City of Kuna
P.O. Box 13

Kuna, Idaho 83634
Phone: (208) 922-5274
Fax: (208) 922-5989
www.kunacity.id.gov
Planning \& ZoningDepartment

## Agency Notification

January 29, 2021
Notice is hereby given by the City of Kuna that the following action(s) are under consideration:

| File Number \& Case Name: | 20-07-AN (Annexation) \& 20-16-S (Preliminary Plat) - Arrowood Heights Subdivision |
| :---: | :---: |
| Project <br> Description | Wendy Shrief of JUB Engineers, on behalf of Hayden Homes, requests approval for Annexation of approximately 53.16 ac. into Kuna City Limits with 33.71 ac. R-6 (Medium Density Residential); 7.59 ac. R-8 (Medium Density Residential) and 9.79 ac. C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) zoning designations. Applicant also requests Preliminary Plat approval to subdivide approximately 41.3 ac. into 177 Single-Family Residential lots with an R-6 \& R-8 (Med. Density Residential) zoning, 26 Common Lots \& four (four) shared driveways. C-1 (Neighborhood Commercial) to be developed in the future (APN: S1303417354). Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West. |
| Site Location | 7445 S Ten Mile Road, Kuna 83634. |
| Applicant | Hayden Homes <br> 1406 N Main Street, Suite 109 <br> Meridian, ID 83642 <br> 208.869.9785 |
| Representative | Wendy Shrief, JUB Engineers <br> 2760 W Excursion Lane, Suite 400 <br> Meridian, ID 83642 <br> 208.376.7330 <br> wshrief@jub.com |
| Tentative Public Hearing Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Tuesday, March 23, } 2021 \\ & \text { 6:00 PM } \\ & \text { Council Chambers within Kuna City Hall, located at } 751 \text { W. } 4^{\text {th }} \text { Street, Kuna, ID } 83634 \end{aligned}$ |
| Staff Contact | Jessica Reid <br> Kuna P\&Z Staff <br> 208.387.7731 <br> jreid@kunaid.gov |
| Enclosed is information to assist you with your consideration and response. All comments as to how this action may affect the service(s) your agency provides, is greatly appreciated. Please contact staff with any questions. If your agency needs different or additional information to review and provide comments please notify our office and they will be sent to you. If your agency needs additional time for review, please let our office know as soon as possible. No response within 15 business days will indicate you have no objection or comments for this project. |  | inc.

J•U•B ENGINEERS, INC.

October 30, 2020

City of Kuna
763 W. Avalon
Kuna, ID 83634

## RE: ARROWOOD HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION- PRELIMINARY PLAT, AND ANNEXATION AND ZONING APPLICATIONS

To Whom It May Concern:
On behalf of our client, Hayden Homes, please accept this request for a preliminary plat and annexation and zoning for Arrowood Heights Subdivision; the proposed development is located on the west side of Ten Mile Road in Kuna, Idaho. The property is located immediately to the south of Memory Ranch Subdivision. The proposed development includes a total of 177 residential lots on 41.3 acres with a density of 4.29 dwelling units per acre. The property is currently located in Ada County and R-6 and R-8 zoning is requested for the property's zoning designation. $\mathrm{C}-1$ zoning is being requested for a 9.79 acre property that is located adjacent to Ten Mile; the commercial property will be annexed into the City of Kuna for future development but the property is not included in the preliminary plat. The Comprehensive Plan designation for the property is Mixed-Use.

## Preliminary Plat

Corrected to 177
Residential Lots \& 26 Common lots on updated Pre Plat Color Rendering 01.29.2021

The design of the project is intended to provide an upscale single-family residential subdivision $\uparrow$ for Kuna residents. The 41.30 acre property will be divided into 207 residential lots, 25 common lots, and 4 shared driveway lots. Three phases are proposed for the subdivision. The property is currently zoned RUT and located in Ada County. The average lot size in the subdivision is 5,508 square feet in size. The southern side of the proposed subdivision borders an existing County subdivision with 1 acre lots; R-6 zoning and a large common area is proposed for the area bordering the County subdivision. $16 \%$ of the subdivision property will be dedicated to open space; $12 \%$ of the subdivision will be dedicated to usable open space including a regional pathway and park areas.

There are adequate public services available to this area to serve the subdivision; The development will be served with public sewer and water provided by the City of Kuna. Fire protection will be available through the Kuna Fire Department. Storm water will be retained on site and designed by a civil engineer in accordance with City of Kuna requirements.

Access to the development will be off of Ten Mile Road; the proposed subdivision will also be connected to a Collector street which will be constructed on the northern side of the proposed
subdivision. Internal access to residential lots will be provided through public streets; standard street sections with 50 ' of right-of-way and 36 ' of pavement are proposed.

## Neighborhood Meeting and Revised layout

Our client, Hayden Homes, held two Neighborhood Meetings to discuss the proposed layout with neighbors of the proposed Arrowood Heights Subdivision. At the first Neighborhood Meeting, held of September $24^{\text {th }}$, neighbors expressed concerns about proposed homes that would have bordered an existing County subdivision located to the south of Arrowood Heights. Following the meeting, Hayden Homes revamped their layout to move an open space area to the southern edge of the subdivision; several lots were also dropped from the plat to accommodate the layout change. A second Neighborhood Meeting was held on October $21^{\text {st }}$ to show neighbors the proposed layout changes. The response of the neighbors to the layout changes and revised open space location was overwhelmingly positive.

## Proposed Amenities

A multi-use regional pathway will be constructed on the northern side of the Kuna Canal. Several micropath connections are proposed within the subdivision to create pedestrian connections within the subdivision and to improve access to open space areas and the regional pathway. $16 \%$ of the proposed subdivision will be dedicated to open space, park areas and a basketball court are planned for open space areas.

## Annexation and Zoning

Our client is requesting R-6 and R-8 zoning designations for the proposed annexation of Arrowood Heights Subdivision, C-1 Zoning is proposed for the area bordering Ten Mile. R-8 zoning will be located adjacent to the future commercial area on Ten Mile and R-6 zoning is proposed for the western portion of the subdivision. The two varying densities are being proposed to allow for a transition between the future commercial development and the proposed residential subdivision. The proposed residential and commercial zoning designations are compatible with the City of Kuna's Mixed Use Comprehensive Plan designation for the area.

The development has been designed in accordance with the City of Kuna's Code and Comprehensive Plan. Please contact me at 376-7330 if you have any questions regarding this application.

Sincerely,
J-U-B ENGINEER\$, Inc.

$$
\text { Wendy Shrief, AICP }^{\text {o }}
$$



From:
Sent:
To:
Cc:
Subject:

Sub Name Mail [subnamemail@adacounty.id.gov](mailto:subnamemail@adacounty.id.gov) Monday, October 26, 2020 7:30 AM
Wendy Shrief
Rob Kazarinoff
RE: Arrowwood Heights Subdivision Name Reservation

## [External Email]

October 26, 2020
Rob Kazarinoff, J-U-B Engineers
Wendy Shrief, J-U-B Engineers

RE: Subdivision Name Reservation: ARROWWOOD HEIGHTS SUBDIVISION
At your request, I will reserve the name Arrowwood Heights Subdivision for your project. I can honor this reservation only as long as your project is in the approval process. Final approval can only take place when the final plat is recorded.

This reservation is available for the project as long as it is in the approval process unless the project is terminated by the client, the jurisdiction or the conditions of approval have not been met, in which case the name can be re-used by someone else.

Sincerely,


Glen Smallwood<br>Surveying Technician

Ada County Development Services
200 W. Front St., Boise, ID 83702
(208) 287-7926 office
(208) 287-7909 fax

From: Wendy Shrief [wshrief@jub.com](mailto:wshrief@jub.com)
Sent: Friday, October 23, 2020 1:04 PM
To: Sub Name Mail [subnamemail@adacounty.id.gov](mailto:subnamemail@adacounty.id.gov)
Subject: [EXTERNAL] Re: Arrowwood Heights - Subdivision Name Reservation
Rob Kazarinoff is the PLS
Wendy Shrief
208.559.1760

On Oct 23, 2020, at 1:00 PM, Sub Name Mail [subnamemail@adacounty.id.gov](mailto:subnamemail@adacounty.id.gov) wrote:

## QUITCLAIM DEED

FOR VALUE RECEIVED, Dean S. Leavitt and Ann B. Leavitt, husband and wife (collectively, the "Grantor"), hereby remise, release and forever quitclaim unto Dean S. Leavitt and Ann B. Leavitt, husband and wife (collectively, the "Grantee"), whose address is 7445 South Ten Mile Road, Meridian, Idaho 83642, as community property with rights of survivorship, all of their right, title and interest in and to the real property located at 7445 South Ten Mile Road, Meridian, Idaho 83642 , which real property is more particularly described as follows:

The North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho,

## EXCEPTING THEREFROM THE FOLLOWING:

A part of the North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho, more particularly described to wit:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of the said North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast 1/4;
thence South $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 1331.69 feet, along the East line of the said North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$, to the Southeast corner of the said North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$;
thence North $89^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West 360.00 feet, along the South line of the said North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$, to the INITIAL POINT of this description;
thence continue North $89^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West 2294.70 feet, along the said South line to the Southwest corner of the said North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$;
thence North $0^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 59^{\prime \prime}$ East 991.90 feet, along the West line of the said North $1 / 2$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$, to a point on the centerline of a canal;
thence meandering along said centerline South $57^{\circ} 54^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ East 961.16 feet;
thence South $83^{\circ} 45^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East 1083.05 feet;
thence South $84^{\circ} 55^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ East 273.08 feet;
thence South $73^{\circ} 44^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ East 470.58 feet;
thence South $61^{\circ} 24^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ East 42.96 feet, to a point on the said East line;
thence leaving said centerline South $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 80.79 feet, along the said East line;
thence North $89^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West 360.00 feet, parallel with the said South line;
thence South $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 121.00 feet, parallel with the said East line, to the INITIAL POINT of this description.

