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## RESOLUTION NO. 04-2022

FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE
FY2022-2028 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND ASSOCIATED AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DEMONSTRATION

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has been designated by the Governor of Idaho as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires metropolitan planning organizations to develop and approve a transportation improvement program;

WHEREAS, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment requires all transportation plans and programs in nonattainment or maintenance areas demonstrate conformity to applicable state implementation plans for air quality improvement;

WHEREAS, FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134 and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require projects contained in the transportation improvement program to be financially constrained;

WHEREAS, FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134 and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require the transportation improvement program be developed and amended in consultation with all interested parties;

WHEREAS, a public comment period was held between August 2 and 31, 2021, and a second public comment period was held between September 3 and 17, 2021. All comments were shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors for consideration;

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho desires to take timely action to ensure the availability of federal funds; and

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has developed the FY20222028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program for Ada and Canyon Counties, and corresponding air quality conformity demonstration for Northern Ada County, in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors approves the FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program and the associated air quality conformity demonstration.

Adopted this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2021.

## ATTEST:



Matthew J. Stoll, Executive Director Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho
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# IN A NUTSHELL 

## TOTAL BUDGETED FOR TRANSPORTATION

 IMPROVEMENT PROJECTS IN THE TREASURE VALLEYTOTAL BUDGETED OVER SEVEN YEARS

## \$809,653,000

## *INFLATED COSTS



## I NTRODUCTI ON

A regional transportation improvement program (TIP) is a short-range (seven-year) capital improvement program (budget) of transportation projects consistent with federal regulations and area policies and strategies. The Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) is the state's short-range capital improvement program. The Idaho STIP references projects in Ada County and Canyon County via the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho's (COMPASS') TIP.

The TIP is developed through a cooperative process by COMPASS, the designated metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for the Boise Urbanized Area Transportation Management Area (TMA) and the Nampa Urbanized Area. This process involves extensive participation by:

- Ada County
- Ada County Highway District (ACHD)
- Boise State University
- Canyon County
- Canyon Highway District No. 4
- Capital City Development Corporation
- Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
- Golden Gate Highway District No. 3
- Idaho Transportation Department (ITD)
- Valley Regional Transit (VRT)
- Cities of

| o | Boise | o | Nampa |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| o | Caldwell | o | Notus |
| o | Eagle | o | Parma |
| o | Garden City | o | Star |
| o | Greenleaf | o | Wilder |
| o | Kuna |  |  |
| o Melba |  |  |  |
| o Meridian |  |  |  |
| o Middleton |  |  |  |

The TIP must be consistent with the regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion $20402.0^{1}$ (CIM 2040 2.0). The COMPASS Board of Directors adopted CIM 20402.0 on December 17, 2018.

The first four years of the TIP are of particular interest since these years are considered "budgeted," while the remaining years are more informational in nature. The latter projects are listed to provide a framework for transportation needs that move from the planning stage to the implementation stage. Per federal regulations, information on the status of projects in the first year of the previous TIP is posted online ${ }^{2}$ by the end of each calendar year.

[^0]This document includes all federally and state-funded projects and those nonfederally funded projects deemed "regionally significant." The TIP projects identified in this document are within the estimates of available funds from a variety of sources, both federal and non-federal.

The Boise TMA includes the Cities of Boise, Eagle, Meridian, and Garden City; the Nampa Urbanized Area includes the Cities of Nampa, Caldwell, and Middleton. Both urbanized areas also include adjacent densely settled areas outside of city limits. The smoothed urbanized area boundaries for the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas were adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors, via Resolution 15-2013, on August 19, 2013. COMPASS' planning area includes all of Ada and Canyon Counties (Figure 1), an area broadly referred to as the "Treasure Valley."

Northern Ada County is a "maintenance area" for two air pollutants - coarse particulate matter (airborne dust and other particulates; referred to as " $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ ") and carbon monoxide. Northern Ada County violated the federal standards for these pollutants in the 1980s and early 1990s, and has been in compliance ever since. Plans are in place to ensure the area maintains its compliance with these standards. COMPASS must show that the projects contained in this TIP "conform" to these plans - a process referred to as an "air quality conformity demonstration." This is discussed in more detail in Section VI. The Ada County air quality "maintenance area" encompasses the entire area of Ada County north of the Boise Base Line (Figure 2), which is an imaginary line located seven miles south of the City of Kuna.

This report includes additional detail in the following appendices:

- APPENDIX A - FUNDI NG TYPES AND ACRONYMS, provides a way to decipher transportation and federal jargon.
- APPENDIX B - PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANALYSIS, provides in-depth analysis, using modeling tools, to explain how projects in the TIP will affect the transportation system.
- APPENDIX C - HISTORICAL AND PROJ ECTED BUDGET DATA, provides additional information about transportation agency budgets.
- APPENDIX D - PRIOR YEAR PROJ ECTS, provides a list of projects that are still active, but no longer have budgeted funds. Projects could still need additional funding or release excess funding throughout the life of this program.
- APPENDIX E - LIST OF PROJ ECTS, provides a detailed list of projects that are funded with federal or state funds, or considered "regionally significant," no matter the funding source.
- APPENDIX F - PUBLIC COMMENTS, provides a summary as well as verbatim public comments received on the project list and all amendments that require a public comment period.


Figure 1: COMPASS Planning Area


Figure 2: Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area

## I. INFLATI ON FACTORS

The Federal Highway Administration (FHWA) requires all reporting documents show project costs in the year of expenditure. This means project costs must be shown with inflationary factors expected by the year funds will be expended. Agencies in the State of Idaho currently use a $2 \%$ inflation factor to adjust the cost of future expenditures for most projects.

COMPASS also maintains a project list for balancing purposes in "current dollar format," meaning the costs are not inflated. This format is posted on the TIP web page ${ }^{3}$ and is the project list most typically used by COMPASS and sponsoring agencies.

## II. PUBLIC OUTREACH EFFORTS

The public outreach process for the annual TIP update begins approximately 16 months prior to its final approval. This effort includes requesting input from local governments in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas, local governments outside of the urbanized areas within Ada and Canyon Counties, and the general public. Public outreach efforts were guided by, and complied with, requirements and recommendations outlined in the 2018 COMPASS Public Participation Plan (Chapter 2 of the COMPASS Integrated Communication Plan ${ }^{4}$ ).

Local Government I nput. COMPASS staff met with local government staff in Ada and Canyon Counties to solicit their desired transportation projects for inclusion in this document from August through December 2020.

COMPASS Regional Transportation Advisory Committee (RTAC). RTAC is comprised of technical experts representing counties, cities, highway districts, state agencies, and other public agencies in Ada and Canyon Counties. RTAC members reviewed and ranked local project applications and recommended a list of projects to be funded to the COMPASS Board of Directors. RTAC members were also involved throughout the TIP development process, including recommending selection criteria in the COMPASS Funding Application Guide and federal performance measure targets.

30-Day Public Comment Period. Public comment on the draft FY2022-2028 TIP project list was solicited from August 2 through 31, 2021. The public comment period was promoted through the COMPASS website ${ }^{5}$, COMPASS social media accounts, online community calendars, a news release, newspaper advertisements, legal notices, email blasts, and postcards.

[^1]In addition to the TIP and associated air quality conformity demonstration, public comments on a proposed amendment to CIM 2040 2.0, were also solicited during the comment period.

COMPASS website. All draft TIP materials were available on the "Comments and Questions" webpage ${ }^{6}$ from August 2 through 31, 2021.

Public comment materials posted online included detailed and basic project lists, a "major changes" list, the draft air quality conformity demonstration (see Section VI ), and interactive map of projects contained in the TIP, a TIP brochure, and fact sheets related to air quality conformity and understanding the TIP. Comment forms and information on how and where to comment, including information on open houses, were also posted online.

Open House. COMPASS hosted two open house sessions, one virtual and one in-person, on Thursday, August 12, 2021. The virtual session was held at 10:00 a.m. using Facebook Live (Figure 3). The in-person session was held at the COMPASS office from 3:00 p.m. to 6:00 pm. COMPASS staff provided an overview of the TIP and the CIM 20402.0 amendment.

Libraries. COMPASS distributed TIP materials to 19 different library locations throughout the Treasure Valley.

## Virtual Open House

Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, Transportation Improvement Program, and Air Quality Conformity Demonstration

Presentations By:
Liisa Itkonen, Principal Planner
Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner

Legal Notice. COMPASS published a legal notice for the TIP public comment period in the Idaho Statesman and the Idaho Press on four dates: August 2 (Idaho Statesman only), August 3 (both newspapers), August 4 (both newspapers), and August 5 (Idaho Press only), 2021.

Display Advertisements. Display advertisements (Figure 4) promoting the public comment period and open house (as appropriate) appeared in:

- Idaho Statesman: August 2, August 9, and August 24, 2021
- Idaho Press: August 3, August 10, and August 26, 2021
- Kuna Melba News: August 4, August 11, and August 25, 2021
- Meridian Press: August 6, August 20, and August 27, 2021


Figure 4: Newspaper Advertisement

No Spanish-language newspapers were in business in Ada or Canyon Counties during the public comment period.

News Release. COMPASS distributed a news release notifying the public of the comment period and open house; the news release was sent to local and regional media on August 2, 2021.

Email and Mail. COMPASS sent four emails to 6,720 people publicizing the TIP public comment period. COMPASS mailed 15 postcards on August 2, 2021, to interested individuals who prefer to be contacted via US Mail.

Flyer. COMPASS created a flyer (English ${ }^{7}$ and Spanish ${ }^{8}$ ) advertising the TIP public comment period and open house. Additionally, COMPASS requested that committee, workgroup, and agency members post flyers on their websites and other public locations in their jurisdictions.

Social Media. COMPASS posted information about the TIP public comment period on its social media throughout the public comment period.

- Facebook $^{9}$ : COMPASS posted information about the public comment period nine times from August 2 - August 31, 2021. These Facebook posts resulted in a total audience reach of 1,402 and 46 engagements.
- Twitter ${ }^{10}$ : COMPASS tweeted information about the public comment period four times from August 2 - August 31, 2021. These tweets resulted in a total of 1,118 viewer impressions and 23 engagements.
- Instagram ${ }^{11}$ : COMPASS posted information about the public comment period four times from August 2 - August 31, 2021. These posts resulted in 226 engagements.
- Nextdoor: COMPASS sent information about the public comment period to six member agencies to post on their Nextdoor accounts on August 2, 2021.

[^2]Community Calendars. COMPASS posted the TIP open house information on the COMPASS Facebook events page, the COMPASS website calendar, and on the following community calendars:

- Boise Weekly online calendar
- Idaho Business Review online calendar
- Idaho Press online calendar
- Idaho Statesman online calendar
- Meridian Chamber of Commerce online calendar
- Nampa Chamber of Commerce online calendar

Brochure. COMPASS staff updated a brochure explaining the TIP; the English ${ }^{12}$ and Spanish ${ }^{13}$ versions were posted on the COMPASS website.

Spanish Translation. COMPASS translated the public comment flyer, TIP brochure, and the TIP comment form (hard copy and online) into Spanish. In addition, the COMPASS website has a Spanish translation option, allowing for translation of all web content.

Comments Received. The public was encouraged to submit written comments throughout the public comment period. Comments were accepted via email, letter, fax, or hard copy or online comment forms. Assistance was offered to those unable to submit comments in writing. Twenty-nine public comments were received (see Appendix F). Figure 5 shows the demographic data collected from public comment forms submitted to COMPASS.


Figure 5: Public Comment Demographic Data

[^3]Disposition of Comments. Questions submitted as part of public comments were answered, and all comments were provided to RTAC and the COMPASS Board of Directors. Comments related to specific projects or studies were forwarded to the appropriate agencies. The disposition of individual comments is included with the verbatim comments and staff responses in Appendix F.

Special Assistance. COMPASS notifications, advertisements, other promotional information, and the COMPASS website, included the following statement for those who require special assistance in submitting comments:

Those needing assistance, including assistance in submitting written comments, may call 208/855-2558 with 48 hours advance notice. Personas que necesitan asistencia especial, llamar al número 208/855-2558 con 48 horas de aviso.

## III. PROJ ECT SELECTI ON

COMPASS serves as a regional forum for making decisions about transportation and related planning and sets priorities for spending federal transportation funds. COMPASS accepts applications annually for transportation funding and manages the following federal-aid programs:

- Surface Transportation Block Grant - Transportation Management Area (STBG-TMA for Boise Urbanized Area)
- Transportation Alternatives Program - Transportation Management Area (TAP-TMA for Boise Urbanized Area)
- Surface Transportation Block Grant - Urban (STBG-U for Nampa Urbanized Area)

The STBG funding policy was originally adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors in July 2014 as part of Communities in Motion 2040. This policy directs STBG funding in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas to be programmed (budgeted) as follows:

Specific "off-the-top" funds for each urbanized area:

- ACHD Commuteride
o \$220,000 in the Boise Urbanized Area
o \$55,000 in the Nampa Urbanized Area
- COMPASS Planning
o $\$ 232,000$ in the Boise Urbanized Area
o \$99,000 in the Nampa Urbanized Area
Percentage splits of remaining funding:
- $82 \%$ for roadway maintenance projects
- $15 \%$ for public or alternative transportation maintenance projects
- Up to 3\% for planning or special projects

The funding split is calculated as a five-year rolling average to allow flexibility for a larger project in any of the categories to move forward and still remain consistent with the policy.

Application process:

- Applications are ranked by members of RTAC using a paired comparison method, explained in the COMPASS Application Guide ${ }^{14}$, with a reference matrix provided by COMPASS staff containing data regarding environmental justice and economically distressed populations, environmental issues, crashes, and other data to support COMPASS goals.
- COMPASS staff presents the initial ranking results to RTAC for discussion and approval.
- COMPASS staff presents a funding plan to RTAC, based on the final rankings, for discussion and recommendation to the COMPASS Board of Directors.
- Final recommended projects are included in the draft TIP project list provided for public comment

Programs managed by other agencies (ITD, VRT, and the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council [LHTAC]) follow the managing agency's application and prioritization process. Once projects are selected for funding, they are submitted to COMPASS for inclusion in the TIP. RTAC reviews the full list of projects, including new projects and changes to existing projects, in light of public comments received, and recommends it to the COMPASS Board of Directors for approval.

Additional information about the application process and project selection can be found on the Resource Development and Funding web page ${ }^{15}$ under the COMPASS Application Guide.

## IV. CONGESTION MANAGEMENT PROCESS

The Congestion Management Process (CMP) is the application of strategies to improve transportation system performance and reliability by reducing the adverse impacts of congestion on the movement of people and goods. It is a systematic, cyclical, and regionally accepted approach for managing congestion that provides accurate, up-to-date information on transportation system performance and identifies strategies for mitigating congestion and achieving regional transportation goals and objectives. These mitigation strategies include:

- Transportation Demand Management (TDM) and active transportation
- Transportation Management and Operations (TSMO)
- Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS)

[^4]- Transit operational improvements
- Roadway capacity improvements (when necessary)
- Freight and goods mobility

As a part of the CMP, COMPASS uses the National Performance Management Research Data Set (NPMRDS) to develop the Congestion Management Annual Report, which shows where and when congestion is occurring in the Treasure Valley. These reports are available on the Congestion Management Process ${ }^{16}$ web page. This information helps COMPASS and its member agencies prioritize projects for congested areas and select which types of congestion mitigation strategies to apply. However, the data are limited and not available for every corridor. Figure 6 shows a map of the corridors where NPMRDS data are provided, highlighting the ten most congested roadway segments in Ada and Canyon Counties for 2020.


Figure 6: Top Ten Congested Tier 1 Network Segments Greater than Half a Mile (Peak period maximum, 2020)

For more details about how congestion is defined, please see the Congestion Management Process ${ }^{17}$ web page.

[^5]Another way to look at congestion is in terms of reliability. This measure is discussed in detail in Section V, TIP Achievement. Figure 7 shows the segments considered "unreliable" on the National Highway System (NHS).


Figure 7: Level of Travel Time Reliability (2020)
The CMP also serves as a mechanism for monitoring the effects transportation projects have on system efficiency after they are completed. This information is helpful for monitoring the effectiveness of specific congestion management strategies as projects are funded and constructed/implemented. These findings are published in the Congestion Management Annual Report as well.

ITD and local agencies manage specific programs to address congestion issues.
ITD
ITD's Safety and Capacity Program ensures projects are selected to provide safety, mobility, and economic benefits throughout the state. Projects are nominated by ITD district staff, and are then analyzed through a competitive process using the following criteria:

- Benefit/cost ratio
- Benefit as a result of the project to the gross state product
- Number of jobs created over a 20-year period as a result of the project

No single project may use more than $50 \%$ of the available funds.
Projects funded through ITD's safety and capacity programs are selected through this process. The following programs also provide a mechanism for ITD to fund projects to relieve congestion:

## Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE)

The GARVEE program allows the state to issue bonds for large capital projects. The bonds are then repaid using future federal-aid funds. GARVEE funds were initially made available for 13 specific corridors across the state (later reduced to 12), including Interstate 84 and State Highway 16 in the Treasure Valley. The Idaho Legislature first approved the use of GARVEE funds in Idaho in 2005, then extended the GARVEE program in 2017 to allow for an additional $\$ 300$ million in bonding authority.

## Transportation Expansion and Congestion Mitigation (TECM)

The Idaho Legislature also approved funding for the Transportation Expansion and Congestion Mitigation (TECM) program in 2017. This program is specifically for expansion and congestion-related projects. The TECM program was originally developed using 1\% of the state's sales tax (not less than $\$ 15$ million per year). In 2019, the Idaho Legislature expanded the program to include the ability to bond against these funds for more efficient use of the funds. In 2021, the Idaho Legislature increased funding levels to $4.5 \%$ of the state's sales tax (not less than $\$ 80$ million per year).

TECM funds are limited to the state highway system for projects selected by the Idaho Transportation Board to improve travel times and traffic flow and to mitigate traffic congestion. If the $4.5 \%$ in sales tax exceeds $\$ 80$ million, the amount above $\$ 80$ million is dedicated to local agencies as revenues increase and allocated through the Highway Distribution Account.

## Sales Tax Anticipated Revenue (STAR)

In 2007, the Idaho Legislature passed a bill to create a funding mechanism for developers to build large transportation projects to mitigate traffic issues stemming from new commercial developments, and then be repaid using the new sales tax the developments create (known as "STAR Financing"). The bill was modified in 2008, expanding the accessibility of the new financing tool. ITD and local agencies may enter into a STAR financing agreement with a developer.

## Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ)

ITD receives approximately $\$ 13$ million of CMAQ funds per year, of which $\$ 3$ million are set-aside for two areas in non-attainment of the National Ambient Air Quality Standards for fine particulate matter ( 2.5 microns in diameter or less; called " $\mathrm{PM}_{2.5}$ "): the Cache Valley area in southeast Idaho and the Pinehurst area in north

Idaho; neither of which is in the COMPASS planning area. ITD holds these funds, which will eventually lapse or be returned to FHWA if a rescission occurs.

The remaining $\$ 10$ million is allowed by federal regulation to be either transferred to another program or used on STBG-eligible activities. ITD chooses to use the CMAQ funds on STBG-eligible activities across the state.

## Local Agencies


#### Abstract

ACHD ACHD is a county-wide transportation agency, responsible for local (non-ITD) roadways and related public right-of-way in Ada County, including within the city limits of the Cities of Boise, Meridian, Eagle, Kuna, Garden City, and Star. ACHD right-of-way includes sidewalks, bikeways, roadways, bridges, storm drains, and other infrastructure dedicated to the movement of people, goods, and services.


ACHD's Strategic Plan $2035{ }^{18}$ includes two priority focus areas: (1) maintenance and safety needs by asset category (pavement, sidewalks, bridges, traffic signals and materials, and stormwater facilities) and (2) system enhancements for congestion management and relief. According to the ACHD Integrated Five Year Work Plan ${ }^{\underline{19}}$ (IFYWP), system enhancements in the form of widening a roadway are only intended to reach a corridor's "buildout" - the maximum number of lanes planned for a particular roadway. ACHD's Master Street Map ${ }^{20}$ defines the buildout for each road. Once a road reaches its maximum number of lanes, no more lanes will be added. Congestion may still increase as land uses develop, which may require other measures to maximize efficiency of the network.

As shown in Figure 8, ACHD's IFYWP seeks to implement its Complete Streets Policy and meet the needs of all users with the project limits in order to take the most


Figure 8: ACHD's Complete Street Policy advantage of work going on in the area.

## City of Nampa

According to the City of Nampa's Transportation Master Plan ${ }^{21}$, the city needs more than 141 network improvement projects through 2040, totaling $\$ 532$ million. The city's Transportation Master Plan provides a "path forward" by recommending

[^6]continuous increases in city funds coupled with greater use of outside funds and many new and creative resources. The City of Nampa continues to explore methods of funding its transportation needs.

## Development Impact Fees

ACHD and the City of Nampa use impact fee programs under Idaho Code 67 Chapter $82^{22}$. The Idaho Development Impact Fee Act allows collection of fees from developers to cover the costs associated with the new developments. Existing transportation deficiencies are covered with other resources.

Based on needs identified in the City of Nampa's Transportation Master Plan, the Nampa City Council approved an increase to the city's impact fees; the increase took effect in July 2019. As the city identifies new projects for funds generated through the impact fee program, updates to regionally significant corridors will be included in the COMPASS project list.

## Current Projects in the FY2022-2028 TIP

Approximately 17 miles of roadway and 5 intersections with high or moderate congestion, as identified in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{23}$, are budgeted for improvements in the FY2022-2028 TIP; additional improvements are budgeted that are classified as low congestion or not classified in the Congestion Management Annual Report. The total cost of projects that are expected to improve congestion is almost $\$ 759$ million. Table 1, below, highlights all of the projects in the TIP categorized under the congestion management strategies on pages 11 and 12.

Additionally, approximately four miles of roadway and five intersections considered unreliable, as identified in the Congestion Management Annual Report, are budgeted for improvements in the FY2022-2028 TIP.

[^7]Table 1: Projects in the FY2022-2028 TIP by CMP Strategy

| KN | Projects* | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Transportation Demand Management and Active Transportation |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20841 | Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge over North Channel of Boise River, Eagle | Mod | Reliable | - | Federal and Local | \$3,763,000 |
| СРАЗ | Commuteride, ACHD | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,595,000 |
| 20136a | Commuteride, ACHD, Van Replacements, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$874,000 |
| ORN23324 | Garden Street Multi-Use Pathway, Cassia Park to Albion | - | - | - | Federal and Local | \$1,733,000 |
| ORN23307 | Pathway, Federal Way and Broadway Avenue Multi-Use Pathway, Boise | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,424,000 |
| 22385 | Pathway, Greenbelt Completion, Boise State | - | - | - | Federal and Local | \$833,000 |
| 23025 | Pathway, Grimes City Pathway Extension, Nampa | - | - | - | Federal | \$471,000 |
| 13918 | Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian | - | - | - | Federal and Local | \$735,000 |
| 22018 | Pedestrian Improvements and Widening, Montana Avenue, Caldwell | - | - | - | Federal | \$737,000 |
| 20542 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | Mod/High | Reliable | - | Federal and Local | \$621,000 |
| 22931 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55, Bristol Heights to US 20/26, Boise | Mod/High | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$351,000 |
| 22944 | Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$492,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,629,000 |
| TSMO/ ITS (contained within overall project) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13905 | $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue ITS and Overlay, Caldwell | Low | Reliable |  | Federal | \$2,301,000 |
| 13484 | Centennial Way Roundabout, Caldwell | Mod | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$4,129,000 |
| 22102 | Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa | Low | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$3,705,000 |
| 22132 | Holly Street/Northwest Nazarene University Roadway Reconfiguration, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$466,000 |
| 22878 | Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, Canyon Highway District | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$999,000 |


| KN | Projects* | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22746 | I-84, Cole Road and Overland Road Interchange, Lighting Improvements, Boise | Mod | Unreliable | - | State | \$255,000 |
| 13487 | Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell | Low/Mod | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$3,063,000 |
| 22101 | Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$557,000 |
| 20428 | SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise | Mod/Low | Reliable | - | State | \$4,150,000 |
| ORN23182 | SH-44, RWIS near SH-16, Ada County | - | - | - | State | \$510,000 |
| 13476 | SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection, Improvements, Eagle | High/Mod | Unreliable | - | Federal | \$9,709,000 |
| ORN23184 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Indiana Avenue to Middleton Road, Construction, Canyon County (paired with KN 22715) | Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$23,575,000 |
| 22715 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Farmway Road to Middleton Road, Design, Canyon (paired with KN ORN23184) | Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$42,620,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$96,019,000 |
| Transit Operations (some projects have mixed tasks) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18854 | Planning and Mobility Implementation, Boise Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$6,165,000 |
| 18842 | Planning and Mobility Implementation, Nampa Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$5,618,000 |
| 20043 | Transit - Above and Beyond ADA Paratransit, Nampa Area | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,480,000 |
| 19691 | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Boise Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$2,015,000 |
| 19464c | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Canyon County, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$63,000 |
| 19464a | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Nampa Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$1,680,000 |
| 19983 | Transit - Fixed Line Service, Rural Areas, TVT | - | - | - | Federal | \$800,000 |
| 18786 | Transit - Operations - Fixed Route and Mobility Management, Nampa Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$9,174,000 |
| 19041 | Transit - Operations - Mobility Management, Boise Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$7,070,000 |
| 18914 | Transit - Preventive Maintenance and Demand Response, Nampa Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$2,587,000 |
| 19137 | Transit - Preventive Maintenance and Paratransit, Boise Area, VRT | - | - | - | Federal | \$16,913,000 |
| 19464b | Transit - Purchase of Service, Rural Areas, TVT | - | - | - | Federal | \$177,000 |


| KN | Projects* | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$53,742,000 |
| Roadway Capacity Improvements |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| RD207-33 | Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$5,415,000 |
| RD216-04 | Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$6,682,000 |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$2,549,000 |
| 22103 | Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$9,188,000 |
| 22712 | I-84B (Garrity Boulevard), Stamm Lane Intersection Improvements, Nampa | Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$2,008,000 |
| RD207-29 | Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$6,216,000 |
| RD209-18 | Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$8,186,000 |
| RD207-30 | Lake Hazel Road, Five Mile Road to Maple Grove Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$4,889,000 |
| RD216-05 | Lake Hazel Road, Maple Grove Road to Cole Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$4,061,000 |
| RD209-28 | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$7,074,000 |
| RD207-19 | Linder Road, US 20/26 (Chinden) to SH-44 (State), Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$20,907,000 |
| 13494 | Old Highway 30, Plymouth Street Bridge, Caldwell | Low | Reliable | - | Federal | \$11,958,000 |
| RD207-01 | Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$19,193,000 |
| 22101 | Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County | - | - | - | Federal | \$557,000 |
| 23409 | SH-16, Franklin Road to Ustick Road, Canyon County | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$55,500,000 |
| 23410 | SH-16, 1-84 to Franklin Road, Nampa | Low | Reliable |  | State | \$60,500,000 |
| 20788 | SH-16, I-84 to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada and Canyon Counties | - | - | - | Federal and State | \$148,431,000 |
| 23408 | SH-16, Ustick Road to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada County | - | - | - | State | \$55,500,000 |
| 20266 | SH-44 (State Street), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County | Low | Reliable | - | State | \$9,233,000 |


| KN | Projects* | Level of Congestion | Reliability | Freight Reliability | Type of Funds | Total Cost** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County | Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$12,424,000 |
| ORN23184 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), 10 ${ }^{\text {th }}$ Avenue to Middleton Road, Construction, Canyon | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$39,270,000 |
| 22715 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Farmway Road to Middleton Road, Design, Canyon | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | State | \$42,620,000 |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian | Low | Reliable | - | Local | \$3,027,000 |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County | Mod | Unreliable- | - | State | \$50,425,000 |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada County | High/Mod | Unreliable | - | State | \$11,300,000 |
| RD207-24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian | Low/Mod | Reliable | - | Local | \$3,617,000 |
| Subtotal |  |  |  |  |  | \$595,247,000 |
| TOTAL |  |  |  |  |  | \$758,637,000 |

As of October 2021.

