## MEMO

Date: December 15, 2021
To: Robb MacDonald, Engineering Department
T.J. Frans, Engineering Department

Alan Perry, Fire Marshal
Chris Bryant, Building Department
Dave Wright, Police Department
Dave Marston, Mapping Department
Angie Hopf, Mapping Department
Anna Turner, Mapping Department
Vallivue School District
Caldwell School District
Pioneer Irrigation District
Compass Idaho
Caldwell Transportation
Brown Bus Company
Canyon Highway District \#4
Idaho Transportation Department
Valley Regional Transit
Canyon County Development Services
Idaho Power
Intermountain Gas
Manager
USPS Caldwell
Hamilton Michaelson \& Hilty LLP
From: Jerome Mapp, Director Planning \& Zoning Caldwell P \& Z Department

RE: SUP21-000016 Canyon Village Apartments
Please review the attached application and information and provide us with your written input. We request that you e-mail any comments as soon as possible but no later than Friday, January 14, 2022.

## E-mail: P\&Z@cityofcaldwell.org

Case Number SUP21-000016: A request by the Canyon Village Multifamily LLC for Special Use Permit for Canyon Village Apartments. The 316-unit apartment complex is located on 15.44 acres in a C-3 (Service Commercial) zone. There will be 144 onebedroom units, 144 two bedroom units, 24 three bedroom units and 4 carriage units. The property is designated "Commercial \& Service" in the 2040 Comprehensive Plan and is located approximately 900 feet from the intersection of E Homedale Road and Caldwell Boulevard.

This case is scheduled to be presented before the Caldwell Hearing Examiner on Tuesday, January 25, 2022 at 7:00 pm.

We will assume that you have no objections, concerns or comments if you do not reply to this request within the requested timeframe. If you have any questions, you may contact me at 208-455-4662.


## Type of Review Requested

( ) Annexation/Deannexation
( ) Appeal/Amendment
( ) Comprehensive Plan Map Change
( ) Design Review
( ) Ordinance Amendment
( ) Rezone

- Special Use Permit
() Subdivision- Preliminary Plat
( ) Subdivision- Final Plat
( ) Subdivison- Short Plat
( ) Time Extension

STAFF USE ONLY:
File Numbers):



Related Files:
( ) Variance
( ) Other

## Subject Property Information



This project will be a 316 unit Class-A apartment building with 144 one bedroom units, 144 two bedroom units, 24 three bedroom units and 4 carriage units. The project has been designed to offer spacious open floor flans, stainless steel appliances, full size washer \& dryer, granite countertops, walk-in closets, luxury plank flooring and high tech safety measures to provide the safest environment possible for all residents. The project will include a resort style pool, clubhouse with a state-of-the-art gym and community room for family parties and social events. To provide outdoor exercise opportunities, a walking path along Moses Drain (seen on site plan) and outdoor weatherproof workout stations will be added to keep our residents healthy and fit, with multiple options with which to do so. We have also added 48 private garages located within 6 individual buildings spread across the project for easy access to residents and to provide additional storage for those in need of such.

## Applicant Information



CITY OF
Galdwell, Tdaho

| Project Name: Conyon Uillage Aporftuents | File \#: |
| :--- | :--- |
| Applicant/Agent: Brendon Menougald / Kinuley Horn and Asociates, Inc |  |


| Applicant <br> (V) | Please provide the following REQUIRED documentation: | Staff <br> (V) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $r$ | Completed \& signed Hearing Review Master Application |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Narrative fully describing the proposed use/request |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Recorded warranty deed for the subject property |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Signed Property Owner Acknowledgement (if applicable) |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Vicinity map, showing the location of the subject property |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Site Plan <br> The following are suggested items that may be shown on the site plan: |  |
| $\checkmark$ | - Property boundaries of the site |  |
| $\checkmark$ | - Existing buildings on the site |  |
| $\checkmark$ | - Parking stalls and drive aisles |  |
| $\checkmark$ | - Sidewalks or pathways (proposed and existing) |  |
| $\checkmark$ | - Fencing (proposed and existing) |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Floor Plan |  |
| $\sqrt{ }$ | Landscape Plan (if applicable) |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Neighborhood Meeting sign-in sheet |  |
| $\checkmark$ | All of the above items shall be submitted in $81 / 2 \times 11$ paper format AND in electronic format (preferably PDF or Word) on either a jump drive or CD. Please be aware the jump drive or CD will become part of the file and will not be returned |  |
| $\checkmark$ | Fee |  |

## STAFF USE ONLY:

Date Application Received: $\qquad$
Received by: $\qquad$

Proposed Hearing Date:
Hearing Body: $\qquad$

## Authorization

Print Applicant Name: Abbey Stover
Applicant Signature: Date: 10/28/2021
621 Cleveland Boulevard • Caldwell, Idaho 83605 • Phone: (208) 455-3021 • www.cityofcaldwell.com/PlanningZoning

| Project: | Canyon Village Apartments |
| :--- | :--- |
| Address: | 6804 Cleveland Blvd, Nampa ID |
| Date: | $10-05-21$ |
| Re: | Special Use Permit Application |

DeBartolo Development, LLC is pleased to present Canyon Village Apartments for consideration of a special use permit for the purpose of developing apartments, to better serve Caldwell's immense population growth as of recent. Canyon Village Apartments represents an approximately 15.4 acre parcel located near the intersection of Cleveland Blvd and Homedale Road. The property is separated from Cleveland Blvd by an approximately 7.3 acre parcel which will be developed as a commercial property, to help build the commercial corridor along Cleveland Blvd, as requested by the city. This project will be entitled and developed under a separate project, but has been considered throughout design of this apartment project.

This project will include 316-unit Class-A apartment building with 144 one bedroom units, 144 two bedroom units, 24 three bedroom units and 4 carriage units. The apartments have been designed to offer spacious open floor plans, stainless steel appliances, full size washer \& dryers, granite countertops, walk-in closets, luxury plank flooring and high-tech safety measures to provide the safest environment possible for all residents. The project will include a resort style pool, clubhouse with a state-of-the-art gym and community room for family parties and social events. To provide outdoor exercise opportunities, a walking path along Moses Drain (seen on site plan), a dog park, and outdoor weatherproof workout stations will be provided as fitness options for the residents. There are 48 private garages located within 6 individual buildings spread across the project for easy access to residents and to provide additional storage as needed. The carriage units will each include a 2-car garage. The site has a total of 487 surface parking stalls and 56 garage stalls, which equates to approximately 1.72 parking stalls per unit. The density of the project will be 20.4 dwelling units per acre and the site is generously landscaped with over $35 \%$ of open space.

The Property is located just one-mile from Interstate Highway 84 ("I-84"), which is traversed by 139,000 vehicles daily affording direct connectivity to Downtown Boise through the burgeoning Nampa and Meridian submarkets approximately 20 miles from the subject Site. As a city, Caldwell has seen unprecedented growth over the last five years, raising its population by over a tenth. Despite this flood of individuals into the area, Caldwell has seen very few new apartment units come online, while absorption of units has resided around 200 units per year for the city proper, far outpacing the growth of residential development. Because of this, many individuals likely have chosen to live in other cities or in unincorporated Canyon County, shifting that opportunity away from the city. Canyon Village Apartments will introduce 300+ high-income residents into the city who will help neighboring retail and businesses as they shop at locally owned stores, service their cars with locally owned businesses and use local medical professionals for their healthcare needs. We are humbled and pleased to present this additional economic driver and tax revenue opportunity to the City by means of a special use permit for multifamily use for our current C-3 zoning designation.

Sincerely Yours-
Brandon McDougald, P.E.
Kimley-Horn \& Associates, Inc.
950 W Bannock St. \# 1100 Boise, Idaho 83702
Office: 208-918-0100 Email: Brandon.mcdougald@kimley-horn.com



D

Project:
Address:
Date:
Canyon Village Apartments
6804 Cleveland Blvd, Nampa ID

Re:
Special Use Permit Application


NEIGHBORHOOD MEETING FORM
City of Caldwell Planning and Zoning Department
621 E. Cleveland Blvd., Caldwell, ID 83605
Phone: (208) 455-3021

Start Time of Neighborhood Meeting: $\qquad$ 2:00 0N
End Time of Neighborhood Meeting: $\qquad$ 3:30 pm
$\qquad$

Those in attendance please print your name and address. If no one attended, Applicant please write across this form "No one attended."


ADDRESS, CITY, STATE, ZIP
1.


W Kennedy Bud Tampa, FL
3. Steve Shan
4. Brantor Me Doug ald 950 w Bannock Boise, In
5. $\qquad$
6. $\qquad$
7. $\qquad$
8. $\qquad$
9. $\qquad$
10. $\qquad$
11. $\qquad$
12. $\qquad$
13. $\qquad$
14. $\qquad$
15. $\qquad$
16. $\qquad$
17. $\qquad$
18. $\qquad$
19. $\qquad$
20.
21.
22.
23.
24. $\qquad$
25. $\qquad$

## Neighborhood Meeting Certification:

Applicants shall conduct a neighborhood meeting for the following: special use permit applications; variance applications; annexation applications; planned unit development applications; preliminary plat applications that will be submitted in conjunction with an annexation, rezone or planned unit development application; and, rezone applications as per City of Caldwell Zoning Ordinance Section 10-03-12.

Description of the proposed project: 316 unit apartment development
Date of Round Table meeting: April 22, 2021
Notice sent to neighbors on: Sept. 9, 2021
Date \& time of the neighborhood meeting: Sept. 19, 2021
Location of the neighborhood meeting: Caldwell Public Library located at 1010 Dearborn Street, Caldwell, ID

## Developer/Applicant:

 Address, City, State, Zip: $\quad 950 \mathrm{~W}$ Gonnack st, (te 1100 , isoise ID 83702

I certify that a neighborhood meeting was conducted at the time and location noted on this form and in accord with City of Caldwell Zoning Ordinance Section 10-03-12.

DEVELOPER/APPLICANT SIGNATURE


Title One
a title $\&$ escrow co.
Order Number: 21423097

## 2021-055055

RECORDED
08/05/2021 03:26 PM
CHRIS YAMAMOTO CANYON COUNTY RECORDER Pas $=4$ HCRETAL $\$ 15.00$ TYPE: DEED TITLEONE BOISE
ELECTRONICALLY RECORDED

## Warranty Deed

For value received,
Colorado River 500, LLC, a California limited liability company
the grantor, does hereby grant, bargain, sell, and convey unto
Canyon Village Multifamily, LLC, a Florida limited liability company
whose current address is 15436 N. Florida Avenue Suite 200 Tampa, FL 33613
the grantee, the following described premises, in Canyon County, Idaho, to wit:
See Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.
To have and to hold the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee, its heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee, that Grantor is the owner in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all encumbrances except those to which this conveyance is expressly made subject and those made, suffered or done by the Grantee; and subject to all existing patent reservations, easements, rights) of way, protective covenants of recorded (provided, however, nothing contained herein shall be deemed to reimpose same) and, zoning ordinances, and applicable building codes, laws and regulations, general taxes and assessments, including irrigation and utility assessments (if any) for the current year, which are not due and payable, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever. Whenever the context so requires, the singular number includes the plural.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.
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For value received
Colorado River 500, LLC, a California limited liability company
the grantor, does hereby grant, bargain, sell, and convey unto
Canyon Village Multifamily, LLC, a Florida limited liability company
whose current address is 15436 N. Florida Avenue Suite 200 Tampa, FL 33613
the grantee, the following described premises, in Canyon County, Idaho, to wit:

## See Exhibit A, attached hereto and incorporated herein.

To have and to hold the said premises, with their appurtenances unto the said Grantee, its heirs and assigns forever. And the said Grantor does hereby covenant to and with the said Grantee, that Grantor is the owner in fee simple of said premises; that they are free from all encumbrances except those to which this conveyance is expressly made subject and those made, suffered or done by the Grantee; and subject to all existing patent reservations, easements, rights) of way, protective covenants of recorded (provided, however, nothing contained herein shall be deemed to reimpose same) and, zoning ordinances, and applicable building codes, laws and regulations, general taxes and assessments, including irrigation and utility assessments (if any) for the current year, which are not due and payable, and that Grantor will warrant and defend the same from all lawful claims whatsoever. Whenever the context so requires, the singular number includes the plural.

Remainder of page intentionally left blank.

Dated: August 3, 2021
Colorado River 500, LLC
 County of $\qquad$ , SS.

On this $\qquad$ day of $\qquad$ 2021, before me, the undersigned, a Notary Public in and for said State, personally appeared Joseph Swain known or identified to me to be a Manager of the limited liability company that executed the within instrument and acknowledged to me that he executed the same for and on behalf of said limited liability company and that such limited liability company executed it.

IN WITNESS WHEREOF, I have hereunto set my hand and affixed my official seal the day and year in this certificate first above written.


A notary public or other officer completing this certificate verifies only the identity of the individual who signed the document to which this certificate is attached, and not the truthfulness, accuracy, or validity of that document.

who proved to me on the basis of satisfactory evidence to be the person (s) whose name (s) (Share-subscribed to the within instrument and acknowledged to me that 18 sheathe executed the same in hist er authorized capacity(ies), and that by ifshertheir signature (s) on the instrument the person(sd, or the entity upon behalf of which the person $\ddagger$ ) acted, executed the instrument.