This tract contains 28.883 acres, more or less, and is subject to a 25.00 foot road right of way along the said East line and a right of way for a canal on the Northerly side and to all other existing rights of way and easements.

A part of the Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$ of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West of the Boise Meridian in Ada County, Idaho, more particularly described to wit:

Commencing at the Northeast corner of the said Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $11 / 4$;
thence South $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 1210.69 feet, along the East line of the said Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$, to the INTIAL POINT of this description;
thence continue South $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ West 121.00 feet, to the Southeast corner of the said Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$;
thence North $89^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West 360.00 feet, along the South line of the said Northeast $1 / 4$ of the Southeast $1 / 4$;
thence North $0^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East 121.00 feet, parallel with the said East line;
thence South $89^{\circ} 40^{\circ} 49^{\prime \prime}$ East 360.00 feet, parallel with the said South line to the INTIAL POINT of this description;

This tract contains 1.00 acre, more or less, and is subject to a road right of way on the East 25.00 feet and to all other existing rights of way and easements.

TO HAVE AND TO HOLD the said premises, with all rights and appurtenances, as community property with rights of survivorship, unto the said Grantee, their heirs and assigns forever.

DATED this $15^{\text {th }}$ day of July, 2014.

Granter:


Dean S. Leavitt
Anndeavitt
Ann B. Leavitt

## STATE OF IDAHO ) ) ss. <br> County of Canyon )

On this $15^{\text {th }}$ day of July $J_{\text {_ }}$, 2014, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said County and State, personally appeared Dean S. Leavitt and Ann B. Leavitt, husband and wife, known or identified to be the persons whose names are subscribed to the within instrument, and acknowledged to me that they executed the same.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal on the day and year in this certificate first abgve written.



## City of Kuna AFFIDAVIT OF LEGAL INTEREST

## State of Idaho )

) ss.

## County of Ada )

I, Dean S. Leavitt
Name

| Meridian |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| City | ID |
| State | 83642 |
| Zip Code |  |

being first duly sworn upon oath, depose and say:
(If Applicant is also Owner of Record, skip to B)
A. That I am the record owner of the property described on the attached, and I grant my

permission to for Hayden Homes Idaho \begin{tabular}{ll}

| Tim Mow |
| :--- |
| Name | \& | 1406 N Main St., Suite 109 |
| :--- |
| Meridian ID 83642 | <br>

\& <br>
Address
\end{tabular}

to submit the accompanying application pertaining to that property.
B. I agree to indemnify, defend and hold City of Kuna and its employees harmless from any claim or liability resulting from any dispute as to the statements contained herein or as to the ownership of the property which is the subject of the application.
C. I hereby grant permission to the City of Kuna staff to enter the subject property for the purpose of site inspections related to processing said applications),

Dated this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2020


Subscribed and sworn to before me the day and year first above written.


CHELSIE BARDIN
Notary Public - State of Idaho
Commission Number 20181680
My Commission Expires Sep 4, 2024

| From: | noreply@civicplus.com |
| :--- | :--- |
| To: | Lessica Reid; Douq Hanson |
| Subject: | Online Form Submittal: Preliminary Plat |
| Date: | Friday, November 13, 2020 3:18:59 PM |

## Preliminary Plat

Step 1
Please complete each section of application in full NOTE: Engineering fees shall be paid by the applicant if required.

Contact/Applicant Information

| Owner(s) of Record | Leavitt, Dean |
| :--- | :--- |
| Phone: | NA |
| Email: | NA |
| Address1 | Field not completed. |
| Address2 | Meridian |
| City | ID Mile Road |
| State | 83642 |
| Zip | Tim Mokwa |
| Applicant (Developer): | Hayden Homes |
| Applicant (Developer) | 208.869 .9785 |
| Company: | Field not completed. |
| Phone: | 1406 N. Main Street, Ste 109 |
| Email: | Field not completed. |
| Address1 | Meridian |
| Address2 | ID |
| City | 83642 |
| State | Shrief, Wendy |
| Zip |  |
| Engineer/Representative: |  |


| Engineer/Representative Company: | JUB Engineers |
| :---: | :---: |
| Phone: | 2083767330 |
| Email: | wshrief@jub.com |
| Address1 | 2760 W. Excursion Ln. Suite 400 |
| Address2 | Field not completed. |
| City | Meridian |
| State | ID |
| Zip | 83642 |
|  | (Section Break) |
| Subject Property Information |  |
| Site Address: | 7445 S. Ten Mile Road |
| Nearest Cross Streets: | W. Columbia Rd |
| Parcel Number(s): | S1303417354 |
| Section, Township, Range: | 2N 1W Section 3 |
| Property Size: | 41.3 |
| Current Land Use: | Residential / Ag |
| Current Zoning District: | RUT |
| Proposed Land Use: | Single family residential |
| Proposed Zoning District | R6/R8 |
|  | (Section Break) |
| Project Description |  |
| Project/Subdivision Name: | Arrowood Heights Subdivision |
| General description of proposed project/request: | 177 lot residential subdivision |

Type of Use Proposed - Residential
Check all that apply:
If Other has been Field not completed.
selected, please provide a description:

Amenities provided with this development:

Landscaping, multi-use pathway, basketball court, active open space
(Section Break)
Residential Project Summary (if applicable):
Are there existing No
buildings?
Please describe existing Field not completed.
buildings:
Any existing buildings to No remain?

Number of Residential177

Units:
Number of buildable lots: 177

| Number of common lots <br> and/or other lots: | Corrected to 26 Common Lots 01.29.2021 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Type of dwellings Single-Family
proposed - Check all that apply:

Minimum square footage
$1,200 \mathrm{sf}$ of structures:

Gross Density (DU/Acre - 4.29 DU / ac
Total Property):
Net Density (DU/Acre - $\quad 7.93$ DU / ac
Excluding Roads):

| \% of Open Space | $17 \%$ | Corrected to $16 \%$ Open Space with 12\% Usable <br> provided: |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Acreage of Open Space: $\quad 6.86$
Type of Open Space
Landscaping, multi-use pathway, basketball court, active open

| provided? | space |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | (Section Break) |
| Non-Residential Project Sum | mary (if applicable): |
| Number of building lots: | Field not completed. |
| Other lots: | Field not completed. |
| Gross floor area square footage: | Field not completed. |
| Existing: | Field not completed. |
| Hours of Operation: | Field not completed. |
| Building Height: | Field not completed. |
| Total Number of Employees: | Field not completed. |
| Max. Number of Employees at one time? | Field not completed. |
| Number \& ages of students/children: | Field not completed. |
| Seating Capacity: | Field not completed. |
| Existing fencing? Type? Will it remain? | Field not completed. |
| Fencing type, size \& location? | Field not completed. |
| Handicapped parking spaces: | Field not completed. |
| Total parking spaces: | Field not completed. |
| Width of driveway aisle: | Field not completed. |
| Proposed lighting: | Streetlights will meet Kuna design standards |
| Proposed landscaping: | Field not completed. |

(Section Break)

By checking the "I agree" box below, you agree and acknowledge that 1) Your
application will not be signed in the sense of a traditional paper document, 2) By signing in this alternate manner, you authorize your electronic signature to be valid and binding upon you to the same force and effect as a handwritten signature, and 3) You may still be required to provide a traditional signature at a later date.

| First Name | Wendy |
| :--- | :--- |
| Last Name | Shrief |
| Electronic Signature | I Agree |
| Agreement |  |

## Step 2

NOTE: A file MUST be provided for each item marked with a red asterisk (*) in order to be able to submit this application.
Once the application is deemed complete, staff will notify the applicant of the scheduled hearing date, fees due, additional copies needed, etc.

| Vicinity Map | 10-20-098_2 MILE VICINITY.pdf |
| :---: | :---: |
| Maintenance Agreement | Arrowood Subdivision Preliminary Plat Landscape Plan 11-220.pdf |
| Legal Description | $\underline{20098}$ ANNEX_Legal_Desc.pdf |
| Proof of Ownership | Affidavit.pdf |
| Letter of Intent | Narrative.pdf |
| Commitment of Property Posting | Posting.pdf |
| Traffic Impact Study | TenMile TIS 03NOV20_FINAL.pdf |
| TIS Dropbox Link | Field not completed. |
| Subdivision Name Reservation | Subnameapproval.pdf |
| Phasing Plan | ARROWOOD PRE-PLAT. Pdf |
| Landscape Plan | Arrowood Subdivision Preliminary Plat Landscape Plan 11-220_1.pdf |
| Neighborhood Meeting Certification | MtgCert.pdf |
| 8.5" x 11" Proposed Preliminary Plat | ARROWOOD PRE-PLAT 2. 2 .pdf |

24 " x 36" Preliminary Plat ARROWOOD PRE-PLAT_3.pdf Drawing
(Section Break)
Reference
Affidavit of Legal Interest Click here
Commitment to Property Click here Posting

[^0]GATEWAY MAPPING INC.

## EXHIBIT "A"

## LEAVITT ANNEXATION ANNEXATION TO THE CITY OF KUNA LEGAL DESCRIPTION

That portion of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the east quarter corner of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, from which the southeast corner of said Section 3 bears South $00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ East, 2,663.38 feet;

Thence $500^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ E, 1, 129.97 feet along the east line of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 3 to the centerline of the Kuna Canal according to the official plat of Ironhorse Subdivision filed in Book 91 of Plats at Pages 10651 through 10655, Ada County Records;

Thence departing from said east line and along said centerline the following five (5) courses:

1) $\mathrm{N} 61^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 43.03$ feet;
2) $N 73^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} W, 470.58$ feet;
3) $\mathrm{N} 85^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 273.08$ feet;
4) $N 83^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 1,083.05$ feet;
5) $N 58^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 961.12$ feet to the west line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter;

Thence $N 00^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 335.16$ feet departing from said centerline and along said west line to the northwest corner of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter (center-quarter of said Section 3); Thence S $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E, 2,651.86 feet along the north line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 51.09 acres, more or less.

