165,461,375 | \$162,405,003 | \$3,056,372 |
|  | Canyon | \$13,915,763 | \$19,815,812 | (\$5,900,048) |
|  | Golden Gate | \$6,104,983 | \$4,588,198 | \$1,516,785 |
|  | Nampa | \$20,963,202 | \$11,169,819 | \$9,793,384 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$3,052,173 | \$2,425,026 | \$627,147 |
|  | Caldwell | \$20,899,167 | \$11,240,603 | \$9,658,565 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$136,700 | \$105,014 | \$31,686 |
|  | Melba | \$277,869 | \$188,273 | \$89,596 |
|  | Middleton | \$1,630,991 | \$1,598,226 | \$32,765 |
|  | Nampa | \$14,490,964 | \$12,482,434 | \$2,008,530 |
|  | Notus | \$419,532 | \$176,735 | \$242,797 |
|  | Parma | \$342,020 | \$455,910 | $(\$ 113,890)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$175,052 | \$168,313 | \$6,739 |
|  | Total | \$247,869,793 | \$226,819,365 | \$21,050,428 |

Table 43: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2024

|  |  | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { त } \\ & \sum_{3}^{3} \text { U } \\ & \text { 으 } \\ & \text { on } \end{aligned}$ | ACHD | \$178,341,675 | \$175,570,289 | \$2,771,386 |
|  | Canyon | \$15,334,005 | \$23,420,467 | (\$8,086,462) |
|  | Golden Gate | \$7,018,502 | \$5,227,658 | \$1,790,844 |
|  | Nampa | \$23,610,028 | \$11,095,011 | \$12,515,017 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$3,256,835 | \$2,695,160 | \$561,675 |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{y}}{ \pm}$ | Caldwell | \$24,840,306 | \$12,425,817 | \$12,414,488 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$156,920 | \$109,482 | \$47,438 |
|  | Melba | \$398,404 | \$289,703 | \$108,702 |
|  | Middleton | \$1,635,063 | \$1,666,281 | (\$31,218) |
|  | Nampa | \$15,316,472 | \$12,932,779 | \$2,383,693 |
|  | Notus | \$537,001 | \$226,221 | \$310,780 |
|  | Parma | \$358,462 | \$527,424 | $(\$ 168,962)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$179,716 | \$174,104 | \$5,612 |
|  | Total | \$270,983,390 | \$246,360,398 | \$24,622,993 |

Table 44: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2025

|  |  | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$192,224,638 | \$189,802,812 | \$2,421,826 |
|  | Canyon | \$16,896,789 | \$27,680,838 | (\$10,784,049) |
|  | Golden Gate | \$8,068,715 | \$5,956,241 | \$2,112,475 |
|  | Nampa | \$26,591,044 | \$11,020,705 | \$15,570,339 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$3,494,146 | \$2,995,386 | \$498,759 |
| $\frac{\boldsymbol{U}}{ \pm}$ | Caldwell | \$29,524,659 | \$13,736,002 | \$15,788,658 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$180,130 | \$114,141 | \$65,990 |
|  | Melba | \$571,226 | \$445,776 | \$125,451 |
|  | Middleton | \$1,639,146 | \$1,737,235 | $(\$ 98,088)$ |
|  | Nampa | \$16,189,007 | \$13,399,372 | \$2,789,635 |
|  | Notus | \$687,362 | \$289,563 | \$397,799 |
|  | Parma | \$375,695 | \$610,156 | $(\$ 234,461)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$184,504 | \$180,095 | \$4,409 |
|  | Total | \$296,627,061 | \$267,968,320 | \$28,658,741 |

Table 45 provides historical budgets for VRT.
Table 45: VRT Actual Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position, FY2015-2019

| Fiscal <br> Year | Beginning <br> Net Position | Total <br> Revenues | Total <br> Expenses | Ending Net <br> Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $\$ 23,466,249^{*}$ | $\$ 21,464,792$ | $\$ 14,860,961$ | $\$ 30,070,080$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 30,070,080$ | $\$ 20,474,206$ | $\$ 17,368,105$ | $\$ 33,176,181$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 33,176,181$ | $\$ 19,155,929$ | $\$ 18,609,591$ | $\$ 33,722,519$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 33,722,519$ | $\$ 19,099,125$ | $\$ 21,259,469$ | $\$ 31,562,481$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 31,562,481$ | $\$ 18,806,417$ | $\$ 19,729,298$ | $\$ 30,639,600$ |

*Required restatement of beginning Net Position due to implementation of GASB 68.
Source: Valley Regional Transit Financial Statements FY2015-2019.
Table 46 includes inflationary factors for the overall budget projections from FY2021-2025 for VRT. It is anticipated that, if inflation affects the overall system as predicted, additional funding will be needed for operations as well as capital replacements in order to maintain current service levels.

