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| ACHD | Ada County Highway District |
| :--- | :--- |
| ATR | Automatic traffic recorder |
| AVFT | Alternative vehicle fuels and technology |
| CFR | Code of Federal Regulations |
| CIM 20402.0 | Communities in Motion 20402.0 |
| CIM 2050 | Communities in Motion 2050 |
| CIP | ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan |
| CO | Carbon monoxide |
| COMPASS | Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho |
| DEQ | Idaho Department of Environmental Quality |
| E10 | 10\% ethanol in gasoline |
| EPA | U.S. Environmental Protection Agency |
| ICC | ACteragency Consultation Committee |
| IFYWP | Inspection Maintenance |
| IM | Idaho Transportation Department |
| ITD | Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide version of the <br> regional TIP) |
| ITIP | Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator |
| MOVES | Metropolitan planning organization |
| MPO | National Ambient Air Quality Standards |
| NAAQS | Oxides of nitrogen |
| NOX | On-board diagnostics |
| OBD | Particulate matter with a diameter less than 10 micrometers (i.e., $1 \times 10^{-6}$ ) <br> (coarse particulate matter) <br> PM10 Revolutions per minute |
| RPM | State Implementation Plan |
| SIP | Transportation Improvement Program |
| TIP | Transportation Model Advisory Committee |
| TMAC | Tons per Day |
| TPD | Vehicle identification number |
| TTOP | Vehicle miles of travel |
| VIN | VMT |

The Clean Air Act mandates that any transportation project using federal funds or deemed to be "regionally significant" in nonattainment or maintenance areas cannot contribute to a degradation of air quality. To achieve this, transportation plans must "conform" to air quality plans. Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) health-based air quality standards. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

Only EPA's criteria pollutants ${ }^{1}$ are subject to conformity analyses. One of two tests is used in a conformity demonstration:

Budget: State air quality implementation and maintenance plans for nonattainment and maintenance areas will often have maximum limits on the amounts of pollutants that transportation related sources emit. These maximum emissions limits on transportation related sources are known as "budgets." A transportation conformity budget test consists of a comparison between regional emissions estimates that include the impacts associated with planned transportation projects and the established budget. If the budget is not exceeded by the emissions estimate, then conformity has been demonstrated.

Build/No Build: This process simply compares the estimated amount of a given pollutant emitted in a region before the programmed projects are built (no build scenario) and after construction (build scenario). If the emissions from a build scenario are equal to or less than the emissions from a no build scenario, conformity has been demonstrated. This test is used for nonattainment or maintenance areas where motor vehicle emissions budgets have not been established.

This document contains the information and analyses necessary for the Federal Highway Administration and the Federal Transit Administration to make a transportation conformity finding for the draft FY2023-2029 Regional Transportation Improvement Program, an amendment to the current regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, and the draft updated regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 2050.

[^0]
## SUMMARY

The U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator (MOVES) and the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho's (COMPASS') most current travel demand model were used to estimate pollutant emissions from the transportation projects contained in the draft FY2023-2029 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), a draft amendment to the current long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, and the draft updated long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 2050. A TIP is a fiscally constrained ${ }^{2}$, short-range capital improvement program (budget) of transportation projects in a given planning area. The Interagency Consultation Committee approved the modeling methodologies and assumptions used in the regional emissions analyses including the applicable transportation model networks. Growth and demographic assumptions from the region's Communities in Motion 2050 Vision, approved by the COMPASS Board of Directors in August 2021 and subsequently refined in summer 2022 based on the 2020 Census, were used in this demonstration.

Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of EPA's health-based air quality standards. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects. Northern Ada County is a "maintenance area" for two air pollutants - coarse particulate matter ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ) and carbon monoxide.

The Northern Ada County PM $_{10}$ State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: Ten-Year Update ${ }^{3}$ contains motor vehicle emissions budgets for three pollutants: $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, oxides of nitrogen, and volatile organic compounds. Emissions budget tests, as required by 40 CFR 93.118, demonstrate conformity of the draft FY2023-2029 TIP, Communities in Motion 2040 2.0 amendment, and draft Communities in Motion 2050. The Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan ${ }^{4}$ does not contain any motor vehicle emissions budgets. However, COMPASS conducted a build versus no build carbon monoxide emissions analysis per the carbon monoxide limited maintenance plan in accordance with EPA's limited maintenance plan option.

Figures 4-6 (pages 33-35) show estimated emissions as compared to pollutant budgets for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, volatile organic compounds, and oxides of nitrogen.

The area is subject to transportation conformity requirements for direct $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ and precursor pollutants per 40 CFR 93, subpart $A^{5}$, including:

> a. Transportation plans and projects provide for timely implementation of SIP [State Implementation Plan] transportation control measures in accordance with 40 CFR 93.113;
> b. Transportation plans and projects comply with the fiscal constraint element per 40 CFR 93.108;
> c. The MPO's interagency consultation procedures meet applicable requirements of 40 CFR 93.105;
> d. Conformity of transportation plans is determined no less frequently than every four years, and conformity of plan amendments and transportation projects is demonstrated in accordance with the timing requirements specified in 40 CFR 93.104;

[^1]e. The latest planning assumptions and emissions model are used as set forth in 40 CFR 93.110 and 40 CFR 93.111;
f. Projects do not cause or contribute to any new localized carbon monoxide or particulate matter violations, in accordance with procedures specified in 40 CFR 93.123; and
g. Project sponsors and/or operators provide written commitments as specified in 40 CFR 93.125. [40 CFR 93, subpart A]

Public comment period on the Air Quality Conformity Demonstration for the draft FY2023-2029 Regional Transportation Improvement Program, an amendment to the current regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, and the draft updated regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 2050, will occur from August 15 through September 14, 2022. The public comments and responses will be made available on the COMPASS website after COMPASS Board of Directors' approval.

## I. INTRODUCTION

## Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho

The Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) is an association of local governments in Ada and Canyon Counties, Idaho. It provides transportation planning and a host of other planning and community services to its member agencies and the general public. Since 1977, COMPASS, formerly known as the Ada Planning Association, has been designated as the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for Ada County. In April 2003, COMPASS was designated as the MPO for the Nampa Urbanized Area, located in neighboring Canyon County. The agency's planning area covers Ada and Canyon Counties ${ }^{6}$.

## Clean Air Act Designations

The federal government sets health-based air quality standards for air pollutants, called the National Ambient Air Quality Standards, or NAAQS. Areas that have violated (not attained) the NAAQS are referred to as "nonattainment areas"; areas that were nonattainment in the past but have been redesignated to attainment of the standards with an approved maintenance plan, are termed "maintenance areas." Northern Ada County is a maintenance area for two air pollutants - coarse particulate matter ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ) and carbon monoxide (CO). Northern Ada County violated the NAAQS for these pollutants in the 1980s and early 1990s and has been in compliance ever since.

The federal government mandates that any transportation project using federal funds or deemed to be "regionally significant" in nonattainment or maintenance areas cannot contribute to a degradation of air quality ( $\mathbf{4 0}$ CFR 93) $)^{7}$. To achieve this, transportation plans must "conform" to air quality plans. Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of the NAAQS. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

## Coarse Particulate Matter ( $\mathbf{P M}_{10}$ )

Northern Ada County is designated as a maintenance area in attainment of the 24 -hour $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS. Figure 9 in Appendix A shows the extent of the maintenance area boundaries. While exceedances of the 24 -hour $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS have occurred due to wind-blown dust events, no violations of the 24 -hour $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS have occurred since the area was designated as a maintenance area in attainment of the standard in 2003.