## END DESCRIPTION

This description was prepared by me or under my supervision. If any portion of this description is modified or removed without the written consent of Robert L. Kazarinoff, PLS, all professionall liability associated with this document is hereby declared null and void.

Robert L. Kazarinoff, PLS 16642

## Date
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## EXHIBIT "A"

## LEAVITT REZONE

### 33.71 ACRE PARCEL ZONING TO R-6 LEGAL DESCRIPTION

That portion of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

BEGINNING at the east quarter corner of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, from which the southeast corner of said Section 3 bears South $00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ East, 2,663.38 feet;
Thence $500^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 80.00$ feet along the east line of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 3;
Thence N $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 678.09$ feet departing from said east line;
Thence S $00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17{ }^{\prime \prime}$ W, 129.95 feet;
Thence N $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ W, 124.50 feet;
Thence $500^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 274.97$ feet to the beginning of a curve;
Thence along said curve to the right an arc length of 31.51 feet, having a radius of 300.00 feet, a central angle of $06^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$, a chord bearing of $\mathrm{S} 03^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}$ and a chord length of 31.50 feet;
Thence S $06^{\circ} 07{ }^{\circ} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W, 259.47 feet;
Thence N $83^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ W, 51.40 feet;
Thence $S 06^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime}$ W, 173.31 feet to the centerline of the Kuna Canal according to the official plat of Ironhorse Subdivision filed in Book 91 of Plats at Pages 10651 through 10655, Ada County Records;
Thence N $83^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ W, 939.91 feet along said centerline;
Thence N $58^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$ W, 961.12 feet along said centerline to the west line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter;
Thence $N 00^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 55^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 335.16$ feet departing from said centerline and along said west line to the northwest corner of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter (center-quarter of said Section 3);
Thence $S 89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E, $2,651.86$ feet along the north line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 33.71 acres, more or less.

## END DESCRIPTION

This description was prepared by me or under my supervision. If any portion of this description is modified or removed without the written consent of Robert L. Kazarinoff, PLS, all professional liability associated with this document is hereby declared null and void.

[^1]Date


27 OCT 2020
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## EXHIBIT "A"

## LEAVITT REZONE

### 7.59 ACRE PARCEL ZONING TO R-8

 LEGAL DESCRIPTIONThat portion of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at the east quarter corner of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, from which the southeast corner of said Section 3 bears South $00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ East, 2,663.38 feet; Thence $500^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 80.00$ feet along the east line of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 3; Thence N $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 427.59$ feet along a line parallel with and 80.00 feet southerly of the north line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence S 00º $06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ W, 755.66 feet;
Thence N $85^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime}$ W, 40.14 feet;
Thence $500^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ W, 152.62 feet to the centerline of the Kuna Canal according to the official plat of Ironhorse Subdivision filed in Book 91 of Plats at Pages 10651 through 10655, Ada County Records;
Thence N 73º 51'33" W, 19.45 feet along said centerline;
Thence N $85^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 31^{\prime \prime}$ W, 273.08 feet along said centerline;
Thence N $83^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime}$ W, 143.14 feet along said centerline;
Thence $N 06^{\circ} 07^{\prime} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 173.31$ feet departing from said centerline;
Thence S $83^{\circ} 52^{\prime} 37^{\prime \prime}$ E, 51.40 feet;
Thence $\mathrm{N} 06^{\circ} 07^{\prime 2} 23^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 259.47$ feet to the beginning of a curve;
Thence along said curve to the left an arc length of 31.51 feet, having a radius of 300.00 feet, a central angle of $06^{\circ} 01^{\prime} 06^{\prime \prime}$, a chord bearing of $\mathrm{N} 03^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 50^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}$ and a chord length of 31.50 feet;
Thence N 0006'17" E, 274.97 feet;
Thence S $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ E, 124.50 feet;
Thence $N 00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} E, 129.95$ feet to a line parallel with and 80.00 feet southerly of the north line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter;
Thence S $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E, 250.50 feet along said parallel line to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing 7.59 acres, more or less.

END DESCRIPTION

This description was prepared by me or under my supervision. If any portion of this description is modified or removed without the written consent of Robert L. Kazarinoff, PLS, all professional liability associated with this document is hereby declared null and void.


Robert L. Kazarinoff, PLS 16642
27 OCT 2020
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## EXHIBIT "A"

## LEAVITT REZONE

### 9.79 ACRE PARCEL ZONING TO C-1 LEGAL DESCRIPTION

That portion of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, Ada County, Idaho, more particularly described as follows:

COMMENCING at the east quarter corner of Section 3, Township 2 North, Range 1 West, Boise Meridian, from which the southeast corner of said Section 3 bears South $00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime}$ East, 2,663.38 feet; Thence S000 ${ }^{\prime} 19^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 80.00$ feet along the east line of the North Half of the Southeast Quarter of said Section 3 to the POINT OF BEGINNING;

Thence continuing $S 00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 19{ }^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 1,049.97$ feet along said east line to the centerline of the Kuna Canal according to the official plat of Ironhorse Subdivision filed in Book 91 of Plats at Pages 10651 through 10655, Ada County Records;
Thence N $61^{\circ} 31^{\prime} 27^{\prime \prime}$ W, 43.03 feet along said centerline;
Thence $\mathrm{N} 73^{\circ} 51^{\prime} 33^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{W}, 451.13$ feet along said centerline;
Thence $N 00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 152.62$ feet departing from said centerline;
Thence S $85^{\circ} 02^{\prime} 35^{\prime \prime} \mathrm{E}, 40.14$ feet;
Thence $N 00^{\circ} 06^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime} E, 755.66$ feet to a line parallel with and 80.00 feet southerly of the north line of said North Half of the Southeast Quarter;
Thence S $89^{\circ} 53^{\prime} 52^{\prime \prime}$ E, 427.59 feet along said parallel line to the POINT OF BEGINNING, containing or 9.79 acres, more or less.

## END DESCRIPTION

This description was prepared by me or under my supervision. If any portion of this description is modified or removed without the written consent of Robert L. Kazarinoff, PLS, all professional liability associated with this document is hereby declared null and void.
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Operation and Maintenance of the Common Area. Operate, maintain, and otherwise manage, or provide for the operation, maintenance, and management of, the Common Area and Landscape Easement areas (as defined in Article 3), including the repair and replacement of property damaged or destroyed by casualty loss.
Specifically, the Association shall, at Declarant's sole discretion, operate and maintain all properties owned by Declarant which are designated by Declarant for temporary or permanent use by Members of the Association. Such properties may include those lands intended for open space uses and which may be referred to as "non-buildable" lots per the Plat. Additionally, the Association may, in its discretion, limit or restrict the use of the Common Area to the Owners residing in the Subdivision.
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LANDSCAPE CALCULATIONS

| LOCATION | BUFFER WIDTH | LENGTH | REQUIRED | PROVIDED |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ARMIPALE RD. | $20^{\prime}$ | $2125{ }^{\prime} / 100^{\prime}=$ | 43 TREES <br> 64 EVERGREENS 255 SHRUB |  |
| ARMIALE | $20^{\prime}$ | ${ }^{340^{\prime} / 35^{\prime}}=$ | 10 TREES | 10 TREES |
|  AND ORNAMENTAL TREE |  |  |  |  |
| common area |  | 5,270' $1800{ }^{\prime}=$ | 197 TREES | 1199 TREES |
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## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

The proposed Arrowood Subdivision (Project) site is located west of South Ten Mile Road between Lake Hazel Road and West Columbia Road near Kuna, Idaho (Figure 1). The Project is proposed on existing vacant land west of South Ten Mile Road. The Project consists of 181 dwelling units that will be accessed via two access points to a future collector road that will connect to South Ten Mile Road. The Project is anticipated to be built out and generating traffic by 2026.

Based upon the existing and future traffic analysis, the proposed Project results in a slight increase in delay for some traffic turning movements at the intersection of Lake Hazel Road and South Ten Mile Road under the future background conditions (2026) without project traffic. The traffic analysis for existing conditions indicates that the intersection of Lake Hazel Road and South Ten Mile Road currently operates at an acceptable level of service. However, the analysis for buildout year (2026) without Project traffic indicates that delay will increase, and the intersection will operate at an unacceptable level of service (LOS F). Based on the ACHD Five Year Work Plan, a traffic signal is anticipated to be the mitigation to improve traffic operations into the future. This intersection would operate at an overall LOS B under signalized AM and PM conditions, with or without the project in the year 2026. It is recommended that a signal be installed to improve operations.

The traffic analysis for buildout year (2026) with the project traffic indicates the South Ten Mile Road/ New East-West Collector Road intersection is anticipated to operate at an acceptable level of service. It is recommended that the project design the Project access points to meet City standards, and to design the access points and site layout to meet the sight distance standards in the AASHTO Green Book. Based on traffic analysis, the proposed access locations represent an acceptable traffic solution.

## 2. INTRODUCTION

### 2.1 Purpose

J-U-B Engineers, Inc. (J-U-B) has been contracted by Hayden Homes, LLC. to prepare a Traffic Impact Statement (TIS) for the proposed Arrowood Subdivision development located near Kuna, Idaho, and hereafter referred to as the Project. The purpose of this study is to evaluate the traffic impacts of the proposed Project and identify potential mitigation measures. This TIS was prepared to conform to the Ada County Highway District (ACHD) Traffic Impact Studies requirements in discussions ACHD staff and data from Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS).