* Descriptions of acronyms are available in Appendix A.
**Total cost is shown in "year of expenditure" and includes all costs, not only programmed costs.
Some projects are listed in multiple categories, as project includes various types of improvements.


## Additional Projects

## Local Expansion for Public Transportation

In FY2020, the Cities of Boise, Eagle, and Meridian and Ada County committed to increasing their contributions to VRT to improve service and fund capital projects (such as buses, equipment, and facilities). The additional funding will increase service in these areas, including a new fixed-line service in Meridian. The expanded bus service was delayed, due to low ridership during the pandemic. See additional information in the public transportation section for performance measures on page 34.

## Other Congestion-Related Projects Not Included in the TIP

The TIP does not list every project. Many projects are budgeted with local funding or may even be requirements of developers. Therefore, congestion-related improvements are much more numerous than reported in the TIP.

## V. TIP ACHIEVEMENT

Each project funded in the TIP supports one or more COMPASS performance measures as identified in CIM 2040 2.0; many projects also support federally required performance measures. COMPASS identifies in the TIP which performance measure(s) each project supports.

COMPASS staff are constantly evaluating better ways to examine data to report achievements a more meaningful way. As methods are developed, staff will update this report.

## COMPASS Performance Measures

CIM 20402.0 includes performance measures and targets addressing transportation, economic development, farmland, health, housing, land use, and open space.

Thirty-four performance measures ${ }^{24}$ were approved for CIM 2040 2.0, adopted in 2018. To streamline reporting, the 34 measures were grouped into 8 "TIP Achievement Categories." Table 2 provides a matrix demonstrating how the TIP achievement categories reflect performance measures as approved for CIM 2040 2.0.

[^8]Table 2: TIP Achievement Categories

| TIP Achievement Category | Example of Type of Projects | CIM 20402.0 and Federal Performance Measure Categories |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active <br> Transportation | Projects to improve all aspects of nonmotorized transportation, such as sidewalks or pathways | Transportation Active Transportation |
| Health | Projects with specific connections to grocery stores and public schools. | Health Walkability |
| Open Space | Projects specific to connections to open spaces and/or separated from a roadway, such as a greenbelt. | Open Space |
| Asset Management | Projects to maintain the current transportation system (roadway chip seals, roadway rehabilitation, bridge repair/rehabilitation/replacement, public transportation vehicle replacement, or sidewalk repair) | Public Transportation Transit Asset Management Pavement Condition Bridge Condition |
| Public Transportation | Projects for the operation and/or expansion of public transportation. | Public Transportation Operations |
| Safety | Projects that reduce number of auto, public transportation, and non-motorized crashes and make the overall system safer. | Transportation Safety <br> Roadway Safety Public Transportation Safety |
| Support | Projects in support of the overall system (examples: planning, staff time, studies) | * |
| System Performance | Projects that add capacity to roadway segments, intersections, or bridges to help make the system more reliable for all who travel on it, including freight trips, and improvements to the intelligent transportation system/technology. | Transportation System Performance Level of Travel Time Reliability <br> Truck Travel Tim Reliability <br> Congestion Mitigation Air Quality - Emissions |

* No performance measures are established for this category; however, support projects assist in developing plans and corridors for future projects that meet the goals and vision of CIM 2040 2.0.

To further report on the support of CIM 20402.0 performance measures, Table 3 provides an analysis of the distribution of funding and number of projects across all TIP achievement categories. A project may meet the goals of multiple performance measures. These measures are not weighted, but are divided based on the number of performance measures for each project. For example, if a project meets the goals of two performance measures, $50 \%$ of the project cost is reported for each measure.

Table 3: Analysis of TIP Achievement

| TIP Achievement Category | Number of <br> Projects* | Dollar Amount** | Percentage of <br> Dollar Amount |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Active Transportation | 45 | $\$ 86,333,000$ | $10.71 \%$ |
| Health | 10 | $\$ 3,598,000$ | $0.45 \%$ |
| Open Space | 7 | $\$ 8,688,000$ | $1.08 \%$ |
| Asset Management | 46 | $\$ 115,962,000$ | $14.38 \%$ |
| Public Transportation | 15 | $\$ 43,876,000$ | $5.44 \%$ |
| Safety | 65 | $\$ 145,754,000$ | $18.08 \%$ |
| Support | 16 | $\$ 27,991,000$ | $3.47 \%$ |
| System Performance | 37 | $\$ 373,953,000$ | $46.39 \%$ |
|  |  |  | $\$ 806,155,000$ |

As of August 2021.

* Most projects are reported in multiple TIP achievement categories; therefore, the sum of the total number of projects would be skewed, and is not reported.
**Totals may not sum due to rounding. Reported in year of expenditure costs.


## Federal Performance Measures

The last two federal transportation authorization bills, the Moving Ahead for Progress in the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century (MAP-21) and Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Acts, placed an emphasis on performance-based planning and programming. MPOs have the option to either create regional targets or support statewide targets. For the roadway targets, the COMPASS Board of Directors chose to assist ITD in obtaining federal targets on a statewide basis, rather than to set specific regional targets. For transit-related targets, the COMPASS Board of Directors Chose to assist VRT and ITD meet targets set by their respective Boards of Directors. Table 4 shows the federal target areas and deadlines for reporting, areas with currently-approved targets are highlighted in gray.

Table 4: Federal Performance Measures

| I con | Target Area | Beginning MPO <br> Target <br> Deadline <br> (updates) | Starting <br> Reporting <br> Deadline |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
|  | Safety | February 2018 <br> (annually) | May 2018 |
|  | Transit Asset Management | October 2018 <br> (annually) | October 2018 |
|  | Pavement Condition | Bridge Condition | November 2018 <br> (every four <br> years) |


| I con | Target Area | Beginning MPO <br> Target Deadline (updates) | Starting Reporting Deadline |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (0.00:9 | Freight Movement | November 2018 (every four years) |  |
|  | Congestion Mitigation Air Quality - Emissions |  |  |
| $\cdots$ | Public Transportation Safety | December 2020 (annually) | June 2021 |
|  | Congestion Mitigation Air Quality - Traffic Congestion | November 2022 (biennially) | May 2023 |

Approved targets are provided below, with analysis regarding projected outcomes. It is important to note that support of statewide targets does NOT mean that COMPASS is required to address a regional "share" of the statewide goals; that is, COMPASS does not have specific goals for Ada and Canyon Counties. It simply means that COMPASS is supporting ITD's statewide efforts. However, when available, regional data are shown for informational purposes.

Additional analysis is available in Appendix B.
Federal performance measures are incorporated into the overall COMPASS performance measures throughout this document and the project list.

## Active Transportation

Active transportation relates to non-motorized modes of transportation and includes walking, biking, rolling, or other modes that require activity. There are no specific federal targets for active transportation other than safety targets, which are included in the safety section for performance measures. Projects that may be included for improvements to active transportation include everything from building a sidewalk or maintaining a pathway, to educating elementary school students how to walk and bike safely.

There are 38 projects totaling almost $\$ 179$ million currently programmed in the TIP that include some aspect of active transportation. Many of the projects include multiple facets of transportation in one project, such as ACHD projects for pavement improvement, which include roadway rehabilitation, ramp improvements to meet the American's with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements, and sidewalk improvements including filling gaps in the existing sidewalk network.

## Health

There are two goal subsets for active transportation. Projects that connect the user to grocery stores and public schools are considered a health benefit. These types of trips are necessary and provide benefits to the user's health and education. Five projects are specific to the health subset, with a total of about $\$ 4$ million budgeted through FY2026.

## Open Space

Connections to open spaces such as parks, rivers, and lakes, also provide health benefits, but are considered more recreational in nature. The open space subset includes projects to expand or improve a greenbelt or other connections to open spaces throughout the Treasure Valley. Six projects are specific to the open space subset, with a total of about $\$ 22$ million budgeted through FY2026.

## Progress on Active Transportation

Table 5 reports current trends on various measures for active transportation.
Table 5: Trends on the Active Transportation System

| Category | Description | Trend |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Walkability: public <br> schools | Percentage of households within $1 / 2$ mile distance of a <br> school that can access the school using the walkable <br> network (1/2 mile walk) | $67.9 \%$ (2020) |
| Walkability: transit <br> stops | Percentage of households within $1 / 2$ mile distance of a <br> transit stop that can access the stop using the walkable <br> network (1/2 mile walk) | $81.5 \%$ (2020) |
| Walkability: public <br> parks | Percentage of households within $1 / 2$ mile distance of a <br> public park that can access the park using the walkable <br> network (1/2 mile walk) | $69.2 \%$ (2020) |
| Percentage of <br> roadway <br> (arterial/collectors) <br> with bicycle <br> lanes/multiuse <br> pathways | Percentage of arterial and collector roadway that have <br> existing bikeways as defined as a division of a road <br> marked off with painted lines, for use by cyclists, not <br> including sharrows or other markings within automobile <br> lanes, or multiuse pathways that allow for bicycle travel. | $21.3 \%$ (2018) |
| Miles of trails and <br> pathways | Percentage of increase of the miles of trails and pathways <br> from previous reporting period | 577 (2019) |

Projects listed in Table 6 emphasize active transportation, including the subset categories of Health and Open Space, included in the FY2022-2028 TIP. The costs are shown only for FY2022-2026, as projects beyond the first five years are considered to be "illustrative." Costs are shown in "year of expenditure," (increased to reflect inflation).

Table 6: Analysis of Active Transportation Projects, FY2022-2026

| Key <br> Number | Project | Subset | Total Cost <br> FY2022-2026* |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| 20841 | Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge over North Channel of Boise <br> River, Eagle | Health | $\$ 2,033,000$ |
| ORN23313 | Bicycle and Pedestrian, Permanent Automated Counters, <br> Boise, COMPASS |  | $\$ 8,000$ |
| 23026 | Bicycle and Pedestrian, Permanent Automated Counters, <br> Nampa, COMPASS |  | $\$ 40,000$ |
| RD207-33 | Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian |  | $\$ 483,000$ |
| RD216-04 | Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian |  | $\$ 6,682,000$ |


| Key Number | Project | Subset | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total Cost } \\ \text { FY2022-2026* } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian |  | \$2,510,000 |
| 22102 | Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa |  | \$3,274,000 |
| ORN23324 | Garden Street Multi-Use Pathway, Cassia Park to Albion Street, Boise | Open Space | \$1,733,000 |
| 22132 | Holly Street/Northwest Nazarene University Roadway Reconfiguration, Nampa | Health | \$345,000 |
| 22602 | Indiana and Orchard Shared Roadway, Canyon County | Open Space | \$5,290,000 |
| RD207-29 | Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County |  | \$6,216,000 |
| RD209-18 | Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County |  | \$8,186,000 |
| RD209-18 | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle |  | \$7,068,000 |
| 13494 | Old Highway 30, Plymouth Street Bridge, Caldwell | Open Space | \$8,897,000 |
| RD207-01 | Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise |  | \$19,016,000 |
| 22385 | Pathway, Greenbelt Completion, Boise State | Open Space Health | \$833,000 |
| 13918 | Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian | Open Space | \$660,000 |
| 19465 | Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 1, Boise Area FY2022 |  | \$5,427,000 |
| 20122 | Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 2, Boise Area FY2022 |  | \$2,326,000 |
| 13964 | Peckham Road, US-95 to Notus Road, Canyon County |  | \$3,595,000 |
| 20542 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | Health | \$621,000 |
| 22944 | Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa | Health | \$414,000 |
| 20674 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2024 |  | \$8,635,000 |
| 21896 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2025 |  | \$8,230,000 |
| 22390 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2026 |  | \$8,353,000 |
| 20259 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area FY2023 |  | \$7,143,000 |
| 19993 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area FY2023 |  | \$3,111,000 |
| 20080 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area FY2023 |  | \$306,000 |
| 20493 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2023 |  | \$174,000 |
| 21910 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2024 and FY2025 |  | \$419,000 |
| 22933 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2026 |  | \$222,000 |
| 22922 | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2022 |  | \$65,000 |
| 22924 | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2023 |  | \$65,000 |


| Key <br> Number | Project | Subset | Total Cost <br> FY2022-2026* |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian |  | $\$ 2,984,000$ |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon <br> County |  | $\$ 37,000,000$ |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada <br> County |  | $\$ 7,220,000$ |
| RD207-24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian |  | $\$ 3,617,000$ |
| 22600 | Western Heritage Byway, Swan Falls Road, ACHD | Open Space | $\$ 5,372,000$ |

As of August 2021.
*Costs are shown in "year of expenditure" (increased to inflect inflation). Costs may also include other aspects of some projects, such as road work.

## Asset Management

©

## Transit Asset Management

On August 26, 2019, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support regional transit asset management targets for "state of good repair" as described in VRT's Transit Asset Management (TAM) Plan ${ }^{25}$.

On June 7, 2021, the VRT Board adopted the TAM Plan for FY2022-2026. The plan outlines the processes and tools used perform condition assessments, investment prioritization, and target setting to improve the state of good repair of regional public transportation assets. The plan also provides an inventory and condition assessment for all assets under the responsibility of group plan participants: ACHD, Boise State University, and VRT (Table 7).

Table 7: Transit Asset Management Targets

| Asset |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Category | Performance Measure | FY2021 <br> Target | FY2021 <br> Actual | FY2022 <br> Target |
| Rolling Stock | Age - Percent of revenue <br> vehicles that have met <br> or exceeded their Useful <br> Life Benchmark (ULB) | $<24.67 \%$ | $29.20 \%$ | $<24.67 \%$ |
| Equipment | $<12.70 \%$ | $27.91 \%$ | $<12.70 \%$ |  |
| Facilities | Condition - Percent of <br> facilities with a condition <br> rating below 3.0 | $<42.86 \%$ | $33.33 \%$ | $<42.86 \%$ |

Green highlight $=$ met FY2021 targets
Peach highlight $=$ did not meet FY2021 target

[^9]The TAM plan estimates the total cost of bringing all assets with a condition assessment of 2.5 and below ( $0-5$ scale) into a state of good repair is approximately $\$ 24$ million, of which, $\$ 7$ million in vehicle replacements is underway. Moreover, VRT is conducting facility master planning that will provide additional data and cost estimates that will aid in programming the remaining \$17 million in state of good repair needs. The FY2022-2028 draft TIP includes approximately $\$ 12$ million programmed between FY2022 and FY2025 (the TAM horizon period) for transit capital projects to address replacement and capital expansion needs. A list of prioritized capital improvement projects (TAM and capital enhancements) will be completed in FY2022 as part of the Transportation Development Plan.

VRT is proactive in applying for competitive transit capital grants to address this backlog and leverage available local funding with federal funding whenever possible. VRT continues to see shortfalls in local revenue in the small urban area leading to challenges addressing capital replacement and deferred maintenance. VRT is working closely with local governments to address the shortfall.

Projects listed in Table 8 emphasize transit asset management (state of good repair) included in the FY2022-2028 TIP. The costs are shown only for FY20222025, as the TAM Plan is based on a four-year horizon. The TAM Plan is posted on VRT's website ${ }^{26}$.

Table 8: Analysis of Transit Asset Management Projects, FY2022-2025

| Key <br> Number | Project | Asset Category | Total Cost <br> FY2022-2025 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $20136 a$ | Commuteride, Van Replacements, <br> Canyon County - FY2019-2021 | Rolling Stock | $\$ 584,000$ |
| 18788 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Boise Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 586,000$ |
| 19122 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Boise Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 2,656,000$ |
| 19763 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - <br> FY2022 | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 3,077,000$ |
| 20659 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - <br> FY2024 | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,491,000$ |
| 21903 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - <br> FY2025 | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,461,000$ |
| 18781 | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,375,000$ |

[^10] content/uploads/2021/08/2021TAMPlan.pdf

| Key <br> Number | Project | Asset Category | Total Cost <br> FY2022-2025 |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 20136 e | Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, <br> and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT | Rolling Stock, <br> Equipment, <br> Facilities | $\$ 1,068,000$ |
| NEW9 | Transit - Support Vehicles <br> Replacement, TVT | Rolling stock | $\$ 45,000$ |
| 13980 b | Transit - Nampa Facility Renovations, <br> TVT | Facities | $\$ 56,000$ |
|  |  | Total | $\$ 12,399,000$ |
|  |  |  |  |

As of August 2021.

( $\left|\begin{array}{l}1 \\ \vdots\end{array}\right|$

## Pavement Condition

On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support statewide pavement condition targets established by ITD, which is to maintain a certain percentage of pavement on the NHS in "good" condition, as well as maintain a minimum percentage of pavement in "poor" condition (Table 9).

Table 9: Pavement Conditions on the NHS and FY2022 Targets

| Type of <br> Roadway | Pavement <br> Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2020 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2020 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2022 <br> Target |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Interstate | Good | $31.70 \%$ | $50.70 \%$ | $42.00 \%$ | $59.00 \%$ | $70.0 \%$ | $59.7 \%$ | $>50 \%$ |
| Interstate | Poor | $1.20 \%$ | $1.40 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $<4 \%$ |
| Interstate | No Data |  | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $26.00 \%$ | $3.00 \%$ | $0.0 \%$ | $0.7 \%$ |
| Total |  | $37.40 \%$ | $46.10 \%$ | $39.00 \%$ | $41.00 \%$ | $42.2 \%$ | $46.3 \%$ | $>50 \%$ |
| Non- <br> Interstate | Good | $2.00 \%$ | $2.10 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | $1.00 \%$ | $0.2 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ | $<8 \%$ |
| Non- <br> Interstate | Poor |  |  | $19.00 \%$ | $15.00 \%$ | $0.4 \%$ | $0.8 \%$ |  |
| Non- <br> Interstate | No Data | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |
| Total |  | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Green highlight $=$ meets FY2022 targets
Peach highlight $=$ does not meet FY2022 targets

2020 pavement conditions are shown on the COMPASS TIP Viewer (interactive map) found on the COMPASS website ${ }^{27}$.

Pavement condition targets aim to keep a certain percentage of pavement in good condition. It is less costly to keep roads in good condition than to repair roads in poor condition. Costs increase exponentially when more involved treatments are needed to repair them (Figure 9).

## Prevention Saves Costs



Figure 9: Pavement Asset Management Cycle
Graphic from David Hein, PE, ARA, used with permission.
COMPASS currently does not have the tools to estimate exactly how projects will accomplish overall pavement condition goals. However, projects are selected by ITD and local agencies to strategically produce the best treatment for dollars available. Many projects shown in the TIP are "placeholders" for pavement management work. Since projects in the TIP start five years or more from the date they are added, the local agency selects the segments of roadway that are most appropriate for the funding when the design phase begins. Through projects in the FY2022-2028 TIP between FY2022 and FY2026, as projects beyond the first five years are considered to be "illustrative," local agencies plan to spend:

- More than $\$ 48$ million on pavement condition improvements on local roadways
- About $\$ 2.3$ million on local NHS roadways
- As well as additional projects to maintain the existing local system with local funds (not listed in the TIP)

In addition, ITD plans to spend:

- About $\$ 3$ million on the interstate
- Almost $\$ 36$ million on pavement condition improvements on non-interstate NHS roadways.

Details of these projects can be found in Table 10.

Table 10: Projects that Improve Pavement Condition on the NHS, FY2022-2026

| Key Number | Project | NHS |  |  | Local, Not NHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | I nterstate | NonI nterstate | Local |  |
| 13905 | 10th Avenue ITS and Overlay, Caldwell |  |  | \$2,281,000 |  |
| 20129 | Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2021 |  |  |  | \$3,391,000 |
| 20212 | I-84, Garrity Interchange to Ten Mile Interchange, Canyon and Ada Counties | \$3,045,000 |  |  |  |
| 22677 | Microseals, Front/Myrtle/Broadway, Boise |  | \$1,621,000 |  |  |
| 20536 | Microseals, State/Glenwood/Chinden, Ada County |  | \$2,581,000 |  |  |
| 19465 | Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase <br> 1, Boise Area - FY2022 |  |  |  | \$5,970,000 |
| 20122 | Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase <br> 2, Boise Area - FY2022 |  |  |  | \$2,559,000 |
| 20674 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2024 |  |  |  | \$8,664,000 |
| 21896 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2025 |  |  |  | \$8,230,000 |
| 22390 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2026 |  |  |  | \$8,353,000 |
| 20259 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023 |  |  |  | \$7,681,000 |
| 19993 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2023 |  |  |  | \$3,136,000 |
| 20080 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, <br> Boise Area - FY2023 |  |  |  | \$386,000 |
| 21849 | SH-45, SH-78 to Deer Flat Road, Canyon and Owyhee Counties |  | \$10,029,000 |  |  |
| 22665 | SH- 55 (Eagle Road), I-84 to SH-44, Meridian to Eagle |  | \$9,103,000 |  |  |
| 20506 | SH-55, SH-44 (State Street) to Payette River Bridge, Rehabilitation |  | \$12,178,000 |  |  |
| Total |  | \$3,045,000 | \$35,512,000 | \$2,281,000 | \$48,370,000 |

As of August 2021.
Note: The "Capital Maintenance," "Pavement Preservation and ADA," and "Roadway and ADA Improvement" projects listed above may not have specific segments identified. Some segments may be on the NHS.

Even with the federal and local budgets for improvements to local pavement projects in the TIP, and additional local funds for pavement improvements, local agencies reported deferred maintenance estimated at almost $\$ 99$ million in Ada and Canyon Counties in FY2020.


## Bridge Condition

On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support statewide bridge condition targets established by ITD, which aim to improve or maintain a certain percentage of bridges in "good" condition and a minimum percentage of bridges in "poor" condition on the NHS (Table 11).

Table 11: Bridge Conditions and FY2022 Targets

| Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2020 <br> Regional <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2020 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2022 <br> Target |
| Good | $38.7 \%$ | $18.9 \%$ | $29.09 \%$ | $17.75 \%$ | $28.38 \%$ | $18.58 \%$ | At least |
| Poor | $0.6 \%$ | $2.3 \%$ | $0.45 \%$ | $4.30 \%$ | $0.00 \%$ | 2.8 | $<3 \%$ |
| Total | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |  |  |

Green highlight $=$ meets FY2022 targets
Peach highlight $=$ does not meet FY2022 targets

2020 bridge conditions are shown on the COMPASS TIP View (interactive map) on the COMPASS website ${ }^{28}$.

In the COMPASS planning area, two bridges on the NHS are reported to be in poor condition: I-84, Blacks Creek Road Interchange and $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge in the City of Caldwell. However, the I-84, Blacks Creek Road Interchange was recently replaced, and the $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue Bridge will be under construction for a full replacement soon (project will be bid in fall 2021). See Table 12 for a list of bridge projects in the TIP.

Table 12: Projects that Improve Bridge Condition in the FY2022-2028 TIP

| Key <br> Number | Project ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Current Condition | Year Built | Treatment | Total Project Cost on NHS | Total Project Cost on NonNHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22878 | Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, <br> Canyon Highway <br> District (Deer Flat <br> North Canal Bridge) | No data | No data | Replace/ Widen |  | \$999,000 |
| 21967 | I-84 Bridge Repairs, City of Caldwell |  |  | Preservation | \$1,278,000 |  |
|  | Boise River | Good | 1983 |  |  |  |
|  | $10^{\text {th }}$ Avenue | Good | 1983 |  |  |  |
| 20405 | I-84, Bridge Repairs, District 3 - FY2022 |  |  | Repairs | \$1,793,000 |  |
|  | Sand Hollow Road | Good | 1962 |  |  |  |
|  | Purple Sage Road | Good | 1962 |  |  |  |
|  | Galloway Road | Good | 1962 |  |  |  |
|  | US 20/26 (Chinden) | Fair | 1964 |  |  |  |
|  | Ten Mile Road | Good | 2011 |  |  |  |
|  | Locust Grove Road | Fair | 2008 |  |  |  |
|  | Ridenbaugh Canal | Fair | 1994 |  |  |  |
|  | Indian Creek | Good/Fair | 1980 |  |  |  |
|  | Mayfield Road | Good | 1960 |  |  |  |

${ }^{28}$ COMPASS TIP Viewer:
https://compassidaho.maps.arcgis.com/apps/Cascade/index.html?appid=b0a54a77f8d047aa 9b8b479347cc0463 Contact COMPASS staff if you need assistance at 208-855-2558.

| Key <br> Number | Project ${ }^{\mathbf{1}}$ | Current Condition | Year Built | Treatment | Total Project Cost on NHS | Total Project Cost on NonNHS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { RD209- } \\ & 28 \end{aligned}$ | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle (includes 2 bridges)* | No data | $\begin{gathered} \text { no } \\ \text { data } \end{gathered}$ | Replace/ Widen |  | \$7,074,000 |
| 20536 | Microseals, Ada and Canyon Counties (US 20/26 south of Front Street to south side of Boise River [Broadway Bridge])** | Good | 2016 | Preservation | \$2,483,000 |  |
| 13494 | Old Highway 30, Plymouth Street Bridge, Caldwell | Obsolete | 1922 | New |  | \$11,268,000 |
| 20227 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal, Bridge Rehabilitation, near Meridian | Good | 1956 | Replacement | \$4,480,000 |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  | \$5,554,000 | \$23,965,000 |

As of August 2021.

* Project cost includes the roadway segment.
**Project includes multiple segments; some of which are outside the COMPASS planning area.


## Public Transportation



## Operations

Currently, there are no targets specifically for the operations of public transportation. The intent is to maintain current service levels and expand the service as funding permits.

VRT staff have been working towards further improving service as outlined in ValleyConnect 2.0 ${ }^{29}$.

The VRT Board of Directors approved service changes which were implemented on October 4, 2021. These changes impact Boise fixed-route and inter-county service and move VRT closer to the goals outlined in ValleyConnect 2.0, including improving on-time performance, increasing frequency on premium routes, and improving safety for operators and passengers.

These changes include modifications to where buses pick up and drop off passengers at and near Main Street Station. Routes 7A, 7B, 8X, 9, 40, and 43

[^11]stage on Main Street between 9th and 8th streets. Routes 1-6, 10, 16, and 17 stage inside Main Street Station.

The October 4, 2021, service changes impacted the following routes:

- 7A Fairview Ustick
- 7B Fairview Towne Square Mall
- 11 Garden City
- 17 Warm Springs
- 29 Overland
- 43 Caldwell Express

The changes are explained below, with more detail on VRT's website ${ }^{30}$.

## 7A/7B Fairview Ustick / Fairview Towne Square Mall

Eastbound routes 7A and 7B between Curtis Road and Orchard Street were rerouted to use the City Center on-ramp on Fairview, just east of Curtis Road, to avoid the signal at the Curtis Road and Orchard Street intersection and the unprotected left turn from Orchard Street onto to eastbound I-184. This change also closed two eastbound stops at Fairview and Laurel, as well as stops at Fairview and Orchard.

## 7B Fairview Towne Square Mall

Seven trips were added to Route 7B, Fairview Towne Square Mall - three morning peak direction trips from the Towne Square Mall to Main Street Station and four afternoon peak direction trips from Main Street Station to the Towne Square Mall.