Table 46: Projected - VRT Revenues and Expenses, FY2021 through FY2025

|  | Operations |  | Capital ${ }^{1}$ |  | Unfunded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY2021 | Revenue | Expense | Revenue | Expense |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations <br> Large Urban <br> Small Urban² <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,751,024 \\ \$ 10,429,635 \\ \$ 2,262,486 \\ \$ 20,443,145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,751,024 \\ \$ 10,429,635 \\ \$ 2,262,486 \\ \$ 20,443,145 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 4,989,000 \\ \$ 984,031 \\ \$ 5,973,031 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,568,410 \\ & \$ 1,295,692 \\ & \$ 6,864,101 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 579,410 \\ & \$ 311,661 \\ & \$ 891,070 \end{aligned}$ |
| FY2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations <br> Large Urban <br> Small Urban² <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 7,891,949 \\ \$ 10,672,192 \\ \$ 2,303,591 \\ \$ 20,867,732 \end{array}$ | $\$ 7,983,555$ $\$ 10,711,235$ $\$ 2,323,573$ $\$ 21,018,363$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,730,000 \\ \$ 548,000 \\ \$ 3,278,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,735,462 \\ & \$ 1,334,563 \\ & \$ 7,070,024 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,005,462 \\ \$ 786,563 \\ \$ 3,792,024 \end{array}$ |
| FY2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations <br> Large Urban <br> Small Urban² <br> Total | $\$ 8,036,025$ $\$ 10,920,969$ $\$ 2,345,622$ $\$ 21,302,616$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,223,061 \\ \$ 11,000,438 \\ \$ 2,386,310 \\ \$ 21,609,809 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,609,000 \\ \$ 871,000 \\ \$ 3,480,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 5,907,526 \\ & \$ 1,374,599 \\ & \$ 7,282,125 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,298,526 \\ \$ 503,599 \\ \$ 3,802,125 \end{array}$ |
| FY2024 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations <br> Large Urban <br> Small Urban² <br> Total | $\$ 8,183,331$ $\$ 11,176,134$ $\$ 2,388,603$ $\$ 21,748,068$ | $\$ 8,469,753$ $\$ 11,297,450$ $\$ 2,450,740$ $\$ 22,217,943$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,485,000 \\ \$ 806,000 \\ \$ 3,291,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,084,752 \\ & \$ 1,415,837 \\ & \$ 7,500,589 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,599,752 \\ \$ 609,837 \\ \$ 4,209,589 \end{array}$ |
| FY2025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations <br> Large Urban <br> Small Urban² <br> Total | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,333,948 \\ \$ 11,437,858 \\ \$ 2,432,557 \\ \$ 22,204,364 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 8,723,846 \\ \$ 11,602,481 \\ \$ 2,516,910 \\ \$ 22,843,237 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 2,361,000 \\ \$ 741,000 \\ \$ 3,102,000 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 6,267,294 \\ & \$ 1,458,313 \\ & \$ 7,725,607 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 3,906,294 \\ \$ 717,313 \\ \$ 4,623,607 \end{array}$ |
| Grand Total | \$106,565,92 | \$108,132,498 | \$19,124,031 | \$36,442,447 | \$17,318,416 |

Revenue and expense projections are subject to change.
${ }^{1}$ Capital projects based on annual averages.
${ }^{2}$ Small Urban unfunded capital is due to local shortfall.

Inflation is assumed as follows:

- Revenue
o Local contributions increase $2.7 \%$ each year
o Fare box and other revenue increases 3\% each year
o Federal contributions increase 1\% each year
- Expenditures
o Vehicle operations increase $2.7 \%$ each year
o General operations increase 3\% each year
o Capital costs increase $3 \%$ each year


## APPENDIX F: PRIOR YEAR PROJ ECTS

Provides a list of projects that are still active, but no longer have budgeted funds. Projects could still need additional funding or release excess funding throughout the life of this program.