### 2.2 Proposed Development and Access

The Project site is located west of South Ten Mile Road between Lake Hazel Road and West Columbia Road near Kuna, Idaho (Figure 1). The Project is proposed on existing vacant land west of South Ten Mile Road. The Project consists of 181 dwelling units that will be accessed via two access points to a future collector road that will connect to South Ten Mile Road. The conceptual site plan is shown in Appendix A. The Project is anticipated to be completed by 2026. There are currently no existing land uses on the Project site, but the site is adjacent to residential land uses. The Project site parcel zoning is RR Rural Residential. The land uses west of the Project site include residential, while to the north, south and east, the area is unincorporated, and the use is a mix of agricultural, commercial, and residential.

Figure 1: Approximate Site Location


### 2.3 Study Area and Methodology

Based on coordination with Ada County Highway District (ACHD), the City of Kuna, and Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS), the study area includes one existing intersection and two existing roadway segments. The study area is shown below Figure 2.

Intersections:

- South Ten Mile Road / Lake Hazel Road
- South Ten Mile Road / New East-West Collector Roadway

Roadway Segments:

- South Ten Mile Road from Lake Hazel Road to New Collector Road
- New East-West Collector Roadway from South Ten Mile Road to End of Project Frontage

The weekday AM and PM peak hours were determined to be the critical hours for traffic analysis purposes. Synchro models were developed for the existing 2020 AM and PM peak hours for the study intersections. Existing traffic volumes were collected on a typical weekday in February, 2020 prior to Covid-19 in the AM (7:00-9:00) and PM (4:00-6:00) peak hours. Growth rates were calculated from the information
provided by COMPASS. The forecasted 2026 horizon year conditions with and without the Project development were analyzed and mitigation measures were identified.

Figure 2: Study Area


## 3. ANALYSIS OF EXISTING CONDITIONS

### 3.1 Existing Roadway Conditions and Intersection Controls

Lake Hazel Road is classified as a principal arterial and South Ten Mile Road is a two-lane minor arterial. The posted speed limit is 50 mph on Lake Hazel Road and South Ten Mile Road.

The four-legged intersection of South Ten Mile Road / Lake Hazel Road currently operates as an all-way stop control intersection, and the lane configuration on all approaches includes a shared right/thru/left lane.

The project access points connect to a new East-West Roadway, which is anticipated to be a 2-lane collector roadway.

### 3.2 Data Collection

L2 Data Collection performed weekday AM (7:00-9:00) and PM (4:00-6:00) peak hour intersection turning movement counts on February 26, 2020. The traffic data is included in Appendix B and is summarized as follows.

- Intersection turning movement counts for the weekday AM and PM peak hour periods at one intersection:


## - South Ten Mile Road / Lake Hazel Road

### 3.3 Existing Traffic Operations and Level of Service

Level of Service (LOS) is a qualitative description of the level of congestion ranging from LOS A to LOS F. LOS A represents free-flowing traffic and LOS F represents gridlock. LOS is defined by the average delay per vehicle and is illustrated in Table 1. For a two way stop controlled intersection, LOS is reported per movement rather than for the overall intersection because most of the vehicles at an unsignalized intersection are thru traffic on the main road and experience little to no delay.

Table 1: Intersection Level of Service Criteria

| Level of <br> Service | Unsignalized Intersection <br> (Delay per Seconds per Vehicle) | Signalized Intersection <br> (Delay per Seconds per Vehicle) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leqslant 10$ | $\leq 10$ |
| B | $>10$ and $\leqslant 15$ | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ |
| C | $>15$ and $\leqslant 25$ | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ |
| D | $>25$ and $\leqslant 35$ | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ |
| E | $>35$ and $\leqslant 50$ | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ |
| F | $>50$ | $>80$ |

The minimum acceptable level of service for ACHD roadways is LOS "E" for Principal Arterials and Minor Arterials and LOS "D" for Collectors. The acceptable level of service for all intersections is based on a maximum volume to capacity ( $\mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c}$ ) ratio of 0.90 .

The results of the intersection level of service analysis for the 2020 existing conditions are summarized in Table 2. The detailed Synchro output results for the delay and LOS are provided in Appendix C.

Table 2: Existing 2020 Intersection Level of Service Summary

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Delay | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \\ & \text { ratio } \end{aligned}$ | LOS | Volume | Delay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { v/c } \\ & \text { ratio } \end{aligned}$ | LOS |
|  |  |  | (sec) |  |  |  | (sec) |  |  |
|  | EBL | 23 | 12.3 | 0.39 | B | 5 | 9.7 | 0.13 | A |
|  | EBT | 117 |  |  |  | 57 |  |  |  |
|  | ERB | 25 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
|  | WBL | 10 | 10.1 | 0.16 | B | 26 | 11.5 | 0.34 | B |
|  | WBT | 59 |  |  |  | 167 |  |  |  |
|  | WBR | 21 |  |  |  | 15 |  |  |  |
|  | NBL | 15 | 16.1 | 0.61 | C | 18 | 11.0 | 0.33 | B |
|  | NBT | 358 |  |  |  | 184 |  |  |  |
|  | NBR | 16 |  |  |  | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | 34 | 10.8 | 0.27 | B | 29 | 13.3 | 0.51 | B |
|  | SBT | 121 |  |  |  | 291 |  |  |  |
|  | SBR | 3 |  |  |  | 16 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | 13.5 | B |  |  | 11.9 | B |  |

As shown in Table 2, the v/c ratios for the study intersection movements are less than 0.90 and they meet the minimum acceptable criteria established by ACHD.

The existing 2020 AM and PM peak directional segment volumes on South Ten Mile Road were compared to the ACHD roadway segment maximum peak hour volume for a 2-lane facility with LOS E standard. The roadway levels of service are shown in Table 3.

Table 3: Existing 2020 Roadway Level of Service Summary

| Segment | From | To | AM Peak <br> Hour <br> Directional <br> Volume | PM Peak Hour <br> Directional <br> Volume | Highest Peak <br> Hour <br> Directional <br> Volume | ACHD <br> Standard <br> for LOS <br> D/E | Meets <br> LOS E <br> (Y/N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ten Mile | Lake Hazel <br> Road | New East- <br> West <br> Collector <br> Road <br> (Project) | 389 | 333 | 389 | 575 | Y |
| New East- West <br> Collector | South Ten <br> Mile Road | End or <br> Project <br> Frontage | N/A | N/A | N/A | 425 | N/A |

As shown above, the South Ten Mile Road segment in the study area is operating at LOS E or better in the 2020 AM and PM peak hours.

## 4. ANALYSIS OF BACKGROUND TRAFFIC CONDITIONS

### 4.1 COMPASS Growth Rates

2026 has been identified as the horizon year for the purpose of this analysis. Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) provided the PM peak hour base year (2020) and future year (2025) model run outputs (Appendix D). The traffic analysis zone impacted by this development is TAZ 1153 in COMPASS models. The 2025 model output contains 18 existing single-family units along with the 181 units anticipated in the Project. Prior to estimating the growth rate, the model generated Project trips were deducted from the peak hour volumes. This represents the 2025 background volumes on study roadways without the Project trips. A straight-line growth rate was then estimated using the peak hour 2020 base year volumes and the 2025 background year volumes. The linear annual growth rates were estimated for each directional segment and are presented in Table 4.

Table 4: Study Area Model Growth Rate

| Intersection | Movement | Growth Rate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EB | 10.6\% |
|  | WB | 6.87\% |
|  | NB | 5.9\% |
|  | SB | 1.4\% |

### 4.2 Planned Improvements

The ACHD Five Year Work Plan (2021-2025) was reviewed to identify planned roadway projects in the study area. A signal and intersection widening project are included in the ACHD Five Year Work Plan at the intersection of South Ten Mile Road and Lake Hazel Road. The construction date for the project is
listed as "Future," as it is anticipated to occur after 2025. No additional planned roadway improvements are identified for South Ten Mile Road or Lake Hazel Road within the study area.

### 4.3 Access Geometrics

The conceptual site plan layout in Appendix A includes two access points onto the new East-West Collector Road that provide egress and ingress into the Project via the South Ten Mile Road/new EastWest Collector Road intersection. The sight distance of the proposed access points have and the South Ten Mile Road/new East-West Collector Road intersection not been evaluated. However, as the design is finalized the access points should be designed to provide sufficient intersection sight distances to meet standards in the American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) Green Book.

### 4.4 Background Traffic Operations Without Improvements

The Project build out date is 2026. The COMPASS model growth trends are based upon base year 2020 and future year 2025. These growth trends were converted to linear annual growth rates as shown in Table 4 and were then applied to 2020 traffic volumes for 6 years to derive the 2026 horizon year traffic volumes. The 2026 AM and PM peak hour background traffic volumes were estimated using the existing AM and PM peak hour volumes and applying the growth rates shown in Table 4.

The 2026 background volumes were analyzed with the existing intersection geometry. The results of the intersection level of service analysis for the 2026 background conditions are summarized in Table 5 and the detailed Synchro output results for the delay and LOS are included in Appendix C. Most movements show a slight increase in delays compared to existing conditions. However, the northbound movement level of service is expected to degrade to LOS F in the AM peak hour condition.