Prior to March 12, 1999, Northern Ada County was designated as a nonattainment area for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$. However, on that date, the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) administrator signed a revocation of Northern Ada County's nonattainment designation based on changes made to the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS ( $\mathbf{6 4}$ FR 12257) ${ }^{8}$. This ruling was challenged in the Ninth District Circuit Court. On January 31, 2001, the U.S. Department of Justice approved a settlement agreement for the Idaho Clean Air Force et al. v. EPA et al. lawsuit. A major component of the settlement agreement required that the Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ) update Northern Ada County's PM ${ }_{10}$ State Implementation Plan (SIP). In November 2003, the Northern Ada County PM 10 SIP Maintenance Plan and Redesignation Request became effective ( 68 FR 61106) ${ }^{9}$.

[^2]In March 2013, the Northern Ada County PM 10 State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: Ten-Year Update ${ }^{10}$ ( $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan) was submitted to EPA. On May 17, 2013, EPA announced receipt of the maintenance plan and issued determination of adequacy of the motor vehicle emission budgets for transportation conformity purposes. In November 2014, the second ten-year $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan became effective (79 FR 59435) ${ }^{11}$.

Past exceedances of the 24 -hour $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ NAAQS in Northern Ada County occurred primarily during severe wintertime air stagnation events. These events, known as atmospheric inversions, are caused when cold, stagnant air is held close to the valley floor by warmer air aloft. During these events, particulates, including those formed in the atmosphere out of gaseous pollutants such as oxides of nitrogen ( $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ ) and volatile organic compounds (VOCs), are trapped near the earth's surface. Because $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ and VOCs combine to create particulates, they are considered precursors of $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$. As a result, the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan contains approved $\mathrm{PM}_{10}, \mathrm{NO}_{x}$, and VOC motor vehicle emissions budgets.

## Carbon Monoxide (CO)

Northern Ada County is designated as an attainment area with an approved limited maintenance plan for the CO NAAQS. Northern Ada County has not experienced a violation of the CO NAAQS since 1987. DEQ submitted the Limited Maintenance Plan and Request for Redesignation to Attainment for the Northern Ada County Carbon Monoxide Not-Classified Nonattainment Area to EPA in December 2001. The limited maintenance plan and subsequent redesignation of the area became effective in December 2002 ( 67 FR 65713) ${ }^{12}$. The Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan ${ }^{13}$ (CO maintenance plan) became effective in October 2012 ( $\mathbf{7 7}$ FR 45962) ${ }^{14}$. Maintenance areas under a limited maintenance plan are not required to demonstrate their transportation programs or long-range transportation plans conform through a regional emissions analysis. Therefore, there are no applicable CO motor vehicle emissions budgets established for Northern Ada County.

## Rules

As described previously, the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan established motor vehicle emissions budgets for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and VOCs. Therefore, to satisfy transportation conformity requirements established by 40 CFR 93.118, budget tests must be performed for the draft FY2023-2029 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), draft amendment to Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0), and draft Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050). Budget tests are satisfied when regional emissions estimates based on the transportation projects outlined in a TIP or transportation plan are less than or equal to "budgets" established by SIPs and/or air quality maintenance plans.

As noted above, EPA guidance related to "limited maintenance plans" eliminates this requirement with regard to CO for Northern Ada County's conformity demonstrations:
> ...in areas with approved limited maintenance plans, Federal actions requiring conformity determinations under the transportation conformity rule could be considered to satisfy the budget test required in section 93.118, 93.119, and 93.120 of the rule. ${ }^{15}$

[^3]Therefore, CO motor vehicle emissions budget tests are not federally required for Northern Ada County. However, COMPASS conducted a build/no build analysis per the CO limited maintenance plan ${ }^{16}$ for 2023. If the results of this analysis had shown an unacceptable increase in CO emissions, DEQ could have required mitigation measures.

## Interagency Consultation

Idaho Administrative Code (IDAPA 58.01.01.567) requires that agencies within nonattainment and maintenance areas establish Interagency Consultation Committees (ICCs) on transportation conformity. The Northern Ada County ICC is comprised of the following agencies:

- Ada County Highway District (ACHD)
- ACHD Commuteride
- City of Boise
- COMPASS
- DEQ
- Idaho Transportation Department, District 3
- Valley Regional Transit (VRT)
- Federal Highway Administration Idaho Division
- Environmental Protection Agency, Region X
- Federal Transit Administration

The Northern Ada County ICC approved the assumptions and methodologies employed in the development of the regional emissions analyses used in this demonstration on June 21, 2022. The approved assumptions and methodologies are listed in Appendix B. The roadway project list was also approved by the ICC on June 21, 2022. A complete listing of the ICC requirements can be found in Idaho Administrative Code (IDAPA 58.01.01.563-574 ${ }^{17}$ ).

## Budget Test

A budget test is a comparison of emissions estimates to an established limit (or budget) for motor vehicles. As per 40 CFR $93.118{ }^{18}$, budget tests must be performed:
...each year for which the applicable ... implementation plan specifically establishes motor vehicle emissions budget(s), for the last year of the transportation plan's forecast period, and for any intermediate years as necessary so that the years for which consistency is demonstrated are no more than ten years apart...

The $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan established motor vehicle emissions budgets for 2008, 2015, and 2023. Demographic data and transportation projects are grouped in five-year increments. Therefore, the conformity analysis also uses those increments, adhering to the applicable guidelines and regulations of no more than ten years between analysis years. Therefore, budget tests and analyses were performed for:

- 2023 - SIP budget year
- 2030 - Intermediate analysis year
- 2040 - Intermediate analysis year
- 2050 - Horizon year of the regional long-range transportation plan, CIM 2050

Projects included in the analyses are shown in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8 and 10; the results are shown in Table 3, 6, 9, and 11.

[^4]
## Regionally Significant Projects

Regional emissions analyses, for the purpose of demonstrating transportation conformity of a TIP or long-range plan, must include all regionally significant and/or federally funded projects in the nonattainment or maintenance area.

40 CFR $93.101^{19}$ defines a regionally significant project as:
... a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.

Idaho Administrative Code (IDAPA 58.01.01.566) ${ }^{20}$ further defines a regionally significant project as:

A transportation project, other than an exempt project, that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs... and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including, at a minimum:
a. All principal arterial highways;
b. All fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel; and
c. Any other facilities determined to be regionally significant through Section 570, interagency consultation.

The ICC maintains discretionary authority in interpreting and applying these definitions to the area's transportation programs, plans, and projects. Definitions for regionally significant road projects and regionally significant transit projects, as developed by the ICC, are below. For the purposes of this conformity determination, all applicable roadway projects, despite their significance, were included in the travel demand model networks.
Regionally Significant Roadway Project Definition
On January 30, 2002, the ICC developed the following definition of a "Regionally Significant" transportation project:

A transportation project in Ada County, Idaho is designated "Regionally Significant" if:
(a) the project is for the improvement of either:
(i) a principal arterial or higher functional classification; or
(ii) a minor arterial which will have a twenty (20) year projected traffic volume of at least 45,000 vehicles a day after completion of the project; and
(b) the project will add at least one new continuous vehicular lane which either:
(i) extends from one intersecting principal or minor arterial to another intersecting principal or minor arterial; or
(ii) in the case of an interstate, extends from the on ramp of one interstate interchange to a point beyond the off ramp of the next adjacent interstate interchange.

[^5]Regionally Significant Transit Project Definition
On August 31, 2005, the ICC adopted the following definition of a "Regionally Significant" transit project:

A transit project in Ada County, Idaho is designated "Regionally Significant" if the transit project:
(a) has the potential to change the vehicle demand of an existing roadway classified as a principal arterial or higher by 400 vehicles per hour, or 4,000 vehicles per weekday; and
(b) is a transit service or facility that provides services to (or connects) at a minimum:
(i) two counties and;
(ii) three incorporated cities

## Exempt Projects

Pursuant to 40 CFR $93.126^{21}$ (Exempt Projects), certain projects listed in a TIP or long-range transportation plan may proceed even in the absence of a conformity finding/demonstration. Exempt projects include highway safety or mass transit projects, landscaping projects, roadway rehabilitation and repair projects, transportation enhancement projects, and transportation planning activities that do not lead directly to construction. However, the exempt projects listed in 40 CFR 93.126 are not considered exempt if the ICC concludes that they may have an adverse impact on air quality.