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES

| Key No. | Location Di | Dist. Year |  | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7238 | STP-9463, INT FIVE MILE RD AND FAIRVIEW AVE, BOISE | 3 | 2009 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 6,654,262.00 |
| 7826 | US 20, CORRIDOR STUDY, JCT I-84 TO EAGLE RD | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,113,140.00 |
| Study the US 20/26 corridor to recommend possible future improvements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7827 | SH 44, CORRIDOR STUDY, JCT I 84 TO EAGLE | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 5,505,933.00 |
| Study the SH 44 corridor to recommend possible future improvements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8821 | LOCAL, THREE CITIES ITS, ADA CO | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 3,875,680.00 |
| 9967 | SH 55, MARSING TO NEW MEADOWS, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2007 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 685,660.00 |
| 9968 | US 95, OR LN TO NEW MEADOWS, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2007 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 686,500.00 |
| 9969 | SH 69, KUNA TO MERIDIAN, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2009 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 248,500.00 |
| 9971 | SH 45, JCT SH 78 TO NAMPA, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2009 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 47,500.00 |
| 9972 | US 20, PARMA TO CALDWELL, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2008Federal-Aid, Local Road System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 105,000.00 |
| 9973 | SH 19, OR ST LN TO CALDWELL, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2008 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 129,340.00 |
| 10541 | STP-8423, AMITY RD; ROBINSON RD TO KINGS CORNER, NAM | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 9,336,377.35 |
| 11045 | I 84, REGINA TO CLEFT, EB | 3 | 2011 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 13,410,389.00 |
| 11350 | TRANSIT, BSU BUS MTNCE FACILITY (FTA TRANSFER) | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY | Development | 543,000.00 |
| Provides for a bus maintenance facility, park and ride lot, bus storage, and bus wash off the Boise State University campus. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11386 | TRANSIT, BOISE URBANIZED AREA | 3 | 2012 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Development | 828,000.00 |
| 11582 | SMA-9463, FIVE MILE RD; FRANKLIN TO FAIRVIEW AVE, BOIS | 3 | 2013 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 9,899,339.91 |
| Project includes construction work on Five Mile Road, not including the Fairview intersection. Widen to 5-lane section with shoulder, sidewalk, and railroad crossing improvements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11583 | LOCAL, VRT BUS REPLACEMENT | 3 | 2012 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 469,000.00 |
| 11588 | US 20, BROADWAY BR, BOISE | 3 | 2015 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 21,939,721.00 |
| 12029 | I 84, GOWEN RR BR EB, BOISE | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 48,718.95 |
| 12044 | SH 55, EAGLE RD; FAIRVIEW AVE TO SH 44 | 3 | 2012 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 6,276,687.91 |
| 12046 | SH 55, INT KARCHER \& MIDDLETON RDS, NAMPA | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 5,785,051.64 |
| 12062 | STP-7403, FRANKLIN RD; TOUCHMARK WAY TO FIVE MILE RD | 3 | 2011 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 4,217,076.19 |
| 12179 | TRANSIT, CAPITAL LEASE OR PURCHASE/MAINT, NAMPA FY1 | 3 | 2015 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Development | 180,000.00 |
| Provide vehicle lease or purchase for fixed line, demand response, and support activities, and associated equipment and maintenance in the Nampa Urbanized Area. (Federal = \$769,438.) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12204 | TRANSIT, TREASURE VALLEY IDAHO TRANSIT FACILITIES - F | 3 | 2012 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Project Closed | 975,000.00 |
| 12360 | STC-3845, S ORCHARD ACCESS RD, RRX, ADA CO | 3 | 2015 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 377,000.00 |
| Add a railroad gate and signal at the South Orchard Access Road. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12361 | SH 19, RRXing EAST OF GREENLEAF | 3 | 2012 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 179,689.10 |
| 12362 | OFFSYS, PINTO RD RRX \#819706P, W OF CALDWELL | 3 | 2012 State Funded Program | CANYON HD Number 4 | Project Closed | 41,040.26 |
| 12364 | LOCAL, FY15 TRANSIT VEHICLE PURCHASE, NAMPA | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 970,000.00 |
| Provide up to six replacement vehicles in the Nampa Urbanized Area. Vehicles are currently leased. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES

| Key No. | Location | Dist. | Mear Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12368 | STP-7403, FRANKLIN RD; BLACK CAT TO TEN MILE, ADA CO | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 12,219,732.00 |
| Widen Franklin Road from two-lane to five-lane from Black Cat Road to Ten Mile Road. Work includes curb, gutter, drainage swales, sidewalks, and bicycle facilities, and reconstructing the intersection at Franklin Road and Black Cat Road with a seven-lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12379 | I 84, GOWEN RR BR WB, BOISE | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 2,587.46 |
| 12383 | SH 55, INT KARCHER RD \& LAKE AVE, CANYON CO | 3 | 2017 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,524,609.00 |
| 12886 | US 95, JCT US 20/26 UPRR OPASS, CANYON CO | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 6,550,590.00 |

 milepost 9.8. The new bridges will include widened shoulders to accommodate pedestrians and bicyclists.

| 13025 | SH 55, INT MIDWAY RD, NR NAMPA | 3 | 2017 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,935,634.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13030 | SH 45, DEER FLAT TO I 84 B, NAMPA | 3 | 2015 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 5,047,918.00 |
| 13050 | STP-7220, STATE ST ITS, SH 16 TO 23RD, BOISE VRT | 3 | 2012 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Development | 250,378.00 |
| 13053 | LOCAL, TRANSIT - NAMPA MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 3 | 2012 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,305,795.00 |
| 13054 | STC-7165, FARMWAY RD / HIGHWAY 44, PARMA HD \#2 | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NOTUS-PARMA HD Number 2 | Project Closed | 2,224,162.00 |

 Road. It will include installation of guardrail on two concrete bridge crossings over canals.


Replace bridge on 10th Ave. over Indian Creek in Caldwell. Work includes a bicycle and pedestrian tunnel under the bridge.

| 13057 | 184 MERIDIAN IC TO FIVE MILE RD | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 27,101,026.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13059 | SH 44, LINDER RD TO BALLANTYNE, EAGLE | 3 | 2013 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 11,434,790.00 |
| 13387 | SH 55, SNAKE RV BR, MARSING | 3 | 2020 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 17,964,245.42 |

 sidewalks.


 overlay for the deck.

 ensuring accessibility for people with disabilities at intersections, and replacing two failing culverts.

This project will re-paint pavement striping on sections of I-84 that have been deemed unsafe due to fading. Pavement markings are necessary to maintain traveler safety.

| 13475 | SH 55, INT KARCHER RD \& INDIANA AVE, CANYON CO | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 2,658,878.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13479 | LOCAL, FY17 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, ACHD | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 7,310,006.62 |

 online: http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/DCR/DCR.pdf

| 13480 | LOCAL, FY14 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, BOISE, VRT | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 986,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13481 | STP-7220, INT STATE ST \& COLLISTER DR, BOISE | 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 13,819,274.00 |
| Intersection improvements at State Street and Collister Drive in the City of Boise. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13482 | LOCAL, FY18 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT, BOISE | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 619,951.43 |
| For replacement transit bus or replacement transit facility in 2018. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES


 Commerce Ave.; Latah St., Overland Rd. to Alpine St. ; and Fairview Ave., Locust Grove Rd. to Cloverdale Rd.

This project will continue to improve and market the rideshare program while coordinating and operating the multi-county vanpool program.

Replace public transportation bus or facility in the Nampa Urbanized Area.

 online: http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/DCR/DCR.pdf

 funds for this project.

| 13916 | LOCAL, DRY CR TRAIL, EAGLE | 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | EAGLE | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,954.82 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

 system.

| 13931 | SH 45, NORTHSIDE BLVD TO GRANT ST, CANYON COUNTY | 3 | 2017 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 5,031,452.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |


| 13941 | US 20, INT CHINDEN \& LOCUST GROVE RD, ADA CO | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,242.15 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13952 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

 at this location. This report will then provide recommendations strategies on how best to mitigate hydraulic issues up to and including bridge replacement.