Table 5: Future Background Traffic (Year 2026) Intersection Level of Service Summary

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Delay | $\begin{gathered} \hline \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \\ \text { ratio } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Volume | Delay | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \\ \text { ratio } \end{gathered}$ | LOS |
|  | EBL | 38 | 31.3 | 0.81 | D | 8 | 13.0 | 0.29 | B |
| ర్ల | EBT | 290 |  |  |  | 93 |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\mathscr{N}}{\underset{\sim}{2}}$ | EBR | 41 |  |  |  | 26 |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { N } \\ & \end{aligned}$ | WBL | 14 | 14.2 | 0.31 | B | 31 | 19.2 | 0.60 | C |
| $\pm$ | WBT | 83 |  |  |  | 235 |  |  |  |
| 弟 | WBR | 30 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |
| ত | NBL | 20 | 85.8 | 1.06 | F | 24 | 18.3 | 0.58 | C |
| $\checkmark$ | NBT | 485 |  |  |  | 249 |  |  |  |
| $\stackrel{\widetilde{o}}{\stackrel{0}{ }}$ | NBR | 22 |  |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |
| $\overline{\bar{\Sigma}}$ | SBL | 37 | 15.5 | 0.41 | C | 31 | 23.9 | 0.72 | C |
| $\stackrel{』}{Ð}$ | SBT | 131 |  |  |  | 315 |  |  |  |
| $\underset{\sim}{\perp}$ | SBR | 3 |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | 51.3 | F |  |  | 19.8 | B |  |

As shown in Table 5, the northbound movement delays are anticipated to exceed allowable standards in the AM peak hour. The v/c ratios for the same movement exceeds the ACHD standard of 0.90 which is considered unacceptable.

The 2026 AM and PM peak directional segment volumes on South Ten Mile Road were compared to the ACHD roadway segment maximum peak hour volume for a 2-lane facility with LOS E standard. The roadway level of service are shown in Table 6.

Table 6: Future Background 2026 Roadway Level of Service Summary

| Segment | From | To | AM Peak Hour Directional Volume | PM Peak Hour Directional Volume | Highest Peak <br> Hour Directional Volume | ACHD <br> Standard <br> for LOS <br> D/E | Meets LOS E <br> (Y/N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ten Mile | Lake Hazel Road | New East-West Collector Road (Project) | 552 | 378 | 552 | 575 | Y |
| New East- West Collector | South Ten Mile Road | End or Project Frontage | N/A | N/A | N/A | 425 | N/A |

As shown above, the South Ten Mile Road segment in the study area is expected to operate at LOS E or better in the 2026 AM and PM peak hours.

## 5. PROJECT TRAFFIC

### 5.1 Trip Generation

Trip generation for the proposed Project was estimated using data published in Institute of Transportation Engineers (ITE) Trip Generation, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, 2017. Land Use Code (LUC) 210 Single Family Residential was used to estimate the trip generation for the project using the regression Equations. The daily, AM and PM peak hour trips are shown in Table 7.

Table 7: ITE Trip Generation Summary

| Land Use | Dwelling Units | Land Use Code | Daily | $\begin{aligned} & \text { AM } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | AM <br> Out | AM Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { In } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { PM } \\ & \text { Out } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { PM } \\ \text { Total } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Residential Single Family | 181 | 210 | 1,795 | 33 | 101 | 134 | 114 | 67 | 180 |

### 5.2 Trip Distribution and Assignment

The trip distribution and assignment for the Project is based on the COMPASS traffic model distribution on Lake Hazel Road and South Ten Mile Road. The AM and PM peak hour Project trip assignment to the study intersections is shown in Table 8.

Table 8: Project Trip Assignment

| Intersection | Movement | Project Trip \% (Inbound/Outbound) | AM Peak Hour Project Trips | PM Peak Hour Project Trips |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | EBL | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | EBT | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | EBR | 12\% | 4 | 14 |
|  | WBL | 28\% | 9 | 32 |
|  | WBT | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | WBR | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | NBL | 12\% | 12 | 8 |
|  | NBT | 31\% | 32 | 21 |
|  | NBR | 28\% | 28 | 19 |
|  | SBL | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBT | 31\% | 11 | 36 |
|  | SBR | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | EBL | 71\% | 72 | 48 |
| O O | EBT | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
| $\stackrel{0}{\bar{O}} \frac{0}{\vdots}$ | EBR | 29\% | 29 | 19 |
| $\begin{array}{ll} \cup \\ \pm & 0 \end{array}$ | NBL | 29\% | 10 | 33 |
| $\ddot{\sim}$ | NBT | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
| $$ | NBR | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBL | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
| $30$ | SBT | 0\% | 0 | 0 |
|  | SBR | 71\% | 24 | 81 |

### 5.3 Future Traffic Operations with Project

The Project trips were combined with the 2026 background conditions to develop the future AM and PM peak hour volumes with the Project. The results of the intersection level of service analysis for the year 2026 conditions with the Project are summarized in Table 9. The detailed Synchro output results for the delay and LOS are included in Appendix B.

As shown in Table 9, the South Ten Mile Road / Lake Hazel Road intersection is anticipated to operate at reduced levels of services in the AM peak hour. The South Ten Mile Road and New East-West Collector Road intersection is expected to operate at acceptable levels of service.

Table 9: 2026 with Project Intersection Level of Service Summary


The 2026 AM and PM peak directional segment volumes on South Ten Mile Road and the New EastWest Collector Road were compared to the ACHD roadway segment maximum peak hour volume for a 2-lane facility with LOS D/E standard. The roadway levels of service are shown in Table 10.

Table 10: 2026 With Project Roadway Level of Service Summary

| Segment | From | To | AM Peak Hour Directional Volume | PM Peak Hour Directional Volume | Highest Peak <br> Hour <br> Directional Volume | ACHD Standard for LOS D/E | Meets <br> LOS E <br> (Y/N) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Ten Mile | Lake Hazel Road | New East-West Collector Road (Project) | 599 | 378 | 599 | 575 | N |
| New East- West Collector | South Ten Mile Road | End or Project Frontage | 101 | 114 | 114 | 425 | Y |

As shown above, the South Ten Mile Road segment in the study area is not anticipated to operate at LOS E or better in the 2026 AM peak hour. The New Collector is expected to operate at LOS D or better in the AM and PM peak hours.

### 5.4 Future Traffic Operations with Project and Improvements

The South Ten Mile Road / Lake Hazel Road intersection is expected to operate at reduced levels of service under background year 2026 and future year 2026 with project conditions during the AM peak hour. According to the ACHD Five Year Work Plan, a traffic signal is anticipated to be the mitigation to improve traffic operations. This intersection is anticipated to operate at an overall LOS B under signalized AM and PM conditions, with or without the Project in the year 2026. It is recommended that a signal be installed to improve operations with or without the Project. Table 11 shows the intersection level of service for the

South Ten Mile Road / Lake Hazel Road intersection with a proposed signal. No additional lanes or turn lanes were included with the signal analysis. The detailed Synchro output results for the delay and LOS are included in Appendix B.

Table 11: Future Traffic with Project (2026) with Improvements

| Intersection | Movement | AM Peak Hour |  |  |  | PM Peak Hour |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Volume | Delay | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{v} / \mathrm{c} \\ \text { ratio } \end{gathered}$ | LOS | Volume | Delay | $\begin{aligned} & \text { v/c } \\ & \text { ratio } \end{aligned}$ | LOS |
|  | EBL | 38 | 19.2 | 0.69 | B | 8 | 8.6 | 0.25 | A |
|  | EBT | 290 |  |  |  | 93 |  |  |  |
|  | EBR | 45 |  |  |  | 40 |  |  |  |
|  | WBL | 23 | 10.3 | 0.26 | B | 68 | 16.3 | 0.62 | B |
|  | WBT | 83 |  |  |  | 235 |  |  |  |
|  | WBR | 30 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  |
|  | NBL | 33 | 20.3 | 0.80 | C | 32 | 11.3 | 0.51 | B |
|  | NBT | 519 |  |  |  | 270 |  |  |  |
|  | NBR | 50 |  |  |  | 32 |  |  |  |
|  | SBL | 37 | 9.2 | 0.27 | A | 31 | 13.0 | 0.41 | B |
|  | SBT | 142 |  |  |  | 351 |  |  |  |
|  | SBR | 3 |  |  |  | 17 |  |  |  |
|  | Overall Intersection |  | 17.4 | B |  |  | 12.9 | B |  |

## 6. CONCLUSIONS AND RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the existing and future traffic analysis, the proposed Project results in a slight increase in delay for some traffic movements at the intersection of Lake Hazel Road and South Ten Mile Road under the future background conditions (2026) without project traffic. The traffic analysis for existing conditions indicates that the intersection of Lake Hazel Road and South Ten Mile Road currently operates at an acceptable level of service. However, the analysis for background year (2026) without Project traffic indicates that delay will increase, and the intersection will operate at an unacceptable level of service (LOS F). Based on the ACHD Five Year Work Plan, a traffic signal is anticipated to be the mitigation to improve traffic operations. This intersection would operate at an overall LOS B under signalized AM and PM conditions, with or without the project in the year 2026. It is recommended that a signal be installed to improve operations.

The traffic analysis for buildout year (2026) with the project traffic indicates the South Ten Mile Road/ New East-West Collector Road is anticipated to operate at an acceptable level of service. It is recommended that the project design the Project access points to meet City standards, and to design the access points and site layout to meet the sight distance standards in the AASHTO Green Book. Based on traffic analysis, the proposed access locations represent an acceptable traffic solution.