(c) 2019 National Notary Association

## EXHIBIT A <br> LEGAL DESCRIPTION OF THE PREMISES

Parcel I:
A parcel of land as shown on Record of Survey Instrument No. 2021-052101 situate in Government Lot 7 and the Southeast quarter of the Southwest quarter of Section 6, Township 3 North, Range 2 West, Boise Meridian, Canyon County, Idaho being a portion of Grantors' parcels (granted under Warranty Deed Instrument No. 2020-071088) more particularly described as follows:

Commencing at the South quarter corner of Section 6 monumented by a found $5 / 8$ inch rebar as shown on Corner Record Instrument No. 200464612 from which the Southwest corner bears North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime \prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, 2613.76 feet monumented by a found brass cap as shown on Corner Record Instrument No. 2019-018955; thence
North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, 864.27 feet to the Point of Beginning; thence continuing
North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, 192.76 feet to a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 7612; thence
North $00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ East, 40.00 feet; thence
North $11^{\circ} 40^{\prime} 57^{\prime \prime}$ East, 101.98 feet to a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 3627 ; thence
North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 43^{\prime \prime}$ West, 120.00 feet to a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 3627 ; thence
South $00^{\circ} 22^{\prime} 17^{\prime \prime}$ West, 140.00 feet to a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 7612; thence
North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, 154.70 feet to the West $1 / 16$ corner monumented by a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 7612; thence continuing
North $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ West, 181.39 feet to the Easterly boundary of the Moses Drain monumented by a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 7612; thence along said boundary
North $25^{\circ} 16^{\prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West, 743.05 feet to a found $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 7612 ; thence
South $64^{\circ} 43^{\prime} 49^{\prime \prime}$ West, 80.00 feet to the control line of the Moses Drain; thence along said line
North $25^{\circ} 16^{\prime \prime} 11^{\prime \prime}$ West, 400.01 feet; thence
South $89^{\circ} 37^{\prime} 53^{\prime \prime}$ East, 643.27 feet to a set $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 8575 ; thence
South $00^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East, 114.07 feet to a set $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 8575 ; thence
South $46^{\circ} 15^{\prime} 28^{\prime \prime}$ East, 755.58 feet to a set $5 / 8$ inch bar with cap PLS 8575; thence
South $00^{\circ} 00^{\prime} 00^{\prime \prime}$ East, 362.94 feet to the Point of Beginning.
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## 1. EXECUTIVE SUMMARY

### 1.1. Project Description

The proposed Canyon Village multifamily residential development is located near the northwest corner of the Homedale Road and Cleveland Boulevard intersection in the City of Caldwell, Canyon County, Idaho. The project is anticipated to be completed in 2023 and consist of 316 multifamily mid-rise residential units. Access to the site will be provided via one right-in/right-out movement access on Cleveland Boulevard and one full access movement on Homedale Road. The location of the Canyon Village multifamily residential development site, study area intersections, and project driveway locations are shown in Figure ES-1.

### 1.2. Findings and Recommendations

### 1.2.1. Project Trip Generation

The Canyon Village residential development is estimated to generate 1,720 daily trips on a typical weekday, with 114 trips occurring in the AM peak hour and 139 trips occurring in the PM peak hour on a typical weekday.

### 1.2.2. Analysis Findings and Potential Traffic Mitigations

A summary of the Level of Service (LOS) results for study area intersections are presented in Table ES-1. Analysis findings and mitigations are presented in Table ES-2.

Table ES-1 - LOS Results

| Operational Analysis Results - LOS (Delay) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number |  |  | 1 | 2 | $A^{2}$ | $\mathrm{B}^{2}$ |
| Name |  |  | Cleveland BIvd / Homedale Rd | Midway Rd / Homedale Rd | Cleveland Blvd / Driveway A | Homedale Rd / Driveway B |
| Control |  |  | Signal | TWSC | TWSC | TWSC |
| Analysis Scenario | 2021 Existing | AM | B (13.9) | B (13.0) EB | - | - |
|  |  | PM | B (13.2) | C (17.2) WB | - | - |
|  | 2023 <br> Background | AM | B (13.4) | B (12.7) EB | - | - |
|  |  | PM | B (13.1) | C (17.0) WB | - | - |
|  | 2023 <br> Background Plus Project | AM | B (17.3) | B (12.9) EB | B (11.8) EB | A (9.7) SB |
|  |  | PM | B (16.7) | C (17.7) WB | B (12.3) EB | B (10.2) SB |
| Notes: <br> 1. LOS and delay are shown for overall intersection for signalized, roundabout, and all-way stop intersections and the worst movement for all other intersections. Delay is shown in seconds per vehicle. <br> 2. Denotes a Project Driveway |  |  |  |  |  |  |
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Table ES-2 - Findings and Mitigations


2023 Background Conditions

Planned
Improvements

Findings

- Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road
- The eastbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hour. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90
- The westbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hour. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90.
- The other study area intersections operate at acceptable LOS


## 2023 Plus Project Conditions

- Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road
- The eastbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hour. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90
- The westbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hour. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90 .
- The other study area intersections operate at acceptable LOS
- Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road
- The development adds only 19 left-turning vehicles to the eastbound approach in the AM peak hour and 12 in the PM peak hour.
- Minor stop-controlled movements at major intersections typically experience delays during peak hours. The intersection movement is already failing in the existing and background scenarios without the addition of project site trips, therefore the addition of 19
Potential
Mitigations

Turn Lane
Analyses
vehicles for the proposed development does not cause major additional operational issues.

- No mitigation improvements are recommended for this intersection.
- Midway Road / Homedale Road
- The development adds only 5 left-turning vehicles to the eastbound approach in the AM peak hour and 3 in the PM peak hour
- No mitigation improvements are recommended for this intersection.
- The Midway Road / Homedale Road intersection does not warrant additional northbound or southbound right-turn or left-turn lanes based on 2021 existing, 2023 background, or 2023 background plus project traffic volumes.
- A southbound right-turn lane on Cleveland Boulevard into Driveway A is not warranted based on future 2023 background plus project traffic volumes
- An eastbound left-turn or westbound right-turn lane on Homedale Road into Driveway B is not warranted based on future 2023 background plus project traffic volumes
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## 2. INTRODUCTION

Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc. has been retained by the City of Caldwell to prepare a Traffic Impact Study (TIS) for a proposed multifamily residential development, near the northwest corner of the Homedale Road and Cleveland Boulevard intersection in Caldwell, Idaho. The proposed development is expected to be annexed into the City of Caldwell. The location of the Canyon Village multifamily residential development within the City of Caldwell is shown in Figure 1.

The proposed Canyon Village multifamily residential development includes 316 multifamily midrise residential units. The project is anticipated to be completed in 2023. A conceptual site plan of the development is shown in Figure 2. Access to the site will be provided via one right-in/rightout movement access on Cleveland Boulevard and one full access movement on Homedale Road. The access on Cleveland Boulevard will utilize an existing curb cut. The developer's site plan is also provided as Appendix A.

The purpose of this TIS is to identify trip generation characteristics of the proposed development, evaluate traffic related impacts on the adjacent street system, and recommend mitigation measures to identified impacts.

The scope of this study was determined through coordination and a scoping memorandum with the City of Caldwell and was prepared in accordance with City of Caldwell requirements.



Figure 2
Conceptual Site Plan
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## 3. Existing Conditions

This section of the report details existing conditions adjacent to the project site.

### 3.1. Study Area Intersections

Scoping discussions with the City of Caldwell identified the following two intersections for analysis:

1. Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road
2. Homedale Road / Midway Road

In addition to the two study area intersections, the following project driveway intersections are also analyzed:
A. Driveway A / Cleveland Boulevard
B. Driveway B / Homedale Road

A copy of the TIS scoping memorandum is included as Appendix B.

### 3.2. Existing Land Uses

The site is currently undeveloped land. The site is zoned C3 (service commercial). To the east of the site is more vacant land that is also zoned as C3 and to the west is residential (R1 low density residential) land uses. Land to the north is C3 commercial land use and land to the south is residential.

### 3.3. Existing Lane Configurations and Control

Regional access to the Canyon Village multifamily residential development will be provided by I84. Primary access to the development will be provided by Cleveland Boulevard (I-84 Business), Homedale Road, and Midway Road. Direct access will be provided by Driveway A on Cleveland Boulevard and Driveway B on Homedale Road.

Cleveland Boulevard (I-84 Business) is a city-maintained roadway with two lanes in each direction separated by a two-way left-turn lane (TVLTL). The roadway is classified as a Principal Arterial in the Canyon County and City of Caldwell Functional Street Classification maps. The posted speed limit is 45 mph in the study area.
Homedale Road is a city-maintained roadway with one lane in each direction. The roadway is classified as a Minor Arterial in the Canyon County and City of Caldwell Functional Street Classification maps. The posted speed limit is 35 mph in the study area.
Midway Road is a city-maintained roadway with one lane in each direction. The roadway is classified as a Collector in the Canyon County and City of Caldwell Functional Street Classification maps. The posted speed limit is 35 mph in the study area.
Existing speed limits, lane configurations, and traffic control at the time of this study are illustrated in Figure 3.


Figure 3
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### 3.4. Existing Traffic Volumes

Existing AM (7:00-9:00) and PM (4:00-6:00) peak period turning movement count data was field collected for the following intersections on Thursday, February 2, 2021:

- Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road
- Homedale Road / Midway Road

A summary of the existing traffic data at the study area intersections is shown in Figure 4. The field counted data sheets are provided in Appendix C.


## Kimley»)Horn

### 3.5. Crash Data Analysis

Crash data was obtained for the two existing study intersections from the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC) website (http://gis.Ihtac.org/safety/) for the most recent five-year period (2015-2019) for which crash data was available. The available crash data was filtered for intersection related crashes only. Intersection crashes include those that occurred on both the major and minor streets of study intersections during the five-year analysis period. Crash data for the study intersections is summarized in Table 1 based on crash severity and in Table 2 based on crash type.

Table 1 - Crash Data by Severity

| Int. | Intersection Name | Total Crashes | Crash Severity |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Property Damage Only |  | Injury |  | Fatal |  |
|  |  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% |  | \% |
| 1 | Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road | 12 | 7 | 58\% | 5 | 42\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 2 | Homedale Road / Midway Road | 38 | 23 | 61\% | 15 | 39\% | 0 | 0\% |
| Total |  | 50 | 30 | 60\% | 20 | 40\% | 0 | 0\% |

Table 2 - Crash Data by Type

| Int. Num. | Intersection Name | Total Crashes | Crash Type |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Angle |  | RearEnd |  | Sideswipe |  | Head-on |  | Other |  |
|  |  |  | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% | \# | \% |
| 1 | Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road | 12 | 4 | 33\% | 8 | 77\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% | 0 | 0\% |
| 2 | Homedale Road / Midway Road | 38 | 32 | 84\% | 0 | 0\% | 2 | 5\% | 1 | 3\% | 3 | 8\% |
|  | Total | 50 | 38 | 76\% | 8 | 16\% | 2 | 4\% | 1 | 2\% | 3 | 6\% |

A total of 50 crashes were recorded at the study intersections in the most recent five-year period where crash data is available. The 50 crashes resulted in 20 injury crashes (40\%), 30 property damage only crashes ( $60 \%$ ), and 0 fatal crashes ( $0 \%$ ). The 50 crashes resulted in 38 angle crashes ( $76 \%$ ), 8 rear-end crashes ( $16 \%$ ), 2 sideswipe crashes ( $4 \%$ ), 1 head-on on crashes ( $2 \%$ ), and 3 other crashes ( $6 \%$ ).

There is a high percentage of east and west approach failure to yield type crashes at the Homedale Road and Midway Road intersection. The east and west approaches have lighted stop signs. The City may consider increasing stop sign visibility with the addition of flashing LED stop sign lighting and/or advance yield pavement markings.
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## 4. Future Conditions

This section summarizes conditions that are expected in the future 2023 background and 2023 buildout (background plus project) conditions.

### 4.1. Proposed Development

The proposed Canyon Village multifamily residential development includes 316 multifamily midrise residential units. The project is anticipated to be completed in 2023. Access to the site will be provided via one right-in/right-out movement access on Cleveland Boulevard and one full access movement on Homedale Road.

### 4.2. Planned Improvements

Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) and the City of Caldwell do not have any current or future projects in the vicinity of the development.

### 4.3. Background Traffic Volumes

To determine the impact of project traffic, the first step is to estimate future baseline traffic volumes on roadways in the vicinity of proposed development site. 2023 background traffic volumes were forecasted by observing historic traffic volumes recorded by ITD automated traffic recorder 161 located south of the study area. Traffic recorded at ATR 161 indicated a $2.81 \%$ average growth rate per year from 2012 to 2019. Therefore, a 3\% annual growth rate was applied to existing traffic volumes at study area intersections to estimate future traffic volumes.

The 2023 background traffic volumes at the study area intersections are illustrated in Figure 5.


Figure 5
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### 4.4. Project Trip Generation

The Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition was used to estimate the number of new trips that are anticipated to be generated by the Canyon Village multifamily residential development. The ITE Trip Generation Manual is a widely accepted reference that contains a compilation of trip generation studies completed at sites throughout the country.

Daily and peak hour trips, shown in Table 3, were calculated using applicable regression equations/rates from the ITE Trip Generation Manual. The ITE Trip Generation Manual information is provided in Appendix D .