## Route 11 Garden City

Route 11 Garden City was eliminated. To help accommodate riders who will be adversely effected by this change, VRT added select stops from the 11 Garden City route to the $8 x$ Five Mile Chinden route. In addition, the majority of the 11 Garden City route is within the Lyft Transit Connections service area, so Lyft Transit Connections provides another option for those losing service on Route 11. Finally, because routes 8 X and 9 will continue, this change will not impact the ACCESS paratransit service area.

## Route 17 Warm Springs

Peak period service on the Route 17 Warm Springs was reduced from every 30 minutes, to every 60 minutes.

## Route 29 Overland

Route 29 Overland near Boise State University was rerouted. The route changed from University Drive to Boise Avenue via Chrisway Drive, to turning left onto Juanita Street, then traveling down Potter Drive and Joyce Street before returning to University Drive via Beacon Street.

[^12]Route 43 Caldwell Express
The number of morning trips and afternoon/evening trips was reduced from two trips to one.

COVID-19 Service Impacts
As ridership has not returned to pre-pandemic levels and the number of confirmed cases of the COVID-19 Delta variant has risen, the VRT Board of Directors temporarily suspended additional service on the 9 State Street route to the City of Eagle in the morning and afternoon, as well as delayed the start of new Route 30 Pine in the City of Meridian. With the uncertainty about the duration of the pandemic, the start of the new Route 30 Pine and service on the 9 to the City of Eagle will resume when the following conditions are met:

- Ridership reaches $90 \%$ of pre-COVID levels
- COVID rates are stable/declining
- Business are open


## Meridian Service

The October 4, 2021, startup date for the 30 Pine was delayed. The delay is due in large part to the uncertainty about the delta variant of COVID-19 and its potential impact on ridership. When implemented, this service will connect Ten Mile Road and Franklin Road to the Village at Meridian at Fairview Avenue and Eagle Road. The 30 Pine route will run on a 30-minute frequency from 6:00 a.m. to 9:00 a.m. and 3:00 p.m. to 6:30 p.m. and connect to the routes 40 Nampa/Meridian Express and the 42 Happy Day to Towne Square Mall routes, providing commuters options to connect to the Cities of Boise, Nampa, and Caldwell.

## Route 9 State Street

The Route 9 State Street route temporarily suspended service to the City of Eagle with the start of the service change in October. Currently route 9 travels to the City of Eagle four times during the morning and three times in the evening. The suspension of service to Eagle is due in large part to the uncertainty about the delta variant and its potential impact on ridership.

See Table 13 for a list of public transportation operations projects in the TIP. Note that some of the operating costs are funded with local funds by local agencies and are not reported in the TIP.

Table 13: Projects for Public Transportation Operations in FY2022-2026, in the FY2022-2028 TIP

| Key Number | Project | Years of Service | Type of Service | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20043 | Transit - Above and Beyond ADA Paratransit, Nampa Area | 2022-2026 | Paratransit | \$1,480,000 |
| 19691 | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Boise Area, VRT | 2022-2026 | Purchase of Service | \$2,015,000 |
| 19464c | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Canyon County, VRT | 2022 | Purchase of Service | \$63,000 |
| 19464a | Transit - Acquisition of Service, Nampa Area, VRT | 2022-2026 | Purchase of Service | \$1,680,000 |
| 19983 | Transit - Fixed Line Service, Rural Areas, TVT | 2022 | Fixed Line | \$800,000 |
| 18786 | Transit - Operations - Fixed Route and Mobility Management, Nampa Area, VRT | 2022-2026 | Fixed Line and Mobility Management | \$9,174,000 |
| 19041 | Transit - Operations - Mobility Management, Boise Area, VRT | 2022-2026 | Mobility Management | \$7,070,000 |
| 19464b | Transit - Purchase of Service, Rural Areas, TVT | 2022 | Purchase of Service | \$177,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$22,459,000 |

As of October 2021.

## Ridership

The number of annual passengers on the fixed route system decreased from 1.21 million in 2019 to 1.06 million in 2020 . The decrease was due to the pandemic.

## Safety

On December 18, 2017, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support the statewide safety targets established by ITD.

RTAC recommended updated statewide safety targets on November 20, 2019, which seek to improve upon the benchmark five-year statewide average (20132017) (Table 14), taking into account population growth trends. The COMPASS Board of Directors approved the safety targets on December 16, 2019. While the current approved FY2021 target (gray) is for the years 2015-2019, projects in the FY2022-2028 TIP will help ITD reach future targets.

Table 14: Idaho Statewide Safety Targets

| I daho | Benchmark | Actual <br> Average | Actual <br> Average | Actual <br> Average <br> $*$ | State and <br> Regional <br> Safety <br> Targets |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | $\mathbf{2 0 1 3 - 2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 4 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6 -}$ <br> $\mathbf{2 0 2 0}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 2 1}$ |
| Fatalities | 223 | 227 | 234 | 234 | $<247$ |
| Serious Injuries | 1,293 | 1,297 | 1,269 | 1,217 | $<1,285$ |
| Fatalities by VMT* | 1.33 | 1.33 | 1.35 | 1.34 | $<1.38$ |
| Serious Injury by VMT* | 7.74 | 7.59 | 7.29 | 6.94 | $<7.21$ |
| Non-Motorist Fatalities and <br> Serious Injuries | 117 | 121.8 | 121.2 | 120.6 | $<120$ |

*VMT = vehicle miles traveled per 100 million miles
Gray highlight = current target
Projects with an emphasis on safety in the FY2022-2028 TIP are shown in Table 15. Only projects shown between FY2022 and FY2026 are included in the analysis, as projects beyond FY2026 are considered illustrative. An analysis is provided regarding how each project is expected to decrease overall crashes, as this is the finest level of analysis staff is able to conduct at this time. Reduction in overall crashes based on measures reported in the Crash Modification Factors (CMF) Clearinghouse will result in reduction of fatalities and serious injuries as well, which are the actual targets. (The CMF Clearinghouse contains predictive data based on studies of similar projects across the nation.) Not every safety measure has predictive data to determine changes in crash rates.

Overall, projects that emphasize safety in the FY2022-2028 TIP are projected to prevent 261 crashes in Ada and Canyon Counties. Almost $\$ 346$ million is budgeted towards projects with safety benefits.

Table 15: Analysis of Safety Projects in the FY2022-2028 TIP

| Key <br> Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety <br> Improvement <br> $* *$ | Expected <br> \% Change <br> Based on <br> CMF Data <br> $* * *$ | Expected <br> Actual <br> Change <br> in <br> Crashes <br> $* * * *$ |
| :---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 13905 | 10th Avenue ITS and <br> Overlay, Caldwell | $\$ 2,301,000$ | Resurface <br> pavement | Decrease <br> crashes <br> $5 \%$ | -1 |
| 22963 | ADA Ramps, Greenleaf | $\$ 58,000$ | Ada ramps | N/A | N/A |
| 20841 | Bicycle and Pedestrian <br> Bridge over North Channel <br> of Boise River, Eagle | $\$ 3,763,000$ | New bridge | N/A | N/A |
| 13484 | Centennial Way <br> Roundabout, Caldwell | $\$ 4,129,000$ | Conversion of <br> intersection into <br> multi-lane <br> roundabout | Decrease <br> crashes <br> $89 \%$ | -26 |
| ORN233 <br> 14 | Commuteride, Safety and <br> Security Cameras, Ada <br> County | $\$ 49,000$ | Safety cameras | N/A | N/A |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety I mprovement | Expected \% Change Based on CMF Data *** | Expected Actual Change in <br> Crashes **** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 33 \end{gathered}$ | Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian | \$5,415,000 | Install curb and gutter, bicycle lanes | Decrease crashes 56\% | -2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD216- } \\ 04 \end{gathered}$ | Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian | \$6,682,000 | Widen (2 to 5 Ianes), roundabout, curb, gutter | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 82 \% \end{gathered}$ | -1 |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | \$2,549,000 | curb, butter, buffered bike Ianes | Decrease crashes 36\% | -16 |
| 22102 | Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa | \$3,705,000 | Convert all-way, stop controlled intersection to roundabout | Decrease crashes 46\% | -1 |
| 22103 | Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa | \$9,188,000 | New signalized intersction | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 17 \% \end{gathered}$ | -1 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN233 } \\ 24 \end{gathered}$ | Garden Street Multi-Use Pathway, Cassia Park to Albion Street, Boise | \$1,733,000 | Multi-use pathway with bridge | Decrease crashes 25\% | 0 |
| 22132 | Holly Street/Northwest Nazarene University Roadway Reconfiguration, Nampa | \$466,000 | Restripe lanes, add bike lanes, 4 lane to 3 lanes | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decrease } \\ & \text { crashes } \\ & 73 \% \end{aligned}$ | -13 |
| 22878 | Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, Canyon Highway District | \$999,000 | Rumble strips | Decrease crashes 34\% | -1 |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { ORN231 } \\ & 81 \end{aligned}$ | I-84 and I-184 Signage Replacement, Ada County | \$509,000 | Install improved advance freeway guidance signage | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 7 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -22 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { ORN227 } \\ 46 \end{gathered}$ | 1-84, Cole Road and Overland Road Interchange, Lighting Improvements, Boise | \$255,000 | Lighting improvements | Increases crashes 16\% | 4 |
| 23081 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Eastbound, Nampa | \$46,511,000 | Widen road (4 to 6 lanes) | Decrease crashes 15\% | -10 |
| 20212 | I-84, Garrity Interchange to Ten Mile Interchange, Ada County | \$3,045,000 | Resurface pavement | Decrease crashes 5\% | -4 |
| 23099 | I-84, SH-44, Westbound Ramp Improvements, Canyon County | \$1,300,000 | Install traffic signal, adjust shoulder width | Decrease crashes 75\% | -1 |
| 22712 | I-84B (Garrity Boulevard), Stamm Lane Intersection Improvements, Nampa | \$2,008,000 | Intersection improvements | N/A | N/A |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety I mprovement | Expected \% Change Based on CMF Data *** | Expected Actual Change in Crashes * * * * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22602 | Indiana and Orchard Shared Roadway, Canyon County | \$5,303,000 | Add paved shoulders | Decrease crashes 67\% | -3 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 29 \end{gathered}$ | Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County | \$6,216,000 | Widen road (3 to 5 Ianes) | Decrease crashes 77\% | -2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD209- } \\ 18 \end{gathered}$ | Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County | \$8,186,000 | Install multi-use pathway, curb and gutter | Decrease crashes 33\% | -2 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD209- } \\ 28 \end{gathered}$ | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle | \$7,074,000 | Install curb and gutter, bicycle lanes and convert stopcontrolled intersection into multi-lane roundabout | Decrease crashes 43\% | -5 |
| 13487 | Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell | \$3,063,000 | Conversion of intersection into high-speed roundabout, conversion of two-way stopcontrolled intersection into single- or multilane roundabout | Decrease crashes 67 | -4 |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { RD207- } \\ 01 \end{gathered}$ | Orchard Street, Gowen Road to I-84 On-Ramp, Boise | \$19,193,000 | Add two lanes, install curb, gutter, bicycle lanes, and convert two-way stop-controlled intersection into single-or multilane roundabout | $\begin{gathered} \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 70 \% \end{gathered}$ | -3 |
| 22385 | Pathway, Greenbelt Completion, Boise State | \$833,000 | Install sidewalk barrier | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 67 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -1 |
| 13918 | Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian | \$735,000 | Install shared pathway | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 25 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| 19465 | Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2022 | \$6,151,000 | Pavement Improvements | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -5 |
| 20122 | Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2022 | \$2,569,000 | Pavement Improvements | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety <br> I mprovement ** | Expected \% Change Based on CMF Data *** | Expected Actual Change in <br> Crashes * * * * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22101 | Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County | \$557,000 | Intersection improvements | N/A | N/A |
| 13964 | Peckham Road, US-95 to Notus Road, Canyon County | \$4,316,000 | Resurface pavement, install curb and gutter | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 15 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -1 |
| 20542 | Pedestrian Improvements, SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian | \$621,000 | Install pathway | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 25 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -4 |
| 22944 | Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa | \$492,000 | Extend pathway | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 25 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| 20549 | Pedestrian Improvements, US 20/26 (Chinden) at 43rd Street, Garden City | \$225,000 | Install a pedestrian hybrid beacon | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 15 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -1 |
| 20537 | Railroad Crossing, Benjamin Lane, Boise | \$330,000 | Upgrade signs to flashing lights | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 79 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 0 |
| 20358 | Railroad Crossing, Lemp Lane, Canyon County | \$260,000 | Upgrade signs to flashing lights and install gates at crossings with signs | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Decrease } \\ & \text { crashes } \\ & 98 \% \end{aligned}$ | 0 |
| 20355 | Railroad Crossing, Look Lane, Caldwell | \$814,000 | Upgrade signs to flashing lights and install gates at crossings with signs | Decrease crashes 98\% | 0 |
| 23389 | Railroad Crossing, Milwaukee Street, Boise | \$407,000 | Pavement rehabilitation | N/A | N/A |
| 20606 | Railroad Crossing, Old Fort Boise Road, Canyon County | \$265,000 | Install automatic gates at crossings that previously had passive traffic control | Decrease crashes 67\% | 0 |
| 20674 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2024 | \$8,317,000 | Segments not selected | N/A | N/A |
| 21896 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2025 | \$8,230,000 | Segments not selected | N/A | N/A |
| 22390 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2026 | \$8,353,000 | Segments not selected | N/A | N/A |
| 20259 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023 | \$7,981,000 | Pavement Improvements | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -9 |
| 19993 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2023 | \$3,145,000 | Pavement Improvements | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Decrease } \\ \text { crashes } \\ 10 \% \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | -1 |


| Key Number | Project* | Total Cost | Safety I mprovement ** | Expected \% Change Based on CMF Data *** | Expected Actual Change in Crashes * * * * |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20080 | Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 3, Boise Area - FY2023 | \$386,000 | Pavement Improvements | Decrease crashes 10\% | -7 |
| 23293 | Safety Audit, Signalized Intersections, Nampa | \$66,000 | Study | N/A | N/A |
| 20428 | SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise | \$4,150,000 | Install raised median, upgrade narrow unpaved shoulder to wide paved shoulder | Decrease crashes 88\% | -2 |
| 13476 | SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection Improvements, Eagle | \$9,709,000 | Pavement <br> Rehab, Install <br> Raised Mediums | Decrease crashes 42\% | -51 |
| 20266 | SH-44 (State Street), SH16 to Linder Road, Ada County | \$9,223,000 | Widen road (3 to 5 lanes) | Decrease crashes 66\% | -6 |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County | \$12,424,000 | Widen road (3 to 5 lanes) | Decrease crashes 66\% | -5 |
| 21849 | SH-45, SH-78 to Deer Flat Road, Canyon/Owyhee Counties | \$9,967,000 | Improve surface condition | Decrease crashes 26\% | -1 |
| 22665 | SH-55 (Eagle Road), I-84 to $\mathrm{SH}-44$, Meridian to Eagle | \$9,853,000 | Improve surface condition | Decrease crashes 26\% | -25 |
| 20506 | SH-55, SH-44 (State Street) to Payette River Bridge, Rehabilitation | \$12,178,000 | Bridge rehabilitation | Decrease crashes 26\% | -3 |
| 20493 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County FY2023 | \$174,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 21910 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { SR2S, VRT, Ada County - } \\ & \text { FY2024-2025 } \end{aligned}$ | \$419,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 22993 | SR2S, VRT, Ada County FY2026 | \$222,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 22922 | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2022 | \$65,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| 22924 | SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2023 | \$65,000 | Education | N/A | N/A |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian | \$3,027,000 | Widen road (2 to 5 lanes) Install bike lane, curb, gutter | Decrease crashes 68\% | -3 |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County | \$50,425,000 | Widen road (2 to 6 lanes) Install bike lane, curb, gutter | Decrease crashes 64\% | -10 |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH16, Ada County | \$11,300,000 | Widen road (2 to 4 lanes), install bicycle and pedestrian facilities | Decrease crashes 64\% | -6 |


| Key <br> Number | Project $^{*}$ | Total Cost | I mprovement <br> $* *$ | Safety <br> \% Change <br> Based on <br> CMF Data <br> $* * *$ | Expected <br> Actual <br> Change <br> in <br> Crashes <br> $* * * *$ |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 20227 | Us 20/26 (Chinden), <br> Phyllis Canal Bridge, <br> Rehabilitation, near <br> Meridian | $\$ 4,589,000$ | Bridge <br> rehabilitation | N/A | N/A |
| RD207- <br> 24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile <br> Road to Linder Road, <br> Meridian | $\$ 3,617,000$ | Widen road (2 to <br> 5 lanes) | Decrease <br> crashes <br> $66 \%$ | -2 |
| 22600 | Western Heritage Byway, <br> Swan Falls Road, ACHD | $\$ 5,798,000$ | Add shoulders | Decrease <br> crashes <br> $67 \%$ | -4 |

As of October 2021.
*Only safety projects with construction in the first five years of the TIP are included in this table.
** Only safety improvements that have Crash Modification Factors applied are analyzed here.
*** CMF =Crash Modification Factors
$* * * *$ Based on crash history. Information is not available for every safety-related project.

## Public Transportation Safety

On November 2, 2020, the Public Transportation Agency Safety Plan (PTASP) was approved by the Valley Regional Transit Board of Directors.

Targets were set based on historical data gathered through reviewing trending information over the past two years. These data are collected monthly and analyzed to ensure Valley Regional Transit is progressing toward the targets. Table 16 provides the safety targets for public transportation.

Table 16: Valley Regional Transit Public Transportation Safety Targets

|  | FY2022 <br> Target <br> Fixed <br> Route | FY2022 <br> Target DemandResponse |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Fatalities |  |  |
| Total number of fatalities report to the NTD | 0 | 0 |
| Rate of fatalities per total VRM | 0 | 0 |
| I njuries |  |  |
| Total number of injuries reported to the NTD | 2 | 3 |
| Rate of injuries per total VRM | 0.12 | 0.029 |
| Safety Events |  |  |
| Total number of safety events reported to the NTD | 5 | 3 |
| Rate of safety events per total VRM | 0.21 | 0.34 |
| System Reliability |  |  |
| Mean distance between major mechanical failures by mode | $\begin{gathered} 16,643.92 \\ \text { VRM } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 11,151.84 \\ \text { VRM } \end{gathered}$ |

Approved November 2020.
NTD=National Transit Database
VRM=Vehicle Revenue Miles

## Support

Certain projects, such as planning or studies, support the overall system. These projects may not specifically "move the needle" on specific performance measures, but allow progress to be made on these measures in the future or assist in maintaining our current transportation system.

Approximately $\$ 28$ million is programmed for planning and studies to further transportation improvements from FY2022 through FY2026. See Table 17 for a list of support projects in the TIP.

Table 17: Support Projects in FY2022-2026, in the FY2022-2028 TIP

| Key <br> Number | Project | Years of <br> Service | Type of <br> Service | Total Cost |
| :--- | :--- | :---: | :--- | :---: |
| 18854 | Planning and Mobility Implementation, <br> Boise Area, VRT | $2022-2026$ | Transit <br> planning and <br> mobility <br> management | $\$ 6,165,000$ |
| 18842 | Planning and Mobility Implementation, <br> Nampa Area, VRT | $2022-2026$ | Transit <br> planning and <br> mobility <br> management | $\$ 5,618,000$ |
| 20271 | Planning, Communities in Motion <br> Update, COMPASS | $2023-2026$ | Transportation <br> planning | $\$ 636,000$ |


| Key <br> Number | Project | Years of Service | Type of Service | Total Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| CPA1 | Planning, COMPASS | 2022-2026 | Transportation planning | \$1,160,000 |
| CPA2 | Planning, Metropolitan Planning Funds, COMPASS | 2022-2026 | Transportation and transit planning | \$7,343,000 |
| ORN23175 | SH-16, SH-44 to Junction SH-52, Environmental Reevaluation | 2026 | Environmental re-evaluation | \$3,000,000 |
| ORN23182 | SH-44, RWIS near SH-16, Ada County | 2024 | Road weather information system | \$510,000 |
| 22394 | Study, Big Data Purchase, COMPASS | 2025 | Data purchase | \$150,000 |
| 23395 | Study, Fiscal Impact Analysis, COMPASS | 2025 | Study | \$60,000 |
| 23341 | Study, I-84, SH-44 to Centennial Way, Canyon | 2022 | Study | \$2,100,000 |
| 7827 | Study, SH-44, Junction I-84 in Canyon County to SH-55 in Eagle | 2022 | Study | \$960,000 |
| NAM02 | Study, Southern Connection to I-84 at SH-16 Interchange, Nampa | 2022 | Study | \$200,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$27,902,000 |

As of October 2021.

## System Performance

Projects that help make the transportation system more reliable are reported in the system performance section.

Almost $\$ 412$ million is budgeted to improve system performance in various ways in Ada and Canyon Counties in the FY2022-2028 TIP between FY2022 and FY2026 (Table 18). Note that only projects with construction currently scheduled are included in this list of projects.

Table 18: Projects that Improve System Performance Between FY2022 and FY2026 in the FY20222028 TIP

| Key <br> Number | Project | Entity/ NHS? | Treatment | Total Project <br> Cost |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13905 | l0th Avenue ITS and Overlay, <br> Caldwell | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Overlay and <br> ITS | $\$ 2,301,000$ |
| 13484 | Centennial Way Roundabout, <br> Caldwell | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Roundabout | $\$ 4,129,000$ |
| RD207-33 | Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory <br> Road, Meridian | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Widen | $\$ 5,415,000$ |
| RD216-04 | Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to <br> Amity Road, Meridian | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Widen | $\$ 6,682,000$ |
| RC0133 | Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove <br> Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), <br> Meridian | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Widen | $\$ 2,549,000$ |


| Key Number | Project | Entity/ NHS? | Treatment | Total Project Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22102 | Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa | Noninterstate/Yes | Roundabout | \$3,705,000 |
| 22103 | Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa | Noninterstate/Yes | Intersection I mprovements | \$9,188,000 |
| 23081 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Eastbound, Nampa | I nterstate/Yes | Widen | \$46,511,000 |
| RD207-29 | Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County | Non- interstate/ No | Widen | \$6,216,000 |
| RD209-18 | Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County | Noninterstate/ No | Widen | \$8,186,000 |
| RD209-28 | Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle | Noninterstate/ No | Widen | \$7,074,000 |
| 13487 | Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell | NonInterstate/Yes | Roundabout | \$3,063,000 |
| 13494 | Old Highway 30, Plymouth Street Bridge, Caldwell | Noninterstate/ No | Replace obsolete bridge | \$11,958,000 |
| RD207-01 | Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise | NonInterstate/Yes | Realign and widen | \$19,193,000 |
| 22101 | Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County | Non- interstate/ No | Intersection I mprovements | \$557,000 |
| 13964 | Peckham Road, US-95 to Notus Road, Canyon County | Noninterstate/ No | Rehabilitation and <br> improvements | \$4,316,000 |
| 23409 | SH-16, Franklin Road to Ustick Road, Canyon County | NonInterstate/No* | New | \$55,500,000 |
| 23410 | SH-16, I-84 to Franklin Road, Nampa | NonInterstate/No* | New | \$60,500,000 |
| 23408 | SH-16, Ustick Road to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada County | NonInterstate/No* | New | \$55,500,000 |
| 13476 | SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersecton, 1/2 CFI, Eagle | NonI nterstate/Yes | Intersection Improvements | \$9,709,000 |
| 20266 | SH-44 (State Street), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County | NonInterstate/Yes | Widen | \$9,223,000 |
| 20574 | SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County | NonI nterstate/Yes | Widen | \$12,424,000 |
| RC0299 | Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian | Noninterstate/ No | Widen | \$3,027,000 |
| 22165 | US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County | NonInterstate/Yes | Widen | \$50,425,000 |
| 20367 | US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada County | NonInterstate/Yes | Widen | \$11,300,000 |
| RD207-24 | Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian | NonInterstate/Yes | Widen | \$3,617,000 |
| Total |  |  |  | \$412,268,000 |

As of October 2021.

In addition to the projects above, which already have construction scheduled, there are many projects with preliminary studies or development that could lead to funding for the construction phase in the future. The projects in Table 19 are underway, but do not have construction scheduled within the reported time frame.

Table 19: Projects Under Study or Development to Improve System Performance in the Future in the FY2022-2028 TIP

| Key <br> Number | Project | Entity/ NHS? | Current Phase |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 23095 | Five Mile Road Overpass and Widening, National <br> Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) study, Boise | Non-Interstate/No | Design/ROW |
| 20351 | I-84, Karcher Road Interchange in the City of <br> Nampa to the City of Caldwell | Interstate/Yes | Design/ROW |
| 23336 | I-84, Karcher Road Interchange, Nampa | Interstate/Yes | Design/ROW |
| 22712 | I-84B (Garrity Boulevard) and Stamm Lane <br> Intersection Improvements, Nampa | Interstate/Yes | Construction in <br> 2027 |
| RD207-30 | Lake Hazel Road, Five Mile Road to Maple Grove <br> Road, Ada County | Non-Interstate/No | Construction in <br> PD |
| RD216-05 | Lake Hazel Road, Maple Grove Road to Cole <br> Road, Ada County | Non-Interstate/No | Construction in <br> PD |
| NEW10 | Linder Road, Overland Road to Franklin Road, <br> Widen \& Add Overpass, Meridian | Non-Interstate/No | Design/ROW <br> RD207-19Linder Road, US 20/26 (Chinden) to SH-44 <br> (State), Ada County |
| ORN23184 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), 10th Avenue to <br> Middleton Road, Construction, Canyon | Non-Interstate/No | Construction in <br> PD |
| 22715 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Farmway Road to <br> Middleton Road, Design, Canyon | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Construction in <br> 2027 |
| 23335 | SH-55 (Karcher Road), Pear Lane to Indiana <br> Avenue, Canyon County | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Design/ROW <br> Design/ROW |
| 23337 | US 20/26, Middleton Road to Star Road, Ada and <br> Canyon Counties | Non- <br> Interstate/Yes | Design/ROW |

As of October 2021.
Additional analysis for federal performance measures are provided below.


Level of Travel Time Reliability
On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support statewide level of travel time reliability targets established by ITD, which are to improve travel time reliability on the NHS (note that this does not mean to improve travel times, but to improve the consistency of travel times) (Table 20).

Table 20: Level of Travel Time Reliability and FY2022 Targets

| I nterstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | FY2018 <br> Regional Condition Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide Condition Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional Condition Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide Condition Percentage | FY2020 <br> Regional Condition Percentage | FY2020 <br> Statewide Condition Percentage | FY2022 Target |
| Reliable | 92.70\% | 97.90\% | 90.40\% | 97.20\% | 95.40\% | 98.60\% | > 90\% |
| Not Reliable | 7.30\% | 2.10\% | 9.60\% | 2.80\% | 4.60\% | 1.40\% |  |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |  |
| Non-I nterstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Condition | FY2018 Regional Condition Percentage | FY2018 <br> Statewide <br> Condition <br> Percentage | FY2019 <br> Regional Condition Percentage | FY2019 <br> Statewide Condition Percentage | FY2020 <br> Regional Condition Percentage | FY2020 <br> Statewide Condition Percentage | FY2022 Target |
| Reliable | 78.60\% | 91.50\% | 76.20\% | 84.80\% | 82.60\% | 89.50\% | > 70\% |
| Not Reliable | 21.40\% | 8.50\% | 23.80\% | 15.20\% | 17.40\% | 10.50\% |  |
| Total | 100\% | 100\% | 100\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% | 100.00\% |  |

Green highlight $=$ meets FY2022 target
Travel time reliability provides a more complete look at the on-the-ground experience for the road user than simply reporting congestion, as travel times can vary greatly day-to-day (Figure 10). Congestion on roadways and other factors, such as weather, events, or construction, often makes it difficult to predict how long it will take to travel from one destination to another. One day, it may take 20 minutes to travel from the City of Nampa to the City of Boise, and another day, it may take an hour. The overall goal of level of travel time reliability targets is to make travel times predictable. The intent of these targets is not necessarily to decrease the amount of time it takes to travel, although many of the projects will do just that, but to make travel times as consistent and predictable as possible.