| 13954 | I 84B, FY15 8 ADA RAMPS, NAMPA | 3 | 2015 State Funded Program | NAMPA | Project Closed | 53,500.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Provides for Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) ramp improvements at eight locations on I-84B (Garrity Boulevard) in Nampa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES

| Key No | Location D | Dist. Y | Year Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13959 | SMA-8323, GREENHURST RD SIGNALS, NAMPA | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | AMPA | Project Closed | 336,270.73 |
| This project will install Road signals on Greenhurst Rd. in the city of Nampa. This project will Install Signal Controllers, Cabinets, Flashing Yellow Left Turn Arrow Heads (FYLTA), Pedestrian Crossing Countdown Heads, Automatic Pedestrian Signals (APS) and Upgrade Existing Street Lights. This will improve the overall traffic flow and protected left hand turn movements. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14344 | LOCAL, S MIDLAND BLVD PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL, NAMPA | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | AMPA | arded (or equiv.) | 189,462.00 |
| This project will place a HAWK pedestrian-activated signal at the Wilson Pathway where it crosses Midland Boulevard. Pedestrian pre-warning signals and thermo crosswalk markings will also be installed to improve safety concerns at the intersection. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14363 | LOCAL, DEER FLAT BICYCLE /PEDESTRIAN PLAN, CANYON C | 3 | 2014 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CANYON HD Number 4 | Development | 230,000.00 |
| 18694 | ASS | 3 | 2019 Fed | COMPASS | Awarded (or equiv.) | 226 |
| This study is an update to the Treasure Valley ITS and operations strategic plan and ITS architecture, and will include a comprehensive update of the existing conditions assessment, regional ITS inventory, vision, operational concept, implementation plan, |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18717 | STP-7343, CHERRY LN; N LINDER TO N MERIDIAN RD LIGHTIN | N 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | DA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 450,573.00 |
| 18728 | LOCAL, FY20 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, ACHD | 3 | 2020 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY H | eq | 4,718,547.00 |
| Supplement the local maintenance program to complete work such as overlays on arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18830 | I 84, SIGN STRUCTURES, EXITS 26 AND 27 | 3 | 2018Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 851,601.28 |
| The I-84; SIGN STRUCTURES, EXITS 26 AND 27 project located in Canyon County will replace ground mounted signs adjacent to the highway with overhead sign structures to improve safety. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18833 | SH 55, EAGLE RD; SIGNAL EQUIPMENT UPGRADES | 3 | 2019 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 583,000.00 |
| Completes the SH-55 (Eagle Road) corridor with adaptive signals in order to help address heavy volumes of traffic. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18838 | OFFSYS, MILL CR ELEM SCHOOL SR2S, GREATER MIDDLETO | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | IISCELLANEOUS | Awarded (or equiv.) | 324,500.00 |
| This project includes a 12 -foot pathway from Cornell Street to Mill Creek Elementary. It will cross a new bridge and connect to a pathway to Johnson Park Estates and the back entrance to Mill Creek Elementary. An eight-foot pathway will also be construct |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18841 | SH 55, INT FARMWAY RD \& KARCHER RD, CANYON CO | 3 | 2017 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,065,950.00 |
| This project on Hwy-55 (W. Karcher Road) will rebuild, widen, and signalize the intersection with Farmway Road at milepost 10.6 in Canyon County. Turn lanes will also be added. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18847 | LOCAL, FY19 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ALLEY REGIONAL T | (or equiv. | 1,316,99 |
| Replace public transportation bus or facility in FY 2019 in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18852 | US 20, INT FARMWAY RD, CANYON CO | 3 | 2018Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 569,351.00 |
| Add a left turn lane on east-bound US-20/26 to north-bound Farmway Rd., add flashing beacon to existing warning signs and realign the intersection to make 90 degrees on all four legs. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18867 | STP-8463, E GREENHURST RD, STODDARD PATH SIGNALS, N | N 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | AMPA | Project Closed | 255,467.00 |
| This project will install pedestrian and bicycle safety improvements at the Stoddard Pathway crossing at East Greenhurst Road. Improvements include a pedestrian activated HAWK signal, wheelchair accessible ramps, pathway re-alignment, lighting, crosswalk. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18872 | SH 16, INT BEACON LIGHT RD | 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,455,697.00 |
| This project will improve the safety at the intersection of Hwy-16 and Beacon Light Road. Safety improvements include adding a signal and widening the intersection. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18950 | SH 44, CANYON CANAL BR, MIDDLETON | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,667,447.00 |
| The SH-44; CANYON CANAL BRIDGE project located east of Duff Lane in the City of Middleton in Canyon County will replace the existing bridge, including curbs, gutters, sidewalks, and railings. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18954 | OFFSYS, HEIGHTS ELEM SCHOOL SR2S, MIDDLETON | 3 | 2016 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | MIDDLETON | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 339,000.00 |
| This project will add missing segments of sidewalk that lead to Heights Elementary on the west side of Cemetery Road in Middleton. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19047 | 184, SAND HOLLOW IC \# 17, CANYON CO | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,098,176.00 |
| This project on I-84 will replace the structurally deficient bridge over Oasis Road at Exit 17 in Sand Hollow. The project will also address safety concerns with the lengths and alignments of interchange ramps. The current lighting will also be assessed. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19057 | LOCAL, ROLLING STOCK, INFRASTRUCTURE AND TECHNOLO | 3 | 2020 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,243,747.00 |
| Fund capital projects identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in 2020 in the Boise Urbanized Area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES

| Key No. | Location |  | Year | Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19065 | STP-8423 |  | 3 | Federal-Aid, L | NAMPA | Project Closed | 267,000.00 |

 accessible ramps, sidewalk modifications with guardrail

 projects provide transportation planning services to region.

This project has been split out of KN 11588 to pre-order steel girder and $H$ piles before the actual bridge contract will be in place, to stay on schedule.

The Christ Chapel Mitigation required prior to Broadway bridge construction.

 projects provide transportation planning services to region.

 flying WYE and the Cole Interchange. Other improvements include partial concrete slab replacement and joint repair.

This project will provide bridge deck preservation and preventative maintenance to the bridges, bridge approaches and guardrail in various locations throughout District 3.

 segments that are not lighted and contains a higher crash rate at night. This project safety measure has a crash reduction factor of $37 \%$.

This project will assist COMPASS in meeting federal transportation planning responsibilities.

 and Dewey Ave. These improvements will increase driver awareness and protect pedestrians and bicyclists.





Remove existing crossing material (Asphalt/Gravel) and Install new insulated concrete planking. Install new rail crossing signs.

 outside of the COMPASS Planning Area.

| 19461 | OFFSYS, ACADEMY RD BVRR RRX, GREENLEAF | 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | GREENLEAF | Awarded (or equiv.) | 60,600.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |



 shoulders will receive an overlay.

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES

| Key No. | Location | Dist. Year | Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19600 | SH 45, 12TH AVE S; 10TH ST S TO 12TH ST S, NAMPA | 320 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Project Closed | 330,406.00 |

 and 12th Street South. These improvements will increase driver awareness and protect pedestrians and bicyclists.

 down. Improvements includes the use of a stress absorbing fiberglass mat layer.

| 19627 | SH 19, ROEDEL AVE BVRR RRX, CALDWELL | 3 | 2018Federal-Aid, State Highway Sys | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 633,000 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| This project is sponsored by ITD to add cantilever signals and improve the crossing surface for the entire length of the crossing. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19645 | US 95, OREGON ST LN TO WILDER SCL | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,932,225.00 |
| This project will sealcoat US-95 from the Oregon State Line (milepost 0) to milepost 38.4 to preserve this section of roadway in good condition. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19685 | STP-7073, COLE RD; SPECTRUM TO CENTUR | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 383,682 |


 segments over the last few years as crash data identified a high number of left turn crashes. The raised concrete will better delineate the median, the left turn pockets and reduce crashes.
 A sealcoat will preserve this section of roadway in fair condition.
 This project will restore the pavement on US-20 from milepost 48.13 to milepost 52.12 in downdown Boise by milling off the old surface and inlaying a new one.


Assist COMPASS in meeting federal transportation planning responsibilities.

 location. This action will take longer than the GARVEE program will be open, so a new project is being set up to manage these funds separately.

 National Forest lands. The purpose of this project is to improve public and federal agencies' access to the Boise National Forest.
 drainage. In addition, the project will create a new trailhead, which will include a new ingress/egress point from Bogus Basin Rd, the parking area, and a comfort station.
 This project will purchase bike racks, bike repair equipment, security infrastructure, and tenant improvement furnishings for a secure inside bike storage.