Table 3 - Project Trip Generation

| Land Use Type | TTE <br> Land <br> Use <br> Code | Quantity | Units | Daily <br> Total | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multifamily Housing <br> (Mid-Rise) Apartments | 221 | 316 | Dwelling <br> Units | 1,720 | 30 | 84 | 114 | 85 | 54 | 139 |

Build-out of the proposed development is estimated to generate 1,720 daily trips, with 114 trips occurring in the AM peak hour and 139 trips occurring in the PM peak hour on a typical weekday.

### 4.5. Project Trip Distribution

Project trip directional distribution quantifies the percentage of site-generated traffic that approaches and departs the site from a given direction.

Distribution estimates consider study area street network characteristics, existing traffic patterns based on annual average daily traffic (AADT), expected street network, and access to regional facilities.

AADT data was retrieved from a combination of the ITD AADT Application and the COMPASS interactive Regional Traffic Volume Map.

Figure 6 shows the project trip distribution to the study area as coordinated with the City in scoping discussions.

### 4.6. Project Trip Assignment

Trips generated by the proposed development were assigned to the roadway network based on the trip distribution and likely travel patterns to and from the project site.

Trips were assigned using the lane geometry and intersection control shown in Figure 3. Project trip assignment is illustrated in Figure 7.


## LEGEND
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Figure 6
Proposed Trip Distribution
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### 4.7. 2023 Background Plus Project Traffic Volumes

The project trip assignment (Figure 7) was added to 2023 background traffic volumes (Figure 5) to calculate 2023 background plus project traffic volumes for study area intersections.

The 2023 background plus project peak hour traffic volumes are illustrated in Figure 8. Expected 2023 lane configurations are shown in Figure 9.


|  | LEGEND |
| :--- | :--- |
| $\leftarrow X X(X X)$ | AM(PM) PEAK HOUR <br> TRAFFIC VOLUMES <br> $X X X X$ |

Figure 8


Figure 9
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## 5. ANALYSIS

Traffic scenarios analyzed in this study include:

- 2021 Existing
- 2023 Background
- 2023 Background Plus Project

Each scenario's AM and PM peak hour are analyzed in this section.

### 5.1. Analysis Methodology

Study area intersections were analyzed based on average total delay for signalized and unsignalized intersections as presented in the Transportation Research Board's Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM 6).

Under the unsignalized analysis, the level of service (LOS) for a two-way stop-controlled (TWSC) intersection is determined by the computed or measured control delay and is defined for each minor movement. LOS for a two-way stop-controlled intersection is not defined for the intersection as a whole. LOS for a signalized intersection, four-way stop controlled intersections, or a roundabout is defined for the intersection as a whole. Table 4 shows the definition of LOS for intersections.

Table 4 - Level of Service Definitions

| Level of Service | Signalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay (sec/veh) | Unsignalized Intersection <br> Average Total Delay (sec/veh) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| A | $\leq 10$ | 10 |
| B | $>10$ and $\leq 20$ | $>10$ and $\leq 15$ |
| C | $>20$ and $\leq 35$ | $>15$ and $\leq 25$ |
| D | $>35$ and $\leq 55$ | $>25$ and $\leq 35$ |
| E | $>55$ and $\leq 80$ | $>35$ and $\leq 50$ |
| F | $>80$ | $>50$ |

Definitions provided from the Highway Capacity Manual, $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition, Transportation Research Board.

Synchro 10 Analysis and Optimization Software was used to analyze the study area intersections for LOS and total delay. This analysis was performed in accordance with methodologies stated in the Article 10, Section 10-10-01 of the City of Caldwell code.

### 5.2. Operational Analysis

Analysis of existing conditions is based on the lane geometry and intersection control shown in Figure 3. All background and plus project analyses are based on the lane geometry and intersection control shown in Figure 9.

Synchro reports for operational analyses for each scenario are provided in Appendix E.
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### 5.2.1. 2021 Existing Operational Analysis

Operational analysis results for the 2021 existing AM and PM peak hours are shown in Table 5 and Table 6. Study area intersections overall operate with an acceptable LOS in both AM and PM peak hours. The following individual intersection movements/approaches operate at LOS E or F :

- Cleveland Boulevard and Homedale Road
- The eastbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hours. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90 .
- The westbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hours. The volume to capacity ration for the movement is under 0.90 .
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| Intersection | Eastbound Approach |  |  |  | Westbound Approach |  |  |  | Northbound Approach |  |  |  | Southbound Approach |  |  |  | Intersection Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 1. Cleveland Blvd / Homedale Rd (Signalized Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | E | D | E | E | E | A | D | E | E | A | A | A | E | A | A | A | B |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 65.8 | 47.5 | 59.5 | 60.4 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 48.3 | 60.5 | 65.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 7.7 | 70.7 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 7.6 | 13.9 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.630 | 0.040 | 0.760 | - | 0.730 | 0.000 | 0.220 | - | 0.540 | 0.250 | 0.250 | - | 0.460 | 0.320 | 0.320 | - | - |
| 2. Homedale Rd / Midway Rd (Two-Way Stop Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | B |  |  |  | B |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | - |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 13.0 |  |  |  | 12.8 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |  | - |
| V/C Ratio | 0.293 |  |  |  | 0.101 |  |  |  | 0.014 |  |  |  | 0.002 |  |  |  | - |

Table 6-2021 Existing PM LOS Analysis

| Intersection | Eastbound Approach |  |  |  | Westbound Approach |  |  |  | Northbound Approach |  |  |  | Southbound Approach |  |  |  | Intersection Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | - | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total |  |
| 1. Cleveland Blvd / Homedale Rd (Signalized Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | E | D | E | E | E | A | D | E | E | A | A | B | F | A | A | A | B |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 71.4 | 53.9 | 66.7 | 67.6 | 71.6 | 0.0 | 53.9 | 69.6 | 65.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 10.8 | 83.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 | 8.5 | 13.2 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.640 | 0.040 | 0.720 | - | 0.650 | 0.000 | 0.040 | - | 0.800 | 0.420 | 0.420 | - | 0.440 | 0.350 | 0.350 | - | - |
| 2. Homedale Rd/ Midway Rd (Two-Way Stop Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | - |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 15.1 |  |  |  | 17.2 |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  |  | 7.5 |  |  |  | - |
| V/C Ratio | 0.263 |  |  |  | 0.329 |  |  |  | 0.050 |  |  |  | 0.003 |  |  |  | - |
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### 5.2.2. 2023 Background Operational Analysis

Operational analysis results for the future 2023 background AM and PM peak hours are shown in Table 7 and Table 8. Study area intersections overall operate with an acceptable LOS in both peak hours. The following individual intersection movements/approaches operate at LOS E or F:

- Cleveland Blvd and Homedale Road
- The eastbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hours. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90 .
- The westbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hours. The volume to capacity ration for the movement is under 0.90 .
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### 5.2.3. 2023 Background Plus Project Operational Analysis

Operational analysis results for the 2023 background plus project AM and PM peak hours are shown in Table 9 and Table 10. Study area intersections overall operate with an acceptable LOS in both peak hours. The following individual intersection movements/approaches operate at LOS E or F :

- Cleveland Blvd and Homedale Road
- The eastbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hours. The volume to capacity ratio for the movement is under 0.90 .
- The westbound approach operates at LOS E in the AM and PM peak hours. The volume to capacity ration for the movement is under 0.90 .
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| Intersection | Eastbound Approach |  |  |  | Westbound Approach |  |  |  | Northbound Approach |  |  |  | Southbound Approach |  |  |  | Intersection Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | R | Total | L | T | $R$ | Total |  |
| 1. Cleveland Blva / Homedale Rd (Signalized Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | E | D | E | E | E | A | D | E | E | A | A | B | E | B | B | B | B |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 77.4 | 53.6 | 66.9 | 69.9 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 70.6 | 63.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 13.7 | 74.7 | 11.1 | 11.1 | 11.9 | 16.7 |
| V/C Ratio | 0.750 | 0.030 | 0.760 | - | 0.660 | 0.000 | 0.060 | - | 0.850 | 0.450 | 0.450 | - | 0.490 | 0.400 | 0.400 | - | - |
| 2. Homedale Rd/ Midway Rd (Two-Way Stop Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | C |  |  |  | C |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | A |  |  |  | - |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 16.1 |  |  |  | 17.7 |  |  |  | 7.9 |  |  |  | 7.6 |  |  |  | - |
| V/C Ratio | 0.306 |  |  |  | 0.309 |  |  |  | 0.054 |  |  |  | 0.004 |  |  |  | - |
| A. Cleveland Blvd / Access Driveway A (Two-Way Stop Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | B |  |  |  | (op |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 12.3 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| V/C Ratio | 0.028 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |
| B. Homedale Rd/ Access Driveway B (Two-Way Stop Control) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LOS | A |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | B | - | A | - | - |
| Average Delay (s/veh) | 7.6 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 10.2 | - | 9.1 | - | - |
| V/C Ratio | 0.010 |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | - |  |  |  | 0.052 | - | 0.011 | - | - |

[^0]
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### 5.3. Turn Lane Warrant Analyses

Turn lane warrant analyses were conducted consistent with National Highway Cooperative Research Program (NCHRP) Report 457 and American Association of State Highway and Transportation Officials (AASHTO) A Policy on Geometric Design of Highways and Streets, $7^{\text {th }}$ Edition (2018).

Appendix $F$ contains the figures used in the turn lane analyses and results. Appendix $G$ contains the figures used in the right lane analyses and results.

### 5.3.1. Midway Road and Homedale Road Turn Lane Analysis

A northbound right-turn lane or northbound left-turn lane on Midway Road onto Homedale Road is not warranted based on 2021 existing, 2023 background, or 2023 background plus project traffic volumes. Similarly, a southbound right-turn lane or southbound left-turn lane on Midway Road onto Homedale Road is not warranted based on 2021 existing, 2023 background, or 2023 background plus project traffic volumes.

### 5.3.2. Driveway A Turn Lane Analysis

A southbound right-turn lane on Cleveland Boulevard into Driveway A is not warranted based on future 2023 background plus project traffic volumes.

### 5.3.3. Driveway B Turn Lane Analysis

An eastbound left-turn lane on Homedale Road into Driveway B is not warranted based on future 2023 background plus project traffic volumes. A westbound right-turn lane on Homedale Road into Driveway B is also not warranted based on future 2023 background plus project traffic volumes.
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## 6. Potential Traffic Mitigations

This section describes potential traffic mitigations and mitigation analyses results for potential improvements that may address poor delay and LOS for study area intersections and movements.

### 6.1. Mitigated Improvement Analysis

### 6.1.1. Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road

In the 2021 Existing, 2023 Background, and 2023 Background plus Project scenarios, the eastbound and westbound approaches at the Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road intersection operate at LOS E with a V/C ratio below 0.90 . The proposed project adds only 19 left-turning vehicles (approximately 1 car every 3 minutes) to the eastbound approach in the AM peak hour and 12 in the PM peak hour. The proposed project does not add any vehicle traffic to the westbound approach.

Minor stop-controlled movements at major intersections typically experience delays during peak hours. The intersection movement is already failing in the existing and background scenarios, therefore the addition of 19 vehicles from the proposed development does not cause major additional operational issues. No mitigation improvements are recommended for this intersection.

### 6.1.2. Midway Road / Homedale Road

The Midway Road and Homedale Road intersection is expected to operate at acceptable level of service in the 2021 Existing, 2023 Background, and 2023 Background plus Project scenarios. The proposed project adds only five (5) left-turning vehicles to the westbound approaches in the AM peak hour and three vehicles (3) in the PM peak hour. No mitigation improvements are recommended for this intersection.

### 6.2. Recommendations

Right- or left-turn lanes are not warranted for Access Driveway A or Access Driveway B based on anticipated future 2023 background plus project traffic volumes. The driveways operate at acceptable LOS with minimal delay without installation of the left-turn or right-turn lanes.

No additional improvements are recommended for study area intersections. The intersections are operating at acceptable LOS conditions in 2021 Existing, 2023 Background, and 2023 Background plus Project scenarios. The addition of project traffic has minimal effect on current or projected future traffic operations.

The following items are recommended for the internal roadway network of the proposed development:

- All internal project access locations are recommended to be constructed in accordance with City of Caldwell standards.
- Any roadway improvement recommended to be constructed in accordance with the owning agencies' standards, potentially ITD, Canyon Highway District, or the City of Caldwell.
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## APPENDIX A Site Plan
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## APPENDIX B Traffic Impact Study Scoping Memorandum
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## MEMORANDUM

To: Robb MacDonald, P.E.
City Engineer, City of Caldwell
From: Eric Sweat, P.E.
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.
Date: $\quad$ October 13, 2021
Subject: TIS Scope for Canyon Village Residential Development in Caldwell, Idaho

This memorandum documents the scope and summarizes assumptions for a traffic impact study (TIS) for a proposed residential development, located near the northwest corner of the Homedale Road / Cleveland Boulevard intersection in Caldwell, Idaho. This memorandum was developed based on input from the City of Caldwell. The proposed development location is shown in Figure 1.

## Development Information

The site is currently vacant. The site is zoned C2 (service commercial). To the east of the site is more vacant land that is also zoned as C2 and to the west is residential land uses. Land to the north is commercial land use and land to the south is residential.