## 7. APPENDICES

## A. SITE PLAN



## B. TURNING MOVEMENT COUNTS

# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd
City, State: Ada County, Idaho
Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- General Traffic

|  | Ten Mile Road From North |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From East |  |  |  |  | Ten Mile Road From South |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | ${ }^{\text {App. Toal }}$ | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | ${ }^{\text {App. Toala }}$ | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | ${ }^{\text {App. Toalal }}$ | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 109 | 2 | 0 | 114 | 7 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 219 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 43 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 115 | 6 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 235 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 45 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 65 | 218 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 57 | 190 |
| Total | 3 | 121 | 34 | 0 | 158 | 21 | 59 | 10 | 0 | 90 | 16 | 358 | 15 | 0 | 389 | 25 | 177 | 23 | 0 | 225 | 862 |
| 08:00 AM | 3 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 7 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 77 | 4 | 0 | 84 | 4 | 29 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 180 |
| 08:15 AM | 0 | 23 | 6 | 0 | 29 | 3 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 13 | 8 | 65 | 4 | 0 | 77 | 11 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 29 | 148 |
| 08:30 AM | 2 | 32 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 6 | 2 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 83 | 6 | 0 | 93 | 8 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 31 | 173 |
| 08:45 AM | 5 | 23 | 5 | 0 | 33 | 3 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 72 | 7 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 130 |
| Total | 10 | 105 | 21 | 0 | 136 | 15 | 30 | 7 | 0 | 52 | 15 | 291 | 20 | 0 | 326 | 30 | 74 | 13 | 0 | 117 | 631 |


| 04:00 PM | 4 | 72 | 6 | 0 | 82 | 4 | 26 | 3 | 0 | 33 | 2 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 188 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 65 | 7 | 0 | 77 | 10 | 25 | 4 | 0 | 39 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 7 | 13 | 3 | 0 | 23 | 207 |
| 04:30 PM | 8 | 74 | 8 | 0 | 90 | 1 | 40 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 51 | 8 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 22 | 205 |
| 04:45 PM | 1 | 79 | 7 | 0 | 87 | 2 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 220 |
| Total | 18 | 290 | 28 | 0 | 336 | 17 | 139 | 14 | 0 | 170 | 5 | 217 | 17 | 0 | 239 | 23 | 47 | 5 | 0 | 75 | 820 |
| 05:00 PM | 8 | 76 | 4 | 0 | 88 | 2 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 187 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 4 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 62 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 213 |
| 05:30 PM | 3 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 7 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 214 |
| 05:45 PM | 5 | 77 | 8 | 0 | 90 | 3 | 41 | 3 | 0 | 47 | 2 | 42 | 4 | 0 | 48 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 20 | 205 |
| Total | 20 | 289 | 30 | 0 | 339 | 16 | 160 | 23 | 0 | 199 | 11 | 171 | 19 | 0 | 201 | 18 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 80 | 819 |
| Grand Total | 51 | 805 | 113 | 0 | 969 | 69 | 388 | 54 | 0 | 511 | 47 | 1037 | 71 | 0 | 1155 | 96 | 354 | 47 | 0 | 497 | 3132 |
| Apprch \% | 5.3 | 83.1 | 11.7 | 0 |  | 13.5 | 75.9 | 10.6 | 0 |  | 4.1 | 89.8 | 6.1 | 0 |  | 19.3 | 71.2 | 9.5 | 0 |  |  |
| Total \% | 1.6 | 25.7 | 3.6 | 0 | 30.9 | 2.2 | 12.4 | 1.7 | 0 | 16.3 | 1.5 | 33.1 | 2.3 | 0 | 36.9 | 3.1 | 11.3 | 1.5 | 0 | 15.9 |  |

## L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com
(208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd City, State: Ada County, Idaho Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 2


## L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com
(208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd City, State: Ada County, Idaho Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 3

|  | Ten Mile Road From North |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From East |  |  |  |  | Ten Mile Road From South |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:00 AM | 1 | 25 | 6 | 0 | 32 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 109 | 2 | 0 | 114 | 7 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 46 | 219 |
| 07:15 AM | 0 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 43 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 115 | 6 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 57 | 235 |
| 07:30 AM | 0 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 45 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 65 | 218 |
| 07:45 AM | 2 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 57 | 190 |
| Total Volume | 3 | 121 | 34 | 0 | 158 | 21 | 59 | 10 | 0 | 90 | 16 | 358 | 15 | 0 | 389 | 25 | 177 | 23 | 0 | 225 | 862 |
| \% App. Total | 1.9 | 76.6 | 21.5 | 0 |  | 23.3 | 65.6 | 11.1 | 0 |  | 4.1 | 92 | 3.9 | 0 |  | 11.1 | 78.7 | 10.2 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 375 | . 890 | . 773 | . 000 | . 878 | . 750 | . 702 | . 833 | . 000 | . 833 | . 800 | . 821 | . 625 | . 000 | . 846 | . 893 | . 805 | . 639 | . 000 | . 865 | . 917 |



## L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com
(208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd City, State: Ada County, Idaho
Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 4

|  | Ten Mile Road From North |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From East |  |  |  |  | Ten Mile Road From South |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | ${ }_{\text {App. Total }}$ | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 0 | 33 | 10 | 0 | 43 | 4 | 21 | 2 | 0 | 27 | 3 | 109 | 2 | 0 | 114 | 7 | 35 | 4 | 0 | 46 |
| +15 mins. | 0 | 34 | 11 | 0 | 45 | 6 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 20 | 5 | 105 | 5 | 0 | 115 | 6 | 42 | 9 | 0 | 57 |
| +30 mins. | 2 | 29 | 7 | 0 | 38 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 4 | 78 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 5 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 65 |
| +45 mins. | 3 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 35 | 4 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 19 | 4 | 66 | 6 | 0 | 76 | 7 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 57 |
| Total Volume | 5 | 123 | 33 | 0 | 161 | 21 | 59 | 10 | 0 | 90 | 16 | 358 | 15 | 0 | 389 | 25 | 177 | 23 | 0 | 225 |
| \% App. Total | 3.1 | 76.4 | 20.5 | 0 |  | 23.3 | 65.6 | 11.1 | 0 |  | 4.1 | 92 | 3.9 | 0 |  | 11.1 | 78.7 | 10.2 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 417 | . 904 | . 750 | . 000 | 894 | . 750 | . 702 | . 833 | . 000 | . 833 | . 800 | . 821 | . 625 | . 000 | . 846 | . 893 | . 805 | . 639 | . 000 | . 865 |



# L2 Data Collection 

L2DataCollection.com
(208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd City, State: Ada County, Idaho
Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 5

|  | Ten Mile Road From North |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From East |  |  |  |  | Ten Mile Road From South |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:45 PM

| 04:45 PM | 1 | 79 | 7 | 0 | 87 | 2 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 1 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 220 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 8 | 76 | 4 | 0 | 88 | 2 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 44 | 4 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 43 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 187 |
| 05:15 PM | 4 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 4 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 2 | 55 | 5 | 0 | 62 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 24 | 213 |
| 05:30 PM | 3 | 72 | 8 | 0 | 83 | 7 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 3 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 48 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 24 | 214 |
| Total Volume | 16 | 291 | 29 | 0 | 336 | 15 | 167 | 26 | 0 | 208 | 10 | 184 | 18 | 0 | 212 | 16 | 57 | 5 | 0 | 78 | 834 |
| \% App. Total | 4.8 | 86.6 | 8.6 | 0 |  | 7.2 | 80.3 | 12.5 | 0 |  | 4.7 | 86.8 | 8.5 | 0 |  | 20.5 | 73.1 | 6.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 500 | . 921 | . 725 | . 000 | . 955 | . 536 | . 870 | . 813 | . 000 | . 881 | . 625 | . 836 | . 563 | . 000 | . 855 | . 571 | . 838 | . 417 | . 000 | . 813 | . 948 |



## L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com
(208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd City, State: Ada County, Idaho
Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 6

|  | Ten Mile Road From North |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From East |  |  |  |  | Ten Mile Road From South |  |  |  |  | Lake Hazel Raod From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  | 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  | 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 8 | 74 | 8 | 0 | 90 | 2 | 48 | 6 | 0 | 56 | 2 | 54 | 5 | 0 | 61 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 0 | 12 |
| +15 mins. | 1 | 79 | 7 | 0 | 87 | 2 | 34 | 8 | 0 | 44 | 2 | 62 | 4 | 0 | 68 | 6 | 17 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| +30 mins. | 8 | 76 | 4 | 0 | 88 | 4 | 37 | 8 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 46 | 5 | 0 | 51 | 7 | 14 | 3 | 0 | 24 |
| +45 mins. | 4 | 64 | 10 | 0 | 78 | 7 | 48 | 4 | 0 | 59 | 1 | 55 | 3 | 0 | 59 | 5 | 14 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| Total Volume | 21 | 293 | 29 | 0 | 343 | 15 | 167 | 26 | 0 | 208 | 5 | 217 | 17 | 0 | 239 | 18 | 56 | 6 | 0 | 80 |
| \% App. Total | 6.1 | 85.4 | 8.5 | 0 |  | 7.2 | 80.3 | 12.5 | 0 |  | 2.1 | 90.8 | 7.1 | 0 |  | 22.5 | 70 | 7.5 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 656 | . 927 | . 725 | . 000 | . 953 | . 536 | . 870 | . 813 | . 000 | . 881 | . 625 | . 875 | . 850 | . 000 | . 879 | . 643 | . 824 | . 500 | . 000 | . 833 |



## L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com
(208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: WHP0010
Intersection:Ten Mile Rd / Lake Hazel Rd City, State: Ada County, Idaho Control: All Stop

File Name : Ten Mile Rd \& Lake Hazel Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/26/2020
Page No : 7

Image 1


## C. SYNCHRO OUTPUT

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 13.5 |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{\Phi}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 23 | 177 | 25 | 10 | 59 | 21 | 15 | 358 | 16 | 34 | 121 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 23 | 177 | 25 | 10 | 59 | 21 | 15 | 358 | 16 | 34 | 121 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 25 | 192 | 27 | 11 | 64 | 23 | 16 | 389 | 17 | 37 | 132 | 3 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 12.3 |  |  | 10.1 |  |  | 16.1 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $92 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $23 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Sop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 389 | 225 | 90 | 158 |
| LT Vol | 15 | 23 | 10 | 34 |
| Through Vol | 358 | 177 | 59 | 121 |
| RT Vol | 16 | 25 | 21 | 3 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 123 | 245 | 98 | 172 |
| Geometry Grp | 0.611 | 0.385 | 1 | 0.16 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 5.205 | 5.668 | 5.892 | 5.631 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Convergence, Y/N | 693 | 633 | 606 | 635 |
| Cap | 3.247 | 3.719 | 3.955 | 3.683 |
| Service Time | 0.61 | 0.387 | 0.162 | 0.271 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 16.1 | 12.3 | 10.1 | 10.8 |
| HCM Control Delay | C | B | B | B |
| HCM Lane LOS | 4.2 | 1.8 | 0.6 | 1.1 |