In addition, 40 CFR $93.127^{22}$ (Projects Exempt from Regional Emissions Analyses) considers projects, such as intersection signalization, changes in alignment, bus terminals, and transit transfer points, exempt from regional emissions analyses. However, these projects must demonstrate project-level conformity if they meet the requirements of 40 CFR 93.123(b)(1) ${ }^{23}$. This is determined through consultation. As with the types of exempt projects listed in 40 CFR 93.126, the projects listed in 40 CFR 93.127 may not be considered exempt if the ICC concludes they may have an adverse impact on air quality.

## Transportation Control Measures

As per 40 CFR 93.113 (c) ${ }^{24}$, in order for a TIP or long-range transportation plan to be conforming, it cannot interfere with the implementation of any transportation control measures. There are no transportation control measures requiring implementation in either the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan or the CO maintenance plan. Therefore, the TIP and long-range transportation plan meet the requirements of 40 CFR 93.113(c).

[^6]
## II. EMISSIONS ESTIMATION

## Emissions Analysis Assumptions and Tools

This air quality conformity demonstration is based upon average speed distribution for each roadway type in 16 speed "bins." The regional travel demand model's average weekday ${ }^{25}$ estimates or forecasts for each roadway segment provide the necessary data for this input. Emissions factors are generated using the latest version of EPA's motor vehicle emissions model (Motor Vehicle Emission Simulator, or MOVES3). A regional emission analysis was conducted as described below.

## COMPASS' Travel Demand Model

The COMPASS travel demand model provides estimates of average weekday and peak hour travel demand for each link of a given transportation network based on current and future growth assumptions. In addition to travel demand, the model produces weekday vehicle miles of travel forecasts, congested network speeds, and other data relevant to regional emissions analyses. The travel demand model is regularly maintained and updated to include all completed roadway projects. Future-year model networks include anticipated widening and new roadway projects, regardless of significance or exemption status. Transportation network components include interstates, principal arterials, minor arterials, collectors, and select local roads in Ada and Canyon Counties.

Calibration, validation, and updates to COMPASS' travel demand model ${ }^{26}$ were performed under the review of the Transportation Model Advisory Committee (TMAC), a technical committee formed by the COMPASS Board of Directors. The committee was made up of local experts, technical staff from COMPASS member agencies, and local traffic engineers from both the public and private sectors. TMAC worked with COMPASS staff to calibrate and validate the regional travel demand model to reflect the actual travel patterns and behaviors in Ada and Canyon Counties. This was completed in January 2015. The committee was disbanded after this work was completed as part of a larger change in COMPASS committee structure. If necessary, a workgroup can be established in the future to advise on modeling activities. In October 2021, COMPASS staff completed refinements to key parameters and inputs to the regional model. These refinements and the results were reviewed with select staff from member agencies and the private sector - experts in the modeling field and/or frequent users of the model outputs.

## Demographic Data

The COMPASS Board of Directors approves the official population and employment forecast control totals for Ada and Canyon Counties. Between September 2019 and August 2021, COMPASS, its member agencies, stakeholders, and the general public participated in the development of a preferred growth scenario for the year 2050 - the Communities in Motion 2050 Vision. This preferred growth scenario, used for the draft CIM 2050 plan was adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors in August 2021.

Each year, COMPASS updates and reconciles the demographic forecasts to account for building permit activity and approved developments. The annual "reconciliation" process is coordinated with land use agencies and the Demographic Advisory Workgroup. Due to the late release of the 2020 Census data, staff completed a refinement to the demographic forecasts based on the 2020 Census data in summer 2022. The next reconciliation process is scheduled for early 2023.

[^7]The Communities in Motion 2050 Vision forecasts demographic data in five-year increments (Figure 1). Demographic data for the analysis year of 2023 were developed (interpolated) using 2022 population estimates and 2025 forecasted demographics.


Figure 1: COMPASS Population Estimates ${ }^{\mathbf{2 7}}$ and Forecasts

## Roadway Network Assumptions

The projects used in the regional emissions analysis for the draft FY2023-2029 TIP, draft CIM 20402.0 amendment, and draft CIM 2050 were derived from:

- COMPASS' draft FY2023-2029 TIP ${ }^{28}$
- ACHD's FY2022-2026 Integrated Five-Year Work Plans (IFYWP) ${ }^{29}$
- ITD's FY2023-2029 Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP) ${ }^{30}$
- ACHD's 2020 Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) (FY2020-2040) ${ }^{31}$
- Current CIM 20402.0 and draft CIM 2050, the regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties
- VRT's draft Transportation Development Plan (TDP)

Transportation projects were placed into analysis (or budget) year networks based on information contained in the above sources. The anticipated project completion date was used to place the transportation project in the appropriate network year. Projects listed as "preliminary development" in the TIP or "future" in ACHD's IFYWP were placed in the network year based on information provided by the transportation agencies. Other future roadway projects listed as funded in both the draft CIM 2050 plan and ACHD's CIP were placed in a network year based on information contained in ACHD's CIP. For transparency, COMPASS includes all transportation projects that can be modeled, even those that are not regionally significant, in its conformity analysis. This is reflected in the projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10. Roadway projects listed as unfunded in draft CIM 2050 were not included in the roadway networks. These "unfunded" projects cannot be considered funded or move to the construction phase without an accompanying emissions analysis.

[^8]
## Transit Service Assumptions

Regional impacts from access to the area's transit system were included in the emissions analysis. This was done within COMPASS' travel demand model using a "mode choice" model. A "mode choice" model is the third step in a traditional four-step travel demand model, such as the one maintained by COMPASS. It takes estimates of "person trips" and predicts the mode of travel the trip will use.

Figure 2 shows the modes available to the travel demand model for assignment. The "Ms" represent different types of transit services. Transit trips are assigned to a transit network input into the travel demand model. Non-motorized trips are not assigned to a network.


Figure 2: COMPASS Model Travel Modes
Annually, COMPASS reviews the transit system and changes with VRT and updates the model accordingly. Currently, VRT anticipates system expansion per the draft TDP; the projects that comprise this expansion are shown in Tables 1, 4, and 7. The current VRT system provides:

- Sixteen all-day routes and one express route with peak hour headways varying between 15-60 minutes in the Boise/Garden City service area.
- Four inter-county routes (between Ada and Canyon Counties); two run all day and two run during peak hours only. Three of the four are express routes, including one of the all-day routes. Peak hour headways vary between 30-60 minutes.
- VRT OnDemand in the Caldwell and Nampa service area.
- Americans with Disabilities Act required paratransit service in both Ada and Canyon Counties.

VRT's fixed route system served 1.2 million riders in 2019 but experienced a significant decline in ridership due to COVID-19, resulting in serving just under 830,000 riders in 2021. Specific information on routes, schedules, and planned changes be found at VRT's website at http://www.valleyride.org/.

Travel demand models are used to calculate vehicle miles of travel (VMT). VMT is an estimate of the amount of vehicular travel in a given geographical area and is dependent upon land use (growth) and assumptions about the transportation system (programmed and funded projects). VMT and roadway speed are the outputs of the regional travel demand model necessary to run MOVES for emission estimates. The VMT for select years between 2000 and 2050 is shown in Figure 3.


Figure 3: Vehicle Miles of Travel Estimated by the Travel Demand Model

## Emissions Modeling

EPA's emissions model, MOVES, was used to estimate the air quality impacts associated with current and future roadway networks.