The proposed development includes 316 apartment (multifamily mid-rise) residential units. Access to the site will be provided via two full-movement accesses, one on Cleveland Boulevard and one on Homedale Road. The conceptual site plan for the development is shown in Figure 2.

The planned completion year for the development is 2023.
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## Trip Generation

The Institute of Transportation Engineers' (ITE) Trip Generation Manual, $10^{\text {th }}$ Edition, was used to obtain daily and peak hour trip generation equations or rates and inbound-outbound percentages, which were then used to estimate the number of daily and peak hour trips that can be attributed to the proposed development. The process outlined in the ITE Trip Generation Handbook, $3^{\text {rd }}$ Edition, was used to determine whether average rates or equations should be used in calculating each land use's trip generation.

The trip generation characteristics of the site are summarized in Table 1. Summaries of ITE trip generation calculations are included in Attachment A.

Table 1 - Trip Generation

| Land Use Type | ITE Land <br> Use Code | Dwelling <br> Units | Daily <br> Total | AM Peak |  |  | PM Peak |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Multifamily Housing <br> (Mid-Rise) <br> Apartments | 221 | 316 | 1,720 | 30 | 84 | 114 | 85 | 54 | 139 |

The proposed development is expected to generate 1,720 daily trips, with 114 trips occurring in the AM peak hour and 139 trips occurring in the PM peak hour.

## Trip Distribution

The distribution of site generated trips onto the roadway system is based on the proposed access locations, surrounding street network, average daily traffic values from the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) database, and discussion with the City of Caldwell. Trip distribution for the site is shown in Figure 3.
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## Analysis Scenarios and Study Assumptions

- Intersections for evaluation (also presented in Figure 4):
- Cleveland Boulevard / Homedale Road
- Midway Road / Homedale Road
- Cleveland Boulevard / Access A
- Homedale Road / Access B
- No roadway segments volumes are being collected for evaluation
- Analysis scenarios:
- 2021 Existing Conditions
- 2023 Background Conditions (includes applying annual growth rates, but no new sitegenerated trips from the proposed development)
- 2023 Plus Project Conditions (includes 2023 background traffic volumes plus new sitegenerated trips from the proposed development)
- Annual growth rates were calculated from traffic data as recorded by ITD automated traffic recorder 161 located south of the study area. Traffic recorded at ATR 161 indicates a 2.81\% average growth rate per year from 2012 to 2019.
- $3.0 \%$ annual growth rate to be used in estimating future traffic volumes.
- Time periods for evaluation:
- Weekday AM Peak Hour (7:00-9:00 AM)
- Weekday PM Peak Hour (4:00-6:00 PM)
- Crash data for the most recent 5 years available will be reported from the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC) website (http://gis.Ihtac.org/safety/).
- Traffic data collection assumptions:
- Study area intersection turning movement counts to be collected for AM (7:00-9:00) and PM (4:00-6:00) peak periods
- No seasonal or COVID adjustment to be applied to collected counts.
- No 24-hour counts to be collected for this study.



## Cleveland Boulevard /Homedale Road

 Homedale Road/Midway RoadA. Driveway A/Cleveland Boulevard
B. Driveway B/Homedale Road
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## Analysis Tools and Operating Standards

The study area intersections will be evaluated following the Highway Capacity Manual $6^{\text {th }}$ Edition (HCM 6) methodology by using Synchro 11 analysis software. Where HCM 6 is unable to produce intended level of service (LOS) or volume-to-capacity (v/c) ratios, previous editions of the HCM or Synchro outputs may be utilized. Analyses will be performed in accordance with Article 10, Section 10-10-01 of the City of Caldwell code.

ITD owned intersection will be held to ITD District 3 guidelines which require LOS D or better for overall intersection operations a maximum v/c ratio of 0.90 for each movement or lane group and the overall intersection.

## Background Developments

We request the City of Caldwell provide the traffic studies for any approved in-process developments that should be included as background traffic in this analysis.

## Background Roadway Improvement Projects

ITD and the City of Caldwell do not have any current or future projects in the vicinity of the development.

## Next Steps

We request the City of Caldwell review this scoping memorandum and provide a response to the proposed full TIS assumptions.

Please contact Eric Sweat at 385-831-2008 or eric.sweat@kimley-horn.com if you have any questions or comments on the information presented in this scoping memorandum.

The proposed TIS assumptions and any comments received to this memorandum will be incorporated into the traffic impact study submitted to the City of Caldwell (and/or ITD and Canyon County Highway District 4) for the proposed development.

## Attachments

Attachment A - ITE Trip Generation Information
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## APPENDIX C Traffic Count Data

# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Signalized

File Name: Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- General Traffic

|  | Caldwell Boulevard From Northwest |  |  |  |  | Isaiah Way From Northeast |  |  |  |  | Caldwell Boulevard From Southeast |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hard } \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bar } \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Bar | Peds | App. Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bar } \\ & \text { Rebrat } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bar } \\ \text { Left } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hard } \\ & \text { Leff } \end{aligned}$ | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 0 | 113 | 1 | 0 | 114 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 84 | 5 | 0 | 92 | 26 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 37 | 268 |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 176 | 1 | 0 | 181 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 111 | 7 | 0 | 119 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 333 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 210 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 163 | 5 | 0 | 169 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 424 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 173 | 3 | 0 | 179 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 149 | 21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 377 |
| Total | 11 | 667 | 6 | 0 | 684 | 17 | 6 | 45 | 0 | 68 | 8 | 501 | 20 | 0 | 529 | 86 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 121 | 1402 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 186 | 3 | 0 | 193 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 110 | , | 0 | 113 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 337 |
| 08:15 AM | 2 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 149 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 8 | 3 | 134 | 2 | 0 | 139 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 314 |
| 08:30 AM | 4 | 196 | 2 | 0 | 202 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 5 | 149 | 6 | 0 | 160 | 22 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 394 |
| 08:45 AM | 1 | 133 | 1 | 0 | 135 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 4 | 173 | 4 | 0 | 181 | 13 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 17 | 340 |
| Total | 11 | 662 | 6 | 0 | 679 | 5 | 2 | 29 | 0 | 36 | 14 | 566 | 13 | 0 | 593 | 60 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 77 | 1385 |


| 04:00 PM | 2 | 190 | 7 | 0 | 199 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 6 | 213 | 21 | 0 | 240 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 475 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 5 | 201 | 3 | 0 | 209 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 6 | 216 | 20 | 0 | 242 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 486 |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 188 | 2 | 0 | 192 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 260 | 17 | 0 | 293 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 512 |
| 04:45 PM | 4 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 222 | 23 | 0 | 255 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 487 |
| Total | 13 | 780 | 12 | 0 | 805 | 7 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 53 | 38 | 911 | 81 | 0 | 1030 | 47 | 3 | 22 | 0 | 72 | 1960 |
| 05:00 PM | 5 | 213 | 2 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 272 | 37 | 0 | 314 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 557 |
| 05:15 PM | 5 | 215 | 1 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 242 | 17 | 0 | 270 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 519 |
| 05:30 PM | 4 | 178 | 4 | 0 | 186 | 3 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 12 | 12 | 240 | 22 | 0 | 274 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 1 | 15 | 487 |
| 05:45 PM | 4 | 196 | 4 | 0 | 204 | 2 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 224 | 27 | 0 | 261 | 12 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 14 | 489 |
| Total | 18 | 802 | 11 | 0 | 831 | 5 | 1 | 29 | 0 | 35 | 38 | 978 | 103 | 0 | 1119 | 49 | 2 | 15 | 1 | 67 | 2052 |
| Grand Total | 53 | 2911 | 35 | 0 | 2999 | 34 | 13 | 145 | 0 | 192 | 98 | 2956 | 217 | 0 | 3271 | 242 | 12 | 82 | 1 | 337 | 6799 |
| Apprch \% | 1.8 | 97.1 | 1.2 | 0 |  | 17.7 | 6.8 | 75.5 | 0 |  | 3 | 90.4 | 6.6 | 0 |  | 71.8 | 3.6 | 24.3 | 0.3 |  |  |
| Total \% | 0.8 | 42.8 | 0.5 | 0 | 44.1 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 2.1 | 0 | 2.8 | 1.4 | 43.5 | 3.2 | 0 | 48.1 | 3.6 | 0.2 | 1.2 | 0 | 5 |  |

# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd City, State: Caldwell, Idaho Control: Signalized

File Name : Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 2


# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Signalized

File Name : Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Caldwell Boulevard From Northwest |  |  |  |  | Isaiah Way From Northeast |  |  |  |  | Caldwell Boulevard From Southeast |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{gathered} \text { Hard } \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { Left } \end{aligned}$ | Peds | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Brar } \\ \text { Rpht } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Barr } \\ & \text { Letr } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hard } \\ & \text { Lent } \end{aligned}$ | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 4 | 176 | 1 | 0 | 181 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 1 | 111 | 7 | 0 | 119 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 | 333 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 210 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 1 | 163 | 5 | 0 | 169 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 33 | 424 |
| 07:45 AM | 3 | 173 | 3 | 0 | 179 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 143 | 3 | 0 | 149 | 21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 31 | 377 |
| 08:00 AM | 4 | 186 | 3 | 0 | 193 | 3 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 14 | 2 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 113 | 12 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 337 |
| Total Volume | 15 | 740 | 8 | 0 | 763 | 17 | 6 | 34 | 0 | 57 | 7 | 527 | 16 | 0 | 550 | 72 | 5 | 24 | 0 | 101 | 1471 |
| \% App. Total | 2 | 97 | 1 | 0 |  | 29.8 | 10.5 | 59.6 | 0 |  | 1.3 | 95.8 | 2.9 | 0 |  | 71.3 | 5 | 23.8 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 938 | . 902 | . 667 | . 000 | . 908 | . 708 | . 375 | . 850 | . 000 | . 792 | . 583 | . 808 | . 571 | . 000 | . 814 | . 750 | . 313 | . 750 | . 000 | . 765 | . 867 |



# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Signalized

File Name : Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Caldwell Boulevard From Northwest |  |  |  |  | Isaiah Way From Northeast |  |  |  |  | Caldwell Boulevard From Southeast |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Hard } \\ \text { Right } \end{array}$ | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Bear Right | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Bar Left | Peds | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bar } \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | Bar Left | Hard Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 08:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 4 | 176 | 1 | 0 | 181 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 0 | 25 | 2 | 110 | 1 | 0 | 113 | 26 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 37 |
| +15 mins. | 4 | 205 | 1 | 0 | 210 | 6 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 134 | 2 | 0 | 139 | 15 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 20 |
| +30 mins. | 3 | 173 | 3 | 0 | 179 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 12 | 5 | 149 | 6 | 0 | 160 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 33 |
| +45 mins. | 4 | 186 | 3 | 0 | 193 | 5 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 18 | 4 | 173 | 4 | 0 | 181 | 21 | 4 | 6 | 0 | 31 |
| Total Volume | 15 | 740 | 8 | 0 | 763 | 17 | 6 | 45 | 0 | 68 | 14 | 566 | 13 | 0 | 593 | 86 | 6 | 29 | 0 | 121 |
| \% App. Total | 2 | 97 | 1 | 0 |  | 25 | 8.8 | 66.2 | 0 |  | 2.4 | 95.4 | 2.2 | 0 |  | 71.1 | 5 | 24 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 938 | . 902 | . 667 | . 000 | . 908 | . 708 | . 375 | . 536 | . 000 | . 680 | . 700 | . 818 | . 542 | . 000 | . 819 | . 827 | . 375 | . 725 | . 000 | 818 |



# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Signalized

File Name: Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 5

|  | Caldwell Boulevard From Northwest |  |  |  |  | Isaiah Way From Northeast |  |  |  |  | Caldwell Boulevard From Southeast |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hard } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Bear } \\ \text { Right } \end{array}$ | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { Laft } \end{aligned}$ | Peds | App. Total | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bar } \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | Bar Left | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hard } \\ & \text { Leff } \\ & \text { Len } \end{aligned}$ | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 04:30 PM | 2 | 188 | 2 | 0 | 192 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 16 | 260 | 17 | 0 | 293 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 512 |
| 04:45 PM | 4 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 222 | 23 | 0 | 255 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 487 |
| 05:00 PM | 5 | 213 | 2 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 272 | 37 | 0 | 314 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 | 557 |
| 05:15 PM | 5 | 215 | 1 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 4 | 11 | 242 | 17 | 0 | 270 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 24 | 519 |
| Total Volume | 16 | 817 | 5 | 0 | 838 | 2 | 2 | 27 | 0 | 31 | 42 | 996 | 94 | 0 | 1132 | 48 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 74 | 2075 |
| \% App. Total | 1.9 | 97.5 | 0.6 | 0 |  | 6.5 | 6.5 | 87.1 | 0 |  | 3.7 | 88 | 8.3 | 0 |  | 64.9 | 4.1 | 31.1 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 800 | . 950 | . 625 | . 000 | . 948 | . 250 | . 500 | . 844 | . 000 | . 861 | . 656 | . 915 | . 635 | . 000 | . 901 | . 706 | . 375 | . 719 | . 000 | . 771 | . 931 |



## L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com
Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Signalized