3: Ten Mile Road \& Lake Hazel Road

| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 11.9 |  |
| Intersection LOS | B |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 57 | 16 | 26 | 167 | 15 | 18 | 184 | 10 | 29 | 291 | 16 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 57 | 16 | 26 | 167 | 15 | 18 | 184 | 10 | 29 | 291 | 16 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 5 | 60 | 17 | 27 | 176 | 16 | 19 | 194 | 11 | 31 | 306 | 17 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 9.7 |  |  | 11.5 |  |  | 11 |  |  | 13.3 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $87 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $80 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $5 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 212 | 78 | 208 | 336 |
| LT Vol | 18 | 5 | 26 | 29 |
| Through Vol | 184 | 57 | 167 | 291 |
| RT Vol | 10 | 16 | 15 | 16 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 223 | 82 | 219 | 354 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.33 | 0.131 | 0.339 | 0.505 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 5.321 | 5.754 | 5.574 | 5.137 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 676 | 622 | 644 | 700 |
| Service Time | 3.359 | 3.804 | 3.615 | 3.17 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.33 | 0.132 | 0.34 | 0.506 |
| HCM Control Delay | 11 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 13.3 |
| HCM Lane LOS | B | A | B | B |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 1.4 | 0.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 51.3 |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 38 | 290 | 41 | 14 | 83 | 30 | 20 | 485 | 22 | 37 | 131 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 38 | 290 | 41 | 14 | 83 | 30 | 20 | 485 | 22 | 37 | 131 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 43 | 330 | 47 | 16 | 94 | 34 | 23 | 551 | 25 | 42 | 149 | 3 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 31.3 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  | 85.8 |  |  | 15.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  | B |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $4 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $22 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $92 \%$ | $79 \%$ | $65 \%$ | $77 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $4 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $24 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 527 | 369 | 127 | 171 |
| LT Vol | 20 | 38 | 14 | 37 |
| Through Vol | 485 | 290 | 83 | 131 |
| RT Vol | 22 | 41 | 30 | 3 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 599 | 419 | 144 | 194 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1.078 | 0.786 | 0.3 | 0.396 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.482 | 7.047 | 7.887 | 7.587 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 563 | 516 | 459 | 478 |
| Service Time | 4.49 | 5.047 | 5.887 | 5.587 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.064 | 0.812 | 0.314 | 0.406 |
| HCM Control Delay | 85.8 | 31.3 | 14.2 | 15.5 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | D | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 17.9 | 7.2 | 1.2 | 1.9 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 19.8 |  |
| Intersection LOS | C |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 93 | 26 | 31 | 235 | 21 | 24 | 249 | 14 | 31 | 315 | 17 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 93 | 26 | 31 | 235 | 21 | 24 | 249 | 14 | 31 | 315 | 17 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 | 0.88 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 9 | 106 | 30 | 35 | 267 | 24 | 27 | 283 | 16 | 35 | 358 | 19 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 13 |  |  | 19.2 |  |  | 18.3 |  |  | 23.9 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $8 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $11 \%$ | $9 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $8 \% \%$ | $73 \%$ | $82 \%$ | $87 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $5 \%$ | $20 \%$ | $7 \%$ | $5 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 287 | 127 | 287 | 363 |
| LT Vol | 24 | 8 | 31 | 31 |
| Through Vol | 249 | 93 | 235 | 315 |
| RT Vol | 14 | 26 | 21 | 17 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 326 | 144 | 326 | 412 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 0.584 | 0.283 | 0.599 | 0.719 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.451 | 7.07 | 6.612 | 6.273 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 558 | 505 | 545 | 573 |
| Service Time | 4.526 | 5.166 | 4.686 | 4.341 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.584 | 0.285 | 0.598 | 0.719 |
| HCM Control Delay | 18.3 | 13 | 19.2 | 23.9 |
| HCM Lane LOS | C | B | C | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 3.7 | 1.2 | 3.9 | 5.9 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh 65 |  |
| Intersection LOS | F |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\dagger$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 38 | 290 | 45 | 23 | 83 | 30 | 33 | 516 | 50 | 37 | 142 | 3 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 38 | 290 | 45 | 23 | 83 | 30 | 33 | 516 | 50 | 37 | 142 | 3 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 41 | 315 | 49 | 25 | 90 | 33 | 36 | 561 | 54 | 40 | 154 | 3 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 29.3 |  |  | 14.6 |  |  | 113.6 |  |  | 15.7 |  |  |
| HCM LOS | D |  |  | B |  |  | F |  |  | C |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $6 \%$ | $10 \%$ | $17 \%$ | $20 \%$ |
| Vol Thru, \% | $86 \%$ | $78 \%$ | $61 \%$ | $78 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $8 \%$ | $12 \%$ | $22 \%$ | $2 \%$ |
| Sign Control | Sop | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 599 | 373 | 136 | 182 |
| LT Vol | 33 | 38 | 23 | 37 |
| Through Vol | 516 | 290 | 83 | 142 |
| RT Vol | 50 | 45 | 30 | 3 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 651 | 405 | 148 | 198 |
| Geometry Grp | 1 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 1.16 | 0.756 | 0.309 | 0.4 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | 6.413 | 7.224 | 8.05 | 7.658 |
| Convergence, Y/N | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Cap | 566 | 503 | 449 | 473 |
| Service Time | 4.43 | 5.224 | 6.05 | 5.658 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 1.15 | 0.805 | 0.33 | 0.419 |
| HCM Control Delay | 13.6 | 29.3 | 14.6 | 15.7 |
| HCM Lane LOS | F | D | B | C |
| HCM 95th-tile Q | 22.2 | 6.5 | 1.3 | 1.9 |


| Intersection |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| Intersection Delay, s/veh | 26.3 |
| Intersection LOS | D |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ${ }_{\text {¢ }}$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | \$ |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 8 | 93 | 40 | 68 | 235 | 21 | 32 | 270 | 32 | 31 | 351 | 17 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 8 | 93 | 40 | 68 | 235 | 21 | 32 | 270 | 32 | 31 | 351 | 17 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 9 | 101 | 43 | 74 | 255 | 23 | 35 | 293 | 35 | 34 | 382 | 18 |
| Number of Lanes | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Approach | EB |  |  | WB |  |  | NB |  |  | SB |  |  |
| Opposing Approach | WB |  |  | EB |  |  | SB |  |  | NB |  |  |
| Opposing Lanes | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Left | SB |  |  | NB |  |  | EB |  |  | WB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Left | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| Conflicting Approach Right | NB |  |  | SB |  |  | WB |  |  | EB |  |  |
| Conflicting Lanes Right | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  | 1 |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay | 14.5 |  |  | 25 |  |  | 24.4 |  |  | 33.1 |  |  |
| HCMLOS | B |  |  | C |  |  | C |  |  | D |  |  |


| Lane | NBLn1 | EBLn1 | WBLn1 | SBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Vol Left, \% | $10 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $21 \%$ | $8 \%$ |
| Vol Thu, \% | $81 \%$ | $66 \%$ | $73 \%$ | $88 \%$ |
| Vol Right, \% | $10 \%$ | $28 \%$ | $6 \%$ | $4 \%$ |
| Sign Control | 334 | Stop | Stop | Stop |
| Traffic Vol by Lane | 32 | 8 | 324 | 399 |
| LT Vol | 270 | 93 | 235 | 31 |
| Through Vol | 32 | 40 | 21 | 351 |
| RT Vol | 363 | 153 | 352 | 17 |
| Lane Flow Rate | 1 | 1 | 434 |  |
| Geometry Grp | 0.696 | 0.327 | 0.69 | 0.814 |
| Degree of Util (X) | 6.901 | 7.677 | 7.112 | 6.756 |
| Departure Headway (Hd) | Yes | Yes | Yes | Yes |
| Convergence, Y/N | 523 | 466 | 507 | 533 |
| Cap | 4.962 | 5.755 | 5.172 | 4.815 |
| Service Time | 0.694 | 0.328 | 0.694 | 0.814 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 24.4 | 14.5 | 25 | 33.1 |
| HCM Control Delay | C | B | C | D |
| HCM Lane LOS | 5.4 | 1.4 | 5.4 | 8 |


|  | $\rangle$ | $\rightarrow$ | 7 | 7 | $\leftarrow$ |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  | $\dagger$ | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | 4 |  |  | 4 |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Traffic Volume (vph) | 38 | 290 | 45 | 23 | 83 | 30 | 33 | 516 | 50 | 37 | 142 | 3 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 38 | 290 | 45 | 23 | 83 | 30 | 33 | 516 | 50 | 37 | 142 | 3 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Utill. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.984 |  |  | 0.970 |  |  | 0.989 |  |  | 0.998 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.992 |  |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.990 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1824 | 0 | 0 | 1792 | 0 | 0 | 1837 | 0 | 0 | 1840 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.957 |  |  | 0.917 |  |  | 0.977 |  |  | 0.855 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1754 | 0 | 0 | 1657 | 0 | 0 | 1800 |  | 0 | 1589 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 16 |  |  | 33 |  |  | 12 |  |  | 2 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2418 |  |  | 2334 |  |  | 2662 |  |  | 1332 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 33.0 |  |  | 31.8 |  |  | 36.3 |  |  | 18.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 41 | 315 | 49 | 25 | 90 | 33 | 36 | 561 | 54 | 40 | 154 | 3 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 405 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 0 | 0 | 651 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(tt) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ |  | 4 | $*$ |  | 4 | 4 | \% | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 23.0 | 23.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  | 27.0 | 27.0 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 46.0\% | 46.0\% |  | 46.0\% | 46.0\% |  | 54.0\% | 54.0\% |  | 54.0\% | 54.0\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.5 | 18.5 |  | 18.5 | 18.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 14.2 |  |  | 14.2 |  |  | 19.3 |  |  | 19.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.33 |  |  | 0.33 |  |  | 0.45 |  |  | 0.45 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.69 |  |  | 0.26 |  |  | 0.80 |  |  | 0.27 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 19.2 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 19.2 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 19.2 |  |  | 10.3 |  |  | 20.3 |  |  | 9.2 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | C |  |  | A |  |