The MOVES model ${ }^{32}$ uses local data inputs for climate, elevation, Northern Ada County's vehicle emissions testing program, and travel demand model forecasted VMT and roadway speeds to develop emission factors for specified air pollutants. For detailed information on the conformity modeling process, see "Air Quality Conformity Process ${ }^{33}$." Appendix B summarizes the MOVES modeling assumptions approved by the ICC for use in this demonstration. These model settings and inputs were reviewed during the interagency consultation process. The CO and $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plans were updated by DEQ's Boise Regional Office in 2011 and 2013, respectively. All of the methodologies, assumptions, processes, and results are documented in the updated maintenance plans. Both plans and associated appendices are available on DEQ's website, as listed below:

## $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ Maintenance Plan <br> https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15913

PM ${ }_{10}$ Maintenance Plan appendices
https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15998
CO Maintenance Plan
https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15914
CO Maintenance Plan appendices https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15999

As described on page $10, \mathrm{PM}_{10}, \mathrm{VOC}$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ budget tests were performed under the four scenario years: 2023, 2030, 2040, and 2050. Results are shown in Table 3, 6, 9, and 11.

[^9]The 2023 scenario uses 2023 population and employment estimates with the 2023 public transit and roadway projects listed in Tables 1 and 2. (Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.) Public transportation projects 1 through 6 and roadway projects 8 through 14 are included for information purposes only. These projects were recently completed, are currently under construction, or are expected to be complete by the end of 2022.

Table 1: Public Transportation Projects Included in the 2023 Network for the 2023 Scenario

| No. | Route Name | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional FederallyClassification Funded* |  |
| 1. | Route 30: Pine | New fixed route service in Meridian mainly along Pine Ave. from Ten Mile Rd. and Franklin Rd. to River Valley St. and Records Ave. (aka The Village) | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 2. | Route 7A: <br> Fairview- Ustick and Route 7B: <br> Fairview-Towne Square Mall | Reroute of eastbound 7A and 7B to the City Center on-ramp on Fairview Ave. and closure of two eastbound stops. This change is to improve on time performance and safety. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 3. | Route 7B: <br> Fairview-Towne <br> Square Mall | Increase peak frequency by adding three morning peak direction trips and four afternoon peak direction trips. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 4. | Route 17: Warm Springs | Reduction in peak period service from 30 minutes to 60 minutes. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 5. | Route 29: Overland | Minor reroute near Boise State University using Jaunita St., Potter Dr., and Joyce St. to improve travel times and safety. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 6. | Route 43: Caldwell Express | Reduction to one morning and one afternoon/evening trip per the results of a survey. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 7. | Route 52: Caldwell Blvd. | Increase "all-day" frequency to from 60- to 30 -minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |

*VRT uses federal funds for preventative maintenance and routes are eligible to receive federal funds for operations.
Table 2: Roadway Projects Included in the 2023 Network for the 2023 Scenario

| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Federally Classification Funded |  |
| 8. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD209-17, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0690 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 9. | Maple Grove Rd. | Victory Rd. to Overland Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-22, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0930 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 10. | State Hwy 44 | State Hwy 16 to Linder Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draft TIP } \\ & \text { and ITIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | 20266 | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 11. | State Hwy 55 (Eagle Rd.) | Southbound River Valley Rd. to Franklin Rd. | Widen southbound from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITIP } \\ & \text { (FY21) } \end{aligned}$ | 13349 | Yes | principal arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classificat | Federally Funded |
| 12. | Ten Mile Rd. | Victory Rd. to Overland Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RC0299, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1310 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 13. | US Hwy 20/26 | Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITIP } \\ & \text { (FY21) } \end{aligned}$ | 20594 | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 14. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD209-17, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0690 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 15. | Locust Grove Rd. | Victory Rd. to Overland Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD202-44, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0870 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 16. | US Hwy 20/26 | Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { ITIP } \\ & \text { (FY21) } \end{aligned}$ | 20594 | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |

${ }^{1}$ ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated FiveYear Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan ${ }^{2}$ Key \#: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.
Yes* $=$ previous phases funded with federal dollars.
Table 3 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, VOC, and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ from the 2023 scenario. Appendix B contains links to the documentation on how unpaved and paved road dust are calculated outside of MOVES using AP-42.
Table 3: 2023 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

| $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | PM $_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ |  |  |  | VOC | NOx |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unpaved <br> Road Dust <br> Emissions | Paved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Tailpipe, <br> Tire, and <br> Brake Wear <br> Emissions | Total PM 100 <br> Emitted |  |  |
|  | 2.65 | 25.13 | 0.67 | 28.45 | 3.60 | 6.53 |
| Budget | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ |

## 2030 Scenario

The 2030 scenario uses 2030 population and employment estimates with the 2030 transportation network (Tables 4 and 5). The 2030 transportation network also includes all projects listed in Tables 1 and 2. (Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.)

Table 4: Public Transportation Projects Added to the 2023 Network for the 2030 Scenario

| No. | Route Name | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Funct Class | Federally Funded* |
| 17. | FR-005-1: <br> Fairview <br> Ave./Cole Rd. | Route restructure providing service between Towne Square Mall and Glenwood St. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 18. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { FR-005-1: } \\ & \text { Fairview } \\ & \text { Ave./Ustick Rd. } \end{aligned}$ | Route restructure providing service between The Village (Meridian) and Towne Square Mall | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 19. | Route 42: Happy Day to Towne Square Mall | Increase "all-day" frequency from 120-minute to 100 -minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 20. | FR-007-0: <br> Fairview Ave. Corridor | New route providing service between Ten Mile Rd. (Meridian) and Towne Square Mall via The Village (Meridian). | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 21. | FR-008-0 9: State St. | Route extension providing service to the City of Eagle. Increase "allday" frequency to 15-minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 22. | FR-011-0 Route 3: Vista Ave. | Increase "all-day" frequency to 15minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 23. | FR-013-1 Route 10: Hill Rd. | Increase peak hour frequency from 60- to 30-minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 24. | FR-015-0 Route 7B: Fairview Ave. | Increase "all-day" frequency to 15minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 25. | FR-019-0-S: City of Kuna | New express route providing peak hour service between the City of Kuna and The Village (Meridian). | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 26. | FR-020-0-S: <br> Micron InterCounty Express | New express route providing peak hour service between the City of Nampa and Micron. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 27. | FR-009-1-S Route 5: Emerald St. | Increase "all-day" frequency to 30minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |

Table 5: Roadway Projects Added to the 2023 Network for the 2030 Scenario

| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 28. | Eagle Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | ```IFYWP, 2020 CIP, draft TIP, draft CIM 2050``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD216-04, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0340 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 29. | Fairview Ave. | Locust Grove Rd. to State Hwy 55 (Eagle Rd.) | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes | ```IFYWP, 2020 CIP, draft TIP, draft CIM 2050``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RC0133, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0420 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 30. | State St. | Hertford Way to Ellens Ferry Dr. | Widen roadway from 5 to 7 lanes (part of the State St. and Peirce Park Ln. intersection project) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IN210-03, } \\ & 101650 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 31. | State Hwy 16 (phase 2) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 to US } \\ & 20 / 26 \end{aligned}$ | Construct new north-south divided highway: 2 lanes per direction, an interchange at I84, and at-grade intersections at Franklin Rd, Ustick Rd, and US 20/26. | Draft TIP, draft CIM 2050 | 23410, 23408, 23409 | Yes (2 miles of this of this 4.5-mile corridor is in Ada County) | Other freeway/ expressw ay | Yes* |
| 32. | US Hwy 20/26 | Star Rd. <br> (Phyllis Canal bridge) to SH 16 | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 Ianes | Draft <br> TIP, draft CIM $2050$ | $\begin{aligned} & 20367 \\ & 20227 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 33. | Ustick Rd. | Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP } \\ & \text { and draft } \\ & \text { CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200919, } \\ & \text { 204189, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1360 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 34. | Ustick Rd. | Ten Mile Rd. to Linder Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 Ianes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-24, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1370 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 35. | Amity Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 Ianes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD219-03, } \\ & \text { 200628, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0030 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 36. | Amity Rd. | Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 202369, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0040 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 37. | Amity Rd. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200939, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0050 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 38. | Amity Rd. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { MP400165, } \\ & \text { 200929, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0060 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 39. | Cloverdale Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | Draft IFYWP, 2020 CIP | M-RD207- <br> 09, $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0260 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 40. | Cloverdale Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-10, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0270 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 41. | Cloverdale Rd. | Victory Rd. to Overland Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-11, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0280 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 42. | Executive Dr. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes. Includes the one-way couplet between President Dr. and Meadowland Dr. ("the peanut") | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0400 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 43. | Five Mile Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0470 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 44. | Five Mile Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0480 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 45. | Five Mile Rd. and Overpass | Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd. Includes widening of the overpass. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RD203-04, } \\ & \text { 201969, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0490, \\ & \text { ORN23591 } \\ & 23095 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | minor arterial | Yes* |
| 46. | Floating Feather Rd. | Palmer Ln. to Linder Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 Ianes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD219-22, } \\ & \text { 200647, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0550 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 47. | Floating Feather Rd. | Linder Rd. to Park St. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD219-23, } \\ & \text { 200648, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0560 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 48. | Floating Feather Rd. | Park Ln. to Ballantyne Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 Ianes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD219-24, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0570 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 49. | Franklin Rd. | McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 102497, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0580 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 50. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 Ianes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD209-18, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0700 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 51. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-29, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0710 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 52. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-30, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0720 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 53. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD216-05, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0730 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 54. | Linder Rd. and Overpass | Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd. including a new overpass. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RC0207, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0800 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 55. | Linder Rd. | US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) to State Hwy 44 | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | ```IFYWP, 2020 CIP, draft TIP, draft CIM 2050``` | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-19, } \\ & \text { 102120, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0840 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 56. | Linder Rd. | State Hwy 44 to Floating Feather Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD209-28, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0850 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 57. | Locust Grove Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-34, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0860 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 58. | Locust Grove Rd. | Fairview Ave. to Ustick Rd. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD210-02, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0880 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 59. | Locust Grove Rd. | Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD202-20, } \\ & \text { 200405, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0890 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 60. | Locust Grove Rd. | McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD202-19, } \\ & \text { 200603, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0900 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 61. | Maple Grove Rd | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-20, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0910 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 62. | Maple Grove Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-21, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0920 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 63. | Meridian Rd. | Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200407, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1050 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 64. | Meridian Rd. | McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200607, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1060 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 65. | Orchard St. Realignment | Gowen Rd. to I-84 <br> Interchange | Realign and widen from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-01, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1100 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 66. | State Hwy 16 (phase 3) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { I-84 to US } \\ & 20 / 26 \end{aligned}$ | Complete the interchange at I84 and convert the at-grade intersections at Franklin Rd, Ustick Rd, US 20/26 and SH 44 to interchanges. | Draft <br> TIP, draft <br> CIM <br> 2050 | 20788 | Yes (2 miles of this of this 4.5-mile corridor is in Ada County) | Other freeway / expressw ay | Yes* |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 67. | State Hwy 44 | Star Rd. to State Hwy 16 | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | Draft <br> TIP, draft <br> CIM <br> 2050 | 20574 | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 68. | Ten Mile Rd. | Hubbard Rd. to Columbia Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD 209- } \\ & 38, \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1270 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 69. | US Hwy 20/26 Interim | Can Ada Rd. to Star Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 4 lanes | Draft <br> TIP, draft <br> CIM <br> 2050 | 23337 | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 70. | Ustick Rd. | Star Rd. to McDermott Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 203719, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1340 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 71. | Ustick Rd. | McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft TIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 102502, \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1350 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 72. | Victory Rd. | Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD219-46, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1410 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 73. | Victory Rd. | Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1420 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 74. | Victory Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 200450, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1430 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 75. | Victory Rd. | Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD215-11, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1440 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 76. | Victory Rd. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD207-26, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1450 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |

${ }^{1}$ ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated FiveYear Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan
${ }^{2}$ Key \#: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.
Yes* $=$ previous phases funded with federal dollars.
Table 6 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, VOC, and NOx from the 2030 scenario.

Table 6: 2030 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

|  | PM |  |  |  | VOC | NOx |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | Unpaved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Paved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Tailpipe, <br> Tire, and <br> Brake Wear <br> Emissions | Total PM <br> Emitted |  |  |
| Estimated <br> Emissions | 2.65 | 29.83 | 0.72 | 33.20 | 2.94 | 4.55 |
| Budget | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ |

## 2040 Scenario

The 2040 scenario uses 2040 population and employment estimates with the 2040 transportation network (Tables 7 and 8). The 2040 roadway network also includes all projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4 and 5. (Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.)

Table 7: Public Transportation Projects Added to the 2030 Network for the 2040 Scenario

| No. | Route Name | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Funct Class | Federally Funded* |
| 77. | FR-009-2 Route 29: Overland Rd. | Increase "all-day" frequency to 30minute. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 78. | FR-018-0 Route <br> 4: Roosevelt St. | Route restructure providing service between Towne Square Mall and the Boise Airport. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |
| 79. | FR-018-0 Route <br> 6: Orchard St. | Route restructure providing service between Gowen Field and downtown Boise. | VRT | n.a. | No | n.a. | Yes |