File Name : Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 6

|  | Caldwell Boulevard From Northwest |  |  |  |  | Isaiah Way From Northeast |  |  |  |  | Caldwell Boulevard From Southeast |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Hard } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bear } \\ \text { Right } \end{gathered}$ | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Bear Left | Peds | App. Total | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Bear } \\ & \text { Right } \end{aligned}$ | Bar Left | Hard Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 04.30 PM |  |  |  |  | 04:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  | 04:30 PM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 2 | 188 | 2 | 0 | 192 | 1 | 1 | 14 | 0 | 16 | 16 | 260 | 17 | 0 | 293 | 10 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 18 |
| +15 mins. | 4 | 201 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 4 | 2 | 13 | 0 | 19 | 10 | 222 | 23 | 0 | 255 | 11 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 18 |
| +30 mins. | 5 | 213 | 2 | 0 | 220 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 9 | 5 | 272 | 37 | 0 | 314 | 10 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 14 |
| +45 mins. | 5 | 215 | 1 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 9 | 11 | 242 | 17 | 0 | 270 | 17 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 24 |
| Total Volume | 16 | 817 | 5 | 0 | 838 | 7 | 4 | 42 | 0 | 53 | 42 | 996 | 94 | 0 | 1132 | 48 | 3 | 23 | 0 | 74 |
| \% App. Total | 1.9 | 97.5 | 0.6 | 0 |  | 13.2 | 7.5 | 79.2 | 0 |  | 3.7 | 88 | 8.3 | 0 |  | 64.9 | 4.1 | 31.1 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 800 | . 950 | . 625 | . 000 | . 948 | . 438 | . 500 | . 750 | . 000 | . 697 | . 656 | . 915 | . 635 | . 000 | . 901 | . 706 | . 375 | . 719 | . 000 | 771 |

# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection:Caldwell Blvd / Homedale Rd City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Signalized

File Name: Caldwell Blvd \& Homedale Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 7

Image 1


# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd / Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 1

Groups Printed- General Traffic

|  | Midway Road From North |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From East |  |  |  |  | Midway Road From South |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Int. Total |
| 07:00 AM | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 4 | 29 | 3 | 0 | 36 | 4 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 42 | 101 |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 34 | 108 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 135 |
| 07:45 AM | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 7 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 125 |
| Total | 13 | 91 | 2 | 0 | 106 | 3 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 34 | 14 | 145 | 14 | 0 | 173 | 42 | 73 | 41 | 0 | 156 | 469 |


| 08:00 AM | 9 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 102 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 08:15 AM | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 31 | 5 | 0 | 40 | 13 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 25 | 97 |
| 08:30 AM | 5 | 24 | 2 | 0 | 31 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 7 | 6 | 27 | 5 | 0 | 38 | 3 | 9 | 5 | 0 | 17 | 93 |
| 08:45 AM | 2 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 44 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 0 | 18 | 75 |
| Total | 19 | 81 | 3 | 0 | 103 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 15 | 16 | 128 | 17 | 0 | 161 | 30 | 40 | 18 | 0 | 88 | 367 |


| 04:00 PM | 2 | 44 | 2 | 0 | 48 | 1 | 16 | 3 | 1 | 21 | 6 | 17 | 11 | 0 | 34 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 16 | 119 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 04:15 PM | 10 | 37 | 2 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 21 | 5 | 28 | 6 | 0 | 39 | 10 | 5 | 10 | 0 | 25 | 134 |
| 04:30 PM | 10 | 45 | 5 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 27 | 6 | 0 | 36 | 8 | 4 | 7 | 1 | 20 | 128 |
| 04:45 PM | 13 | 33 | 1 | 0 | 47 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 41 | 11 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 22 | 133 |
| Total | 35 | 159 | 10 | 0 | 204 | 4 | 52 | 20 | 1 | 77 | 21 | 95 | 34 | 0 | 150 | 36 | 21 | 25 | 1 | 83 | 514 |
| 05:00 PM | 12 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 54 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 183 |
| 05:15 PM | 11 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 34 | 15 | 0 | 54 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 161 |
| 05:30 PM | 9 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 148 |
| 05:45 PM | 10 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 52 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 27 | 161 |
| Total | 42 | 194 | 4 | 0 | 240 | 8 | 76 | 14 | 0 | 98 | 12 | 130 | 62 | 0 | 204 | 45 | 36 | 30 | 0 | 111 | 653 |
| Grand Total | 109 | 525 | 19 | 0 | 653 | 18 | 154 | 51 | 1 | 224 | 63 | 498 | 127 | 0 | 688 | 153 | 170 | 114 | 1 | 438 | 2003 |
| Apprch \% | 16.7 | 80.4 | 2.9 | 0 |  | 8 | 68.8 | 22.8 | 0.4 |  | 9.2 | 72.4 | 18.5 | 0 |  | 34.9 | 38.8 | 26 | 0.2 |  |  |
| Total \% | 5.4 | 26.2 | 0.9 | 0 | 32.6 | 0.9 | 7.7 | 2.5 | 0 | 11.2 | 3.1 | 24.9 | 6.3 | 0 | 34.3 | 7.6 | 8.5 | 5.7 | 0 | 21.9 |  |

# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd / Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name: Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 2


L2 Data Collection<br>L2DataCollection.com Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd / Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name : Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 3

|  | Midway Road From North |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From East |  |  |  |  | Midway Road From South |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toat | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |
| Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Peak Hour for Entire Intersection Begins at 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07:15 AM | 2 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 34 | 108 |
| 07:30 AM | 4 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 22 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 47 | 135 |
| 07:45 AM | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 5 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 7 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 33 | 125 |
| 08:00 AM | 9 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 15 | 6 | 0 | 28 | 102 |
| Total Volume | 19 | 102 | 3 | 0 | 124 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 0 | 28 | 11 | 149 | 16 | 0 | 176 | 45 | 68 | 29 | 0 | 142 | 470 |
| \% App. Total | 15.3 | 82.3 | 2.4 | 0 |  | 10.7 | 46.4 | 42.9 | 0 |  | 6.2 | 84.7 | 9.1 | 0 |  | 31.7 | 47.9 | 20.4 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 528 | . 911 | . 750 | . 000 | . 939 | . 750 | . 650 | . 429 | . 000 | . 538 | . 550 | . 847 | . 800 | . 000 | . 815 | . 511 | . 895 | . 806 | . 000 | 755 | 870 |



# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd / Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name : Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 4

|  | Midway Road From North |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From East |  |  |  |  | Midway Road From South |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | ${ }^{\text {App. Total }}$ | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 07:00 AM to 11:45 AM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | 07:30 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:15 AM |  |  |  |  | 07:00 AM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 4 | 28 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 34 | 5 | 0 | 41 | 4 | 20 | 18 | 0 | 42 |
| +15 mins. | 4 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 32 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 38 | 1 | 0 | 42 | 9 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 34 |
| +30 mins. | 9 | 23 | 1 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 5 | 7 | 0 | 13 | 5 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 54 | 22 | 18 | 7 | 0 | 47 |
| +45 mins. | 3 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 6 | 1 | 33 | 5 | 0 | 39 | 7 | 19 | 7 | 0 | 33 |
| Total Volume | 20 | 104 | 2 | 0 | 126 | 3 | 21 | 10 | 0 | 34 | 11 | 149 | 16 | 0 | 176 | 42 | 73 | 41 | 0 | 156 |
| \%App. Total | 15.9 | 82.5 | 1.6 | 0 |  | 8.8 | 61.8 | 29.4 | 0 |  | 6.2 | 84.7 | 9.1 | 0 |  | 26.9 | 46.8 | 26.3 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 556 | . 929 | . 500 | . 000 | . 955 | . 750 | . 656 | . 357 | . 000 | . 654 | . 550 | . 847 | . 800 | . 000 | . 815 | . 477 | . 913 | . 569 | . 000 | . 830 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> General Traffic |  |
|  | In - Peak Hour: 07:15 AM Midway Road |  |

# L2 Data Collection 

L2DataCollection.com
Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd / Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name : Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 5

|  | Midway Road From North |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From East |  |  |  |  | Midway Road From South |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | ${ }^{\text {App Total }}$ | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | ${ }^{\text {Int. Total }}$ |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1

| Peak Hour | Enti | Inte | io | egin | 05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 05:00 PM | 12 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 1 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 54 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 32 | 183 |
| 05:15 PM | 11 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 5 | 34 | 15 | 0 | 54 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 30 | 161 |
| 05:30 PM | 9 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 22 | 148 |
| 05:45 PM | 10 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 0 | 22 | 3 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 52 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 27 | 161 |
| Total Volume | 42 | 194 | 4 | 0 | 240 | 8 | 76 | 14 | 0 | 98 | 12 | 130 | 62 | 0 | 204 | 45 | 36 | 30 | 0 | 111 | 653 |
| \% App. Total | 17.5 | 80.8 | 1.7 | 0 |  | 8.2 | 77.6 | 14.3 | 0 |  | 5.9 | 63.7 | 30.4 | 0 |  | 40.5 | 32.4 | 27 | 0 |  |  |
| PHF | . 875 | . 970 | . 500 | . 000 | . 968 | . 667 | . 679 | . 500 | . 000 | . 681 | . 600 | . 878 | . 861 | . 000 | . 944 | . 703 | . 643 | . 682 | . 000 | . 867 | . 892 |



# L2 Data Collection 

L2DataCollection.com
Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd/Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name : Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 6

|  | Midway Road From North |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From East |  |  |  |  | Midway Road From South |  |  |  |  | Homedale Road From West |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Start <br> Time | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Toal | Right | Thru | Left | Peds | App. Total | Int. Total |

Peak Hour Analysis From 12:00 PM to 05:45 PM - Peak 1 of 1
Peak Hour for Each Approach Begins at:

|  | :00 |  |  |  |  | 04:45 PM |  |  |  |  | 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  | 05:00 PM |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| +0 mins. | 12 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 61 | 1 | 15 | 7 | 0 | 23 | 1 | 37 | 16 | 0 | 54 | 16 | 9 | 7 | 0 | 32 |
| +15 mins. | 11 | 50 | 1 | 0 | 62 | 1 | 28 | 7 | 0 | 36 | 5 | 34 | 15 | 0 | 54 | 10 | 14 | 6 | 0 | 30 |
| +30 mins. | 9 | 47 | 1 | 0 | 57 | 2 | 11 | 2 | 0 | 15 | 3 | 28 | 13 | 0 | 44 | 10 | 6 | 6 | 0 | 22 |
| +45 mins. | 10 | 48 | 2 | 0 | 60 | 2 | 19 | 4 | 0 | 25 | 3 | 31 | 18 | 0 | 52 | 9 | 7 | 11 | 0 | 27 |
| Total Volume | 42 | 194 | 4 | 0 | 240 | 6 | 73 | 20 | 0 | 99 | 12 | 130 | 62 | 0 | 204 | 45 | 36 | 30 | 0 | 111 |
| \% App. Total | 17.5 | 80.8 | 1.7 | 0 |  | 6.1 | 73.7 | 20.2 | 0 |  | 5.9 | 63.7 | 30.4 | 0 |  | 40.5 | 32.4 | 27 | 0 |  |
| PHF | . 875 | . 970 | . 500 | . 000 | . 968 | . 750 | . 652 | . 714 | . 000 | . 688 | . 600 | . 878 | . 861 | . 000 | . 944 | . 703 | . 643 | . 682 | . 000 | . 867 |


|  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Peak Hour Data <br> General Traffic |  |
|  | In - Peak Hour: 05:00 PM Midway Road |  |

# L2 Data Collection <br> L2DataCollection.com <br> Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993 

Study: NV50017
Intersection: Homedale Rd / Midway Rd
City, State: Caldwell, Idaho
Control: Stop Sign

File Name : Homedale Rd \& Midway Rd
Site Code : 00000000
Start Date : 2/25/2021
Page No : 7

Image 1


## Kimley»)Horn

## APPENDIX D ITE TRIP Generation Information

# Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) <br> (221) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units
On a: Weekday,
Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 7 and 9 a.m.
Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban
Number of Studies:
53
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 207
Directional Distribution: $26 \%$ entering, $74 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.36 | $0.06-1.61$ | 0.19 |

## Data Plot and Equation



## Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) (221)

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday,<br>Peak Hour of Adjacent Street Traffic, One Hour Between 4 and 6 p.m.<br>\section*{Setting/Location: General Urban/Suburban}<br>Number of Studies:<br>60<br>Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 208<br>Directional Distribution: 61\% entering, 39\% exiting

Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 0.44 | $0.15-1.11$ | 0.19 |

## Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10th Ed + Supplement - Institute of Transportation Engineers

# Multifamily Housing (Mid-Rise) <br> (221) 

Vehicle Trip Ends vs: Dwelling Units<br>On a: Weekday

## Setting/Location: <br> General Urban/Suburban

Number of Studies:
27
Avg. Num. of Dwelling Units: 205
Directional Distribution: $50 \%$ entering, $50 \%$ exiting
Vehicle Trip Generation per Dwelling Unit

| Average Rate | Range of Rates | Standard Deviation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5.44 | $1.27-12.50$ | 2.03 |