## Area Type: Other

Cycle Length: 50
Actuated Cycle Length: 42.8
Natural Cycle: 55
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.80
Intersection Signal Delay: 17.4
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 65.6\% ICU Level of Service C
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Ten Mile Road \& Lake Hazel Road




|  | 7 |  |  | 7 |  |  | 4 | $\dagger$ |  |  |  | $\downarrow$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  | \$ |  |  | $\uparrow$ |  |  | ¢ |  |  | ¢ |  |
| Trafic Volume (vph) | 8 | 93 | 40 | 68 | 235 | 21 | 32 | 270 | 32 | 31 | 351 | 17 |
| Future Volume (vph) | 8 | 93 | 40 | 68 | 235 | 21 | 32 | 270 | 32 | 31 | 351 | 17 |
| Ideal Flow (vphpl) | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 | 1900 |
| Lane Util. Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Frt |  | 0.962 |  |  | 0.991 |  |  | 0.987 |  |  | 0.994 |  |
| Flt Protected |  | 0.997 |  |  | 0.990 |  |  | 0.995 |  |  | 0.996 |  |
| Satd. Flow (prot) | 0 | 1787 | 0 | 0 | 1828 | 0 | 0 | 1829 | 0 | 0 | 1844 | 0 |
| Flt Permitted |  | 0.971 |  |  | 0.900 |  |  | 0.934 |  |  | 0.952 |  |
| Satd. Flow (perm) | 0 | 1740 | 0 | 0 | 1661 | 0 | 0 | 1717 | 0 | 0 | 1763 | 0 |
| Right Turn on Red |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |  |  | Yes |
| Satd. Flow (RTOR) |  | 43 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 14 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Link Speed (mph) |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |  | 50 |  |
| Link Distance (ft) |  | 2418 |  |  | 2334 |  |  | 2672 |  |  | 1332 |  |
| Travel Time (s) |  | 33.0 |  |  | 31.8 |  |  | 36.4 |  |  | 18.2 |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 | 0.92 |
| Adj. Flow (vph) | 9 | 101 | 43 | 74 | 255 | 23 | 35 | 293 | 35 | 34 | 382 | 18 |
| Shared Lane Traffic (\%) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lane Group Flow (vph) | 0 | 153 | 0 | 0 | 352 | 0 | 0 | 363 | 0 | 0 | 434 | 0 |
| Enter Blocked Intersection | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No | No |
| Lane Alignment | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right | Left | Left | Right |
| Median Width(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Link Offset(ft) |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |  | 0 |  |
| Crosswalk Width(ft) |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |  | 16 |  |
| Two way Left Turn Lane |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Headway Factor | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Turning Speed (mph) | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | 9 | 15 |  | , | 15 |  | 9 |
| Number of Detectors | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  | 1 | 2 |  |
| Detector Template | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  | Left | Thru |  |
| Leading Detector (ft) | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  | 20 | 100 |  |
| Trailing Detector (ft) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Position(tt) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Detector 1 Size(ft) | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  | 20 | 6 |  |
| Detector 1 Type | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  | Cl+Ex | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 1 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 1 Extend (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Queue (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 1 Delay (s) | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| Detector 2 Position(ft) |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |  | 94 |  |
| Detector 2 Size(ft) |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Detector 2 Type |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |  | Cl+Ex |  |
| Detector 2 Channel |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Detector 2 Extend (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Turn Type | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  | Perm | NA |  |
| Protected Phases |  | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |
| Permitted Phases | 4 |  |  | 8 |  |  | 2 |  |  | 6 |  |  |
| Detector Phase | 4 | 4 |  | 8 | 8 |  | 2 | 2 |  | 6 | 6 |  |
| Switch Phase |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minimum Initial (s) | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  | 5.0 | 5.0 |  |


|  | 4 | $\rightarrow$ | $\square$ | 7 | $4$ |  | 4 | 4 | \% | $\pm$ | $\dagger$ | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Group | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Minimum Split (s) | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  | 22.5 | 22.5 |  |
| Total Split (s) | 22.6 | 22.6 |  | 22.6 | 22.6 |  | 27.4 | 27.4 |  | 27.4 | 27.4 |  |
| Total Split (\%) | 45.2\% | 45.2\% |  | 45.2\% | 45.2\% |  | 54.8\% | 54.8\% |  | 54.8\% | 54.8\% |  |
| Maximum Green (s) | 18.1 | 18.1 |  | 18.1 | 18.1 |  | 22.9 | 22.9 |  | 22.9 | 22.9 |  |
| Yellow Time (s) | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  | 3.5 | 3.5 |  |
| All-Red Time (s) | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Lost Time Adjust (s) |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Lost Time (s) |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |  | 4.5 |  |
| Lead/Lag |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Lead-Lag Optimize? |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Vehicle Extension (s) | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  | 3.0 | 3.0 |  |
| Recall Mode | None | None |  | None | None |  | Min | Min |  | Min | Min |  |
| Walk Time (s) | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  | 7.0 | 7.0 |  |
| Flash Dont Walk (s) | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  | 11.0 | 11.0 |  |
| Pedestrian Calls (\#/hr) | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| Act Effct Green (s) |  | 12.5 |  |  | 12.5 |  |  | 15.3 |  |  | 15.3 |  |
| Actuated g/C Ratio |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.34 |  |  | 0.41 |  |  | 0.41 |  |
| v/c Ratio |  | 0.25 |  |  | 0.62 |  |  | 0.51 |  |  | 0.60 |  |
| Control Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| Queue Delay |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |  | 0.0 |  |
| Total Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Approach Delay |  | 8.6 |  |  | 16.3 |  |  | 11.3 |  |  | 13.0 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | A |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |

```
Area Type: Other
```

Cycle Length: 50
Actuated Cycle Length: 37.2
Natural Cycle: 45
Control Type: Actuated-Uncoordinated
Maximum v/c Ratio: 0.62
Intersection Signal Delay: 12.9
Intersection LOS: B
Intersection Capacity Utilization 63.0\% ICU Level of Service B
Analysis Period (min) 15
Splits and Phases: 3: Ten Mile Road \& Lake Hazel Road




## D. COMPASS DATA

## Ten Mile Residential Development

## Overview

The following summarizes the results of an area of influence model run for a proposed development located northwest of Ten Mile Rd and Columbia Rd. The proposed development will consist of approximately 169 single family units with an anticipated build out by 2025. See Figure 1.


Figure 1: Development Area and Official TAZ 1153
Table 1 provides the existing demographics for TAZ 1153 and the proposed development's demographics used for the area of influence model run.

Table 1: Existing and future demographics for TAZ 1153

|  | 2020 |  | 2025 with proposal |  | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HH | Jobs | HH | Jobs | HH | Jobs |
| TAZ 1153 | 16 | 0 | 185 | 13 | 30 | 38 |
| Surrounding TAZs | 126 | 25 | 98 | 33 | 491 | 39 |
| Total | $\mathbf{1 4 2}$ | $\mathbf{2 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 8 3}$ | $\mathbf{4 6}$ | $\mathbf{5 2 1}$ | $\mathbf{7 7}$ |

## Model Plots

The figures on the following pages show the area of influence and projected demand of the new development under different scenarios.

Figure 2: Area of Influence: 2025 peak hour demand percent contribution to the total peak hour demand............... 3
Figure 3: 2025 Peak Hour Demand with Proposed Development.................................................................................... 4
Figure 4: 2025 Peak Hour Demand without Proposed Development ............................................................................. 5
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Figure 6: 2020 to 2025 Compounded Annual Growth Rate ............................................................................................. 7
Figure 7: 2025 to 2030 Compounded Annual Growth Rate ............................................................................................. 8
Figure 8: 2030 to 2040 Compounded Annual Growth Rate ............................................................................................. 9

Figure 2: Area of Influence: 2025 peak hour demand percent contribution to the total peak hour demand


Figure 3: 2025 Peak Hour Demand with Proposed Development


Figure 4: 2025 Peak Hour Demand without Proposed Development




2025 Peak Hour to 2030 Peak Hour Compounded Annual Growth Rates 9/15/2020


Figure 8: 2030 to 2040 Compounded Annual Growth Rate

2020 Peak Hour Build: 2020 Demographics on 2020 Network (New Model v2015) 10/13/2020

D:IUAGl2011Modelcalibration\Base\TIP\FY2125R6lb2020\PH_ASSIGN_b2020.NET
New Regional Model calibrated to 2011/12 conditions - completed in January 2015
Clubio
2025 Peak Hour Build (Special Run): 2025 demographics on 2021 Build network (New Model v2015) 10/20/2020

D:IUAG|2011Modellcalibration\Base\AOIRequests\FY2020\TenMileKuna_TAZ1153\b2025\PH_ASSIGN_b2025.NET
New Regional Model calibrated to 2011/12 conditions - completed in January 2015
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