Table 8: Roadway Projects Added to the 2030 Network for the 2040 Scenario

| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 80. | 36th Street Extension | Cartwright Rd. to Bogus Basin Rd. | Construct new 2lane roadway | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0010 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 81. | Amity Rd. | State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0020 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 82. | New Hope Rd. | Can Ada Rd. to Munger Rd. | Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1070 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 83. | Beacon Light Rd. Extension | Munger Rd. to Pollard Rd. | Widen from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0070 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 84. | Beacon Light Rd. | Pollard Rd. to State Hwy 16 | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0080 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 85. | Beacon Light Rd. | State Hwy 16 to Palmer Ln. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0090 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 86. | Black Cat Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0150 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 87. | Black Cat Rd. | Victory Rd. to Overland Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0160 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 88. | Black Cat Rd. | Overland Rd. to Franklin Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes (does not include widening of overpass) | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0170 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 89. | Black Cat Rd. | Franklin Rd. to Cherry Ln. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0180 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 90. | Black Cat Rd. | Cherry Ln. to Ustick Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0190 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 91. | Black Cat Rd. | Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0200 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 92. | Black Cat Rd. | McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0210 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 93. | Cloverdale Rd. | Kuna Rd. to Deer Flat Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0220 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 94. | Cloverdale Rd. | Deer Flat Rd. to Hubbard Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0230 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 95. | Cloverdale Rd. | Hubbard Rd. to Columbia Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0240 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 96. | Cloverdale Rd. | Columbia Rd. to Lake Hazel Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0250 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 97. | Deer Flat Rd. | Linder Rd. to State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0300 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 98. | Deer Flat Rd. | State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0310 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 99. | Deer Flat Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0320 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 100. | Deer Flat Rd. | Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd. | Construct new 3lane road | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0330 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 101. | Eisenman Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Gowen Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0360 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 102. | Fairview Ave. | Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, CIM } \\ & 20402.0 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0410 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 103. | Fairview Ave. | State Hwy 55 (Eagle Rd.) to Cloverdale Rd. | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 Ianes | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0430 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 104. | Fairview Ave. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 Ianes | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0440 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 105. | Five Mile Rd. | Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 500 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 106. | Floating Feather Rd. Ext. | Can Ada Rd. to Star Rd. | Construct new 3lane road | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0510 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 107. | Floating Feather Rd. | Star Rd. to Plummer Ln. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0520 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 108. | Floating Feather Rd. Realignment | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Plummer Ln. to } \\ & \text { State Hwy } 16 \\ & \text { (Emmett Hwy) } \end{aligned}$ | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0530 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 109. | Floating Feather Rd. Realignment | State Hwy 16 (Emmett Hwy) to Palmer Ln. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0540 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 110. | Gowen Rd. | Orchard St. to Pleasant Valley Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0590 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 111. | Hill Rd./Hill Road Pkwy. | Horseshoe Bend Rd. to Duncan Ln. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0600 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 112. | Hill Rd./Hill Road Pkwy. | Duncan Ln. to Seaman Gulch Rd. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0610 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 113. | Hill Rd./Hill Road Pkwy. | Seaman Gulch Rd. to Gary Ln. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0620 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 114. | Hubbard Rd. | Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0630 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 115. | Lake Hazel Rd. | State Hwy 69 (Meridian Rd.) to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0680 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 116. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Cole Rd. to Orchard Ext. W (built at 2 lanes in 2018) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0740 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 117. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Orchard Ext. W to Pleasant Valley Rd. | Construct new 5lane road | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0750 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 118. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Railroad Crossing to Eisenman Rd. | Construct new 5lane road | $\begin{aligned} & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0765 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 119. | Locust Grove Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0855 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 120. | McMillan Rd. | Star Rd. to McDermott Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0950 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 121. | McMillan Rd. | McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0960 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 122. | McMillan Rd. | Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0970 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 123. | McMillan Rd. | Ten Mile Rd. to Linder Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 0980 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 124. | McMillan Rd. | Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & \text { 0990 } \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 125. | McMillan Rd. | Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1000 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 126. | McMillan Rd. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1020 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 127. | Meridian Rd. Ext. | King Rd. to Kuna Rd. | Construct new 3lane road with railroad overpass | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1030 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 128. | Orchard St. Ext. W. | Lake Hazel Ext. to Orchard Ext. (built at 2 lanes in 2018) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1080 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 129. | Orchard St. Ext. | Orchard Ext. W to Gowen Rd. (built at 2 lanes in 2018) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1090 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 130. | Overland Rd. Ext. (Airport Rd.) | McDermott Rd. to Black Cat Rd. | Construct new 2lane road | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1110 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 131. | Overland Rd. | Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1120 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 132. | Pleasant Valley Ext. | Orchard Ext to Pleasant Valley Rd. | Construct new 5lane road | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1180 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 133. | Star Rd. | Ustick Rd. to McMillan Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1190 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 134. | Star Rd. | McMillan Rd. to US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1200 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 135. | Star Rd. | US Hwy 20/26 (Chinden Blvd.) to State Hwy 44 | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1210 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 136. | State St. | Glenwood St. to Hertford Way | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St TTOP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1220 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 137. | State St. | Ellens Ferry Dr. to Collister Dr. | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St TTOP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1230 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 138. | State St. | Collister Dr. to 36th St. | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St TTOP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1240 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 139. | State St. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 36th St. to } \\ & \text { 27th St. } \end{aligned}$ | Widen roadway from 5 lanes to 7 lanes consistent with the State St TTOP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \\ & \text { CIP, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1250 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 140. | Ten Mile Rd. | Deer Flat Rd. to Hubbard Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { IFYWP, } \\ & 2020 \text { CIP } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD209-37, } \\ & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1260 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 141. | Ten Mile Rd. | Columbia Rd. to Lake Hazel Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1280 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 142. | Ten Mile Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1290 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 143. | Ten Mile Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 5 lanes | 2020 CIP | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1300 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² <br> (ITIP, <br> IFYWP, <br> CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 144. | US Hwy 20/26 Ultimate | SH 16 to Linder Rd. | Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draft } \\ & \text { CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | TBD | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 145. | US Hwy 20/26 Ultimate | Linder Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes | Draft CIM <br> 2050 | TBD | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |

${ }^{1}$ ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated FiveYear Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan ${ }^{2}$ Key \#: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.
Yes* $=$ previous phases funded with federal dollars.
${ }^{3}$ TTOP = Transit and Traffic Operational Plan; see http://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/specialprojects-statestreet.htm
Table 9 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, VOC, and NOx from the 2040 scenario.

Table 9: 2040 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

| $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | PM |  |  |  | VOC | NOx |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Unpaved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Paved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Tailpipe, Tire, <br> and Brake <br> Wear <br> Emissions | Total PM <br> Emitted |  |  |
|  | 2.65 | 34.79 | 0.81 | 38.25 | 2.71 | 4.01 |
|  | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ |

## 2050 Scenario

The 2050 scenario uses 2050 population and employment estimates with the 2050 transportation network (Table 10). The 2050 transportation network also includes all projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, and 8. (Note: The numbers in the "No." column are for reference only.)

Table 10: Roadway Projects Added to the 2040 Network for the 2050 Scenario

| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 146. | Beacon Light Rd. | Palmer Ln. to Linder Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 100 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 147. | Beacon Light Rd. | Linder Rd. to Park Ln. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RD2020- } \\ & 110 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 148. | Beacon Light Rd. | Park Ln. to Ballantyne Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 120 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 149. | Beacon Light Rd. | Ballantyne Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 130 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 150. | Beacon Light Rd. | Eagle Rd. to State Hwy 55 | Widen roadway from 2 lanes to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \text { RD2020- } \\ & 140 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 151. | Emerald St. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 370 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 152. | Emerald St. | Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd. | Widen roadway from 3 lanes to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 380 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 153. | Emerald St. and Overpass | Cole Rd. to Curtis Rd. | Widen roadway from to 5 lanes (existing lanes varies through this section) | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 390 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 154. | Fairview Ave. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 450 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 155. | Fairview Ave. | Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 460 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 156. | King Rd. | Swan Falls Rd. to Meridian Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 640 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 157. | King Rd. | Meridian Rd. to Locust Grove Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 650 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 158. | King Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | RD2020- | No | minor arterial | No |
| 159. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Linder Rd. to Meridian Rd. (SH 69) | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 670 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 160. | Lake Hazel Rd. | Pleasant Valley Rd. to Railroad Crossing | Construct a new 5-lane roadway | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 760 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 161. | Linder Rd. | Lake Hazel Rd. to Amity Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 770 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |


| No. | Street Name | Location | Description | Source ${ }^{1}$ | Key \#² (ITIP, IFYWP, CIP) | Reasons for Inclusion in Regional Conformity |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Regionally Significant | Functional Classification | Federally Funded |
| 162. | Linder Rd. | Amity Rd. to Victory Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 780 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 163. | Linder Rd. | Victory Rd. to Overland Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 790 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 164. | Linder Rd. | Pine Ave. to Cherry Ln. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 820 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 165. | Linder Rd. | Cherry Ln. to Ustick Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 830 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 166. | McMillan Rd. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen from 3 to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1010 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 167. | Overland Rd. | Locust Grove Rd. to Eagle Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1130 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 168. | Overland Rd. | Eagle Rd. to Cloverdale Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1140 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 169. | Overland Rd. | Cloverdale Rd. to Five Mile Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & \text { 2020, } \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1150 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 170. | Overland Rd. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1160 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 171. | Overland Rd. | Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd. | Widen from 5 to 7 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { CIP } \\ & 2020, \\ & \text { draft CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1170 \end{aligned}$ | Yes | principal arterial | No |
| 172. | US Hwy 20/26 Ultimate | Can Ada Rd. to SH 16 | Widen from 4 lanes to 6 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Draft } \\ & \text { CIM } \\ & 2050 \end{aligned}$ | TBD | Yes | principal arterial | Yes* |
| 173. | Ustick Rd. | Cole Rd. to Curtis Rd. | Widen from 3 to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1380 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 174. | Victory Rd. | Black Cat Rd. to Ten Mile Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1390 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 175. | Victory Rd. | Ten Mile Rd. to Linder Rd. | Widen from 2 to 3 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1400 \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 176. | Victory Rd. | Five Mile Rd. to Maple Grove Rd. | Widen from 2 to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1460 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |
| 177. | Victory Rd. | Maple Grove Rd. to Cole Rd. | Widen from 4 to 5 lanes | CIP 2020 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD2020- } \\ & 1470 \end{aligned}$ | No | minor arterial | No |

[^10]Table 11 shows estimated motor vehicle emissions for $\mathrm{PM}_{10}, ~ V O C$, and $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ from the 2050 scenario.