## Data Plot and Equation



Trip Gen Manual, 10 th Ed + Supplement - Institute of Transportation Engineers

## Kimley»)Horn

## APPENDIX E

## Synchro Reports for Operational Analyses

## Kimley»)Horn

## Existing Analysis

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Trafic Volume (veh/h) | 24 | 5 | 72 | 34 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 527 | 7 | 8 | 740 | 15 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 24 | 5 | 72 | 34 | 6 | 17 | 16 | 527 | 7 | 8 | 740 | 15 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 31 | 6 | 94 | 43 | 8 | 22 | 20 | 651 | 9 | 9 | 813 | 16 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.79 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.81 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 49 | 146 | 123 | 59 | 37 | 101 | 37 | 2570 | 36 | 19 | 2517 | 50 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.71 | 0.71 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 441 | 1212 | 1781 | 3589 | 50 | 1781 | 3564 | 70 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 31 | 6 | 94 | 43 | 0 | 30 | 20 | 322 | 338 | 9 | 405 | 424 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 0 | 1652 | 1781 | 1777 | 1861 | 1781 | 1777 | 1858 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.9 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.9 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 7.0 | 7.0 | 0.6 | 9.6 | 9.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.73 | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 |
| Lane $\operatorname{Grp} \operatorname{Cap}(\mathrm{c}$, veh/h | 49 | 146 | 123 | 59 | 0 | 137 | 37 | 1272 | 1333 | 19 | 1255 | 1312 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.63 | 0.04 | 0.76 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.46 | 0.32 | 0.32 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 201 | 463 | 393 | 265 | , | 469 | 185 | 1272 | 1333 | 136 | 1255 | 1312 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 53.4 | 47.4 | 50.2 | 53.2 | 0.0 | 47.5 | 53.8 | 5.5 | 5.5 | 54.6 | 6.2 | 6.2 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 12.4 | 0.1 | 9.3 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 11.8 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 16.2 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 1.8 | 0.3 | 5.1 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.2 | 4.0 | 4.2 | 0.6 | 5.6 | 5.9 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 65.8 | 47.5 | 59.5 | 69.0 | 0.0 | 48.3 | 65.6 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 70.7 | 6.9 | 6.9 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | E | E | A | D | E | A | A | E | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 131 |  |  | 73 |  |  | 680 |  |  | 838 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 60.4 |  |  | 60.5 |  |  | 7.7 |  |  | 7.6 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 5.7 | 84.0 | 8.2 | 13.1 | 6.8 | 82.9 | 7.6 | 13.7 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{Cc}$ ), $s$ | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 8.5 | 79.5 | 16.5 | 27.5 | 11.5 | 76.5 | 12.5 | 31.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.6 | 9.0 | 4.7 | 8.5 | 3.2 | 11.6 | 3.9 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 4.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5.4 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM 6th TWSC
2: Midway Road \& Homedale Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 5.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement E | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 29 | 68 | 45 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 16 | 149 | 11 | 3 | 102 | 19 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 29 | 68 | 45 | 12 | 13 | 3 | 16 | 149 | 11 | 3 | 102 | 19 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None |  |  | None | - | - | None | - |  | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 76 | 76 | 76 | 54 | 54 | 54 | 81 | 81 | 81 | 94 | 94 | 94 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 38 | 89 | 59 | 22 | 24 | 6 | 20 | 184 | 14 | 3 | 109 | 20 |



HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1: Cleveland Boulevard \& Homedale Road/Isaiah Way

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 23 | 3 | 48 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 94 | 996 | 42 | 5 | 817 | 16 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 23 | 3 | 48 | 27 | 2 | 2 | 94 | 996 | 42 | 5 | 817 | 16 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 30 | 4 | 62 | 31 | 2 | 2 | 104 | 1107 | 47 | 5 | 860 | 17 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.77 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.86 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 47 | 101 | 86 | 48 | 47 | 47 | 131 | 2649 | 112 | 11 | 2479 | 49 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.07 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.70 | 0.70 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 858 | 858 | 1781 | 3473 | 147 | 1781 | 3564 | 70 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 30 | 4 | 62 | 31 | 0 | 4 | 104 | 566 | 588 | 5 | 429 | 448 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 0 | 1716 | 1781 | 1777 | 1844 | 1781 | 1777 | 1858 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 2.0 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.0 | 0.2 | 4.6 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.9 | 13.3 | 13.3 | 0.3 | 11.6 | 11.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 47 | 101 | 86 | 48 | 0 | 94 | 131 | 1355 | 1406 | 11 | 1236 | 1292 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.64 | 0.04 | 0.72 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.04 | 0.80 | 0.42 | 0.42 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.35 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 156 | 351 | 297 | 156 | 0 | 322 | 364 | 1355 | 1406 | 111 | 1236 | 1292 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 57.9 | 53.8 | 55.9 | 57.8 | 0.0 | 53.7 | 54.7 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 59.4 | 7.3 | 7.3 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 13.6 | 0.2 | 10.8 | 13.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 10.4 | 1.0 | 0.9 | 24.3 | 0.8 | 0.7 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%), veh/ln | 1.9 | 0.2 | 3.8 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 6.1 | 7.2 | 7.4 | 0.4 | 7.2 | 7.5 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 71.4 | 53.9 | 66.7 | 71.6 | 0.0 | 53.9 | 65.1 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 83.7 | 8.1 | 8.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | E | E | A | D | E | A | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 96 |  |  | 35 |  |  | 1258 |  |  | 882 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 67.6 |  |  | 69.6 |  |  | 10.8 |  |  | 8.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 5.3 | 96.0 | 7.7 | 11.0 | 13.3 | 88.0 | 7.7 | 11.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 7.5 | 91.5 | 10.5 | 22.5 | 24.5 | 74.5 | 10.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.3 | 15.3 | 4.1 | 6.6 | 8.9 | 13.6 | 4.0 | 2.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 8.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 5.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |




| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.1 | 17.2 | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | C | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1323 | - | - | 485 | 438 | 1430 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.05 | - | -0.263 | 0.329 | 0.003 | - | - |  |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.9 | 0 | - | 15.1 | 17.2 | 7.5 | 0 | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | A | - | C | C | A | A | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0.2 | - | - | 1 | 1.4 | 0 | - | - |

[^1]
## Kimley»)Horn

## 2023 Background Analysis

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 26 | 6 | 77 | 37 | 7 | 19 | 17 | 560 | 8 | 9 | 786 | 16 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 26 | 6 | 77 | 37 | 7 | 19 | 17 | 560 | 8 | 9 | 786 | 16 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 29 | 7 | 86 | 41 | 8 | 21 | 19 | 622 | 9 | 10 | 864 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 47 | 135 | 115 | 57 | 36 | 93 | 35 | 2596 | 38 | 21 | 2548 | 53 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.08 | 0.08 | 0.02 | 0.72 | 0.72 | 0.01 | 0.72 | 0.72 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 456 | 1198 | 1781 | 3586 | 52 | 1781 | 3560 | 74 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 29 | 7 | 86 | 41 | 0 | 29 | 19 | 308 | 323 | 10 | 431 | 451 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 0 | 1655 | 1781 | 1777 | 1861 | 1781 | 1777 | 1857 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.8 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.8 | 0.4 | 6.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 1.2 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 0.6 | 10.3 | 10.3 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 47 | 135 | 115 | 57 | 0 | 129 | 35 | 1286 | 1347 | 21 | 1272 | 1329 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.61 | 0.05 | 0.75 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.22 | 0.54 | 0.24 | 0.24 | 0.47 | 0.34 | 0.34 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 198 | 440 | 373 | 245 | 0 | 434 | 166 | 1286 | 1347 | 134 | 1272 | 1329 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 54.2 | 48.6 | 51.2 | 54.0 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 54.7 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 55.3 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 12.3 | 0.2 | 9.4 | 15.4 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 12.0 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 15.3 | 0.7 | 0.7 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 1.7 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 2.5 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 3.7 | 3.9 | 0.7 | 5.9 | 6.2 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 66.5 | 48.8 | 60.6 | 69.4 | 0.0 | 49.6 | 66.7 | 5.6 | 5.6 | 70.6 | 6.7 | 6.7 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | E | E | A | D | E | A | A | E | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 122 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 650 |  |  | 892 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 61.4 |  |  | 61.2 |  |  | 7.4 |  |  | 7.4 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | A |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 5.8 | 86.0 | 8.1 | 12.6 | 6.7 | 85.1 | 7.5 | 13.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 8.5 | 81.5 | 15.5 | 26.5 | 10.5 | 79.5 | 12.5 | 29.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.6 | 8.5 | 4.6 | 8.0 | 3.2 | 12.3 | 3.8 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM 6th TWSC
2: Midway Road \& Homedale Road



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- |
| HCM Control Delay, S | 12.7 | 12.4 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| HCM LOS | B | B |  |  |


|  |  |  | Minor Lane/Major Mumt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| SBL | SBT | SBR |  |  |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1446 | - | -638 | 523 | 1384 | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | 0.013 | - | -0.265 | 0.066 | 0.003 | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 7.5 | 0 | - | 12.7 | 12.4 | 7.6 |


| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 4 | 51 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 1057 | 45 | 6 | 867 | 17 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 25 | 4 | 51 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 100 | 1057 | 45 | 6 | 867 | 17 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 28 | 4 | 57 | 32 | 3 | 3 | 111 | 1174 | 50 | 6 | 913 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 45 | 95 | 81 | 49 | 45 | 45 | 139 | 2653 | 113 | 13 | 2473 | 49 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.03 | 0.05 | 0.05 | 0.08 | 0.76 | 0.76 | 0.01 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 858 | 858 | 1781 | 3473 | 148 | 1781 | 3564 | 70 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 28 | 4 | 57 | 32 | 0 | 6 | 111 | 600 | 624 | 6 | 455 | 476 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s), veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 0 | 1716 | 1781 | 1777 | 1844 | 1781 | 1777 | 1858 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 1.9 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 0.4 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 1.9 | 0.2 | 4.2 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 7.3 | 14.4 | 14.4 | 0.4 | 12.6 | 12.6 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 45 | 95 | 81 | 49 | 0 | 91 | 139 | 1357 | 1409 | 13 | 1233 | 1289 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.62 | 0.04 | 0.71 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.07 | 0.80 | 0.44 | 0.44 | 0.45 | 0.37 | 0.37 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 156 | 351 | 298 | 156 | 0 | 322 | 379 | 1357 | 1409 | 112 | 1233 | 1289 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(1) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 57.8 | 54.1 | 56.0 | 57.7 | 0.0 | 53.9 | 54.3 | 5.0 | 5.0 | 59.2 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 13.1 | 0.2 | 10.8 | 14.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 10.2 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 21.4 | 0.9 | 0.8 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 1.8 | 0.2 | 3.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.5 | 7.7 | 7.9 | 0.5 | 7.8 | 8.0 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay (d),s/veh | 70.9 | 54.2 | 66.8 | 71.7 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 64.5 | 6.1 | 6.1 | 80.6 | 8.4 | 8.4 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | E | E | A | D | E | A | A | F | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 89 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 1335 |  |  | 937 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 67.5 |  |  | 68.9 |  |  | 10.9 |  |  | 8.8 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{c}$ ), $s$ | 5.4 | 96.0 | 7.8 | 10.6 | 13.8 | 87.6 | 7.5 | 10.8 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ), s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 7.5 | 91.5 | 10.5 | 22.5 | 25.5 | 73.5 | 10.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+11), s | 2.4 | 16.4 | 4.1 | 6.2 | 9.3 | 14.6 | 3.9 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 13.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM 6th TWSC
2: Midway Road \& Homedale Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 32 | 39 | 48 | 15 | 81 | 9 | 66 | 138 | 13 | 5 | 206 | 45 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 32 | 39 | 48 | 15 | 81 | 9 | 66 | 138 | 13 | 5 | 206 | 45 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | O |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 97 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | , |
| Mumt Flow | 36 | 43 | 53 | 17 | 90 | 10 | 70 | 147 | 14 | 5 | 212 | 46 |  |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 15.5 | 17 | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | C | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1307 | - | - | 475 | 417 | 1418 | - |

## Kimley»)Horn

## 2023 Background Plus Project Analysis

HCM 6th Signalized Intersection Summary
1: Cleveland Boulevard \& Homedale Road/Isaiah Way
10/27/2021

| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 37 | 4 | 73 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 147 | 1057 | 45 | 11 | 876 | 17 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 37 | 4 | 73 | 29 | 3 | 3 | 147 | 1057 | 45 | 11 | 876 | 17 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 41 | 4 | 81 | 32 | 3 | 3 | 163 | 1174 | 50 | 12 | 922 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.95 | 0.95 | 0.95 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Cap, veh/h | 55 | 126 | 106 | 48 | 54 | 54 | 193 | 2589 | 110 | 24 | 2321 | 45 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.03 | 0.07 | 0.07 | 0.03 | 0.06 | 0.06 | 0.11 | 0.75 | 0.75 | 0.01 | 0.65 | 0.65 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 858 | 858 | 1781 | 3473 | 148 | 1781 | 3565 | 70 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 41 | 4 | 81 | 32 | 0 | 6 | 163 | 600 | 624 | 12 | 460 | 480 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | O | 1716 | 1781 | 1777 | 1844 | 1781 | 1777 | 1858 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 2.8 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 0.8 | 14.9 | 14.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 2.8 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 2.2 | 0.0 | 0.4 | 11.0 | 15.9 | 16.0 | 0.8 | 14.9 | 14.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.50 | 1.00 |  | 0.08 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 55 | 126 | 106 | 48 | 0 | 109 | 193 | 1325 | 1374 | 24 | 1157 | 1209 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.75 | 0.03 | 0.76 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.06 | 0.85 | 0.45 | 0.45 | 0.49 | 0.40 | 0.40 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 152 | 343 | 291 | 152 | 0 | 315 | 370 | 1325 | 1374 | 109 | 1157 | 1209 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(l) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 59.0 | 53.5 | 56.3 | 59.2 | 0.0 | 54.0 | 53.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 60.1 | 10.1 | 10.1 |
| Incr Delay (d2), slveh | 18.4 | 0.1 | 10.6 | 14.5 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 9.7 | 1.1 | 1.1 | 14.6 | 1.0 | 1.0 |
| Initial Q Delay(d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 2.8 | 0.2 | 5.0 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 9.1 | 8.7 | 9.0 | 0.8 | 9.3 | 9.7 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d), s/veh | 77.4 | 53.6 | 66.9 | 73.7 | 0.0 | 54.2 | 63.4 | 7.1 | 7.1 | 74.7 | 11.1 | 11.1 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | E | E | A | D | E | A | A | E | B | B |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 126 |  |  | 38 |  |  | 1387 |  |  | 952 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 69.9 |  |  | 70.6 |  |  | 13.7 |  |  | 11.9 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | B |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $\mathrm{G}+\mathrm{Y}+\mathrm{Rc}$ ), s | 6.2 | 96.0 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 17.8 | 84.4 | 8.3 | 12.3 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ) , $s$ | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 7.5 | 91.5 | 10.5 | 22.5 | 25.5 | 73.5 | 10.5 | 22.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1) , s | 2.8 | 18.0 | 4.2 | 8.2 | 13.0 | 16.9 | 4.8 | 2.4 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 9.9 | 0.0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 6.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |

Intersection Summary
HCM 6th Ctrr Delay 16.7
HCM 6th LOS
B

HCM 6th TWSC
2: Midway Road \& Homedale Road

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 6.7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 32 | 48 | 48 | 18 | 87 | 9 | 66 | 138 | 18 | 5 | 206 | 45 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 32 | 48 | 48 | 18 | 87 | 9 | 66 | 138 | 18 | 5 | 206 | 45 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control S | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free | Free |
| RT Channelized | - |  | None |  |  | None | - | - | None | - | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 94 | 94 | 94 | 97 | 97 | 97 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mumt Flow | 36 | 53 | 53 | 20 | 97 | 10 | 70 | 147 | 19 | 5 | 212 | 46 |



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 16.1 | 17.7 | 2.4 | 0.1 |
| HCM LOS | C | C |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBR EBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1307 | - | - | 465 | 410 | 1412 | - |

[^2]Synchro 11 Report

HCM 6th TWSC
3: Cleveland Boulevard \& Access Drive A

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1085 | 890 | 26 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 13 | 0 | 1085 | 890 | 26 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | 150 | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 14 | 0 | 1206 | 989 | 29 |  |


| Major/Minor | Minor2 | Major1 | Major2 |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Conflicting Flow All | - | 509 | 1018 | 0 | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy | - | 6.94 | 4.14 | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 | - | - | - | - | - |
| Follow-up Hdwy | - | 3.32 | 2.22 | - | - |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 0 | 509 | 677 | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 1 | 0 | - | - | - | - |
| $\quad$ Stage 2 | 0 | - | - | - | - |

Platoon blocked, \%


| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.3 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |

HCMLOS B

| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 677 | -509 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | -0.028 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | -12.3 | - | - |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | B | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | - |  |

HCM 6th TWSC
4: Homedale Road \& Access Drive B



| Movement | EBL | EBT | EBR | WBL | WBT | WBR | NBL | NBT | NBR | SBL | SBT | SBR |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Volume (veh/h) | 45 | 6 | 111 | 37 | 7 | 19 | 34 | 560 | 8 | 16 | 799 | 16 |
| Future Volume (veh/h) | 45 | 6 | 111 | 37 | 7 | 19 | 34 | 560 | 8 | 16 | 799 | 16 |
| Initial $Q(Q b)$, veh | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Ped-Bike Adj(A_pbT) | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 1.00 |
| Parking Bus, Adj | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Work Zone On Approach |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |  | No |  |
| Adj Sat Flow, veh/h/ln | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 | 1870 |
| Adj Flow Rate, veh/h | 50 | 7 | 123 | 41 | 8 | 21 | 38 | 622 | 9 | 18 | 878 | 18 |
| Peak Hour Factor | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.90 | 0.91 | 0.91 | 0.91 |
| Percent Heavy Veh, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Cap, veh/h | 65 | 179 | 152 | 56 | 42 | 109 | 54 | 2508 | 36 | 34 | 2449 | 50 |
| Arrive On Green | 0.04 | 0.10 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.09 | 0.09 | 0.03 | 0.70 | 0.70 | 0.02 | 0.69 | 0.69 |
| Sat Flow, veh/h | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 456 | 1198 | 1781 | 3586 | 52 | 1781 | 3561 | 73 |
| Grp Volume(v), veh/h | 50 | 7 | 123 | 41 | 0 | 29 | 38 | 308 | 323 | 18 | 438 | 458 |
| Grp Sat Flow(s),veh/h/ln | 1781 | 1870 | 1585 | 1781 | 0 | 1655 | 1781 | 1777 | 1861 | 1781 | 1777 | 1857 |
| Q Serve(g_s), s | 3.2 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Cycle Q Clear(g_c), s | 3.2 | 0.4 | 8.9 | 2.7 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 7.4 | 7.4 | 1.2 | 11.9 | 11.9 |
| Prop In Lane | 1.00 |  | 1.00 | 1.00 |  | 0.72 | 1.00 |  | 0.03 | 1.00 |  | 0.04 |
| Lane Grp Cap(c), veh/h | 65 | 179 | 152 | 56 | 0 | 151 | 54 | 1243 | 1301 | 34 | 1222 | 1278 |
| V/C Ratio(X) | 0.77 | 0.04 | 0.81 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.19 | 0.70 | 0.25 | 0.25 | 0.53 | 0.36 | 0.36 |
| Avail Cap(c_a), veh/h | 191 | 425 | 360 | 237 | 0 | 419 | 160 | 1243 | 1301 | 130 | 1222 | 1278 |
| HCM Platoon Ratio | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Upstream Filter(I) | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 | 1.00 |
| Uniform Delay (d), s/veh | 55.7 | 47.8 | 51.7 | 55.9 | 0.0 | 49.0 | 56.0 | 6.4 | 6.4 | 56.7 | 7.5 | 7.5 |
| Incr Delay (d2), s/veh | 17.4 | 0.1 | 9.8 | 16.5 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 15.2 | 0.5 | 0.5 | 12.4 | 0.8 | 0.8 |
| Initial Q Delay (d3),s/veh | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| \%ile BackOfQ(95\%),veh/ln | 3.2 | 0.3 | 7.0 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 1.4 | 2.4 | 4.4 | 4.6 | 1.1 | 7.3 | 7.6 |
| Unsig. Movement Delay, s/veh |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| LnGrp Delay(d),s/veh | 73.1 | 47.9 | 61.4 | 72.4 | 0.0 | 49.6 | 71.2 | 6.9 | 6.8 | 69.1 | 8.4 | 8.3 |
| LnGrp LOS | E | D | E | E | A | D | , | A | A | E | A | A |
| Approach Vol, veh/h |  | 180 |  |  | 70 |  |  | 669 |  |  | 914 |  |
| Approach Delay, s/veh |  | 64.2 |  |  | 63.0 |  |  | 10.5 |  |  | 9.5 |  |
| Approach LOS |  | E |  |  | E |  |  | B |  |  | A |  |
| Timer - Assigned Phs | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| Phs Duration ( $G+Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ), $s$ | 6.7 | 86.0 | 8.2 | 15.7 | 8.0 | 84.7 | 8.7 | 15.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Change Period ( $Y+R \mathrm{C}$ ), s | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 | 4.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Green Setting (Gmax), s | 8.5 | 81.5 | 15.5 | 26.5 | 10.5 | 79.5 | 12.5 | 29.5 |  |  |  |  |
| Max Q Clear Time (g_c+1), s | 3.2 | 9.4 | 4.7 | 10.9 | 4.5 | 13.9 | 5.2 | 3.9 |  |  |  |  |
| Green Ext Time (p_c), s | 0.0 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 6.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |
| Intersection Summary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th Ctrl Delay |  |  | 17.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| HCM 6th LOS |  |  | B |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

HCM 6th TWSC
2: Midway Road \& Homedale Road



| Approach | EB | WB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 12.9 | 12.7 | 0.7 | 0.2 |
| HCM LOS | B | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT | NBREBLn1WBLn1 | SBL | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 1446 | - | - | 630 | 516 | 1380 | - |

[^3]Synchro 11 Report

HCM 6th TWSC
3: Cleveland Boulevard \& Access Drive A

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBR | NBL | NBT | SBT | SBR |  |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 0 | 20 | 0 | 605 | 811 | 9 |  |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 0 | 20 | 0 | 605 | 811 | 9 |  |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| Sign Control | Stop | Stop | Free | Free | Free | Free |  |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |  |
| Storage Length | - | 0 | 150 | - | - | - |  |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Grade, \% | 0 | - | - | 0 | 0 | - |  |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |  |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |  |
| Mvmt Flow | 0 | 22 | 0 | 672 | 901 | 10 |  |



| Approach | EB | NB | SB |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 11.8 | 0 | 0 |
| HCM LOS | B |  |  |


| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt | NBL | NBT EBLn1 | SBT | SBR |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Capacity (veh/h) | 743 | - | 551 | - | - |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio | - | - | 0.04 | - | - |
| HCM Control Delay (s) | 0 | -11.8 | - | - |  |
| HCM Lane LOS | A | - | B | - | - |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) | 0 | - | 0.1 | - | - |

[^4]Synchro 11 Report

HCM 6th TWSC
4: Homedale Road \& Access Drive B

| Intersection |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Int Delay, s/veh | 3.1 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Movement | EBL | EBT | WBT | WBR | SBL | SBR |
| Lane Configurations |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Traffic Vol, veh/h | 5 | 89 | 40 | 17 | 53 | 13 |
| Future Vol, veh/h | 5 | 89 | 40 | 17 | 53 | 13 |
| Conflicting Peds, \#/hr | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Sign Control | Free | Free | Free | Free | Stop | Stop |
| RT Channelized | - | None | - | None | - | None |
| Storage Length | - | - | - | - | 0 | 0 |
| Veh in Median Storage, \# | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Grade, \% | - | 0 | 0 | - | 0 | - |
| Peak Hour Factor | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 | 90 |
| Heavy Vehicles, \% | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mvmt Flow | 6 | 99 | 44 | 19 | 59 | 14 |


| Major/Minor M | Major1 |  | Major2 |  | Minor2 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Conflicting Flow All | 63 | 0 | - | 0 | 165 | 54 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 54 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 111 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy | 4.12 | - | - | - | 6.42 | 6.22 |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 1 | - | - | - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Critical Hdwy Stg 2 |  | - | - - | - | 5.42 | - |  |
| Follow-up Hdwy | 2.218 | - | - | - | 3.518 | 3.318 |  |
| Pot Cap-1 Maneuver | 1540 | - | - | - | 826 | 1013 |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 969 | - |  |
| Stage 2 |  | - | - | - | 914 | - |  |
| Platoon blocked, \% |  | - | - | - |  |  |  |
| Mov Cap-1 Maneuver | 1540 | - | - | - | 823 | 1013 |  |
| Mov Cap-2 Maneuver | - | - | - | - | 823 | - |  |
| Stage 1 | - | - | - | - | 965 | - |  |
| Stage 2 | - | - | - | - | 914 | - |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Approach | EB |  | WB |  | SB |  |  |
| HCM Control Delay, s | 0.4 |  | 0 |  | 9.5 |  |  |
| HCM LOS |  |  |  |  | A |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Minor Lane/Major Mvmt |  | EBL | EBT | BT | WBR SBLn1 SBLn2 |  |  |
| Capacity (veh/h) |  | 1540 | - | - | - | 823 | 1013 |
| HCM Lane V/C Ratio |  | 0.004 | - | - | - | 0.072 | 0.014 |
| HCM Control Delay (s) |  | 7.3 | 0 | - | - | 9.7 | 8.6 |
| HCM Lane LOS |  | A | A | - | - | A | A |
| HCM 95th \%tile Q(veh) |  | 0 | - | - | - | 0.2 | 0 |

## Kimley»)Horn

## APPENDIX F

## Left-Turn Lane Warrant Figures

Left-Turn Lane Analysis - Two-Lane Roadway $\leq 40 \mathrm{mph}$

| Major Road: | Homedale Road |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minor Road: | Access Drive B |
| Direction: | Eastbound |

Left Turns AM(PM)

| Existing $0(0)$ |
| :--- |
| Background |
| Plus Project |
| $0(0)$ |
| $\%$ |

Figure 1 - Left-Turn Lane Guidelines for Two-Lane Roads less than or equal to 40 mph