Figure 10: Reliability Measures Capture the Benefits of Traffic Management, from FHWA's Performance Measure website

Level of travel time reliability is defined as the ratio of the longer travel times (80th percentile) to a "normal" travel time (50th percentile), using data from the Federal Highway Administration's NPMRDS, or an equivalent data source. Data are collected in 15-minute segments during all time periods between 6:00 a.m. and 8:00 p.m. local time.

Travel time reliability measures how consistent travel times are from one point to another, from one day to the next. To determine reliability, data on travel time are examined to see how they vary over time. If the difference between the normal travel time (50th percentile) and the longer travel time (80th percentile time) is greater than $50 \%$, then the segment is unreliable.

Improvements in the reliability of the NHS due to projects programmed through the TIP will be reported in the Congestion Management Annual Report, discussed in Section IV. A sample of the measurement is provided in Figure 11.


Figure 11: Sample Improvements in Average Travel Times, from FHWA's Performance Measure website

The 2020 level of travel time reliability on the NHS is provided in the 2020 Congestion Management Annual Report, available on the COMPASS website ${ }^{31}$. Figure 12, below, is an excerpt of this report showing segments (by direction) that are reliable (gray) and not reliable (red).

[^13]

Figure 12: 2020 NHS Level of Travel Time Reliability
In the FY2022-2028 TIP, almost \$200 million is budgeted for travel time reliability measures specifically on the NHS.

Truck Travel Time Reliability (Freight Movement)
On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support freight movements through statewide level of truck travel time reliability targets established by ITD, which is to improve upon truck travel time reliability on the interstate system (note that this does not mean to improve travel time, but to improve the consistency of travel times - similar to the level of travel time reliability above, but specific to truck travel on the interstate system) (Table 21). The overall goal of truck travel time reliability is to make freight travel more predictable. Delivery of goods and services in a timely manner is important to the success of the freight industry and the industries it serves.

Table 21: Truck Travel Time Reliability and FY2022 Target

| Interstate |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Condition | FY2017 <br> Regional <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2017 <br> Statewide <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2019 <br> Regional <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2019 <br> Statewide <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2020 <br> Regional <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY2020 <br> Statewide <br> Reliability <br> Score | FY20222 <br> Target |
| Reliable | 1.47 | 1.17 | 1.50 | 1.20 | 1.32 | 1.17 | $<1.3$ |

Peach highlight = does not meet 2022 target

The target of less than 1.3 means it would take less than $30 \%$ longer at a highly congested level of service to travel through that same segment. The target of less than 1.3 is the statewide target for interstate travel. Regional travel through Ada and Canyon Counties is likely to score higher due to higher rate of congestion in the area.

Freight movement is assessed by the Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTTR) Index. Reporting is divided into five periods: morning peak, midday, and afternoon peak Mondays through Fridays; weekends; and overnights for all days. The TTTR ratio is similar to the level of travel time reliability described earlier, but instead of the 80th percentile, truck travel time reliability is assessed by dividing the 95th percentile time by the normal time (50th percentile) for each segment. The maximum TTTR is the comparative number for truck travel on the interstate. See Table 22 for an example of how the index works.

Table 22: Truck Travel Time Reliability (TTR) Index Example

| Segment: Longer Travel Time ( $95^{\text {th }}$ ) divided by Normal Travel Time ( $50^{\text {th }}$ ) = \# seconds $\div$ by \#seconds = TTTR |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Monday - Friday | 6am - 10am | TTTR $=72 \mathrm{sec} \div 50 \mathrm{sec}=1.44$ |
|  | 10am - 4pm | TTR $=1.39$ |
|  | 4pm - 8pm | TTR $=1.49$ |
| Weekends | 6am - 8pm | TTR $=1.31$ |
| Overnight | 8pm - 6am | TTR $=1.20$ |
| Maximum TTTR |  | 1.49 |
| Measure: TTTR Index <br> - Length $\times$ Max TTTR $=$ Length- weighted TTTR <br> - $\quad \Sigma$ (All segment length weighted TTTR) $\div \Sigma$ (All segment lengths) |  |  |

This example segment does not meet the target of less than 1.3; therefore it is considered "not reliable."

The 2020 truck travel time reliability on the interstate is provided in the 2020 Congestion Management Annual Report, available on the COMPASS website ${ }^{32}$. Figure 13, below, is an excerpt of this report showing segments that are considered fair (orange) and poor (pink) in regards to truck travel time reliability on the interstate system.

[^14]

Figure 13: 2020 Truck Travel Time Reliability on the Interstate
More than $\$ 46$ million is budgeted to improve truck travel time reliability in Canyon County on Interstate 84 in the FY2021-2027 TIP (Table 23). Within the funded projects, several segments currently not performing well will be widened, which is expected to increase the reliability of these segments, and could possibly improve reliability on adjacent segments of the interstate as well. As these projects are completed, COMPASS will report on how truck travel times and the reliability of travel on Interstate 84 change in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{33}$.

Several projects were funded over the last few years that are currently under construction. These projects will increase capacity on the interstate in segments currently considered fair to poor in truck travel time reliability. These projects are not specifically shown in the FY2022-2028 TIP, as no funds are currently budgeted:

- Widening Interstate 84 from the Karcher Road Interchange to Franklin Boulevard in the City of Nampa
- Widening Interstate 84 from the City of Caldwell to the Karcher Road Interchange in the City of Nampa
- Replacing the Ustick Road Overpass over Interstate 84

[^15]Table 23: Projects that Improve Truck Travel Time Reliability on the Interstate in the FY2022-2028 TIP

| Key <br> Number | Project $^{*}$ | Treatment | Total Project <br> Cost |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 23081 | I-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, <br> Widen Eastbound, Nampa | Widen | $\$ 46,511,000$ |
| Total |  |  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 6 , 5 1 1 , 0 0 0}$ |

As of September 2021.

CMAQ - Emissions
On August 20, 2018, the COMPASS Board of Directors adopted a position to support the CMAQ emissions target established by ITD. The ITD target is zero, as ITD does not claim "emission reductions" when CMAQ funds are used on a project; therefore, no changes to vehicles emissions as a result of this funding are reported. See page 14 for more information on how CMAQ funds are used in Idaho.

## VI. AIR QUALI TY CONFORMITY

The Clean Air Act mandates that any transportation project using federal funds or deemed to be "regionally significant," as defined by the Interagency Consultation Committee, in nonattainment or maintenance areas cannot contribute to a degradation of air quality. Thus, transportation plans must "conform" to air quality plans. Transportation conformity is demonstrated in a nonattainment or maintenance area when it can be shown, within the applicable guidelines and regulations, that planned transportation projects listed in a transportation program (e.g., a TIP) or plan will not cause or contribute to exceedances of the U.S. Environmental Protection Agency's (EPA's) health-based air quality standards. A finding of nonconformity would prevent the implementation of certain federally funded and/or regionally significant transportation projects.

The air quality conformity demonstration finds that the projects contained in the FY2022-2028 TIP meet air quality conformity requirements for Northern Ada County.

The Northern Ada County $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: TenYear Update ${ }^{34}$ contains motor vehicle emissions budgets for three pollutants: $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$, oxides of nitrogen, and volatile organic compounds. Emissions budget tests, as required by 40 Code of Federal Regulations (CFR) 93.118, demonstrate conformity of the FY2022-2028 TIP. The Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan ${ }^{35}$ does not contain any motor vehicle emissions budgets. However, COMPASS conducts a build versus no

[^16]build carbon monoxide emissions analysis per the carbon monoxide limited maintenance plan in accordance with EPA's limited maintenance plan option.

The complete air quality conformity demonstration, Conformity Demonstration for the FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program, Report Number $02-2022$, is provided under separate cover and can be found online. ${ }^{36}$

## VII. REGI ONALLY SI GNI FICANT PROJ ECTS

The TIP includes all federally funded and "regionally significant" projects, regardless of funding source, in COMPASS' planning area. If federal funds are not used on the project, the listing is considered more informational in nature and may not include as much detail or review as a federally-funded project.

40 CFR 93.101 defines a regionally significant project as:
... a transportation project (other than an exempt project) that is on a facility which serves regional transportation needs (such as access to and from the area outside of the region, major activity centers in the region, major planned developments such as new retail malls, sports complexes, etc., or transportation terminals as well as most terminals themselves) and would normally be included in the modeling of a metropolitan area's transportation network, including at a minimum all principal arterial highways and all fixed guideway transit facilities that offer an alternative to regional highway travel.

## VIII. LOCAL PLANNI NG ACTI VI TIES

The TIP was developed in accordance with the area's development goals and priorities as specified in the long-range transportation plan. The following planning documents were consulted in preparing this TIP:

2020-2024 Integrated Five-Year Work Plan, ACHD, September 2020.
2040 Long-Range Transportation Plan, ITD, December 2019.
ACHD's 2016 Capital Improvement Plan, ACHD, August 2016.
Blueprint Boise, City of Boise, November 2011.
Campus Master Plan, Boise State University, January 2016.

[^17]Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties, COMPASS, December 2018.

Downtown Boise Mobility Study, VRT, October 2005.
Draft 2021-2025 Integrated Five-Year Work Plan, ACHD, J une 2020.
Draft FY21 to FY27 Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP), Draft for Public Comment, ITD, July 2020.

Idaho Public Transportation Plan, ITD, April 2018.
Northern Ada County Air Quality Maintenance Area Second 10-Year Carbon Monoxide Limited Maintenance Plan, Idaho Department of Environmental Quality (DEQ), September 2012.

Northern Ada County $\mathrm{PM}_{10}$ State Implementation Plan, Maintenance Plan: Ten-Year Update, DEQ, March $2013{ }^{37}$.

State Street Corridor Strategic Plan, ACHD and City of Boise, February 2004.
State Street Corridor Transit Oriented Development Policy Guidelines, State Street Corridor partners, April 2008.

State Street Transit and Traffic Operational Plan, Implementation Plan, ACHD, City of Boise, and Valley Regional Transit, June 2011.

Treasure Valley Transportation System: Operations, Management, and ITS, March 2014.

ValleyConnect 2.0, VRT, April 2018.
${ }^{37}$ On May 17, 2013, EPA announced receipt of the maintenance plan and issued determination of adequacy of the motor vehicle emission budgets for transportation conformity purposes.

## IX. FINANCI AL CONSTRAI NT

The TIP is a financially driven document. Financial constraint is required by federal law and guidance, meaning that the TIP can only be programmed to the level that funding is available. In addition, financial constraint must show that agencies receiving the funds programmed through this document are able to continually maintain and operate the transportation systems for which they receive funding. Based on COMPASS' analyses, funding is reasonably assumed to be available for the projects contained in this document.

COMPASS uses ITD's funding assumptions to estimate available funds for highways and public transportation programs.

## A. Highway Funding Assumptions

Highway funding assumptions for individual funding sources are based on apportionment levels provided in the FAST Act and from ITD forecasts of state funding levels. Actual funding levels are often not known until after the beginning of each fiscal year (October 1). Therefore, assumptions are made regarding future funding to allow uninterrupted development of projects. The following list of highway funding assumptions and guidelines was used to develop the highway funding levels shown in the TIP.

## 1. Federal-Aid Funding Assumptions

Program funding levels are reflective of apportionments in the FAST Act. FY2022 through FY2028 funding levels are flat-lined based on FY2020 apportionments, as the FAST Act expired in FY2020, and is currently extended through December 3, 2021.

Funds in the list of projects (Appendix E) are shown in year-ofexpenditure dollars, meaning the costs are inflated to reflect anticipated costs based on the year the project will occur. Inflation is estimated at 2\% annually.

Obligation authority is assumed to be equal to $100 \%$ of estimated apportionments. Funding forecasts do not include year-end redistribution or obligation authority not used by other states.

## 2. State Funding Assumptions

Estimates of state funds available for capital construction take into account projected revenues, the availability of state matching funds for federal aid, and other operational needs not shown in ITD's funding program.

The amount of state highway funding can be impacted by legislation passed in any given year. Two bills were passed in FY2021 that affect transportation funding.

House Bill 308 provides for a cash transfer of $\$ 126$ million from the general fund to the Local Highway Distribution Account and the Idaho Transportation Department. The bill highlights a portion of that funding to be budgeted in SFY2021.

House Bill 362 increases the sales tax transfer to the Transportation Expansion and Congestion Mitigation fund from 1\% to $4.5 \%$, or a minimum of $\$ 80$ million, beginning in SFY2022. In addition, the bill includes a provision for funds in excess of $\$ 80$ million to be distributed to local agencies through the Local Highway Distribution Account.

As a reminder, Senate Bill 1201, passed during the 2019 Legislative Session removes the Idaho State Police funding from the Highway Distribution Account formula over a period of five years beginning with the FY2022 appropriation. This action results in an additional $\$ 1.9$ million per year over those five years, for a total of approximately \$11 million when the transition is complete in SFY2026.

The estimated state funding for FY2022 through FY2028 for highway capital construction ranges from \$111,900,000 to \$167,300,000 annually.

## 3. GARVEE Bonding Debt Assumptions

ITD uses GARVEE bonds to help fund transportation projects. These bonds do not pledge the full faith and credit of the state; ITD uses federal highway revenue to repay them. Idaho Code allows no more than $30 \%$ of ITD's federal apportionment to be used for debt service.

Prior to FY2017, the Idaho Legislature authorized ITD to secure financing to fund $\$ 857,000,000$ of infrastructure improvements in the GARVEE program. Projects funded by those pre-FY2017 authorizations were completed and closed out during FY2016.

In March 2017, the Idaho Legislature authorized the issuance of up to $\$ 300,000,000$ in new GARVEE bonds to fund additional projects to be selected by the Idaho Transportation Board.

Estimated debt service is approximately $\$ 66,900,000$ annually, including the original \$857,000,000 and the new \$300,000,000 legislative authorization. Approximately $\$ 62,000,000$ of the debt service are federal funds and approximately $\$ 4,900,000$ are state matching funds.

## B. Public Transportation Funding Assumptions

ITD is the direct recipient of FTA funding for rural and some small urban programs, and VRT is the direct recipient for large urban and other small urban programs. The funds managed by ITD and VRT support fixed route and paratransit services, mobility management, program administration support,
transportation services for the elderly and people with disabilities, buses and bus facilities, and general operations and maintenance of the public transportation system in Ada and Canyon Counties.

The FAST Act expired on September 30, 2020, and is currently extended through early November 2021. Recipients of federal funds typically assume the same levels of funding for planning purposes until a new authorization bill is passed by Congress. Based on this assumption, the total estimated federal funding for rural and small urban programs for FY2022 is $\$ 13.8$ million.

Total estimated funding under the extended FAST Act for non-ITD recipients (transit service providers in the urbanized areas, such as VRT) is estimated to be $\$ 13.6$ million in FY2022.

Dedicated state funds of \$312,000 annually are available for vehicle replacement needs under the Vehicle Investment Program and are awarded through a statewide competitive application process.

## C. Reference to MPOs

The Idaho STIP does not list projects located in MPO planning areas, but refers to the local TIP in each MPO area; therefore, all projects located in Ada or Canyon Counties are only listed in the COMPASS TIP. Unlike ITD, the COMPASS Board of Directors opted not to group projects, so all projects are listed individually in the COMPASS TIP.

## Financial Status of ITD Projects

The FY2022-2028 STIP provides financial data and financial constraint for ITD projects.

ITD seeks to make the best use of its resources and assets through a mixture of performance management and financing options. Idaho's transportation revenue comes from three sources. The State of Idaho receives $46.0 \%$ of its transportation funds from federal revenues such as the National Highway Trust Fund and the Airport and Airways Trust Fund, 51.7\% from state revenues generated by transportation-related taxes and fees paid by Idaho citizens into the state's Highway Distribution Account (HDA) and air-fuel tax accounts, and 2.3\% from other revenues such as local match (Table 24).

Table 24: ITD Appropriations - FY2022

| Fund Sources | State | Federal | Other | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Distribution of Highway User Revenue to ITD | $\$ 301.6$ | - | - | $\$ 301.6$ |
| Cigarette Tax - for Debt Service (state match) | $\$ 4.7$ | - | - | $\$ 4.7$ |
| Cigarette Tax - for Transportation Expansion/Congestion <br> Mitigation (TECM) | $\$ 0.6$ | - | - | $\$ 0.6$ |
| $1 \%$ Sales Tax - for Transportation Expansion/Congestion <br> Mitigation (TECM) | $\$ 19.3$ | - | - | $\$ 19.3$ |
| Aviation Fuel Tax | $\$ 2.1$ | - | - | $\$ 2.1$ |
| Federal | - | $\$ 340.0$ | - | $\$ 340.0$ |
| Local Match | - | - | $\$ 4.7$ | $\$ 4.7$ |
| Services for State Agencies | - | - | $\$ 0.3$ | $\$ 0.3$ |
| Miscellaneous State | $\$ 47.6$ | - | - | $\$ 47.6$ |
| FHWA Funds Appropriated as State | $\$ 25.0$ | $(\$ 25.0)$ | - | $\$ 0$ |
| Prior Year Federal Authority Obligated | - | $\$ 40.0$ | - | $\$ 40.0$ |
| Pre-FY2022 Funding Received / Secured | $\$ 23.3$ | $\$ 35.4$ | $\$ 14.5$ | $\$ 73.2$ |
| Cash Adjustment | $\$ 16.0$ | - | - | $\$ 16.0$ |
|  | $\mathbf{\$ 4 4 0 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 3 9 0 . 4}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{8 5 0 . 1}$ |


| Expenditures |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Personnel | \$117.7 | \$14.8 | \$0.4 | \$132.9 |
| Operating | \$90.9 | \$8.6 | \$0.2 | \$99.7 |
| Capital Facilities | \$3.6 | - | - | \$3.6 |
| Equipment | \$30.2 | - | - | \$30.2 |
| Sub-Grantee (Pass-Through) | \$3.2 | \$20.9 | - | \$24.1 |
| Construct Construction and Right-of-Way Acquisition | \$188.6 | \$284.6 | \$18.9 | \$492.2 |
| Total Expenditures (spending authority) | \$434.2 | \$328.9 $\mathbf{\$ 1 9 . 5}$ $\mathbf{\$ 7 8 2 . 6}$ <br> $46.3 \%$ $2.3 \%$  |  |  |
|  | 54.1\% |  |  |  |
| Debt Service | \$5.0 | \$61.5 | - | \$66.5 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| Total Program Funding | \$439.1 | \$390.4 | \$19.5 | \$849.1 |
|  | 51.7\% | 46.0\% | 2.3\% |  |
| Funds in Excess of Appropriation | \$1.1 | - | (\$0.1) | \$1.0 |

Amounts in millions and rounded.
HDA revenue is obtained from various sources and distributed to multiple agencies. ITD's revenue forecast (Table 25) provides the summary of how these funds are forecasted for FY2022.

Table 25: ITD Highway User Revenue - FY2022

| Revenue Sources | HDA | New <br> Revenue | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Motor Fuel Taxes <br> Less: Parks, Tax Commission, Refunds, Railroad, Bridge <br> Inspection | $\$ 283.5$ | $\$ 72.6$ | $\$ 356.1$ |
| Less: Ethanol transfer to ITD | $(\$ 21.2)$ |  | $(\$ 21.2)$ |
| Net Motor Fuel to Distribute | $(\$ 17.7)$ |  | $(\$ 17.7)$ |
| Registrations | $\$ 244.6$ | $\$ 72.6$ | $\$ 317.2$ |
| Other | $\$ 122.5$ | $\$ 41.1$ | $\$ 163.6$ |
| Net to Distribute | $\$ 11.2$ | $\$ 378.2$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 3 . 8}$ |


| Distributions | HDA | New <br> Revenue | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| ITD (57\% HDA) / (60\% New Revenue) | $\$ 215.6$ | $\$ 68.3$ | $\$ 283.9$ |
| Ethanol Transfer to ITD | $\$ 17.7$ |  | $\$ 17.7$ |
| Total to ITD | $\$ 233.3$ | $\$ 68.3$ | $\$ 301.6$ |
| Idaho State Police (5\% HDA) / (0\% New Revenue) | $\$ 18.9$ |  | $\$ 18.9$ |
| Locals (38\% HDA) / (40\% New Revenue) | $\$ 143.7$ | $\$ 45.5$ | $\$ 189.2$ |
| Total Distributions | $\mathbf{\$ 3 7 8 . 2}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 1 3 . 8}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 9 2 . 0}$ |


| Sub-Allocation of Locals Distribution | HDA | New <br> Revenue | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Locals (38\% HDA) / (40\% New Revenue) | $\mathbf{\$ 1 4 3 . 7}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 4 5 . 5}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 1 8 9 . 2}$ |
| Less: LHTAC | $(\$ 0.5)$ | $(\$ 0.1)$ | $(\$ 0.6)$ |
| Net Local to Distribute | $\$ 143.2$ | $\$ 45.4$ | $\$ 188.6$ |
| Cities (30\%) | $\$ 43.0$ | $\$ 13.6$ | $\$ 56.6$ |
| Counties and Highway Districts (70\%) | $\$ 100.2$ | $\$ 31.8$ | $\$ 132.0$ |

Amounts in millions and rounded.
Based on August 2021 Forecast
New revenue is the result of 2015 legislation (HB312)

ITD's STIP outlines the department's transportation revenues and expenditures for capital improvement and preservation projects from FY2022 through FY2028. It contains projects impacting highways, public transportation, aeronautics, bicycle and pedestrian facilities, and safety that will both maintain and improve a wide variety of transportation choices in all areas of the state. It also includes reference to projects found in Idaho's five MPOs' TIPs.

ITD's budget policy section estimates revenues into the state HDA based on past performance and future economic and use factors (Table 26). The amounts shown include the match and federal funds estimated to be available to programmed projects.

Table 26: Available Funding* with Match vs. Programmed Projects DRAFT FY2022-2028 Idaho Transportation Investment Program

| Amounts in \$1,000 and rounded. <br> Program Name | Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY2026* |  | (PREL for Locals) FY2027* |  | FY2028* |  | Sum FY22-28 |  |
|  | FY2022* |  | FY2023* |  | FY2024* |  | FY2025* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program |
| Pavement Preservation (Commerce) | 38,523 | 28,884 | 8,803 | 12,564 | 20,973 | 24,284 | 17,413 | 24,441 | 20,628 | 19,383 | 39,081 | 33,752 | 29,642 | 2,818 | 174,343 | 146,126 |
| Pavement Preservation (NonCommerce) | 12,681 | 12,432 | 10,813 | 10,601 | 6,459 | 6,332 | 7,608 | 7,549 | 6,035 | 5,118 | 12,878 | 12,625 | 15,983 | 799 | 72,458 | 55,366 |
| Pavement Restoration | 81,113 | 61,840 | 65,459 | 64,933 | 68,593 | 72,617 | 70,741 | 78,044 | 109,200 | 110,329 | 72,638 | 68,203 | 73,282 | 1,338 | 541,027 | 457,304 |
| State Highway System (SHS) Pavements | 132,318 | 103,156 | 84,355 | 88,098 | 96,025 | 103,233 | 95,763 | 109,944 | 135,863 | 134,830 | 124,597 | 114,580 | 118,908 | 4,955 | 787,828 | 658,796 |
| Bridge Preservation | 15,074 | 14,222 | 29,083 | 24,967 | 17,282 | 16,409 | 12,899 | 12,122 | 15,665 | 14,844 | 14,753 | 13,960 | 13,320 | 2,000 | 118,075 | 98,524 |
| Bridge Restoration | 71,143 | 64,451 | 93,465 | 89,269 | 87,790 | 83,751 | 94,461 | 90,336 | 68,740 | 65,165 | 55,347 | 52,078 | 57,718 | 2,886 | 528,663 | 447,936 |
| SHS Bridges | 86,217 | 78,673 | 122,548 | 114,236 | 105,072 | 100,160 | 107,360 | 102,458 | 84,405 | 80,009 | 70,100 | 66,038 | 71,038 | 4,886 | 646,739 | 546,460 |
| Supporting Infrastructure Assets | 7,000 | 7,340 | 6,863 | 7,285 | 6,728 | 523 | 6,596 | 513 | 6,467 | 550 | 6,340 | 610 | 6,216 |  | 46,210 | 16,821 |
| Safety Safety - Rest Areas |  | 46,551 |  | 56,267 |  | 45,349 |  | 41,476 |  | 18,993 |  | 33,143 |  | - | - | 241,779 |
| Capacity |  | 63,579 |  | 46,541 |  | 46,207 |  | 43,887 |  | 41,695 |  | 44,854 |  | 3,552 |  | 290,315 |
| Safety \& Capacity Total | 118,982 | 110,130 | 107,831 | 102,808 | 96,296 | 91,556 | 89,923 | 85,363 | 64,697 | 60,688 | 82,298 | 77,997 | 71,038 | 3,552 | 631,065 | 532,094 |
| (Row 4+7+8+9+12) SHS CORE | 344,517 | 299,299 | 321,597 | 312,427 | 304,121 | 295,472 | 299,642 | 298,278 | 291,432 | 276,077 | 283,335 | 259,225 | 267,199 | 13,393 | 2,111,842 | 1,754,171 |
| Early Development | - | - | - | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Formula Debt Service + Fees \& Interest2 | 69,512 | 69,512 | 69,537 | 69,537 | 69,552 | 69,552 | 69,613 | 69,613 | 69,640 | 69,640 | 71,071 | 71,071 | 72,510 | 72,510 | 491,435 | 491,435 |
| SHS Strategic | 69,512 | 69,512 | 69,537 | 69,537 | 69,552 | 69,552 | 69,613 | 69,613 | 69,640 | 69,640 | 71,071 | 71,071 | 72,510 | 72,510 | 491,435 | 491,435 |
| System Support | 6,500 | 7,727 | 6,500 | 7,596 | 6,500 | 7,596 | 6,500 | 7,626 | 6,500 | 500 | 6,500 | 500 | 6,500 | - | 45,500 | 31,545 |
| State Board Unallocated | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 35,000 | 35,000 |
| SHS Other | 11,500 | 12,727 | 11,500 | 12,596 | 11,500 | 12,596 | 11,500 | 12,626 | 11,500 | 5,500 | 11,500 | 5,500 | 11,500 | 5,000 | 80,500 | 66,545 |
| Planning \& Scoping | 1,835 | 1,835 | 1,625 | 1,625 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 | 1,350 |  | - |  |  |  |  | 6,160 | 6,160 |
| Metropolitan Planning | 1,873 | 1,895 | 1,873 | 1,895 | 1,873 | 1,895 | 1,873 | 1,895 | 1,873 | - | - | - | - |  | 9,364 | 7,580 |
| State Planning and Research | 6,982 | 7,090 | 6,994 | 7,090 | 6,982 | 7,090 | 6,983 | 7,066 | 6,983 | - | - |  | - | - | 34,924 | 28,336 |
| Highway Planning | 10,690 | 10,820 | 10,492 | 10,610 | 10,205 | 10,335 | 10,206 | 10,311 | 8,856 | - |  |  | - |  | 50,448 | 42,076 |
| Recreational Trails | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | 1,711 | - |  | - | - | - | 8,553 | 6,844 |
| Transportation Alternatives (TAP) | 3,822 | 3,518 | 3,822 | 4,794 | 3,822 | - | 3,822 | - | 3,822 | - | - | - | - | - | 19,109 | 8,312 |
| Freight | 10,322 | 7,275 | 10,138 | 8,633 | 9,922 | - | 9,728 | 4,006 | 9,537 | 2,706 | 9,350 | 12,225 | 9,167 | - | 68,163 | 34,845 |
| Congestion Mitigation/Air Quality (CMAQ) | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |
| Highway Statewide Competitive |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| STBG - Local Urban | 8,800 | 8,454 | 8,628 | 8,361 | 8,458 | 8,344 | 8,292 | 8,619 | 8,130 | - | 30,000 | 29,679 | - | - | 72,308 | 63,457 |
| STBG - Transportation Mgt Area | 10,814 | 10,601 | 10,602 | 10,464 | 10,394 | 10,259 | 10,190 | 10,058 | 9,991 | - | 19,589 | 18,932 | - | - | 71,580 | 60,314 |
| TAP - Transportation Mgt Area | 480 | 470 | 470 | 461 | 461 | 452 | 452 | 443 | 443 | - | 869 | 664 | - | - | 3,176 | 2,490 |
| STBG - Local Rural | 14,542 | 14,263 | 14,151 | 10,865 | 13,770 | 10,959 | 13,398 | 10,089 | 13,135 | - | 39,337 | 39,337 | - | - | 108,332 | 85,513 |
| Bridge, Local | 5,447 | 3,485 | 5,340 | 6,970 | 5,235 | 3,904 | 5,133 | 4,915 | 5,032 | - | 21,135 | 24,261 | - | - | 47,323 | 43,535 |
| Bridge, Off System | 4,085 | 2,561 | 4,005 | 4,858 | 3,926 | 7,057 | 3,849 | 5,570 | 3,774 | - | 7,838 | 7,838 | - | ${ }^{-}$ | 27,477 | 27,884 |
| Safety - Local | 8,837 | 8,729 | 8,672 | 8,558 | 8,495 | 8,391 | 8,328 | 8,226 | 8,165 | - | 8,005 | 8,064 | 7,848 | 7,907 | 58,349 | 49,875 |
| Safety - Railroad Crossings | 2,196 | 2,015 | 2,196 | 1,755 | 2,196 | 1,515 | 2,196 | 2,090 | 2,196 | - | 2,196 | 2,191 | 2,196 | 2,191 | 15,371 | 11,757 |
| Highway Local | 55,201 | 50,578 | 54,063 | 52,292 | 52,935 | 50,881 | 51,839 | 50,010 | 50,866 | - | 128,969 | 130,966 | 11,096 | 11,096 | 403,917 | 344,825 |