Table 11: 2050 Estimated Emissions, Tons per Day

|  | $\mathbf{P M}_{\mathbf{1 0}}$ |  |  |  | VOC | NOx |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 5 0}$ | Unpaved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Paved Road <br> Dust <br> Emissions | Tailpipe, Tire, <br> and Brake <br> Wear <br> Emissions | Total PM <br> Emitted |  |  |
| Estimated <br> Emissions | 2.65 | 36.59 | 0.87 | 40.12 | 2.66 | 4.12 |
| Budget | $\boldsymbol{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{n / a}$ | $\mathbf{6 0 . 1}$ | $\mathbf{1 7 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{3 4 . 2}$ |

## Carbon Monoxide Emissions

As noted earlier in the document, maintenance areas under limited maintenance plans are not required to demonstrate their transportation programs or long-range transportation plans conform through regional emissions analyses. To satisfy DEQ requirements, which were committed to voluntarily, a build versus no build emissions analysis for CO was conducted using EPA's MOVES model and the COMPASS travel demand model. Other requirements such as interagency consultation and timely implementation of control measures were met as part of this process. Specific information on the models and their inputs can be found in previous sections of this document. Build emissions were estimated and compared to no build emissions estimates. A build scenario estimates emissions for a given analysis year assuming the programmed/planned roadway/transit projects have been constructed. Conversely, a no build scenario estimates emissions for a given analysis year using the transportation system as it exists in the base year (i.e., before programmed or planned projects are built). This comparison provides the CO emissions impacts to the region from the planned transportation system.

## Build/No Build Scenarios

The build scenarios use transportation networks and demographic assumptions specific to each analysis year. These are the same scenarios used to estimate $\mathrm{PM}_{10}, \mathrm{NO}_{\mathrm{x}}$, and VOC emissions, above. Tables 1, 2, 4,5, 7, 8, and 10 provide more detailed information on the roadway projects used to develop the build scenario networks.

The no build scenarios use the 2022 (baseline) transportation network with the demographic assumptions specific to 2023. Table 2 provides more detailed information on the transportation projects included in the 2022 baseline transportation network. As mentioned above, Northern Ada County is subject to a Limited Maintenance Plan for CO, Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan; due to expire in December 2022. The Clean Air Act $\S 176(c)(7)(C)$ allows an area with an approved Second 10-Year Maintenance Plan to adjust the last year of conformity to match the last year of the maintenance period covered by the plan, which is 2022. In spring 2018, COMPASS worked with EPA Region X, the Federal Highway Administration, and DEQ regarding the requirements for the CO no build model runs. On July 10, 2018, COMPASS put forth a recommendation to ICC, which was approved, to run one CO build/no build analysis. On June 23, 2020, the ICC approved a proposal to conduct the analysis for 2023 instead of the last year of the maintenance period (2022), to match the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ analysis. This is the last year (2022), COMPASS will provide the build CO emissions for the same years as the budget tests for the other pollutants.

Table 12 shows the build CO emissions estimates for 2023, 2030, 2040 and 2050 and the no build CO emissions estimates for 2023.

Table 12: Build/ No Build Scenario CO Emissions

|  | Year |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 2 3}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 3 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 4 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 5 0}$ |
| Build CO Emissions <br> (Ton/day) | 40.51 | 32.46 | 24.51 |  |
| No Build CO Emissions <br> (Ton/day) | 40.51 | n/a | n/a | n/a |

## III. CONCLUSIONS

Combustion emissions - NOx, VOC, and CO - continue to decrease in all modeled years due to the motor vehicle fleet turnover, as newer vehicles have more stringent emission standards to meet. $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ emissions related to brake wear, tire wear, and road dust increase in modeled years because of the rise in VMT attributable to the assumed growth in population.

## PM ${ }_{10}$ Budget Test

The results of the PM 10 budget test for the draft FY2023-2029 TIP, draft CIM 20402.0 amendment, and draft CIM 2050 show that the emissions impacts associated with the planned improvements to the Northern Ada County transportation system (projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10 ) will not exceed the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ emissions budgets established by the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan (Figure 4).


Figure 4: $\mathbf{P M}_{10}$ Budget Test Results

## VOC Budget Test

The results of the VOC budget test for the draft FY2023-2029 TIP, draft CIM 20402.0 amendment, and draft CIM 2050 show that the emissions impacts associated with the planned improvements to the Northern Ada County transportation system (projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10 ) will not exceed the VOC emissions budgets established by the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan (Figure 5).


Figure 5: VOC Budget Test Results

## NOx Budget Test

The results of the NOx budget test for the draft FY2023-2029 TIP, draft CIM 20402.0 amendment, and draft CIM 2050 show that the emissions impacts associated with the planned improvements to the Northern Ada County transportation system (projects listed in Tables 1, 2, 4, 5, 7, 8, and 10 ) will not exceed the $\mathrm{NO}_{x}$ emissions budgets established by the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ maintenance plan (Figure 6).


Figure 6: NOx Budget Test Results

## CO Planning Analyses

## Build/No Build Emissions Comparison:

Figure 7 shows the comparison between the build and no build emissions scenarios for 2023. Figure 8 shows the comparison of CO emissions for the build scenario across analysis years as information only. The purpose of build and no build comparison is to fulfill the requirements per the Northern Ada County CO limited maintenance plan.


Figure 7: CO Build/No Build Comparison (2023)


Figure 8: CO Build Comparison (2023-2050)

## APPENDICES

## Appendix A: Northern Ada County PM $_{10}$ and CO Maintenance Area Legal Description and Map

## Legal Description

The legal description of the area boundaries is as follows:

- Beginning at a point in the center of the channel of the Boise River where the section line between Sections 15 and 16 of Township 3 North, Range 4 East, crosses the Boise River.

Northern Boundary

- Thence down the center of the channel of the Boise River to a point opposite the mouth of Mores Creek.
- Thence in a straight-line going 44 degrees north and 38 minutes west until said line intersects the north line of Township 5 North in Range 1 East.
- Thence west to the northwest corner of Section 6, Township 5 North, Range 1 West.


## Western Boundary

- Thence south to the northwest corner of Section 6, Township 3 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence east to the northeast corner of Section 5, Township3 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence south to the southeast corner of Section 32, Township 2 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence west to the northwest corner of Section 6, Township 1 North, Range 1 West.
- Thence south to the southwest corner of Section 31, Township 1 North, Range 1 West.


## Southern Boundary

- Thence east to the southeast corner of Section 33, Township 1 North, Range 4 East.

Eastern Boundary

- Thence north to the point of beginning.


Figure 9: Northern Ada County PM $_{10}$ and CO Maintenance Area Map

## Appendix B: Approved Regional Emission Assumptions

## On-Road Mobile Source

Source type population and fleet age distribution:
DEQ decoded individual Idaho Division of Motor Vehicles registration records of vehicles registered in the Treasure Valley using the Data One, Inc. and CVINA vehicle identification number (VIN) decoding system. The decoded VINs provide information regarding the vehicle make, model, type, age, and fuel types. This information was then used to develop source-related MOVES input. These data represented the registration records for early 2018.
Inspection Maintenance (IM) Program: June 1, 2010 - future

## Ada County:

1) Two speed test (idle and 2500 RPM) for pre 1996 vehicles only.
2) Exhaust on-board diagnostics (OBD) check for 1996 and newer vehicles.
3) Evaporative system OBD check for 1996 and newer vehicles.
4) Vehicles with a model year of 1980 and older are exempt from testing.
5) Compliance Factor - This factor is calculated annually from the previous year's IM program statistics.
6) Four-year grace period for new vehicles.
7) Biennial testing - effective January 1, 2010.