The I-84; KARCHER INTERCHANGE IMPROVMENTS project in the City of Nampa in Canyon County will improve safety and congestion at the Karcher Interchange


Supplement the local maintenance program to complete work such as overlays on arterials and collectors in the Boise Urbanized Area.

 (milepost 19.056).

| 19874 | I 84, BLACKS CR RD IC, ADA CO | 3 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

Replace the Blacks Creek Interchange at I-84 in Ada County and upgrade the ramps to meet the standards for an 80 mph speed limit. The bridge was originally built in 1962.


This project will install crossing signals and gates at the North Linder railroad crossing in Meridian. The project is located on SMA-9773 at mile point 11.329 .

## Prior Year Projects

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES

| Key No. | Location | Dist. Y | Year Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19887 | LOCAL, FY20 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, ACHD | 3 | 2020 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,273,912.00 |
| Supplement the local maintenance program for arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19944 | US 20/26, CHINDEN; LOCUST GROVE TO EAGLE | 3 | 2020 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 18,223,224.00 |
| The US 20/26 Chinden Expansion project is located in Ada County on Chinden Road between Locust Grove and Eagle Road. The project will add an additional westbound and eastbound lane to improve mobility and reduce crashes along the corridor. The project is located between mile points 39.22 and 40.22 . |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19965 | SH 69, KUNA TO MERIDIAN | 3 | 2018 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,137,252.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19997 | SH 69, SIGNAL INSTALLATION AT HUBBARD \& LAKE HAZEL | 3 | 2018 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 961,900.00 |
| The project is located near the city of Kuna in Ada County and will signalize two intersections at Lake Hazel Road and Hubbard road to improve safety. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20003 | LOCAL, FY19 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, ACHD | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,199,734.00 |
| Supplement the local maintenance program for arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on: Emerald St., Cole Rd. to Orchard St.; Orchard St., Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd. (subject to change). More details can be found in ACHD's Design and Construction Review Online. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20014 | SMA-3683, S BLACK CAT RD UPRR RRX, ACHD | 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 314,900.00 |
| This project will install crossing gates and signals at the railroad crossing on South Black Cat Rd. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20046 | LOCAL, FY19 ACHD COMMUTERIDE VAN REPLACEMENTS | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 331,000.43 |
| Replace vans used in the Commuteride system in FY2019 in the Boise Urbanized Area. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20063 | OFFSYS, AVENUE E; 4TH ST TO MAIN ST, KUNA | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | KUNA | Project Closed | 582,833.00 |
| 20076 | OFFSYS, INDIAN CR PATH EXT TO GREENBELT, CALDWELL | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CALDWELL | Awarded (or equiv.) | 555,555.56 |

 and construct lighting along the entire pathway system.


 online.
 Construct a secured bike parking area for public access on the edge
of the Boise State University campus.

This project will construct approximately 633 feet of 8 -foot-wide, multi-use, asphalt trail for Indian Creek Pathway.

 landscaping, decorative and functional lighting, benches, and bike racks. The project is located on SMA-7179 between mile points 0.87 and 1.015 .


improve intersection efficiency and enhance vehicle safety. Improvements include a traffic signal, pedestrian facilities, street lighting, lane widening and the installation of turn lanes.


70.22 .

Replace vans used in the Commuteride system in the Boise Urbanized Area.

# SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES 

| Key | Location | Dist. | Year Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20225 | SH 45, DEER FLAT TO I-84B MICROSEAL, NAMPA | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 966,380.00 |
| Micro seal SH 45 from Deer Flat road to 3rd St in downtown Nampa. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20249 | LOCAL, SAFETY IMPRV AT 62 INTERSECTIONS, GOLDEN GAT | T 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | GOLDEN GATE H | varded (or equiv.) | 50,000.00 |
| This project includes the following safety improvements: Install larger stop signs ( 30 " to 36 "), Install stop bars (stop bar on minor roads and short segments of centerline), Install advance warning signs ( 30 " to 36"). |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20267 | SH 55, MARSING TO NAMPA-CALDWELL BLVD | 3 | 2018 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,153,264.00 |
| This project located near the City of Marsing in Canyon County will sealcoat the pavement surface to improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20275 | NHS-7220, STATE ST LIGHTING; 16TH TO 23RD, ACHD | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 490,054.00 |
| This project will install additional street lighting on State Street, between 16 th Street and 23 rd Street. The segments of the street in between the intersections are not lighted and have a higher nighttime crash rate than the lighted segments. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20288 | I 84, E BOISE POE LICENSE PLATE READERS, ADA COUNTY | 3 | 2017 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 500,000.00 |
| Install license plate readers on the east and west bound lanes of the Boise port of entry to allow faster truck movement through the port for trucks not compatible with weigh-in-motion technology. The project includes changeable message signs for information and direction. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20294 | LOCAL, INT COLE RD AND OVERLAND RD, BOISE | 3 | 2017 Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,492,720.00 |
| Install signal-controlled right turn lanes on each leg of the Cole Rd and Overland Rd intersection in Boise and install ramps and crosswalks. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20315 | $184, \mathrm{KARCHER} \mathrm{IC} \mathrm{TO} \mathrm{FRANKLIN} \mathrm{BLVD}$, | 3 | 2019 Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 15,480,612.00 |
| Expand I-84 to three lanes in each direction between the Karcher Interchange (Midland Blvd.) and Franklin Blvd. in the City of Nampa. Individual construction projects will be identified once the phasing is determined. Projects are funded using INFRA grant, with State funds used as tapered match. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20351 | 184, CALDWELL TO KARCHER, CANYON CO | 3 | 2019 State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 3,970,000.00 |
| Environmental study only; design and construction projects are split out from this key. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20594 | US 20, LINDER TO LOCUST GROVE, EAGLE | 3 | 2020 State Funded Program | PRIVATE | Development | 893,832.00 |


 standard intersections. The project is located on US-20 between mile points 37.258 and 39.225.

 installation of a traffic signal and sidewalk to reduce/eliminate serious injury crashes and fatalities. The project is located on SMA-8383 between mile points 3.8 and 4.2 .

 Boise. A small section of block retaining wall construction is anticipated.

 temporarily shift traffic during the I-84 reconstruction projects.

 a shoulder on the southeast side, bike lane and sidewalk on the northwest side. The project includes minor roadway reconstruction for the approaches on each side of the bridge.



 Northside IC will be a SPUI IC with one single-span hybrid steel girder I-84 bridge over Northside Boulevard.

## Prior Year Projects

# SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES 

| Key No. | Location | Dist. | Year | Major Program | Sponsor | Project Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20799 | I 84, KARCHER IC TO NORTHSIDE BLVD | 3 | 32020 | Federal-Aid, State Highway Syste | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 29,192,188.00 |

 lane section (3-lanes each direction plus auxiliary lanes each direction between the Karcher and Northside interchanges.


 future fifth lane expansion of the Interstate below. Additional details will be available on ACHD's and ITD's website soon.

This project will evaluate the environmental impacts as a result of widening from two to five lanes within this corridor which extends from Sunny Slope to Middleton Rd.

Construct one covered bicycle parking facility on the Boise State University campus. The covered parking shelters provide shelter from the sun, rain, and snow.

This project will develop a Bridge Asset Management Plan for the high replacement cost Mores Creek bridge on SH-21 at milepoint 17 in Ada Co.

This project provides for Safe Routes To School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events and indirect costs.

This project provides for Safe Routes To School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events and indirect costs.

Upgrade signals at the Midland Blvd. Union Pacific Railroad crossing.

 neighborhoods to parks and schools in southeast Nampa. This extension would add approximately $1 / 2-$ mile to the paved trail starting at lowa Avenue and ending at Amity Avenue.


 also tie into the proposed Stoddard City Pathway (lowa to Amity), creating approximately 2 and $3 / 4$ miles of continuous trail.


 Ln



 lanes to supplement maintenance data for these systems.
 This project will install cantilever gates and lights at the crossing in Greenleaf at milepost 14.24 on SH -19. The crossing\# is 819698A

Rebuild Middleton Rd. overpass at I-84 in Canyon Co. This project is part of the GARVEE project to expand I-84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell (Key Number 20351).


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Communities in Motion 2040 2.0: http://compassidaho.org/CIM2040-2.0/
    ${ }^{2}$ Annual Listing of Projects:
    http://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/transimprovement.htm\#Annual

[^1]:    ${ }^{3}$ TIP web page: https://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/transimprovement.htm
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