| Amounts in \$1,000 and rounded. <br> Program Name | Idaho Transportation Investment Program (ITIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Statewide Transportation Improvement Program (STIP) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | FY2026* |  | (PREL for Locals)FY2027* FY2027* |  | FY2028* |  | Sum FY22-28 |  |
|  | FY2022* |  | FY2023* |  | FY2024* |  | FY2025* |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program | Available | Program |
| LHTAC ProgramsHighway Federal Formula \& State Funds | 32,911 | 29,038 | 32,167 | 31,251 | 31,426 | 30,311 | 30,708 | 28,800 | 30,106 |  | 76,315 | 79,500 | 7,848 | 7,907 | 241,481 | 206,807 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| High Priority (SAFETEA-LU) <br> High Priority (TEA-21) <br> Discretionary \& Earmarks \& Applications | - |  |  |  | - | - | - | - |  | - | - | - |  | - |  |  |
|  | - | - |  | - | - | - | - | - | - | - | - |  |  | - |  |  |
| Emergency Relief |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Federal Lands Access | 13,898 | 17,110 | 13,898 | 18,482 | 13,898 | 18,482 | 13,898 | 1,686 | 13,898 | - | - |  |  |  | 69,490 | 54,977 |
| Indian Reservation Roads | 1,007 | 1,007 | 457 | 457 | 457 | 457 | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - | 2,351 | 2,351 |
|  | 2,262 | 2,262 | 2,062 | 2,062 | 2,062 | 2,062 | 462 | 462 |  | - | - | - | - | - | 7,048 | 7,048 |
| Highway Other Federal Programs Federal Non-Participating | 17,167 | 20,379 | 16,417 | 21,001 | 17,047 | 20,848 | 14,360 | 2,148 | 13,898 |  | - |  |  | - | 78,889 | 64,376 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| Local/Private Partnership | 448 | 448 | 2,175 | 2,175 | 380 | 380 | 1,995 | 1,995 | - | - | 780 | 780 | - | - | 5,778 | 5,778 |
| Highway Other Programs GARVEE 2017 Legis/ative Authorization 2 | 448 | 448 | 2,175 | 2,175 | 380 | 380 | 1,995 | 1,995 | - | - | 780 | 780 | - | - | 5778 | 13,577 |
|  | 78,697 | 78,697 |  | - | - | - | - | - | - |  | - |  | - | - | 78,697 | 78,697 |
| Highways Total | 562,407 | 558,715 | 485,792 | 498,359 | 494,239 | 517,040 | 485,724 | 493,845 | 483,722 | 490,477 | 537,248 | 538,674 | 410,041 | 406,435 | 3,459,172 | 3,503,544 |
| Capital | 16,925 | 16,925 | 16,730 | 16,730 | 16,163 | 16,163 | 16,420 | 16,420 | 15,823 | 15,823 | 8,393 | 8,393 | - | - | 90,454 | 90,454 |
| Operations | 55,020 | 55,020 | 27,792 | 27,792 | 27,862 | 27,862 | 27,900 | 27,900 | 27,918 | 27,918 | 2,624 | 2,624 | - | - | 169,116 | 169,116 |
| New Airport Facility Public Transit Total | 71,945 | 71,945 | 44,522 | 44,522 | 44,025 | 44,025 | 44,320 | 44,320 | 43,741 | 43,741 | 11,017 | 11,017 | - | - | 259,570 | 259,570 |
|  | 5,444 | 5,444 | 13,559 | 13,559 | 5,308 | 5,308 | 9,212 | 9,212 | 7,711 | 7,711 | 5,399 | 5,399 | 150 | 150 | 46,783 | 46,783 |
| Airport Facility Maintenance | 32,275 | 32,275 | 21,277 | 21,277 | 29,751 | 29,751 | 26,373 | 26,373 | 14,042 | 14,042 | 5,399 | 5,399 | 150 | 150 | 129,267 | 129,267 |
| Airport Planning | 266 | 266 | 1,867 | 1,867 | 884 | 884 | 558 | 558 | 493 | 493 | 5,279 | 5,279 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 14,347 | 14,347 |
| Aviation System Planning | 583 | 583 | 289 | 289 | - | - | 311 | 311 | - | - | 407 | 407 | - | - | 1,590 | 1,590 |
| Aeronautics Total Grand Total | 38,568 | 38,568 | 36,992 | 36,992 | 35,943 | 35,943 | 36,454 | 36,454 | 22,246 | 22,246 | 16,484 | 16,484 | 5,300 | 5,300 | 191,987 | 191,987 |
|  | 672,920 | 669,228 | 567,306 | 579,873 | 574,207 | 597,008 | 566,498 | 574,619 | 549,709 | 556,464 | 564,749 | 566,175 | 415,341 | 411,735 | 3,910,729 | 3,955,101 |
|  | Funding levels assume $100 \%$ Obligation Authority Includes set-asides for short/under-programmed programs Grant Anticipation Revenue Vehicle (GARVEE) bonds provide no net additional transportation funding as they PREL $=$ preliminary d development, or beyond the first five years of the protran PREL = preliminary development, or beyond the first five years of the program <br> TEA-21 (Transportation Equity Act for the $21^{\text {st }}$ Century) $=$ the transportation authorization bill enacted in 1998 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

## Financial Status of Project Sponsoring Entities

## Roadway Jurisdictions

While local agencies with roadway jurisdiction develop their own budgets and allocate funding to transportation projects in their jurisdictions, each agency is required to report budgetary information to ITD on an annual basis through the annual "Road and Street Report." The following information is summarized from each agency's Road and Street Report to provide background budgetary information.

In FY2020, the local roadway jurisdictions in Ada and Canyon Counties budgeted only $3.15 \%$ of their budget to capital construction projects. The majority of their funds (54.46\%) were budgeted for reconstruction and routine maintenance, demonstrating that maintaining the existing system is a very high priority for the region. Another $26.16 \%$ was budgeted for "other expenses," such as property purchase and engineering. Equipment consumed 9.26\% of the budgets. Finally, administrative costs were $6.96 \%$ of the overall expenses for roadway jurisdictions.

The local roadway jurisdictions collectively reported on their FY2020 Road and Street Reports that almost $\$ 99$ million of maintenance work is currently deferred, due to lack of funding. Tables 27 through 29 provide FY2020 financial information from local agencies with roadway jurisdictions in Ada and Canyon Counties.
Additional information is provided in Appendix C. Detailed information is available by contacting COMPASS staff at info@compassidaho.org.

Table 27: Total Local Income Sources for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2020

|  | Total Local <br> Income |  |  |  | Total State <br> Income |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Total <br> Federal <br> Income |  |  |  |  | Total <br> Income |
| ACHD | $\$ 88,916,822$ | $\$ 36,877,737$ | $\$ 1,773,565$ | $\$ 127,568,124$ |  |
| Canyon Highway District | $\$ 6,654,018$ | $\$ 3,202,642$ | $\$ 151,393$ | $\$ 10,008,053$ |  |
| Golden Gate Highway District | $\$ 1,413,652$ | $\$ 1,321,439$ | $\$ 11,514$ | $\$ 2,746,605$ |  |
| Nampa Highway District | $\$ 9,017,092$ | $\$ 4,604,210$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 13,621,303$ |  |
| Notus-Parma Highway District | $\$ 1,117,671$ | $\$ 1,181,149$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 2,298,820$ |  |
| Cities | $\$ 5,117,200$ | $\$ 3,157,251$ | $\$ 132,790$ | $\$ 8,407,241$ |  |
| Caldwell | $\$ 48,014$ | $\$ 140,807$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 188,821$ |  |
| Greenleaf | $\$ 19,551$ | $\$ 60,273$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 79,824$ |  |
| Melba | $\$ 1,769,241$ | $\$ 504,884$ | $\$ 79,784$ | $\$ 2,353,909$ |  |
| Middleton | $\$ 11,125,734$ | $\$ 4,554,868$ | $\$ 522,546$ | $\$ 16,203,148$ |  |
| Nampa | $\$ 23,921$ | $\$ 129,095$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 153,016$ |  |
| Notus | $\$ 182,531$ | $\$ 103,782$ | $\$ 0$ | $\$ 286,313$ |  |
| Parma | $\$ 36,935$ | $\$ 82,628$ | $\$ 23,000$ | $\$ 142,563$ |  |
| Wilder | $\$ 125,442,382$ | $\$ 55,920,765$ | $\$ 2,694,592$ | $\$ 184,057,740$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |

Source: 2020 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2020.

Table 28: Total Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2020

|  | Total Construction Costs | Total Reconstruction Costs | Total Routine Maintenance | Total Equipment | Administration | * Other <br> Expenses | Total Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$2,213,591 | \$45,052,855 | \$18,787,792 | \$7,451,609 | \$7,443,151 | \$32,287,783 | \$113,236,781 |
| Canyon Highway District | \$0 | \$3,484,545 | \$1,618,629 | \$1,356,905 | \$681,993 | \$719,720 | \$7,861,792 |
| Golden Gate Highway District | \$0 | \$436,121 | \$973,027 | \$508,481 | \$338,080 | \$135,174 | \$2,390,883 |
| Nampa Highway District | \$761,704 | \$2,398,567 | \$3,679,957 | \$835,193 | \$542,506 | \$3,410,165 | \$11,628,092 |
| Notus-Parma Highway District | \$0 | \$183,312 | \$624,896 | \$485,524 | \$349,855 | \$131,172 | \$1,774,759 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$1,659,230 | \$1,121,333 | \$1,439,979 | \$1,742,336 | \$593,473 | \$1,929,757 | \$8,486,108 |
| Greenleaf | \$0 | \$138,883 | \$2,357 | \$12,708 | \$21,241 | \$13,632 | \$188,821 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$0 | \$37,186 | \$374 | \$25,164 | \$15,627 | \$78,351 |
| Middleton | \$464,126 | \$43,601 | \$167,405 | \$99,730 | \$86,278 | \$701,523 | \$1,562,663 |
| Nampa | \$0 | \$4,654,511 | \$2,902,205 | \$2,268,483 | \$1,041,119 | \$2,901,086 | \$13,767,404 |
| Notus | \$0 | \$0 | \$108,304 | \$1,010 | \$455 | \$9,425 | \$119,194 |
| Parma | \$0 | \$86,710 | \$77,851 | \$195,135 | \$68,513 | \$35,864 | \$464,073 |
| Wilder | \$0 | \$26,409 | \$21,024 | \$19,619 | \$59,901 | \$9,800 | \$136,753 |
| Total | \$5,098,651 | \$57,626,847 | \$30,440,612 | \$14,977,107 | \$11,251,729 | \$42,300,728 | \$161,695,674 |

*Other expenses include property purchase, audits, engineering services, payments to local governments, etc. Source: 2020 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2020.

Table 29: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2020

|  | Beginning Balance | Total I ncome | Total Disburseme nts | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjust | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for projects | Retained for operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$30,966,447 | \$127,568,124 | \$113,236,781 | \$14,331,343 |  | \$45,297,790 | \$45,297,790 |  |
| Canyon Highway District | \$5,103,079 | \$10,008,053 | \$7,861,792 | \$2,146,261 | -\$39,425 | \$7,209,915 | \$6,709,915 | \$500,000 |
| Golden Gate Highway District | \$2,021,045 | \$2,746,605 | \$2,390,883 | \$355,722 |  | \$2,376,767 | \$2,168,766 | \$208,001 |
| Nampa Highway District | \$9,834,821 | \$13,621,303 | \$11,628,092 | \$1,993,210 |  | \$11,828,031 | \$9,348,139 | \$2,479,892 |
| Notus-Parma Highway District | \$468,574 | \$2,298,820 | \$1,774,759 | \$524,061 |  | \$992,635 | \$792,635 | \$200,000 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$6,532,747 | \$8,407,241 | \$8,486,108 | -\$78,867 |  | \$6,453,880 |  |  |
| Greenleaf | \$120,346 | \$188,821 | \$188,821 | \$0 |  | \$120,346 | \$120,346 |  |
| Melba |  | \$79,824 | \$78,351 | \$1,473 |  | \$1,473 | \$1,473 |  |
| Middleton |  | \$2,353,909 | \$1,562,663 | \$791,246 |  | \$791,246 | \$791,246 |  |
| Nampa | \$8,693,710 | \$16,203,148 | \$13,767,404 | \$2,435,744 |  | \$11,129,454 |  |  |
| Notus |  | \$153,016 | \$119,194 | \$33,822 |  | \$33,822 | \$33,822 |  |
| Parma | \$443,372 | \$286,313 | \$464,073 | -\$177,760 |  | \$265,612 | \$61,500 | \$204,112 |
| Wilder | \$171,931 | \$142,563 | \$136,753 | \$5,810 |  | \$177,741 | \$177,741 |  |
| Total | \$57,304,429 | \$175,299,675 | \$165,146,631 | \$10,153,044 | \$436,084 | \$67,021,389 | \$46,869,133 | \$4,916,630 |

Source: 2020 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2020.

VRT, the regional transit authority, is the main recipient of the FTA funds in the Treasure Valley - specifically formula funds for the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas and programs for elderly persons or persons with disabilities and for bus and bus facilities in the Boise Urbanized Area.

All local match for the large and small urban areas, outside of directly generated revenues, comes from the voluntary contributions of local jurisdictions from their general funds. Local contributions are determined through the annual budgeting process of each local jurisdiction. This ties VRT's funding levels directly to the annual funding priorities of local jurisdictions. Future service and capital expenditures depend on local jurisdiction priorities and financial capacity; as local priorities change, so does the forecast for public transportation service levels and capital investments.

Budget projections, including inflation, predict that without additional funding, service could be negatively affected and/or VRT would need to push out needed maintenance and capital projects in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas. See additional details in Appendix C. VRT reports a capital shortfall of $\$ 17$ million.

Table 30 provides VRT's FY2021 summary budget. VRT's future budget is based on historical trends and assumes continuation of funding from local jurisdictions at least at the current rate, plus an approximate $2 \%$ increase to cover inflation.

In order to provide more certainty to the public transportation program, VRT develops a five year service and capital plan called the Transportation Development Plan (TDP). This plan will provide more opportunities to align local funding priorities with multi-year public transportation investments. VRT presented the first draft of the TDP to its board in August 2021 and expects a final TDP to be adopted in October 2022.

Table 30: VRT FY2022 Budget Summary by Budget Area
Fiscal Year 2022 Budget Budget Summary by Budget Area

| Revenues |  |  | EXPENSES |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Regional Overhead |  |  | Regional Overhead |  |  |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues |  | 43,750 | Wages and Salaries |  | \$ | 1,897,364 |
| Auxiliary Revenues |  | 519,939 | Fringe Benefits |  |  | 1,230,392 |
| Federal Assistance |  | 2,667,139 | Professional Services |  |  | 1,097,655 |
| Local Assistance |  | 2,335,187 | Materials and Supplies |  |  | 98,800 |
|  | TOTAL \$ | 5,566,016 | Utilities |  |  | 131,783 |
|  |  |  | Casualty and Liability |  |  | 24,361 |
|  |  |  | Purchased Transportation |  |  | - |
|  |  |  | Miscellaneous |  |  | 528,306 |
|  |  |  | Subrecipient Pass Through |  |  | 492,000 |
|  |  |  | Interest |  |  | 400 |
|  |  |  | Leases and Rentals |  |  | 64,955 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 5,566,016 |
| Ada County Transportation Services |  |  | Ada County Transportation Services |  |  |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues |  | 380,997 | Wages and Salaries |  | \$ | 4,719,150 |
| Auxiliary Revenues |  | 76,142 | Fringe Benefits |  |  | 3,875,711 |
| Federal AssistanceLocal Assistance |  | 4,360,703 | Professional Services |  |  | 642,418 |
|  |  | 6,442,357 | Materials and Supplies |  |  | 989,500 |
|  | TOTAL \$ | 11,260,199 | Utilities |  |  | 160,394 |
|  |  |  | Casualty and Liability |  |  | 557,343 |
|  |  |  | Purchased Transportation |  |  |  |
|  |  |  | Miscellaneous |  |  | 183,760 |
|  |  |  | Interest |  |  | - |
|  |  |  | Leases and Rentals |  |  | 131,924 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 11,260,199 |
| Canyon County Transportation Services |  |  | Canyon County Transportation Services |  |  |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues | \$ | 82,393 | Wages and Salaries |  | \$ | 1,158,719 |
| Auxiliary Revenues |  | 23,260 | Fringe Benefits |  |  | 585,388 |
| Federal Assistance |  | 1,995,499 | Professional Services |  |  | 191,405 |
| Local Assistance |  | 418,797 | Materials and Supplies |  |  | 348,010 |
|  | TOTAL | 2,519,950 | Utilities |  |  | 48,031 |
|  |  |  | Casualty and Liability |  |  | 156,538 |
|  |  |  | Purchased Transportation |  |  | - |
|  |  |  | Miscellaneous |  |  | 23,759 |
|  |  |  | Interest |  |  | - |
|  |  |  | Leases and Rentals |  |  | 8,100 |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,519,950 |
| Specialized Transportation Services |  |  | Specialized Transportation Services |  |  |  |
| Directly Generated Revenues | \$ | - | Wages and Salaries |  | \$ | 251,882 |
| Auxiliary Revenues |  | - | Fringe Benefits |  |  | 173,326 |
| Federal Assistance |  | 1,851,184 | Professional Services |  |  | 249,175 |
| Local Assistance |  | 1,042,442 | Materials and Supplies |  |  | 125,850 |
|  | TOTAL $\$$ | 2,893,626 | Utilities |  |  | 7,700 |
|  |  |  | Casualty and Liability |  |  | 83,000 |
|  |  |  | Purchased Transportation |  |  | 1,981,943 |
|  |  |  | Miscellaneous |  |  | 20,750 |
|  |  |  | Interest |  |  | - |
|  |  |  | Leases and Rentals |  |  | - |
|  |  |  |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,893,626 |
| Capital Projects |  |  | Capital Projects |  |  |  |
| Federal Capital Assistance | \$ | 2,288,000 | VRT |  | \$ | 2,860,000 |
| Local Capital Assistance |  | 572,000 | Subrecipient - Pass Through |  |  | - |
| Subrecipient Pass Through |  | - |  | TOTAL | \$ | 2,860,000 |
|  | TOTAL \$ | 2,860,000 |  |  |  |  |
| Grand Total Revenues | \$ | 25,099,791 | Grand Total Expenses |  | \$ | 25,099,791 |

## General Statement of Financial Constraint

The projects programmed in this document can reasonably be funded through anticipated funding sources. The percentage of programmed funds per available funds for each year is provided in Table 31.

Table 31: Percentage of Programmed vs. Available Funds Per Year

| 2022 | 2023 | 2024 | 2025 | 2026 | PD |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $99.83 \%$ | $99.94 \%$ | $99.74 \%$ | $98.93 \%$ | $98.35 \%$ | $95.86 \%$ |

Table 32 demonstrates available funding versus programmed funding for all funding sources in the COMPASS planning area. Funds in programs not managed by COMPASS are assumed to be available if funds are programmed; there is not a specific budget for the COMPASS planning area for these programs. Projection of local revenues is based on assumptions of continued development and economic activities in the area. In light of these facts, it is concluded that the sponsoring agencies listed in this document are capable of providing the required local match and that the document meets the requirement of financial constraint.

Table 32: COMPASS Region Available vs. Programmed Funding

| Funding Source* | 2022 |  | 2023 |  | 2024 |  | 2025 |  | 2026 |  | PD** |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed | Available | Programmed |
| Bridge (Local) | 149 | 149 | 6,626 | 6,626 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Bridge Preservation | 0 | 0 | 1,278 | 1,278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Fed RRX | 330 | 330 | 755 | 755 | 260 | 260 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 700 | 700 |
| FLAP | 139 | 139 | 399 | 399 | 8,805 | 8,805 | 1,319 | 1,319 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Freight | 959 | 959 | 8,010 | 8,010 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5303*** | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5307 LU*** | 4,703 | 4,703 | 4,703 | 4,703 | 4,703 | 4,703 | 4,703 | 4,704 | 4,703 | 4,704 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5307 SU*** | 3,114 | 3,114 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,613 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 2,614 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5310 LU*** $^{*}$ | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 322 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA $5310 \mathrm{R}^{* * *}$ | 192 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5310 SU*** | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 269 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5311*** | 615 | 615 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5339 LU*** | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 531 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA $5339 \mathrm{R}^{* * *}$ | 1,734 | 1,734 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| FTA 5339 SU*** | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 285 | 0 | 0 |
| HB132 and HB312 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| HSIP (Local) | 0 | 0 | 87 | 87 | 846 | 846 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| IM | 0 | 0 | 7,650 | 7,650 | 0 | 0 | 2,955 | 2,955 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Local (Regionally Significant) | 8,078 | 8,078 | 21,177 | 21,177 | 21,426 | 21,426 | 8,609 | 8,609 | 0 | 0 | 27,388 | 27,388 |
| Local Participating | 1,106 | 1,106 | 11,720 | 11,720 | 1,118 | 1,118 | 626 | 626 | 19 | 19 | 0 | 0 |
| Metropolitan Planning | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 1,199 | 0 | 0 |
| NHPP | 28,546 | 28,546 | 16,722 | 16,722 | 580 | 580 | 0 | 0 | 3,000 | 3,000 | 24,028 | 24,028 |
| Non-Participating (Local) | 310 | 310 | 714 | 714 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Private Developer | 200 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| State | 12,997 | 12,997 | 26,925 | 26,925 | 6,083 | 6,083 | 0 | 0 | 4,126 | 4,126 | 42,536 | 42,536 |
| STBG-R | 4,089 | 4,089 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,763 | 2,763 |
| STBG-State | 2,754 | 2,754 | 624 | 624 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,551 | 2,551 |
| STBG-TMA ${ }^{* * * *}$ | 13,482 | 13,009 | 10,814 | 10,714 | 12,436 | 12,220 | 10,814 | 10,379 | 10,814 | 10,172 | 21,628 | 15,945 |
| STBG-U | 3,753 | 3,753 | 5,107 | 5,107 | 215 | 215 | 2,309 | 2,309 | 2,187 | 2,187 | 4,986 | 4,986 |
| TAP-State | 479 | 479 | 613 | 613 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| TAP-TMA | 480 | 400 | 480 | 477 | 480 | 470 | 480 | 460 | 480 | 452 | 960 | 898 |
| TECM | 230,085 | 230,085 | 52,658 | 52,658 | 23,689 | 23,689 | 5,000 | 5,000 | 10,000 | 10,000 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 325,212 | 324,659 | 182,594 | 182,491 | 86,173 | 85,946 | 42,347 | 41,893 | 40,549 | 39,880 | 127,060 | 121,795 |

As of October 2021.
All amounts shown in \$1,000, most including required local match and shown in year of expenditure - inflated

* Descriptions of funding sources and definitions of abbreviations can be found in Appendix A "Funding Types and Acronyms"


****Includes carry over funds from the Coronavirus Response and Relief Supplemental Appropriations Act, 2021
Blue highlight $=$ programs managed by COMPASS or VRT.


## X. PROGRAM FUNDI NG ALLOCATI ONS

The TIP is required to provide a breakdown of federal and regionally significant projects into roadway and alternative solutions. This information is provided in Table 33.

Many projects are complex, containing various transportation elements. The following assumptions were made when developing these data:

- Roadway projects that do not include some aspect of transit, sidewalks, bicycle lanes, and/or air quality improvements are shown as $100 \%$ roadway solutions.
- Projects dedicated to transit, pathway, bicycle lanes, or sidewalk improvements are shown as 100\% alternative solutions.
- Roadway projects that also have some aspect of transit, pathway, bicycle lanes, and/or sidewalks are shown as $75 \%$ roadway and $25 \%$ alternative solutions.
- Projects that affect air quality, such as ITS projects, are shown as $50 \%$ road and $50 \%$ air quality.
- Roadway projects that also include sidewalk improvements and signal improvements are shown as 50\% roadway, $25 \%$ alternative solutions, and $25 \%$ air quality.
- Other projects that cannot be classified into one of the above categories, such as planning and safe routes to school coordination, are included as "other."