## Canyon County:

1) Two speed test (idle and 2500 RPM) for pre 1996 vehicles only.
2) Evaporative gas cap check for 1996 and newer vehicles.
3) Exhaust OBD check for 1996 and newer vehicles.
4) Evaporative system OBD check for 1996 and newer vehicles.
5) Vehicles with a model year of 1980 and older are exempt from testing.
6) Compliance Factor - This factor is calculated annually from the previous year's IM program statistics.
7) Five-year grace period for new vehicles.
8) Biennial testing - effective January 1, 2010.

Meteorology
The meteorology input compiles the average hourly temperature and relative humidity data for each county. Baseand future-year inventories were modeled using average hourly temperature and relative humidity data by county for each month from a representative weather station for each county. Ada County is represented by the National Weather Service station at the Boise Air Terminal and Canyon County is represented by the data set from the Caldwell Industrial Airport.

## Fuel-Related Inputs

Alternative Vehicle Fuels and Technology (AVFT): Ada and Canyon Counties were modeled using a custom AVFT input file derived from VIN-decoded registration data, the Idaho Department of Education school bus database, and telephone surveys of local garbage collection and public transportation providers.
Fuel Supply, and Fuel Formulation: National default fuel supply inputs were used for all source types.
Fuel Usage Fractions: Assume that all E-85 capable vehicles are using conventional (E10) gasoline

## Average Speed Distribution

The average speed distribution allocates the different source types (vehicles) for each roadway type to 16 speed bins ranging from 0 to $>75$ miles per hour. Average speed distributions were developed from the regional travel demand model average daily estimates or forecasts for each roadway segment and hourly traffic count statistics developed from detailed automatic traffic recorder (ATR) traffic count data (2016 and 2017) provided by ITD.

The hourly ATR-based traffic count profiles for each roadway type were used to estimate hourly volume on each segment and the modified Bureau of Public Roadways volume/capacity curve was used to develop the average speed distribution database for each hour.
Hourly Vehicle Speed $=$ Free Flow Speed $*\left(1+A *\left(\frac{\text { Volume }}{\text { Capacity }}\right)^{B}\right)$
Where $A$ and $B$ are local coefficients used in the regional travel demand model as provided by COMPASS.
Base- and future-year average speed distributions were developed for all four MOVES road types using travel demand model base and future-year outputs developed by COMPASS for the Treasure Valley and detailed ATR data provided by ITD.
Documentation for the development of the on-road mobile sources listed above are provided in the Development of the 2017 Idaho On-road (MOVES) Mobile Source Inventory ${ }^{34}$ report.

[^11]
## Paved and Unpaved Road Dust Emissions

Both paved and unpaved road dust emissions are calculated outside of the MOVES model.
Paved Road Dust: The paved road dust emissions were calculated for the 2017 national emissions inventory and documented in the Development of the 2017 Paved Road Dust Inventory for the National Emissions Inventory ${ }^{35}$.
Unpaved Road Dust: The unpaved road dust emissions, 2.65 tons per day, are held constant for all scenario years. Detailed documentation of how the unpaved road dust emissions were calculated are provided in section 3.1.4 of Appendix D. 2008, 2015, and 2023 Emissions Inventories for the Treasure Valley Airshed which is found in the $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ Maintenance Plan appendices ${ }^{36}$. Appendix D also references the section 5 of the Treasure Valley Road Dust Study ${ }^{37}$ completed by Desert Research Institute in 2002.

MOVES input and runspec files are available online: http://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/aqdemo.htm

[^12]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ EPA sets air quality standards for six common pollutants, referred to as "criteria" air pollutants
    (https://www.epa.gov/criteria-air-pollutants). These standards are developed based on human health and/or environmental criteria (science-based guidelines). Of the six criteria pollutants, particulate pollution and groundlevel ozone pose the two most widespread health threats.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Section IX: Financial Constraint of the Regional Transportation Improvement Program - Full Report https://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/transimprovement.htm
    ${ }^{3}$ https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15913
    ${ }^{4}$ https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15914
    ${ }^{5}$ https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6

[^2]:    ${ }^{6}$ https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/maps/2020/COMPASS PlanningArea.pdf
    7 https://www.ecfr.gov/current/title-40/chapter-I/subchapter-C/part-93?toc=1
    ${ }^{8}$ http://www.gpo.gov/fdsys/granule/FR-1999-03-12/99-5380/content-detail.html
    ${ }^{9}$ https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/FR-2003-10-27/03-26919

[^3]:    ${ }^{10}$ https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15913
    ${ }^{11}$ https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/FR-2014-10-02/2014-23365
    ${ }^{12}$ https://www.govinfo.gov/content/pkg/FR-2002-10-28/pdf/FR-2002-10-28.pdf
    ${ }^{13}$ https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15914
    ${ }^{14}$ https://www.govinfo.gov/app/details/FR-2012-08-02/2012-18787/summary
    ${ }^{15}$ Page 8 of the Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15914

[^4]:    ${ }^{16}$ Page 9 of the Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15914
    ${ }^{17}$ https://adminrules.idaho.gov/rules/current/58/580101.pdf
    ${ }^{18}$ https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-
    idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6\#se40.22.93 1118

[^5]:    19 https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-
    idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6\#se40.22.93 1101
    ${ }^{20}$ Idaho Administrative Code Rules for the Control of Air Pollution in Idaho

[^6]:    ${ }^{21}$ https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-
    idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6\#se40.22.93 1126
    22 https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-
    idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6\#se40.22.93 1127
    ${ }^{23}$ https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-
    idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6\#se40.22.93 1123
    ${ }^{24}$ https://www.ecfr.gov/cgi-bin/text-
    idx?SID=348074e1f23647ac522ff31548304e83\&mc=true\&node=sp40.22.93.a\&rgn=div6\#se40.22.93 1113

[^7]:    25 "Average weekday" is intended to represent a typical Monday - Thursday weekday.
    ${ }^{26}$ To learn more about the travel demand model visit www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/traveldemand.htm

[^8]:    27 http://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/demo-current.htm
    28 https://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/transimprovement.htm
    29 https://www.achdidaho.org/Departments/PlansProjects/IFYWP.aspx
    ${ }^{30}$ https://itd.idaho.gov/funding/
    31 http://www.achdidaho.org/departments/Engineering/DevelopmentServices/impactFees.aspx

[^9]:    32 http://www.epa.gov/otaq/models/moves/index.htm
    33 http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/airquality/AirQualityConformityProcess.pdf

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ ITIP = Idaho Transportation Investment Program (statewide equivalent of the regional TIP); IFYWP = ACHD's Integrated FiveYear Work Plan; CIP = ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan; CIM 2050 = Communities in Motion 2050 (regional long-range
    transportation plan); TIP = regional transportation improvement program; TDP = VRT's Transportation Development Plan
    ${ }^{2}$ Key \#: Numeric identification numbers refer to projects in the ITIP. Alphanumeric identification numbers refer to projects in
    ACHD's IFYWP or CIP.
    Yes* $=$ previous phases funded with federal dollars.

[^11]:    34 http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/airquality/2017NEI OnroadMobile FinalReport\%20 20190108.pdf

[^12]:    ${ }^{35}$ http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/airquality/PavedRoadDustFinalReport NEI 2017 20181127\%20(002).pdf
    ${ }^{36}$ https://www2.deq.idaho.gov/admin/LEIA/api/document/download/15998
    ${ }^{37}$ http://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/airquality/TVRDS Section 5 F\%20(002).pdf