Left-Turn Lane Analysis - Two-Lane Roadway $\leq 40 \mathrm{mph}$
Major Road: $\qquad$
Left Turns AM(PM)

| Minor Road: | Homedale Road |
| :---: | :---: |
| Direction: | Northbound |



Figure 1 - Left-Turn Lane Guidelines for Two-Lane Roads less than or equal to 40 mph


|  |  | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | 2021 Existing |  | ) |
|  | 2023 Background |  | ) |
|  | 2023 Plus Project |  |  |
| Needed Data: |  |  |  |
| 1. Opposing Volume (veh/hr) - Vo - The opposing volume is to include only the right-turn and |  |  |  |
| 2. Advancing Volume (veh/hr) - VA - The advancing volume is to include the right-turn. left-turn and Itrough movementis in the same direction as the leff turning vehicle. |  |  |  |
| 3. Operating Speed ( mph ) - The greatest of anticipated operating speed, measured 85 th percentile speed or posted speed. |  |  |  |
| 4. Percentage of leff turn in VA |  |  |  |
| Left- turn lane is not needed for left turn volume less than 10 vph . However, criteria other than volume, such as crash experience, may be used to justify a left-turn lane |  |  |  |
| The appropriate trend line is identififed on the basis of the percentage of left-turns in the advancing volume, rounded up to the nearest percentage trend line. If the advancing and opposing volume combination intersects above or to the right of this trend line, a left-turn lane is appropriate. |  |  |  |
| Source: NCHRP Report 279 and 457 |  |  |  |

Left-Turn Lane Analysis - Two-Lane Roadway $\leq 40 \mathrm{mph}$
Left Turns AM(PM)

| Major Road: | Midway Road |
| :---: | :---: |
| Minor Road: | Homedale Road |
| Direction: | Southbound |


| Existing $3(2)$BackgroundPlus Project$3(2)$$3(2)$ |
| :---: |
|  |  |
|  |  |

Figure 1 - Left-Turn Lane Guidelines for Two-Lane Roads less than or equal to 40 mph


|  |  | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | 2021 Existing |  | ) |
|  | 2023 Background |  | ) |
|  | 2023 Plus Project |  | ) |
| Needed Data: |  |  |  |
| 1. Opposing Volume (veh/hr) - Vo - The opposing volume is to include only the right-turn and through movements in the opposite direction of the left turning vehicle. <br> 2. Advancing Volume (veh/hr) - VA - The advancing volume is to include the right-turn. left-turn |  |  | () | and through movements in the same direction as the left turning vehicle.

3. Operating Speed (mph) - The greatest of anticipated operating speed, measured 85 th percentile speed or posted speed
4. Percentage of left turns in VA

Left- turn lane is not needed for left turn volume less than 10 vph . However, criteria other than volume, such as crash experience, may be used to justify a left-turn lane

The appropriate trend line is identified on the basis of the percentage of left-turns in the advancing volume, rounded up to the nearest percentage trend line. If the advancing and opposing volume combination intersects above or to the right of this trend line, a left-turn lane is appropriate
Source: NCHRP Report 279 and 457

## Kimley»)Horn

## APPENDIX G

## Right-Turn Lane Warrant Figures

Major Road: $\qquad$ Speed: $\qquad$ 35 mph
Minor Road $\qquad$
Direction: $\qquad$
Figure 6 - Right-Turn Lane Guidelines for Two-Lane Roadways


|  |  | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | 2021 Existing |  | $\bigcirc$ |
| Not Warranted | 2023 Background |  |  |
|  | 2023 Plus Project |  |  |
| Needed Data: <br> 1. Advancing Volume (veh/hr) - The advancing volume is to include the right-turn, left-turn and |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | ) |

through movements in the same direction as the right-turning vehicle.
2. Right-Turning Volume (veh/hr) - The right-turning volume is the number of advancing vehicles turning right.
3. Operating Speed (mph) - The greatest of anticipated operating speed. measured 85th percentile speed or posted speed
Note: Right-turn lane is not needed for right-turn volume less than 10 vph . However, criteria other than volume, e.g. crash experience, may be used to justify a right-turn lane.
If the combination of major road approach volume and right-turn volume intersects above or to the right of the speed trend line corresponding to the major road operating speed, then a right-turn lane is appropriate

Source: NCHRP Report 279 and 457

| Major Road: | Midway Road |
| ---: | :---: |
| Minor Road: | Homedale Road |
| Direction: | Southbound |

Figure 6 - Right-Turn Lane Guidelines for Two-Lane Roadways

Needed Data:

| 1. Advancing Volume (veh/hr) - The advancing volume is to include the right-turn, left-turn and |
| :--- |
| through movements in the same direction as the right-turning vehicle. |
| 2. Right-Turning Volume (veh/hr) - The right-turning volume is the number of advancing vehicles |

turning right.
3. Operating Speed (mph) - The greatest of anticipated operating speed, measured 85 th percentile speed or posted speed.

Note: Right-turn lane is not needed for right-turn volume less than 10 vph . However, criteria other than volume, e.g. crash experience, may be used to justify a right-turn lane.
If the combination of major road approach volume and right-turn volume intersects above or to the right of the speed trend line corresponding to the major road operating speed, then a right-turn lane is appropriate.

Source: NCHRP Report 279 and 457

Major Road: $\qquad$ Speed: $\qquad$ 45 mph
Minor Road: $\qquad$
Direction: Southbound
Figure 7 - Right-Turn Lane Guidelines for Four-Lane Roadways


|  |  | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | 2021 Existing |  | ( |
| NatM Mrenented | 2023 Background | ) | $\square$ |
|  | 2023 Plus Project | ) | $\square$ |
| Needed Data: |  |  |  |
| 1. Advancing Volume (veh/hr) - The advancing volume is to include the right-turn, left-turn and through movements in the same direction as the right-turning vehicle. |  |  | ( | through movernents in the same direction as the right-turning vehicle,

turning right.
3. Operating Speed ( mph ) - The greatest of anticipated operating speed, measured 85 th percentile speed or posted speed.
Note: Right-turn lane is not needed for right-turn volume less than 10 vph . However, criteria other than volume, e.g. crash experience, may be used to justify a right-turn lane.
If the combination of major road approach volume and right-turn volume intersects above or to the right of the speed trend line corresponding to the major road operating speed, then a right-turn lane is appropriate

Source: NCHRP Report 279 and 457

Major Road: $\qquad$ Speed: $\qquad$ 35 mph
Minor Road: $\qquad$
Direction: $\qquad$
Figure 6 - Right-Turn Lane Guidelines for Two-Lane Roadways


|  |  | AM | PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Result | 2021 Existing |  | $\square$ |
| 1 | 2023 Background |  | 3 |
|  | 2023 Plus Project |  | ) |
| Needed Data: |  |  |  |
| 1. Advancing Volume (veh/hr) - The advancing volume is to include the right-turn, left-turn and through movements in the same direction as the right-turning vehicle. |  |  |  | through movements in the same direction as the right-turning vehicle.

2. Right-Turning Volume (veh/hr) - The right-turning volume is the number of advancing vehicles turning right.
3. Operating Speed (mph) - The greatest of anticipated operating speed, measured 85th percentile speed or posted speed
Note: Right-turn lane is not needed for right-turn volume less than 10 vph . However, criteria other than volume, e.g. crash experience, may be used to justify a right-turn lane.
If the combination of major road approach volume and right-turn volurne intersects above or to the right of the speed trend line corresponding to the major road operating speed, then a right-turn lane is appropriate.

Source: NCHRP Report 279 and 457


## Property Owner Acknowledgement

I, James D, Palermo, the Executive Vice President of Canyon Village Multifamily, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, the record owner for real property addressed as 6904 Cleveland Boulevard, Caldwell, ID 83607, am aware of, in agreement with, and give my permission to Brandon McDougald to submit the accompanying applications) pertaining to that property.

1. The record owner agrees to indemnify, defend and hold the City of Caldwell and its employees harmless from any claim or liability resulting from any dispute as to the statements) contained herein or as to the ownership of the property which is the subject of the application.
2. The record owner hereby grants permission to City of Caldwell staff to enter the subject property for the purpose of site inspections(s) related to processing said applications(s).

Dated this 13th day of September, 2021

Canyon Village Multifamily, LLC, a Florida limited liability company


Its: Executive Vice President

## CERTIFICATE OF VERIFICATION

STATE OF FLORIDA )
) ss.
County of Hillsborough )
1, Aline Marie Baclawski, a Notary Public, do hereby certify that on this 13th day of September, 2021, personally appeared before me James D. Palermo, the Executive Vice President of Canyon Village Multifamily, LLC, a Florida limited liability company, known or identified to me to be the person whose name is subscribed to the foregoing instrument, who, being by me first duly sworn, declared that he signed the foregoing document, and that the statements therein contained are true.

## anne Marie Baclauski NOTARY PUBLIC FOR FLORIDA Residing at Tampa, Florida <br> My Commissions Expires: <br> 



## Cynthia Brogdon

From: Cynthia Brogdon
Sent: Thursday, April 29, 2021 3:49 PM
To:
'jbreckon@breckonld.com'
Subject:
Round Table Minutes 4/22/2021
Attachments:
6804 Cleveland Blvd(Canyon Village Apartments) R3089901100 4-22-2021.pdf

Jon,

Enclosed you will find the minutes from the Roundtable meeting on 4/22/2021.
Let me know if you have any questions.
Thanks,


Planning and Zoning Department
Cynthia Brogdon
Administrative Assistant
cbrogdon@cityofcaldwell.org
Office: (208) 455-4664

## Round Table Meeting Form

Date: 4/22/2021 10:00am
Project Name: Canyon Village Apartments
The intent of the roundtable meeting is to provide information in regards to city code, policies, and procedures. It does not constitute approvals of a site plan, access points, street sections, variances or waivers of policy, etc. Additional requirements may become apparent upon review of an application.



6. A permanent $C$ of $O$ is not issued until every single item required from all 4 departments has been completed, inspected and approved.

Commercial Permit Guide Packet Provided to Applicants): $\square$ Yes $\square$ No

FIRE DEPARTMENT
Project Name: Canyon Village Apartments


## Additional Information

More than 30' from street level to eaves will put you in setback requirements for the fire code for aerial suppression or rescue operations. some of which are a minimum of 26 ' wide streets or roads in front of each of the structures or the accessible side. Operating out of the 2018 International Fire Code appendix d105. We will need several hydrants through out the facility. If you provide shaded parking, then we can't make access bldg's for aerial operations to the roof line with the distance of those parking shade structures. So please review. Access looks good. Turn around and T's will be required out of the same IFC appendix d. Fire suppression systems inside of the structures. Determine if alarm systems or monitoring systems to be centrally located within the clubhouse or each unit is going to have its own system. Wireless systems are okay, but they will need to modes of communication, I will verify through the fire code. Ill have to review what the code states. Your detached garages will not have to fall under the fire suppression sprinkler issue. Just the structures, not the club house unless it is over 5 k square ft., then it would. If there is a commercial kitchen inside the clubhouse it would be required to have the proper hood system which has fire suppression attached to it. Knox system would be expected on all structures for access for the FDC room and for the clubhouse. Will review hydrant spacing and locations when I see your proposal on your plans. Then we will review if for accuracy, distance, and tactical response. Ill review the code when you put your plans in.

Please note that Caldwell City Policy requires all structures larger than 5,000 square feet to typically be fire sprinkled. Please contact the City Fire Marshal at (208) 250-4945 if you have questions regarding this Policy.
State Fire Marshal's website with list of approved contractors: http://www.doi.idaho.gov/sfm/SprinklerContractorList.aspx


Addressing related to any new development and/or re-development of a site is subject to change in accordance with City Code. Addressing should be verified with Mapping Dept. prior to incurring any expense related to marketing materials, letterhead, etc.

#  

| CARL D. HAMILTON*** | MARK SILTY |
| :--- | ---: |
| TERRYMICHAELSON* | AARON L. SEABEE |
| ${ }^{*}$ Retired | MARES C. ERICSON |
|  | DOUGLAS L. WATERMAN |

## Non-Binding Memo of Opinion

To whom it may concern, this letter is a non-binding memo of opinion concerning the ability to pursue a preliminary plat modification for parcels nos. R3089901100, R3089900000, and R3089901200, within the limits of the City of Caldwell, Canyon County, Idaho. The foregoing parcels are zoned C3 (Service Commercial).

Attached hereto as Exhibit A is a preliminary plat, which it my understanding was previously approved by the City of Caldwell. Said plat has certain lots designated as commercial, certain lots designated as multifamily residential, and certain lots designated as "Future Development To Be Determined."

There is presently a moratorium in place in the City of Caldwell prohibiting certain development applications within the City. Excepted from that moratorium are applications for which related applications or licenses have already been requested from, filed with, or issued by the City.

In the C-3 zone, multifamily residential construction requires a special use permit, per Caldwell City Code $\S 10-02-02$, which must be sought pursuant to Caldwell City Code $\S 10-03-04$.

It is presently my opinion that an application could be filed to amend the previously approved preliminary plat. Said application would be related to the prior submission of the previously approved preliminary plat. If so related, said application for amendment should not fall within the current development moratorium.

Following any approval of any amended preliminary plat, which I cannot guarantee in any way, a special use permit must be obtained prior to the construction of any multi-family development. It is presently my opinion that the special use permit application is also likely exempted from the application of the development moratorium by virtue of the prior approval of the preliminary plat for the Property. The special use permit procedure itself also is subject to review by the planning and zoning commission, and I can make no guarantee about the outcome of such an application.

This letter is not intended to induce any particular action by any individual or entity, nor shall it be relied upon for any particular purpose, nor is it a guarantee or warranty concerning any fact or law.
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