Table 33: Share of Project Costs Allocated by Type of Project

| Year | Total Programmed Funds* | Amount Allocated to Roadway Solutions | Amount Allocated to Alternative Solutions | Amount Allocated to Air Quality | Amount Allocated to Other | Percent to Roadways Solutions | Percent to Alternative Solutions | Percent to Air Quality | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Percent } \\ & \text { to } \\ & \text { Other } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2022 | \$328,884 | \$282,164 | \$37,476 | \$4,008 | \$5,236 | 85.79\% | 11.39\% | 1.22\% | 1.59\% |
| 2023 | \$183,729 | \$134,859 | \$35,352 | \$11,470 | \$2,048 | 73.40\% | 19.24\% | 6.24\% | 1.11\% |
| 2024 | \$88,789 | \$53,183 | \$32,522 | \$475 | \$2,610 | 59.90\% | 36.63\% | 0.53\% | 2.94\% |
| 2025 | \$45,147 | \$22,554 | \$19,381 | \$1,057 | \$2,155 | 49.96\% | 42.93\% | 2.34\% | 4.77\% |
| 2026 | \$43,106 | \$20,908 | \$16,396 | \$997 | \$4,805 | 48.50\% | 38.04\% | 2.31\% | 11.15\% |
| 2027 | \$53,391 | \$48,377 | \$3,905 | \$877 | \$232 | 90.61\% | 7.31\% | 1.64\% | 0.43\% |
| 2028 | \$28,786 | \$27,479 | \$1,308 | \$0 | \$0 | 95.46\% | 4.54\% | 0.00\% | 0.00\% |
| PD | \$37,821 | \$26,154 | \$11,295 | \$0 | \$373 | 69.15\% | 29.86\% | 0.00\% | 0.99\% |
| Total | \$809,653 | \$615,676 | \$157,635 | \$18,883 | \$17,459 | 76.04\% | 19.47\% | 2.33\% | 2.16\% |

As of October 2021.
*Shown in year of expenditure - inflated.
*Funds shown in \$1,000.
*Required match and local funds included.

## APPENDIX A: FUNDI NG TYPES AND ACRONYMS

Provides a way to decipher transportation and federal jargon.

## Funding Sources and Uses

Federal funds administered by ITD are received from FHWA, the Federal Transit Administration (FTA), the Federal Aviation Administration, and the National Highway Safety Administration. Federal-aid projects generally require state or local matching funds of $7.34 \%$ to $50 \%$, depending on the type of project and funding source.

Federal and state funding combined allow the state to preserve and improve the current transportation system. Table 34 provides a brief description of those funding sources.

COMPASS staff created a funding fact sheet ${ }^{38}$ titled Transportation Funding Terms and Acronyms...Unraveling the Jargon, for a more succinct version of the information below, including definitions of transportation acronyms.

The information below reflects typical use of funds and is provided to help the reader understand terms used in the TIP. It is NOT intended to set policy regarding the use of federal or state funds. Federal and state regulations provide additional details that further describe how funds can and cannot be used, and by whom. Contact COMPASS with specific questions on any funding source or type of project.

Table 34: Funding Sources and Uses

| Funding source* | What it's used for | Example | Whose can use this funding in Ada/ Canyon Counties** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Bridge (Local) | Replacing or rehabilitating local (non ITD) bridges. | Fixing a current bridge or replacing an old bridge with a new one. | Local highway districts or cities |
| Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES) | Projects to keep the public transportation assets and services viable through the COVID pandemic. | Operational expenses, bus replacement, increased sanitation. | Public transportation providers in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas |
| Coronavirus Aid, Relief, and Economic Security Act (CARES) | Projects to keep the public transportation assets and services viable through the COVID pandemic. | Operational expenses, bus replacement, increased sanitation. | Public transportation providers in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas |
| Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) | Projects that enhance access to federal lands. Program managed by the Western Federal Lands division of the US Department of Transportation. | Improvements to Bogus Basin Road. | Any (must be associated with federal lands) |

[^18]| Funding source* |  | What it's used for | Example |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Funding source* $\quad$| What it's used for |
| :---: |

| Funding source* | What it's used for | Example | Whose can use this funding in Ada/ Canyon Counties** |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| National Highway Performance Program (NHPP) | A project that provides support for the condition and performance of the National Highway System. | Intersection improvements at a congested intersection on a state highway. | ITD |
| Metropolitan Planning | FHWA funding for metropolitan planning. | Funding COMPASS to conduct regional transportation planning. | COMPASS |
| Private Developer | A project funded by a private developer or organization that is regionally significant. | Improvements to a major roadway or intersection, such as a principal arterial. | Private Developers |
| Sales Tax <br> Anticipated Revenue (STAR) | Refunding money to a developer who pays for transportation improvements up front. Refunds come from anticipated sales tax generated by the new development. | Improvements funded by a developer, such as widening a road to accommodate increased traffic at a new shopping mall. | Private Developers |
| State Funds | Any project on a state-owned facility. Funds are very flexible. | Any type of road improvement, including operations, technology, planning, support projects, and limited alternative transportation. | ITD |
| State Rail Protection Account | Provides the match funds for the federal railroad crossing project, which requires $10 \%$ local match. | Improve the surface of a railroad crossing and/or add lighting and safety gates. | Local highway districts or cities |
| Surface <br> Transportation Block Grant Rural (STBG - R) | Mainly roadway projects in small towns and rural areas with populations under 5,000. However, this funding has flexibility to fund a broad range of projects, including studies, maintenance, sidewalks, bike lanes, and more. | Nearly any type of road construction project, including projects such as road widening or chip sealing. | $J$ urisdictions in areas with populations under 5,000 |
| Surface Transportation Block Grant State (STBG State) | Mainly roadway projects for use anywhere in the state. However, this funding has flexibility to fund a broad range of projects, including studies, maintenance, sidewalks, bike lanes, and more. | Nearly any type of road construction project, including projects such as road widening or chip sealing. | ITD |
| Surface <br> Transportation Block Grant - <br> Transportation Management Area (STBG - TMA) | Mainly roadway projects in urbanized areas of 200,000 or greater population. However, this funding has flexibility to fund a broad range of projects, including studies, maintenance, sidewalks, bike lanes, transit capital projects, and more. | Nearly any type of road construction project, including projects such as road widening or chip sealing. | Generally jurisdictions in the Boise Urbanized Area |


| Funding source* |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |$\quad$| What it's used for can use |
| :---: |

## Acronyms

Table 35 provides general acronyms and terms found throughout the TIP or in discussions about projects and funding.

Table 35: General Acronyms and Terms Used in the TIP

| Acronym or Term | Definition |
| :--- | :--- |
| ACHD | Ada County Highway District; the agency responsible for all non-state <br> roadways in Ada County. |
| ACCHD | Association of Canyon County Highway Districts |
| ADA | Americans with Disabilities Act; civil rights legislation prohibiting <br> discrimination against persons with disabilities. |
| Boise Area / Boise | Generally, northern Ada County, including the cities of Boise, Eagle, Garden <br> City, and Meridian. |
| Urbanized Area / | For FHWA funding: Generally, eligible for Transportation Management Area <br> (TMA) funds. |
| Large Urban (LU) | For FTA funding: Eligible for "large urban" (LU) funds. |
| COMPASS/CPA | Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho |
| Highway district |  |


| Acronym or Term | Definition |
| :---: | :---: |
| NAM | Part of a City of Nampa key number. Local key numbers are used when a project is regionally significant, but $100 \%$ locally funded. |
| Nampa Area / Nampa Urbanized Area / Small Urban (SU) | Generally, eastern Canyon County, including the cities of Nampa, Caldwell, and Middleton. <br> For FHWA funding: Eligible for "urban" funds. <br> For FTA funding: Eligible for "small urban" (SU) funds. |
| National <br> Environmental Policy <br> Act (NEPA) | A law that requires the assessment of the environmental effects of proposed actions prior to making decisions on federally funded projects. A "NEPA study" refers to the documentation required for compliance with NEPA. |
| PD | Preliminary Development; design may begin, but construction is not yet programmed (budgeted) in a specific year. |
| PM | Performance Measure. Refers to either of two sets of performance measures reported in the TIP: one to meet the vision of goals of the regional longrange transportation plan (Communities in Motion) and one that meets federal requirements. |
| ORN | OTIS reference number (temporary key number). |
| OTIS | Office of Transportation Investment Systems (Department at ITD). |
| RC | Part of an ACHD key number. Local key numbers are used when a project is regionally significant, but $100 \%$ locally funded. |
| RD | Part of an ACHD key number. Local key numbers are used when a project is regionally significant, but 100\% locally funded. |
| Rural (R) | For FHWA funding: An area with less than 5,000 in population. For FTA funding: An area with less than 50,000 in population. |
| SH | State highway (e.g., SH-16). |
| SR2S | Safe Routes to School; a program to improve the health of children by enabling and encouraging them to walk and bike to school safely. |
| State funding | Transportation funding collected by the State of Idaho for use by ITD and local roadway agencies. |
| TIP | Regional Transportation Improvement Program. A seven-year budget of projects in Ada and Canyon Counties paid for with federal or state transportation dollars and/or that are "regionally significant." |
| Transportation Management Area (TMA) | Any urbanized area over 200,000 in population. The Boise Urbanized Area (generally, northern Ada County) is the only TMA in Idaho. TMAs receive dedicated transportation funding not shared with the rest of the state. See specific policy regarding TMA funds at www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/trans/TMApolicy.pdf. |
| TVT | Treasure Valley Transit, a private, non-profit public transportation company operating in rural southwest Idaho |
| Urban (U) | For FHWA funding: An area with more than 5,000 in population. For FTA funding: An area with more than 50,000 in population. |
| US | When used with a number, refers to a US highway (e.g., US-20). |
| VRT | Valley Regional Transit; the regional public transportation authority for Ada and Canyon Counties. |

## APPENDIX B: PERFORMANCE MEASURE ANALYSIS

Provides in-depth analysis, using modeling tools, to explain how projects in the TIP will affect the transportation system.

Analysis for Level of Travel Time Reliability on the NHS
The analysis below was developed for the FY2021-2027 TIP. There were some changes, however, due to timing constraints associated with building an updated travel demand model, a similar analysis was not developed for the FY2022-2028 TIP. Several major additional projects would be added in updated information, such as construction of phase 2 of the State Highway 16 corridor and additional segments on the State Highway 55 corridor in Canyon County.

## Travel Demand Forecast Model

COMPASS is responsible for the development, maintenance, and application of the regional travel demand forecast model covering Ada and Canyon Counties. An indepth analysis of the impact of major capital expansion projects programmed in the TIP on the state system was conducted using this model. A map showing the projects included in the analysis is shown in Figure 14 (segments included in the analysis are shown in blue; referred to as "NHS-State"); results are shown in Figures 14 through 24.


Figure 14: NHS-State System Capital Projects
COMPASS applied the regional travel demand forecast model to analyze how these projects could benefit regional transportation system by the year 2040. Figures 16 through 21 summarize vehicle hours of travel (VHT), congested vehicle miles of travel (CVMT), and vehicle miles of travel (VMT) for the overall system with and without the projects shown above. An assumption used in this analysis was that all other projects anticipated to be built by 2025 are constructed as scheduled. Projects programmed beyond 2025 are not included in this analysis. This analysis
uses the official demographic data sets for the years of analysis. The population in Ada and Canyon Counties is forecasted to increase from 737,790 to 1,044,491 between 2020 and 2040.

Figure 15 demonstrates that regional VHT decreases by 4,360 hours per average weekday by 2025 if these projects are built as compared to if they are not built. By 2040, hours decrease by 11,070 per day when these projects are included.

Figure 16 demonstrates that the most significant benefit to building these segments is congestion relief. By 2025, the CVMT decrease by 226,300 per average weekday. However, by 2040, CVMT are reduced by more than 1.27 million on the entire transportation system because of these projects.

Figure 17 demonstrates VMT increase if these projects are built. VMT increases by 9,600 per average weekday by 2025, but only increases by 11,800 miles by 2040. The reason for the increase in miles of travel is because people are willing to travel a little farther for a more reliable and less congested route.

Another way to review the benefits of these projects is to look at the benefits within these corridors only; in other words, looking only at the travel on the specific segments that are being widened.

Figure 18 demonstrates that VHT on the scheduled NHS segments would be reduced by constructing the NHS projects. By 2025, VHT would decrease by 1,300 hours per average weekday, but these segments would realize a reduction of 3,630 hours of travel by 2040.

Figure 19 demonstrates that congestion is reduced significantly by constructing the NHS segments. By 2025, CVMT within the limits of the NHS projects decrease by 11,400 per average weekday. By 2040, CVMT within these same corridors decrease by 413,700.

Figure 20 shows the opposite when demonstrating total VMT. By 2025, VMT increase by 106,600 per average weekday. By 2040, VMT on these segments increase by 173,300 . The reason for the increase in VMT is that people are willing to drive farther miles to take the less-congested route.


Figure 15: Vehicle Hours of Travel, Average Weekday, Overall System


Figure 16: Congested Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, Overall System


Figure 17: Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, Overall System


Figure 18: Vehicle Hours of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS-State Project Limits


Figure 19: Congested Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS-State Project Limits


Figure 20: Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS-State Project Limits

Figures 21 through 24 compare travel time savings in the morning and afternoon commutes using the same information above, for example, the morning commute on Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell will take about 9\% less time with the NHS-State projects than without them.


Figure 21: 2025 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Morning Commute


Figure 22: 2040 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Morning Commute


Figure 23: 2025 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Afternoon Commute


Figure 24: 2040 Travel Time Savings with NHS-State Projects, Afternoon Commute

## Crashes on the NHS

Another aspect of determining reliability of the NHS system is the rate of vehicle crashes, as crashes slow traffic and decrease reliability. These segments of the NHS system experience approximately one crash per day, on average. Figure 25 shows the locations of crashes between 2015 and 2019 on the NHS. Tables 36 and 37 provide the details of crashes over the last available five-years of data on the NHSState system and also specifically on Interstate 84.


Figure 25: Location of Fatal and Injury Crashes, 2015-2019 on the NHS-State Project Limits

Table 36: Crash Events by Severity and Vehicle Types Involved, NHS-State Project Limits, 2015-2019

| Crashes - NHS State Project Limits | $\mathbf{2 0 1 5}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 6}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 7}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 8}$ | $\mathbf{2 0 1 9}$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| Crash Events |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal | 4 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 1 |  |  |
| Injury Type (A, B, and C)* | 155 | 184 | 185 | 195 | 208 |  |  |
| Property Damage | 189 | 224 | 205 | 187 | 251 |  |  |
| Total Crash Events | 348 | 410 | 392 | 385 | 460 |  |  |
| Vehicle Types | 693 | 857 | 787 | 810 | 915 |  |  |
| Automobile | 19 | 17 | 20 | 20 | 23 |  |  |
| Freight | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 1 |  |  |
| Pedestrian | 3 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 |  |  |
| Bicycle | 15 | 14 | 19 | 10 | 15 |  |  |
| Other** | 730 | 889 | 830 | 842 | 956 |  |  |
| Total Vehicle Types |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

*A= Serious injury, $B=$ Minor injury, $C=$ Possible injury
** Other includes units reported as other, motorcycle, moped, farm equipment, hit and run, construction equipment, motorhome, etc.

Table 37: Crash Events by Severity and Vehicle Types Involved, Interstate Project Limits, 2015-2019

| Crashes - I nterstate Project Limits | 2015 | 2016 | 2017 | 2018 | 2019 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crash Events |  |  |  |  |  |
| Fatal | 7 | 2 | 10 | 2 | 6 |
| Injury Type (A, B, and C)* | 29 | 34 | 35 | 31 | 33 |
| Property Damage | 8 | 15 | 13 | 19 | 57 |
| Total Crash Events | 44 | 51 | 58 | 52 | 96 |
| Vehicle Types |  |  |  |  |  |
| Automobile | 70 | 85 | 96 | 92 | 152 |
| Freight | 9 | 6 | 12 | 4 | 7 |
| Pedestrian | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 1 |
| Bicycle | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Other** | 0 | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Total Vehicle Types | 79 | 94 | 115 | 98 | 165 |

* $A=$ Serious injury, $B=$ Minor injury, $C=$ Possible injury
** Other includes units reported as other, motorcycle, moped, farm equipment, hit and run, construction equipment, motorhome, etc.

As projects are completed, COMPASS will report on how travel times and the reliability of travel on the NHS change in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{39}$.

## Benefit-Cost Analysis

COMPASS prepared a benefit-cost analysis (BCA) with TREDIS능 ${ }^{40}$ software using outputs from four travel demand model runs: two for the base scenario (current and future year) and two for the project scenario (also current and future year). The current year is 2025, which is the year the projects will be completed, and the future year is 2040, which is the horizon year of the current long-range transportation plan, CIM $20402.0^{41}$.

[^19]TREDIS requires input data including annual vehicle trips, VMT, VHT, and CVMT, and the fraction of internal, incoming, outgoing, and through trips, all of which are from the travel demand model.

Table 38 provides a summary of the BCA and Table 39 describes the benefits by category included in the BCA for the completion of NHS-State projects shown above and is for information only. This is not intended to be used for project selection, prioritization, or any other purposes. The NHS-State projects are already programmed in the TIP and some of them are underway.

Table 38: Summary of Benefit-Cost Analysis


Table 39: Description of BCA Analysis of NHS-State Projects

| Benefits | 7\% discount (\$ in millions) | Narrative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Total Benefits | \$4,491.9 |  |
| Vehicle Operating Costs | \$6.9 | Vehicle operating costs (e.g., maintenance, tires, depreciation, and fuel) are affected by changes in vehicle miles traveled (VMT) and how much VMT occurs in congested conditions (causing higher fuel consumption). The completion of these projects contributes to an increase in VMT due to drivers traveling longer distance to access more efficient facilities, but reduces congested driving conditions, leading to a decrease in overall vehicle operating costs. |
| Business Time and Reliability Costs | \$442.5 | Business time and reliability costs are two benefit categories associated with business (i.e., "on-the-clock") travel purposes and make up about $30 \%$ of the total benefit. Improved speeds on these corridors enable businessoriented travelers to reach their destinations faster and therefore provide a time savings benefit. Improvements in reliability also enable travelers to reduce the amount of "buffer" time (i.e., schedule padding) added into their planned trip to ensure an on-time arrival. |
| Personal Time and Reliability Costs | \$979.8 | Personal time and reliability costs are two benefit categories associated with personal travel purposes and make up about 66\% of the total benefits. Improved speeds on US 20/26 enable travelers to reach their destinations faster and therefore provide a time savings benefit. Improvements in reliability also enable travelers to reduce the amount of "buffer" time (i.e., schedule padding) added into their planned trip to ensure an on-time arrival. |
| Safety | Reported separately | Safety benefits (crash reductions) were not included in the BCA since they are part of the federal performance reporting. These projects are expected to reduce the overall number of crashes by 121 - see the safety federal performance measures in Section V. |
| Logistics/Freight Costs | \$63.5 | The value of freight logistics cost savings is in addition to the values of savings in vehicle operating cost and driver time. The value of freight logistics cost represents the opportunity cost savings accruing to shippers and receivers related to freight handling, inventory/storage, and duration of delivery. Logistics/freight costs are affected by changes in vehicle hours traveled. Each commodity type has a unique cost reflecting the value industries place on travel time improvements expected to occur along these freight corridors. |


| Benefits | 7\% discount <br> (\$ in millions) | Narrative |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Environmental Factors | $-\$ 0.8$ | Environmental benefits are directly affected by <br> changes in VMT and congested conditions. <br> Increases in VMT lead to higher emissions <br> because vehicles consume more fuel as they <br> travel longer distances. However, reductions in <br> congestion reduce higher levels of emissions <br> associated with stop-and-go traffic. These <br> projects are expected to increase VMT but <br> decrease traffic congestion. |
| Costs | $\mathbf{7 \%}$ discount <br> $\mathbf{( \$ ~ i n ~ m i l l i o n s ) ~}$ | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 0 . 2}$ |
| Total Costs | $\mathbf{\$ 2 0 9 . 6}$ | Capital Investment Costs |
| Operation and Maintenance | $\mathbf{- \$ 9 . 4}$ | Maintenance cost savings through the year <br> 2040 are attributable to a mill/inlay on <br> Interstate 84 and a pavement resurfacing, <br> restoration, and rehabilitation (3R) treatment <br> needed on both US 20/26 and State Highway <br> Costs required in the "without" scenario. <br> Combined, these maintenance projects cost <br> $\$ 19.1 M$. |
| Benefit-Cost Ratio | Benefit-Cost Ratio 7\% discount rate for year <br> 2040 |  |

A benefit-cost ratio over " 1 " is good. Therefore, it can be deducted from this analysis that the state projects on the NHS are a good value for the Treasure Valley.

Since projects on the interstate are significant for truck travel, additional analyses were conducted regarding how projects on these segments will affect travel throughout the region. These analyses included only the segments for which adequate data exist (Figure 26, marked in blue).

Analysis for Level of Truck Travel Time Reliability on the Interstate System


Figure 26: Interstate Project Segments

## Travel Demand Forecast Model

COMPASS staff determined, through the travel demand forecast model, how scheduled projects on Interstate 84 (only) could benefit regional traffic flow. The model results below provide benefits to the overall system by adding only the specific corridors shown above. The population in Ada and Canyon Counties is forecasted to increase from 737,790 to 1,044,491 between 2020 and 2040.

Figure 27 demonstrates that VHT on the scheduled interstate segments would be reduced by constructing the projects. By $2025, \mathrm{VHT}$ would be reduced by 630 hours. These segments would realize a reduction of VHT of 1,480 by 2040.

Figure 28 demonstrates that congestion is reduced completely by constructing the interstate segments. Even with a large population increase, the model shows no congested miles based on the configuration of the roadway.

Figure 29 again shows the opposite when demonstrating total VMT. By 2025, VMT increases by 26,300 miles. By 2040, VMT on these segments increases by 51,300 miles. The reason for the increase in VMT is because people are willing to drive further to take the less-congested route.


Figure 27: Vehicle Hours of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS Interstate Project Limits


Figure 28: Congested Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS Interstate Project Limits


Figure 29: Vehicle Miles of Travel, Average Weekday, NHS Interstate Project Limits

## Crashes on the I nterstate

As discussed for NHS projects (page 84), another aspect of determining reliability is the rate of vehicle crashes, since crashes cause delay and thus reduce reliability. The interstate system within the programmed segments experience a crash approximately every three days, on average.

Over 15\% of crashes on NHS-State corridors between 2015 and 2019 occurred on Interstate 84 between Franklin Road in the City of Caldwell and State Highway 55/Karcher Road in the City of Nampa.

As projects are completed, COMPASS will report on how truck travel times and the reliability of travel on the interstate change in the Congestion Management Annual Report ${ }^{42}$.

[^20]
## APPENDIX C: HI STORI CAL AND PROJ ECTED BUDGET DATA

Provides additional information about transportation agency budgets.

Tables 40 through 43 provide summarized information from FY2016 through FY2019 for agencies with roadway jurisdiction, as reported in the Road and Street Reports. Detailed information is available by contacting COMPASS staff at info@compassidaho.org.

Table 40: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2016

|  | Beginning Balance | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$1,252,313 | \$105,197,558 | \$90,099,462 | \$15,098,096 | \$0 | \$16,350,409 | \$16,350,409 | \$0 |
| Canyon | \$2,760,173 | \$7,422,801 | \$6,586,330 | \$836,471 | \$11,714 | \$3,608,368 | \$3,258,358 | \$350,000 |
| Golden Gate | \$450,860 | \$2,234,584 | \$2,135,265 | \$99,319 | \$0 | \$550,179 | \$500,000 | \$50,179 |
| Nampa | \$4,051,608 | \$10,641,607 | \$9,735,230 | \$906,377 | \$0 | \$4,957,985 | \$4,957,985 | \$0 |
| NotusParma | \$1,378,440 | \$1,866,607 | \$1,828,312 | \$38,295 | \$0 | \$1,416,735 | \$1,085,549 | \$331,186 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$1,551,101 | \$9,406,153 | \$8,127,477 | \$1,278,676 | \$0 | \$2,829,777 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$87,873 | \$59,363 | \$58,969 | \$394 | \$0 | \$88,267 | \$82,105 | \$6,162 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$57,771 | \$31,711 | \$26,060 | \$0 | \$26,060 | \$23,000 | \$3,000 |
| Middleton | \$87,713 | \$1,694,063 | \$1,666,340 | \$27,723 | \$0 | \$115,436 | \$0 | \$27,723 |
| Nampa | \$9,496,925 | \$10,345,673 | \$9,282,700 | \$1,062,973 | \$0 | \$10,559,898 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$46,444 | \$45,028 | \$18,270 | \$26,758 | \$0 | \$73,202 | \$63,202 | \$10,000 |
| Parma | \$267,764 | \$251,354 | \$191,841 | \$59,513 | \$491 | \$327,768 | \$125,000 | \$202,768 |
| Wilder | \$51,217 | \$215,019 | \$149,252 | \$65,767 | \$0 | \$116,984 | \$116,984 | \$0 |
| Total | \$21,482,431 | \$149,437,581 | \$129,911,159 | \$19,526,422 | \$12,205 | \$41,021,068 | \$26,562,592 | \$981,018 |

Source: 2016 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2016.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 3.47\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 55.11\%
- Equipment - 10.13\%
- Administration - 7.54\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - 23.76\%

Table 41: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2017

|  | Beginning Balance | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway District |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$16,350,410 | \$110,148,015 | \$98,169,591 | \$11,978,424 | \$0 | \$28,328,834 | \$28,328,834 | \$0 |
| Canyon | \$3,608,358 | \$8,019,103 | \$5,940,872 | \$2,078,231 | $(\$ 539,214)$ | \$5,147,375 | \$4,797,376 | \$350,000 |
| Golden Gate | \$550,179 | \$2,449,293 | \$2,392,335 | \$56,958 | \$0 | \$607,137 | \$557,000 | \$50,137 |
| Nampa | \$4,957,987 | \$11,291,689 | \$7,846,332 | \$3,445,357 | \$0 | \$8,403,344 | \$6,231,039 | \$2,172,305 |
| NotusParma | \$1,416,735 | \$2,025,653 | \$1,746,268 | \$279,385 | \$0 | \$1,696,120 | \$1,264,220 | \$431,900 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$2,829,777 | \$6,589,570 | \$6,021,119 | \$568,451 | \$0 | \$3,398,228 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$88,267 | \$65,373 | \$64,386 | \$987 | \$0 | \$89,254 | \$89,254 | \$0 |
| Melba | \$60 | \$60,636 | \$43,203 | \$17,433 | \$0 | \$17,493 | \$17,450 | \$43 |
| Middleton | \$87,713 | \$1,330,947 | \$1,308,735 | \$22,212 | \$0 | \$109,925 | \$109,925 | \$0 |
| Nampa | \$10,559,898 | \$11,535,999 | \$13,535,260 | (\$1,999,261) | \$0 | \$8,560,637 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$73,202 | \$49,243 | \$32,170 | \$17,073 | \$ | \$90,275 | \$78,275 | \$12,000 |
| Parma | \$327,768 | \$262,870 | \$211,276 | \$51,594 | \$142 | \$379,504 | \$125,000 | \$254,504 |
| Wilder | \$116,984 | \$163,496 | \$211,943 | $(\$ 48,447)$ | \$0 | \$68,537 | \$68,537 | \$0 |
| Total | \$40,967,338 | \$153,991,887 | \$137,523,490 | \$16,468,397 | (\$539,072) | \$56,896,663 | \$41,666,910 | \$3,270,889 |

Source: 2017 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2017.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 1.85\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 57.04\%
- Equipment - 11.11\%
- Administration - 8.06\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - 21.94\%

Table 42: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2018

|  | Beginning Balance | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$28,321,792 | \$118,574,056 | \$119,621,096 | (\$1,047,040) | \$0 | \$27,274,752 | \$27,274,752 | \$0 |
| Canyon | \$5,147,376 | \$8,401,567 | \$7,746,586 | \$654,981 | \$124,027 | \$5,926,384 | \$5,576,384 | \$0 |
| Golden Gate | \$659,217 | \$2,690,299 | \$2,328,405 | \$361,894 | \$0 | \$1,021,111 | \$821,111 | \$200,000 |
| Nampa | \$7,641,833 | \$12,039,325 | \$12,114,769 | $(\$ 75,444)$ | \$0 | \$7,566,389 | \$5,052,219 | \$2,514,170 |
| NotusParma | \$1,696,120 | \$2,101,721 | \$1,762,958 | \$338,763 | \$0 | \$2,034,883 | \$1,659,983 | \$374,900 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$3,398,228 | \$7,117,743 | \$6,934,830 | \$183,363 | \$6,911 | \$3,588,502 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Greenleaf | \$89,254 | \$99,075 | \$57,820 | \$41,255 | \$0 | \$130,509 | \$130,509 | \$0 |
| Melba | \$0 | \$59,638 | \$45,661 | \$13,977 | \$0 | \$13,977 | \$10,000 | \$3,977 |
| Middleton | \$109,925 | \$1,639,846 | \$1,269,360 | \$370,486 | \$0 | \$480,411 | \$480,411 | \$0 |
| Nampa | \$8,560,637 | \$11,637,254 | \$12,282,188 | $(\$ 644,934)$ | \$0 | \$7,915,703 | \$0 | \$0 |
| Notus | \$90,275 | \$224,083 | \$229,780 | $(\$ 5,697)$ | \$0 | \$84,578 | \$72,578 | \$12,000 |
| Parma | \$379,504 | \$266,604 | \$233,479 | \$33,125 | \$1,829 | \$414,458 | \$125,000 | \$289,458 |
| Wilder | \$68,537 | \$219,593 | \$126,765 | \$92,828 | \$0 | \$161,365 | \$161,365 | \$0 |
| Total | \$56,162,698 | \$165,070,804 | \$164,753,697 | \$317,557 | \$132,767 | \$56,613,022 | \$41,364,312 | \$3,394,505 |

Source: 2018 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2018.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 1.32\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - $61.45 \%$
- Equipment - 8.93\%
- Administration - 6.51\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - $21.80 \%$

Table 43: Total Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2019

|  | Beginning Balance | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements | Adjustments | Closing Fund Balance | Obligated for Projects | Retained for Operations |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Highway Districts |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ACHD | \$27,274,753 | \$122,594,726 | \$118,903,032 | \$3,691,694 |  | \$30,966,447 | \$30,966,447 |  |
| Canyon HD | \$6,255,386 | \$9,438,713 | \$10,154,935 | -\$716,222 | -\$436,084 | \$5,103,080 | \$4,603,078 | \$500,000 |
| Golden Gate HD | \$1,248,654 | \$3,494,979 | \$2,722,588 | \$772,391 |  | \$2,021,045 | \$1,809,045 | \$212,000 |
| Nampa HD | \$8,280,216 | \$13,028,731 | \$11,474,126 | \$1,554,605 |  | \$9,834,821 | \$6,392,137 | \$3,442,684 |
| NotusParma HD | \$2,034,883 | \$2,303,713 | \$1,589,437 | \$714,276 |  | \$2,749,159 | \$2,280,585 | \$468,574 |
| Cities |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Caldwell | \$3,588,502 | \$10,471,699 | \$7,527,454 | \$2,944,245 |  | \$6,532,747 |  |  |
| Greenleaf | \$130,509 | \$78,729 | \$88,892 | -\$10,163 |  | \$120,346 | \$120,346 |  |
| Melba |  | \$65,751 | \$33,584 | \$32,167 |  | \$32,167 | \$23,000 |  |
| Middleton |  | \$1,614,802 | \$1,352,687 | \$262,115 |  | \$262,115 | \$262,115 |  |
| Nampa | \$7,915,703 | \$11,610,514 | \$10,832,507 | \$778,007 |  | \$8,693,710 |  |  |
| Notus |  | \$156,288 | \$65,839 | \$90,449 |  | \$90,449 | \$90,449 |  |
| Parma | \$414,458 | \$283,454 | \$254,540 | \$28,914 |  | \$443,372 | \$150,000 | \$293,372 |
| Wilder | \$161,365 | \$157,576 | \$147,010 | \$10,566 |  | \$171,931 | \$171,931 |  |
| Total | \$57,304,429 | \$175,299,675 | \$165,146,631 | \$10,153,044 | \$436,084 | \$67,021,389 | \$46,869,133 | \$4,916,630 |

Source: 2019 Road and Street Report, Self-Reported, September 30, 2019.
Breakout of disbursements:

- Construction - 1.82\%
- Reconstruction/General Maintenance - 58.98\%
- Equipment - 9.35\%
- Administration - 8.41\%
- Other (property acquisition, design, etc.) - $21.44 \%$

Tables 44 through 49 provide summarized information projecting budgeting information for FY2021 - FY2026 extrapolated from the Road and Street Reports, using a five-year average of year-to-year changes in total income and total disbursement ratios.

The projections predict that most agencies will need additional funds to cover expected expenses, use reserve accounts, or remove projects in order to balance their budgets in the future. Using budget reports from FY2020, during the pandemic, may provide uncertain projection results for the future.

Table 44: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2021

|  |  | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$135,613,363.37 | \$117,806,933 | \$17,806,431 |
|  | Canyon | \$10,975,585.17 | \$8,506,627 | \$2,468,958 |
|  | Golden Gate | \$2,974,986.52 | \$2,495,585 | \$479,401 |
|  | Nampa | \$14,567,748.55 | \$12,997,378 | \$1,570,370 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,453,051.38 | \$1,803,348 | \$649,704 |
|  | Caldwell | \$9,551,098 | \$9,454,971 | \$96,127 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$257,277 | \$255,393 | \$1,884 |
|  | Melba | \$74,660 | \$89,008 | $(\$ 14,348)$ |
|  | Middleton | \$2,440,054 | \$1,493,887 | \$946,167 |
|  | Nampa | \$18,515,718 | \$15,598,069 | \$2,917,649 |
|  | Notus | \$261,059 | \$270,542 | $(\$ 9,483)$ |
|  | Parma | \$301,888 | \$549,064 | (\$247,176) |
|  | Wilder | \$134,421 | \$130,634 | \$3,787 |
|  | Total | \$198,120,910 | \$171,451,438 | \$26,669,472 |

Table 45: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2022

|  |  | Total I ncome | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$144,165,985.58 | \$122,561,532 | \$21,604,453 |
|  | Canyon | \$12,036,653.85 | \$9,204,352 | \$2,832,301 |
|  | Golden Gate | \$3,222,358.07 | \$2,604,873 | \$617,485 |
|  | Nampa | \$15,579,955.94 | \$14,527,907 | \$1,052,049 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,617,630.37 | \$1,832,397 | \$785,234 |
| $\frac{\text { U }}{\substack{ \pm}}$ | Caldwell | \$10,850,582.90 | \$10,534,449 | \$316,134 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$350,551.35 | \$345,435 | \$5,116 |
|  | Melba | \$69,829.84 | \$101,114 | $(\$ 31,284)$ |
|  | Middleton | \$2,529,351.13 | \$1,428,138 | \$1,101,213 |
|  | Nampa | \$21,158,345.59 | \$17,672,159 | \$3,486,187 |
|  | Notus | \$445,391.69 | \$614,067 | $(\$ 168,675)$ |
|  | Parma | \$318,310.21 | \$649,620 | $(\$ 331,310)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$126,744.82 | \$124,789 | \$1,956 |
|  | Total | \$213,471,691 | \$182,200,832 | \$31,270,860 |

Table 46: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2023

|  |  | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | \$153,257,989.3 | \$127,508,024 | \$25,749,966 |
|  | Canyon | \$13,200,301.74 | \$9,959,306 | \$3,240,996 |
|  | Golden Gate | \$3,490,298.68 | \$2,718,946 | \$771,353 |
|  | Nampa | \$16,662,494.28 | \$16,238,666 | \$423,828 |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,793,251.23 | \$1,861,914 | \$931,337 |
|  | Caldwell | \$12,326,871.13 | \$11,737,171 | \$589,700 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$477,641.79 | \$467,223 | \$10,419 |
|  | Melba | \$65,312.28 | \$114,867 | $(\$ 49,555)$ |
|  | Middleton | \$2,621,916.45 | \$1,365,283 | \$1,256,633 |
|  | Nampa | \$24,178,138.46 | \$20,022,042 | \$4,156,096 |
|  | Notus | \$759,879.53 | \$1,393,786 | $(\$ 633,907)$ |
|  | Parma | \$335,625.78 | \$768,592 | $(\$ 432,966)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$119,506.61 | \$119,205 | \$301 |
|  | Total | \$230,289,227 | \$194,275,026 | \$36,014,201 |

Table 47: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2024

|  |  | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 162,923,391.4 \\ 2 \end{array}$ | \$132,654,152 | \$30,269,239 |
|  | Canyon | \$14,476,445.72 | \$10,776,182 | \$3,700,263 |
|  | Golden Gate | \$3,780,518.68 | \$2,838,015 | \$942,504 |
|  | Nampa | \$17,820,250.38 | \$18,150,878 | $(\$ 330,628)$ |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$2,980,654.76 | \$1,891,907 | \$1,088,748 |
| $\frac{y}{ \pm}$ | Caldwell | \$14,651,457 | \$12,974,744 | \$1,676,713 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$548,291 | \$487,103 | \$61,188 |
|  | Melba | \$93,644 | \$176,750 | $(\$ 83,107)$ |
|  | Middleton | \$2,628,463 | \$1,423,420 | \$1,205,044 |
|  | Nampa | \$25,555,496 | \$20,744,404 | \$4,811,093 |
|  | Notus | \$972,646 | \$1,784,047 | $(\$ 811,401)$ |
|  | Parma | \$351,761 | \$889,153 | $(\$ 537,392)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$122,691 | \$123,307 | (\$616) |
|  | Total | \$246,905,709 | \$204,914,061 | \$41,991,648 |

Table 48: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2025

|  |  | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 173,198,353 . \\ 86 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$138,007,974 | \$35,190,380 |
|  | Canyon | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 15,875,961.3 \\ 8 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$11,660,060 | \$4,215,902 |
|  | Golden Gate | \$4,094,870.61 | \$2,962,298 | \$1,132,572 |
|  | Nampa | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \$ 19,058,450.5 \\ 7 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | \$20,288, 266 | $(\$ 1,229,816)$ |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$3,180,631.48 | \$1,922,382 | \$1,258,249 |
| y | Caldwell | \$14,651,457 | \$12,974,744 | \$1,676,713 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$612,946 | \$540,897 | \$72,049 |
|  | Melba | \$115,765 | \$162,405 | $(\$ 46,640)$ |
|  | Middleton | \$3,986,346 | \$2,476,099 | \$1,510,246 |
|  | Nampa | \$25,732,832 | \$21,508,133 | \$4,224,699 |
|  | Notus | \$1,042,466 | \$1,917,147 | (\$874,681) |
|  | Parma | \$646,445 | \$960,285 | $(\$ 313,840)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$128,125 | \$144,704 | $(\$ 16,579)$ |
|  | Total | \$262,324,649 | \$215,525,394 | \$46,799,255 |

Table 49: Projected - Income Minus Disbursements for Agencies with Roadway Jurisdiction, FY2026

|  |  | Total Income | Total Disbursements | Receipts Over Disbursements |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | ACHD | $\begin{array}{r} \$ 184,121,319.3 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | \$143,577,873 | \$40,543,447 |
|  | Canyon | \$17,410,775.72 | \$12,616,434 | \$4,794,342 |
|  | Golden Gate | \$4,435,361.06 | \$3,092,024 | \$1,343,337 |
|  | Nampa | \$20,382,684.33 | \$22,677,346 | $(\$ 2,294,662)$ |
|  | Notus-Parma | \$3,394,024.94 | \$1,953,349 | \$1,440,676 |
|  | Caldwell | \$17,414,410 | \$14,342,808 | \$3,071,603 |
|  | Greenleaf | \$703,608 | \$563,911 | \$139,697 |
|  | Melba | \$165,983 | \$249,898 | $(\$ 83,916)$ |
|  | Middleton | \$3,996,300 | \$2,581,536 | \$1,414,763 |
|  | Nampa | \$27,198,756 | \$22,284,110 | \$4,914,646 |
|  | Notus | \$1,334,356 | \$2,453,948 | (\$1,119,592) |
|  | Parma | \$677,522 | \$1,110,915 | $(\$ 433,393)$ |
|  | Wilder | \$131,538 | \$149,683 | $(\$ 18,144)$ |
|  | Total | \$281,366,639 | \$227,653,835 | \$53,712,804 |

Table 50 provides historical budgets for VRT.
Table 50: VRT Actual Revenues, Expenses, and Changes in Net Position, FY2015-2019

| Fiscal <br> Year | Beginning <br> Net Position | Total <br> Revenues | Total <br> Expenses | Ending Net <br> Position |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | $\$ 23,466,249 *$ | $\$ 21,464,792$ | $\$ 14,860,961$ | $\$ 30,070,080$ |
| 2016 | $\$ 30,070,080$ | $\$ 20,474,206$ | $\$ 17,368,105$ | $\$ 33,176,181$ |
| 2017 | $\$ 33,176,181$ | $\$ 19,155,929$ | $\$ 18,609,591$ | $\$ 33,722,519$ |
| 2018 | $\$ 33,722,519$ | $\$ 19,099,125$ | $\$ 21,259,469$ | $\$ 31,562,481$ |
| 2019 | $\$ 31,562,481$ | $\$ 18,806,417$ | $\$ 19,729,298$ | $\$ 30,639,600$ |

*Required restatement of beginning Net Position due to implementation of GASB 68. Source: Valley Regional Transit Financial Statements FY2015-2019.

Table 51 includes inflationary factors for the overall budget projections from FY2021-2025 for VRT. It is anticipated that, if inflation affects the overall system as predicted, additional funding will be needed for operations as well as capital replacements in order to maintain current service levels.

Table 51: Projected - VRT Revenues and Expenses, FY2021 through FY2025

|  | Operations |  | Capital ${ }^{1}$ |  | Unfunded |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| FY2021 | Revenue | Expense | Revenue | Expense |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations | \$7,751,024 | \$7,751,024 | - | - |  |
| Large Urban | \$10,429,635 | \$10,429,635 | \$4,989,000 | \$5,568,410 | \$579,410 |
| Small Urban ${ }^{2}$ | \$ 2,262,486 | \$2,262,486 | \$ 984,031 | \$1,295,692 | \$311,661 |
| Total | \$20,443,145 | \$20,443,145 | \$5,973,031 | \$6,864,101 | \$891,070 |
| FY2022 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations | \$7,891,949 | \$7,983,555 | - | - | - |
| Large Urban | \$10,672,192 | \$10,711,235 | \$2,730,000 | \$5,735,462 | \$3,005,462 |
| Small Urban ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,303,591 | \$2,323,573 | \$548,000 | \$1,334,563 | \$786,563 |
| Total | \$20,867,732 | \$21,018,363 | \$3,278,000 | \$7,070,024 | \$3,792,024 |
| FY2023 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations | \$8,036,025 | \$8,223,061 | - | - | - |
| Large Urban | \$10,920,969 | \$11,000,438 | \$2,609,000 | \$5,907,526 | \$ 3,298,526 |
| Small Urban ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,345,622 | \$2,386,310 | \$871,000 | \$1,374,599 | \$ 503,599 |
| Total | \$21,302,616 | \$21,609,809 | \$3,480,000 | \$7,282,125 | \$ 3,802,125 |
| FY2024 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations | \$8,183,331 | \$8,469,753 | - | - | - |
| Large Urban | \$11,176,134 | \$11,297,450 | \$2,485,000 | \$6,084,752 | \$3,599,752 |
| Small Urban ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,388,603 | \$2,450,740 | \$806,000 | \$1,415,837 | \$609,837 |
| Total | \$21,748,068 | \$22,217,943 | \$3,291,000 | \$7,500,589 | \$4,209,589 |
| FY2025 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Regional Overhead and Operations | \$8,333,948 | \$8,723,846 | - | - | - |
| Large Urban | \$11,437,858 | \$11,602,481 | \$2,361,000 | \$6,267,294 | \$3,906,294 |
| Small Urban ${ }^{2}$ | \$2,432,557 | \$2,516,910 | \$741,000 | \$1,458,313 | \$717,313 |
| Total | \$22,204,364 | \$22,843,237 | \$3,102,000 | \$7,725,607 | \$4,623,607 |
| Grand Total | \$106,565,92 | \$108,132,498 | \$19,124,031 | \$36,442,447 | \$17,318,416 |

Revenue and expense projections are subject to change.
${ }^{1}$ Capital projects based on annual averages.
${ }^{2}$ Small Urban unfunded capital is due to local shortfall.

Inflation is assumed as follows:

- Revenue
o Local contributions increase $2.7 \%$ each year
o Fare box and other revenue increases 3\% each year
o Federal contributions increase 1\% each year
- Expenditures
o Vehicle operations increase 2.7\% each year
o General operations increase 3\% each year
o Capital costs increase 3\% each year


## APPENDIX D: PRIOR YEAR PROJ ECTS

Provides a list of projects that are still active, but no longer have budgeted funds. Projects could still need additional funding or release excess funding throughout the life of this program.

SUBJECT TO REVISION DUE TO REASONS SUCH AS FUNDING, OBLIGATION LIMITATION, PROJECT SCOPE, COST, AND POLICY/REGULATION/RULE CHANGES.

| KeyNo | Location | District | Year | Major Program | Sponsor | Status | Lifetime Cost |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 9967 | SH 55, MARSING TO NEW MEADOWS, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2007 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 685,660.00 |
| 9968 | US 95, OR LN TO NEW MEADOWS, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2007 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 686,500.00 |
| 9969 | SH 69, KUNA TO MERIDIAN, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2009 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 293,500.00 |
| 9971 | SH 45, JCT SH 78 TO NAMPA, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2009 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 47,500.00 |
| 9972 | US 20, PARMA TO CALDWELL, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2008 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 105,000.00 |
| 9973 | SH 19, OR ST LN TO CALDWELL, CORRIDOR PLAN | 3 | 2008 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 129,340.00 |
| 10541 | STP-8423, AMITY RD; ROBINSON RD TO KINGS CORNER, NAMPA | 3 | 2014 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 9,336,377.35 |
| 11350 | TRANSIT, BSU BUS MTNCE FACILITY (FTA TRANSFER) | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY | Development | 543,000.00 |
| 11386 | TRANSIT, BOISE URBANIZED AREA | 3 | 2012 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Development | 828,000.00 |
| 11583 | LOCAL, VRT BUS REPLACEMENT | 3 | 2012 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 469,000.00 |
| 11588 | US 20, BROADWAY BR, BOISE | 3 | 2015 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 21,927,348.00 |
| 12044 | SH 55, EAGLE RD; FAIRVIEW AVE TO SH 44 | 3 | 2012 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 6,276,687.91 |
| 12046 | SH 55, INT KARCHER \& MIDDLETON RDS, NAMPA | 3 | 2014 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 5,785,051.64 |
| 12048 | STC-3016, S CEMETERY RD; SH 44 TO WILLOW CR, MIDDLETON | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | MIDDLETON | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,683,000.00 |
| 12179 | TRANSIT, CAPITAL LEASE OR PURCHASE/MAINT, NAMPA FY12 | 3 | 2015 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Development | 180,000.00 |
| 12204 | TRANSIT, TREASURE VALLEY IDAHO TRANSIT FACILITIES - FY2009 | 3 | 2012 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Project Closed | 975,000.00 |
| 12361 | SH 19, RRXing EAST OF GREENLEAF | 3 | 2012 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 179,689.10 |
| 12362 | OFFSYS, PINTO RD RRX \#819706P, W OF CALDWELL | 3 | 2012 | State Funded Program | CANYON HD Number 4 | Project Closed | 41,040.26 |
| 12364 | LOCAL, FY15 TRANSIT VEHICLE PURCHASE, NAMPA | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 970,000.00 |
| 12368 | STP-7403, FRANKLIN RD; BLACK CAT TO TEN MILE, ADA CO | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 12,219,732.00 |
| 12383 | SH 55, INT KARCHER RD \& LAKE AVE, CANYON CO | 3 | 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,493,387.00 |
| 12886 | US 95, JCT US 20/26 UPRR OPASS, CANYON CO | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 6,541,994.00 |
| 12916 | SH 16, INT SH 44, US 20, \& LOCAL RDS | 3 | 2013 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 453,745.00 |
| 13025 | SH 55, INT MIDWAY RD, NR NAMPA | 3 | 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,930,088.00 |
| 13050 | STP-7220, STATE ST ITS, SH 16 TO 23RD, BOISE VRT | 3 | 2012 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Development | 250,378.00 |
| 13052 | STP-7933, 21ST AVE, CLEVELAND TO CHICAGO, CALDWELL | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CALDWELL | Project Closed | 2,690,621.00 |
| 13053 | LOCAL, TRANSIT - NAMPA MAINTENANCE FACILITY | 3 | 2012 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,305,795.00 |
| 13054 | STC-7165, FARMWAY RD / HIGHWAY 44, PARMA HD \#2 | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NOTUS-PARMA HD Number 2 | Project Closed | 2,224,162.00 |
| 13055 | NHS-7773, 10TH AVE BR, CALDWELL | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CALDWELL | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,445,949.00 |
| 13057 | 184, MERIDIAN IC TO FIVE MILE RD | 3 | 2014 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 26,824,548.00 |
| 13059 | SH 44, LINDER RD TO BALLANTYNE, EAGLE | 3 | 2013 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 11,434,790.00 |
| 13349 | SH 55, EAGLE RD: MERIDIAN TOWN CENTER - STAGE 3 | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | PRIVATE | Development | 580,926.31 |
| 13387 | SH 55, SNAKE RV BR, MARSING | 3 | 2020 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 18,109,403.42 |
| 13389 | SH 45, SNAKE RV BR, WALTERS FERRY | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 6,857,423.00 |
| 13463 | SH 44, JCT I 84 TO STAR | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 6,658,185.00 |
| 13464 | I 84, FY17 D3 PAVEMENT STRIPING | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,366.77 |
| 13479 | LOCAL, FY17 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, ACHD | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 7,310,006.62 |
| 13480 | LOCAL, FY14 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, BOISE, VRT | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 986,000.00 |
| 13481 | STP-7220, INT STATE ST \& COLLISTER DR, BOISE | 3 | 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 13,704,274.00 |
| 13482 | LOCAL, FY18 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT, BOISE | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 619,951.43 |
| 13486 | STP-8423, COLORADO \& HOLLY SIGNAL/PED IMPR, NAMPA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,506,500.00 |
| 13489 | LOCAL, INT IMPROVEMENTS, CANYON HD \#4 | 3 | 2014 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CANYON HD Number 4 | Project Closed | 676,423.37 |
| 13492 | SMA-7169, INT LINDER \& DEER FLAT RDS, KUNA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,912,225.00 |
| 13509 | LOCAL, FY13 MAINTENANCE SET ASIDE, VRT | 3 | 2013 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 669,709.00 |
| 13510 | LOCAL, FY15 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT, BOISE | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 556,000.00 |
| 13511 | LOCAL, FY16 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT, BOISE | 3 | 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,368,906.00 |
| 13902 | LOCAL, FY17 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT, BOISE | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Development | 287,000.00 |
| 13903 | LOCAL, FY19 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 1, ACHD | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 6,182,186.00 |


| 13906 | LOCAL, FY20 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT, NAMPA | 3 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 13912 | LOCAL, FY19 \& FY20 ADA COUNTY SR2S, VRT | 3 |
| 13916 | LOCAL, DRY CR TRAIL, EAGLE | 3 |
| 13931 | SH 45, NORTHSIDE BLVD TO GRANT ST, CANYON COUNTY | 3 |
| 13941 | US 20, INT CHINDEN \& LOCUST GROVE RD, ADA CO | 3 |
| 13954 | I 84B, FY15 8 ADA RAMPS, NAMPA | 3 |
| 14344 | LOCAL, S MIDLAND BLVD PEDESTRIAN SIGNAL, NAMPA | 3 |
| 14363 | LOCAL, DEER FLAT BICYCLE /PEDESTRIAN PLAN, CANYON CO | 3 |
| 18701 | LOCAL, FY21 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 1, ACHD | 3 |
| 18728 | LOCAL, FY20 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, ACHD | 3 |
| 18833 | SH 55, EAGLE RD; SIGNAL EQUIPMENT UPGRADES | 3 |
| 18838 | OFFSYS, MILL CR ELEM SCHOOL SR2S, GREATER MIDDLETON P\&R | 3 |
| 18841 | SH 55, INT FARMWAY RD \& KARCHER RD, CANYON CO | 3 |
| 18847 | LOCAL, FY19 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, VRT | 3 |
| 18905 | LOCAL, FY21 ROLLING STOCK, INFRASTRUCTURE, TECH, VRT | 3 |
| 18950 | SH 44, CANYON CANAL BR, MIDDLETON | 3 |
| 18954 | OFFSYS, HEIGHTS ELEM SCHOOL SR2S, MIDDLETON | 3 |
| 19047 | I 84, SAND HOLLOW IC \# 17, CANYON CO | 3 |
| 19057 | LOCAL, ROLLING STOCK, INFRASTRUCTURE AND TECHNOLOGY, VRT | 3 |
| 19180 | US 20, BROADWAY BR GIRDERS, BOISE | 3 |
| 19181 | US 20, BROADWAY BR, CHRIST CHAPEL MITIGATION | 3 |
| 19258 | LOCAL, FY20 COMPASS METRO PLANNING | 3 |
| 19289 | I 84, FIVE MILE RD TO ORCHARD RD \& RAMPS, BOISE | 3 |
| 19303 | LOCAL, PLANNING, TRAVEL SURVEY DATA COLLECTION, COMPASS | 3 |
| 19345 | STATE, 184 AND SH 21 BRIDGE REPAIRS | 3 |
| 19389 | LOCAL, FY21 COMPASS PLANNING (3) | 3 |
| 19414 | SH 55, PRIDE LN TO MIDDLETON RD, CANYON CO | 3 |
| 19417 | OFFSYS, FRIENDS RD RRX, GREENLEAF | 3 |
| 19442 | I 84, OREGON ST LN TO CALDWELL BRIDGE REHABS | 3 |
| 19461 | OFFSYS, ACADEMY RD BVRR RRX, GREENLEAF | 3 |
| 19521 | LOCAL, FY20 ACHD COMMUTERIDE | 3 |
| 19571 | LOCAL, PLANNING, COMMUNITIES IN MOTION MAJOR UPDATE | 3 |
| 19589 | 184, FRANKLIN RD (CALDWELL) TO FRANKLIN BLVD (NAMPA) | 3 |
| 19602 | 184, US 20/26 TO SAND HOLLOW IC, CANYON CO | 3 |
| 19627 | SH 19, ROEDEL AVE BVRR RRX, CALDWELL | 3 |
| 19645 | US 95, OREGON ST LN TO WILDER SCL | 3 |
| 19685 | STP-7073, COLE RD; SPECTRUM TO CENTURY WAY MEDIANS, ACHD | 3 |
| 19709 | SH 44, I 84 TO JCT SH 55 NORTH, CANYON \& ADA CO | 3 |
| 19727 | US 20, MYRTLE, FRONT, BROADWAY RESURFACING, BOISE | 3 |
| 19772 | STATE, MERIDIAN MAINTENANCE YARD REPLACEMENT FACILITIES | 3 |
| 19783 | STC-3789, BOGUS BASIN RD SAFETY, MAINTENANCE, AND TRAILHEAD | 3 |
| 19803 | LOCAL, BICYCLE PARKING MAIN ST STATION, VRT | 3 |
| 19814 | 1 84, KARCHER IC, NAMPA | 3 |
| 19847 | LOCAL, FY20 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 3, ACHD | 3 |
| 19856 | SH 19, OREGON ST LN TO CALDWELL | 3 |
| 19874 | I 84, BLACKS CR RD IC, ADA CO | 3 |
| 19875 | SMA-9773, N LINDER RD BVRR RRX, MERIDIAN | 3 |
| 19887 | LOCAL, FY20 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, ACHD | 3 |


| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 159,000.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 328,000.00 |
| 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | EAGLE | Project Closed | 504,954.82 |
| 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 5,021,017.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,242.15 |
| 2015 | State Funded Program | NAMPA | Project Closed | 53,500.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Project Closed | 189,462.00 |
| 2014 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CANYON HD Number 4 | Development | 230,000.00 |
| 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 5,243,087.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 4,833,547.00 |
| 2019 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 583,000.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | MISCELLANEOUS | Project Closed | 299,662.00 |
| 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 969,309.00 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,316,999.78 |
| 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,496,000.00 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,553,632.00 |
| 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | MIDDLETON | Awarded (or equiv.) | 221,565.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 3,927,426.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,243,747.00 |
| 2015 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 3,118,590.00 |
| 2015 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 306,785.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | COMPASS | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,529,435.57 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,895,220.00 |
| 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | COMPASS | Development | 850,000.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,360,055.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | COMPASS | Development | 331,000.00 |
| 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,779,648.85 |
| 2017 | State Funded Program | GREENLEAF | Awarded (or equiv.) | 65,000.00 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,967,123.00 |
| 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | GREENLEAF | Awarded (or equiv.) | 60,600.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 275,000.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | COMPASS | Development | 724,509.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 9,457,446.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 7,915,715.00 |
| 2018 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 633,000.00 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,932,225.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 383,682.06 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,883,658.00 |
| 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 3,593,591.59 |
| 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 2,006,452.00 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Development | 5,378,000.00 |
| 2016 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Project Closed | 49,110.00 |
| 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,353,389.10 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 483,839.00 |
| 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 1,061,620.49 |
| 2019 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 15,714,051.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 612,000.00 |
| 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,742,333.00 |


| 19944 | US 20/26, CHINDEN; LOCUST GROVE TO EAGLE | 3 | 2020 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 18,253,224.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 19965 | SH 69, KUNA TO MERIDIAN | 3 | 2018 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 4,137,252.00 |
| 19997 | SH 69, SIGNAL INSTALLATION AT HUBBARD \& LAKE HAZEL | 3 | 2018 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 961,900.00 |
| 20003 | LOCAL, FY19 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, ACHD | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,503,234.00 |
| 20014 | SMA-3683, S BLACK CAT RD UPRR RRX, ACHD | 3 | 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 161,900.00 |
| 20046 | LOCAL, FY19 ACHD COMMUTERIDE VAN REPLACEMENTS | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 331,000.43 |
| 20050 | LOCAL, FY21 COMPASS METRO PLANNING | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | COMPASS | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,504,958.99 |
| 20060 | I 84, SAND HOLLOW IC TO FARMERS SEBREE CANAL | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,364,540.00 |
| 20076 | OFFSYS, INDIAN CR PATH EXT TO GREENBELT, CALDWELL | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | CALDWELL | Awarded (or equiv.) | 555,555.56 |
| 20091 | LOCAL, FY19 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 3, ACHD | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 611,014.00 |
| 20095 | LOCAL, BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY SECURE BIKE FACILITIES | 3 | 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY | Awarded (or equiv.) | 125,500.00 |
| 20141 | OFFSYS, INDIAN CR PATH EXT; TAFFY TO PEPPERMINT, NAMPA | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 566,524.00 |
| 20143 | SMA-7179, MAIN ST; AVENUE C TO AVENUE A, KUNA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | KUNA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,130,494.00 |
| 20159 | LOCAL, FY21 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PHASE 3, BOISE AREA | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 757,570.00 |
| 20167 | STC-8533, INT SMITH AVE \& MIDDLETON RD SIGNAL, NAMPA | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 647,374.00 |
| 20173 | LOCAL, FY17/18, COMMUTER VAN REPLACEMENT, ACHD | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 315,130.00 |
| 20203 | 1 84, EISENMAN IC TO MP 70 AND MP 82 TO MOUNTAIN HOME | 3 | 2020 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,022,376.00 |
| 20220 | LOCAL, FY18 COMMUTERIDE VAN REPLACEMENTS | 3 | 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 306,496.87 |
| 20225 | SH 45, DEER FLAT TO I-84B MICROSEAL, NAMPA | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 939,926.00 |
| 20245 | LOCAL, FY21 \& FY22 ADA COUNTY SR2S, VRT | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 344,305.00 |
| 20249 | LOCAL, SAFETY IMPRV AT 62 INTERSECTIONS, GOLDEN GATE HD | 3 | 2018 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | GOLDEN GATE HD | Project Closed | 38,646.00 |
| 20251 | 1 84, FY21 D3 E BRIDGE REPAIR, NAMPA | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,251,915.00 |
| 20260 | LOCAL, FY21 ACHD COMMUTERIDE | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 275,000.00 |
| 20275 | NHS-7220, STATE ST LIGHTING; 16TH TO 23RD, ACHD | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Project Closed | 458,386.00 |
| 20288 | I 84, E BOISE POE LICENSE PLATE READERS, ADA COUNTY | 3 | 2017 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 815,904.00 |
| 20294 | LOCAL, INT COLE RD AND OVERLAND RD, BOISE | 3 | 2017 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,492,720.00 |
| 20315 | I 84, KARCHER IC TO FRANKLIN BLVD, NAMPA | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 13,504,864.15 |
| 20351 | 1 84, CALDWELL TO KARCHER, CANYON CO | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 3,981,000.00 |
| 20430 | STC-7821, INT N MIDDLETON RD \& CORNELL ST, MIDDLETON | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | MIDDLETON | Awarded (or equiv.) | 560,000.00 |
| 20594 | US 20, LINDER TO LOCUST GROVE, EAGLE | 3 | 2020 | State Funded Program | PRIVATE | Development | 3,957,832.00 |
| 20613 | SMA-8383, INT LONE STAR \& MIDDLETON RD | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,659,220.00 |
| 20639 | LOCAL, FAIRVIEW AVE GREENBELT RAMP, BOISE | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | BOISE, CITY OF | Awarded (or equiv.) | 215,000.00 |
| 20738 | 184, BROADWAY TO MOUNTAINHOME, ADA \& ELMORE CO | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,775,146.00 |
| 20796 | I 84, KARCHER IC TO FRANKLIN BLVD IC TEMP SHOULDER | 3 | 2019 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 5,675,486.28 |
| 20797 | 1 84, KARCHER OVERPASS, NAMPA | 3 | 2019 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 4,635,563.36 |
| 20798 | I 84, NORTHSIDE BLVD TO FRANKLIN BLVD, NAMPA | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 74,527,876.80 |
| 20799 | 1 84, KARCHER IC TO NORTHSIDE BLVD | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 28,553,090.77 |
| 20842 | SMA-7013, CLOVERDALE RD; CAMAS DR TO TUTRINA \& OPASS, BOISE | 3 | 2019 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Project Closed | 12,243,350.00 |
| 21858 | US 20, SH 16 TO LINDER RD, ADA COUNTY | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | PRIVATE | Development | 24,810,675.00 |
| 21864 | US 20, SH-16 TO TREE FARM WAY (PH2) | 3 | 2019 | State Funded Program | PRIVATE | Project Closed | 197.82 |
| 21867 | SH 55, KARCHER RD, MIDDLETON TO INDIANA, DESIGN | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 1,024,000.00 |
| 21906 | SH 55, PEAR LANE TO MIDDLETON RD, CANYON CO | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 2,772,100.00 |
| 21913 | LOCAL, BSU COVERED BIKE FACILITY | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY | Awarded (or equiv.) | 41,500.00 |
| 21968 | SH 21, MORES CR BR ASSET PLAN | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 275,000.00 |
| 21999 | STP-8463, GREENHURST RD; SUNNYBROOK TO CANYON ST, NAMPA | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 1,210,903.00 |
| 22029 | LOCAL, FY19 CANYON CO SR2S COORDINATOR \& ACTIVITIES | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | MERIDIAN | Awarded (or equiv.) | 64,753.00 |
| 22030 | LOCAL, FY20 CANYON CO SRTS COORDINATOR \& ACTIVITIES | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 64,753.00 |
| 22031 | LOCAL, FY21 CANYON CO SRTS COORDINATOR \& ACTIVITIES | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT | Awarded (or equiv.) | 64,753.00 |


| 22034 | STC-8233, MIDLAND BLVD UPRR RRX, NAMPA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 78,500.00 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22050 | LOCAL, STODDARD PATH EXT PH 1, NAMPA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 532,783.00 |
| 22070 | LOCAL, STODDARD PATH EXT PH 2, NAMPA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 539,066.00 |
| 22076 | OFFSYS, GRIMES CITY PATHWAY, NAMPA | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | NAMPA | Awarded (or equiv.) | 296,229.00 |
| 22154 | I 84, USTICK RD \& MIDDLETON RD OVERPASSES, CANYON CO | 3 | 2020 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Development | 4,482,574.07 |
| 22180 | LOCAL, BICYCLE AND PEDESTRIAN DATA, COMPASS | 3 | 2019 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | COMPASS | Project Closed | 7,000.00 |
| 22258 | US 20, D3 CULVERT REPAIR | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 156,933.00 |
| 22460 | SH 19, BVRR RRX, GREENLEAF | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 98,200.00 |
| 22593 | OFFSYS, S 4TH AVE, INDIAN CREEK BR, CALDWELL | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | LOCAL HIGHWAY TECHNICAL ASSISTANCE COUNCIL (LHTAC) | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 2,817,772.29 |
| 22618 | 184, MIDDLETON RD OVERPASS, CANYON CO | 3 | 2020 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 6,932,943.35 |
| 22619 | 1 84, USTICK RD OVERPASS, CANYON CO | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 15,580,836.00 |
| 22995 | LOCAL, BIKE IMPROVEMENTS, SIGNS \& PVMT MARKINGS, ADA | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, Local Road System | ADA COUNTY HD | Development | 46,000.00 |
| 23071 | SH 45, REALIGNMENT ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS, PEL, NAMPA | 3 | 2021 | Federal-Aid, State Highway System | NAMPA | Development | 405,000.00 |
| 23079 | 1 84, TEMP WIDENING, FRANKLIN IC TO KARCHER IC, NAMPA | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 3,000,000.00 |
| 23270 | I 84, GALLOWAY ROAD UNDERPASS REPAIR | 3 | 2021 | State Funded Program | STATE OF IDAHO (ITD) | Awarded (or equiv.) | 500,000.00 |

## APPENDIX E: LI ST OF PROJ ECTS

Provides a detailed list of projects that are funded with federal or state funds, or considered "regionally significant," no matter the funding source.

All projects contained in the TIP are sorted alphabetically by the project name using a $2 \%$ inflation factor for construction projects (projects are noted if inflation is used).

## Detailed Project List (All Values in Thousands of Dollars)

10th Avenue ITS and Overlay, Caldwell
Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 13905
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$268
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,184
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,452
Project Description


Overlay a half-mile section of 10th Avenue in downtown Caldwell from the railroad overpass to Interstate 84. Install intelligent transportation system (ITS) components and bring pedestrian facilities to current standards.


## ADA Ramps, Greenleaf

Regionally Significant:$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22963

## Safety

Requesting Agency: City of Greenleaf
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$58
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$58
Project Description


Construct eight wheelchair accessible sidewalk ramps in the City of Greenleaf. Ramps will conform to Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) regulations.


## Bicycle and Pedestrian Bridge over North Channel of Boise River, Eagle

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20841
Requesting Agency: City of Eagle
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$739
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,953
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,692

## Project Description



Design and construct a bicycle and pedestrian bridge over the north channel of the Boise River adjacent to the State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) Bridge. The bicycle and pedestrian bridge will provide critical link between the Boise River Greenbelt, planned pathways, and a rapidly growing residential and commercial core area in the City of Eagle.

| Funding S | rce TAP-T |  |  | gram | ocal Hwy - Tra | sportation A | atives | ocal Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 475 | 441 | 916 | 849 | 67 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 | 39 | 36 | 3 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$475 | \$480 | \$955 | \$885 | \$70 |
| Funding S | urce Local | Participating | Pro | gram H | wy - Local Par | nerships |  | ocal Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 80 | 0 | 80 |
| Fund Totals: | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$70 | \$0 | \$80 | \$0 | \$80 |


| Funding Source TAP-State |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 537 | 537 | 498 | 39 |
| Fund | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$537 | \$537 | \$498 | \$39 |


| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 654 | 654 | 606 | 48 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,727 | 1,727 | 1,600 | 127 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,381 | \$2,381 | \$2,206 | \$175 |
| Funding Source AC (Local) |  |  | Program Advanced Construction |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,731 | 1,731 | 0 | 1,731 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -1,731 | -1,731 | 0 | -1,731 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 |

## Bicycle and Pedestrian, Permanent Automated Counters, Boise, COMPASS

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Active Transportation
Key \# : 23313
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$8
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8


## Project Description

Purchase one permanent bicycle and pedestrian counter with data processing to further expand the regional county effort in the Boise Urbanized Area. Location to be recommended by the COMPASS Active Transportation Workgroup.

| Funding | rce STBG | A |  | ram | al Hwy - T | portation | me | ocal Match 7. | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8 | \$8 | \$7 | \$1 |


| Bicycle and Pedestrian, Permanent Automated Counters, Nampa, COMPASS |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | TIP Achievement: |  |
| Key \# : 23026 | Active Transportation |  |
| Requesting Agency: COMPASS |  |  |
| Project Year: 2023 |  |  |
| Total Previous Allocations: \$0 |  | - |
| Total Programmed Budget: \$40 |  |  |
| Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$40 |  |  |
| Project Description |  |  |

Project Description


Purchase up to five permanent automated bicycle and pedestrian counters in the Nampa Urbanized Area. Locations to be recommended by the COMPASS Active Transportation Workgroup.

| Funding | ree STBG- |  |  | ram L | cal Hwy - Urb |  |  | ocal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 40 | 37 | 3 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$40 | \$40 | \$37 | \$3 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2019

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13903
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: \$6,182
Total Programmed Budget: \$8
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,190

## Project Description

Supplement the local maintenance program to complete work such as overlays on arterials and collectors in the Boise Urbanized Area.
Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on: Amity Road, Enterprise Street to Production Street; Annett Street, Victory Road to Targee Street; Boise Avenue, Leadville Avenue to Gekeler Lane; Division Avenue; Enterprise Street, Amity Road to Commerce Avenue; Latah Street, Overland Road to Alpine Street; and Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to Cloverdale Road.

| Funding S | rce Non- | cipating |  | gram H | wy - Local Pa | erships |  | ocal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 1 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8 | \$8 | \$7 | \$1 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2020

Regionally Significant: $\square$
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 18728
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 7,834$
Total Programmed Budget: (\$139)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,695

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to adjoining sidewalks. Segments include: 13th Street, Alturas Street to Brumback Street; Beacon Light Road, SH-16 to Ballantyne Lane; Cole Road, Lake Hazel Rd to Latigo Drive; Edna Street, Five Mile Road to Patton Avenue; Floating Feather Road, Lanewood Road to Linder Road; Hill Road Parkway, Horseshoe Bend Road to Seamans Gulch Road; Horseshoe Bend Road, State Street to Cemetery Entrance; Locust Grove Road, Ustick Road to McMillan Road; Pine Street, Linder Road to Meridian Road; and Technology Way, Columbia Road to SH-21.

| Funding | ree STBG | A |  | ram | al Hwy - Tra | portation | nt | ocal Match | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -56 | -83 | -139 | -129 | -10 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$56) | (\$83) | (\$139) | (\$129) | (\$10) |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2019

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20003
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2019
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 2,504$
Total Programmed Budget: (\$199)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,305

## Project Description



Supplement the local maintenance program for arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on Emerald Street, Cole Road to Orchard Street; Orchard Street, Overland Road to Franklin Road (subject to change). More details can be found in ACHD's Design and Construction Review online:
http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/DCR/DCR.pdf.


## Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2020

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19887
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,742
Total Programmed Budget: (\$267)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,475

## Project Description



Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to adjoining sidewalks. Segments include: Gowen Road, Orchard Street to Broadway Avenue.


## Capital Maintenance, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2021

Regionally Significant: $\square$Inflated
Key \# : 20129
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$3,368
Total Programmed Budget: \$386
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,754

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: Warm Springs Avenue, Starcrest Drive to Starview Drive and Glacier Drive to State Highway 21; and Edna Street, Five Mile Road to Patton Avenue.

| Funding S | ce Local | rticipating |  | ram | y- Local Par | rships |  | cal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 8 | 0 | 8 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$8 | \$8 | \$0 | \$8 |


| Funding S | rce STBG | TMA |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | sportation M | ment | ocal Match 7. | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 353 | 378 | 350 | 28 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$25 | \$353 | \$378 | \$350 | \$28 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2019

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20091
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$611
Total Programmed Budget: \$160
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$771

## Project Description



Supports the local maintenance program for arterials and collectors within the Boise Urbanized Area. Pavement rehabilitation, select pipe replacement, and upgrade of adjacent pedestrian ramps on Cherry Lane, Shoshone Street to Broxon Street; Elder Street, Owyhee Street to Vista Avenue; and Owyhee Street, Elder Street to Cherry Lane (subject to change). More details can be found in ACHD's Design and Construction Review online:
http://www.achdidaho.org/Projects/DCR/DCR.pdf.

| Funding Source Local Participating |  |  | Program Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10 | \$10 | \$0 | \$10 |
| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management |  |  |  |  | cal Match | 4\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 125 | 150 | 139 | 11 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$25 | \$125 | \$150 | \$139 | \$11 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2020

Regionally Significant:


Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19847
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$484
Total Programmed Budget: \$65
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$549

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid highways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements to adjoining sidewalks. Segments include: Owyhee Street from Cherry Lane to Overland Road.

| Funding S | ce STBG- |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Tr | portation M | ment | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 | 65 | 60 | 5 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$65 | \$65 | \$60 | \$5 |

## Capital Maintenance, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2021

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20159
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$758
Total Programmed Budget: \$115
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$873

## Project Description

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segment: NW 8th Street between Pine Avenue and Cherry Lane in the City of Meridian.


## Centennial Way Roundabout, Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
Key \#: 13484
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$428
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,701
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,129

## Project Description

## TIP Achievement:

System Performance
Safety


Replace a six-legged intersection at State Highway 19 (Simplot Boulevard) and Interstate 84-B (Centennial Way, Cleveland Boulevard, and Blaine Street) with a roundabout intersection in the City of Caldwell.


Cherry Lane, 11th Avenue North to Idaho Center Boulevard, Nampa
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22438
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,545
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,545

## Project Description



Rebuild Cherry Lane between 11th Avenue North and Idaho Center Boulevard in the City of Nampa. Work includes adding a center turn lane, pedestrian and bicycle lanes, and intersection improvements.

| Funding S | urce STBG- |  | Program Local Hwy - Urban |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 43 | 128 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 171 | 158 | 13 |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 222 | 1,152 | 1,374 | 1,273 | 101 |
| Fund Totals: | \$43 | \$128 | \$0 | \$0 | \$222 | \$1,152 | \$1,545 | \$1,432 | \$113 |

## Cherry Lane, Franklin Boulevard to 11th Avenue North, Rebuild, Nampa

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Active Transportation
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$330
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,397
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,727

## Project Description



Rebuild Cherry Lane from Franklin Boulevard to 11th Avenue North in the City of Nampa. Work includes adding a center turn lane, pedestrian and bicycle lanes, and intersection improvements.

| Funding | ce STBG- |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Urb |  |  | ocal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 380 | 1,017 | 1,397 | 1,294 | 103 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$380 | \$1,017 | \$1,397 | \$1,294 | \$103 |

## Commuteride, ACHD

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Public Transportation
Key \# : СРА3
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022-2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,595
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,595

## Project Description

Continue and improve rideshare program and marketing. Operate a third-party vanpool program in multi-county area and coordinate vanpools in the Boise and Nampa Urbanized Areas. These projects tie to Idaho Transportation Department key numbers 22015, 22436, 22386, 22738, 23328, ORN23679, and ORN23680.

| Funding S | urce STBG- | TMA |  | gram | cal Hwy - Tra | sportation M | gement | ocal Match 0. | 0\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| 2027 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 220 | 220 | 220 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,320 | \$1,320 | \$1,320 | \$0 |
| Funding S | urce STBG- |  |  | gram L | cal Hwy - Urb |  |  | ocal Match 0. | \%\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 110 | 110 | 110 | 0 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| 2026 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 55 | 55 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$275 | \$275 | \$275 | \$0 |

## Commuteride, ACHD, Van Replacements, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Asset Management
Key \# : 20136a
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$290
Total Programmed Budget: \$772
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,062
Project Description


Replace Commuteride vans in the Nampa Urbanized Area and rural areas using funds for bus and bus facilities.

| Funding | ce FTA | SU |  | ram | nsit Capital |  |  | cal Match | 00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 772 | 772 | 618 | 154 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$772 | \$772 | \$618 | \$154 |

Commuteride, Safety and Security Cameras, Ada County
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Key \# : 23314
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$50
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$50
Project Description


Install security cameras at four ACHD-owned Park and Ride lots located at Ballantyne Park and Ride (State Highway 44 and West State Street) and Riverside Park and Ride (State Highway 44 and Edgewood Lane) in the City of Eagle, and County Terrace Park and Ride (near Meridian Road and Overland Road) and Rackham Road Park and Ride (Eagle Road and Overland Road) in the City of Meridian. A total of 240 parking spaces are provided at these four locations.


## Eagle Road, Amity Road to Victory Road, Meridian

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Key \# : RD207-33
Active Transportation
System Performance
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$4,932
Total Programmed Budget: \$483
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,415


## Project Description

Widen Eagle Road from Amity Road to Victory Road in the City of Meridian to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalk, and level three bike lanes. Project includes enhanced pedestrian crossing facility at Rome Drive and a roundabout at Eagle Road and Zaldia Drive.


## Eagle Road, Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road, Meridian

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,682
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,682

## Project Description



Widen Eagle Road from Lake Hazel Road to Amity Road in the City of Meridian to five lanes, including enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway. Project includes a roundabout at Taconic Drive.

| Funding | ce Loc | gion | ificant) P | am | - Local Pa | erships |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 15 | 1,320 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,335 | 0 | 1,335 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 132 | 46 | 5,169 | 5,347 | 0 | 5,347 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$15 | \$1,320 | \$132 | \$46 | \$5,169 | \$6,682 | \$0 | \$6,682 |

Fairview Avenue, Locust Grove Road to SH-55 (Eagle Road), Meridian
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance
Key \# : RC0133
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$39
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,510
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,549

## Project Description



Widen Fairview Avenue from Locust Grove Road to State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) to seven lanes in the City of Meridian. Project includes enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway.

| Funding | rce Local | gionally | ificant) P | am | wy - Local Pa | erships |  | ocal Match 1 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 30 | 300 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 330 | 0 | 330 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,180 | 2,180 | 0 | 2,180 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$30 | \$300 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,180 | \$2,510 | \$0 | \$2,510 |

## Five Mile Road Overpass and Widening, NEPA, Boise

Regionally Significant:
Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23095
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2025 ITD

Health
Active Transportation
System Performance


Total Programmed Budget: \$4,247
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,252

## Project Description

To begin preliminary design and National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA) environmental review to replace the Five Mile Road overpass over Interstate 84, widen the bridge from two lanes to four lanes, and widen Five Mile Road from two lanes to five lanes from just north of Overland Road to Franklin Road in the City of Boise. Work includes curb, gutter, sidewalks, and enhanced bike lanes on both sides of the roadway. (Construction is shown in key numbers ORN23591 and RD203-04.)

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Safety) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 101 | 0 | 101 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$101 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$101 | \$0 | \$101 |
| Funding Source Local Participating |  |  | Program Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 200 | 0 | 200 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 1,073 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,073 | 0 | 1,073 |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 1,141 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,141 | 0 | 1,141 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$200 | \$2,224 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2,424 | \$0 | \$2,424 |
| Funding Source NHPP |  |  | Program State Hwy - Pavement Preservation |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.30\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 400 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 400 | 371 | 29 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$400 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$400 | \$371 | \$29 |


| Funding S | rce STBG | MA |  | ram | al Hwy - | portation | ment | ocal Match | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 552 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 552 | 511 | 41 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$552 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$552 | \$511 | \$41 |
| Funding S | urce AC (Lo | cal) |  | gram A | dvanced Con | ruction |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 770 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 770 | 0 | 770 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$770 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$770 | \$0 | \$770 |

## Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22102
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance


Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$431
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,274
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,705

## Project Description

Construct a dual-lane, offset roundabout at Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road in the City of Nampa. The project includes right-of-way, curb, gutter, sidewalk, lighting, pedestrian ramps, and lane widening. The design includes a roundabout with one entering lane eastbound and westbound, and two entering lanes northbound and southbound. The offset center of the roundabout is approximately 55 feet west and 10 feet north of the existing intersection. A power pole will be relocated on the northeast corner at project expense (power pole is in a private easement outside of the right-of-way).

| Funding Source STBG-U |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 49 | 100 | 270 | 0 | 560 | 2,295 | 3,274 | 3,034 | 240 |
| Fund Totals: | \$49 | \$100 | \$270 | \$0 | \$560 | \$2,295 | \$3,274 | \$3,034 | \$240 |

## Franklin Boulevard, Freight Improvements near 3rd Avenue North, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
Key \# : 22103
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$500
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,969
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,469

## Project Description



Install a new signalized intersection approximately $1 / 4$ mile south of the existing Franklin Boulevard and Industrial Road intersection in the City of Nampa. Work will include improvements to existing intersections at Franklin Boulevard and Industrial Road, building a new local street east of Franklin Boulevard with a new structure at the Phyllis Canal crossing, and a relocated at-grade rail crossing. A new local street west of Franklin Boulevard will also be built, requiring a new structure at the Mason Creek crossing, and improvements to 5th Avenue North. Franklin Boulevard and 3rd Avenue North will be closed off with cul-de-sacs. Access improvements along Franklin Boulevard (near Jacksons store) will be addressed to reduce conflict points.

| Funding | rce Freigh |  |  | ram | Hwy - Fre |  |  | ocal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 59 | 900 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 959 | 889 | 70 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 810 | 7,200 | 8,010 | 7,422 | 588 |
| Fund Totals: | \$59 | \$900 | \$0 | \$0 | \$810 | \$7,200 | \$8,969 | \$8,311 | \$658 |

## Garden Street Multi-Use Pathway, Cassia Park to Albion Street, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 23324
Requesting Agency: City of Boise
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,859
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,859

## Project Description



Construct a multi-use pathway adjacent to the existing Electric Light Switch Lateral channel between Cassia Park and Albion Street in the City of Boise. Work includes piping the existing lateral channel and constructing a multi-use bridge over the Ridenbaugh Canal in the southern portion of the pathway corridor to connect this pathway to the ACHD Cassia Street Bikeway and Cassia Park.

| Funding S | urce Local | Participating | Program Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 520 | 520 | 0 | 520 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$520 | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 |
| Funding Source STBG-TMA |  |  | Program Local Hwy - CRRSAA 2021 |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 39 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 246 | 228 | 18 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 263 | 830 | 1,093 | 1,013 | 80 |
| Fund Totals: | \$39 | \$207 | \$0 | \$0 | \$263 | \$830 | \$1,339 | \$1,241 | \$98 |

## Highway 30, Sand Hollow Road to SH-44, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\square$ $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$419
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,176
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,595

## Project Description



Rehabilitate Old Highway 30 from State Highway 44 to Galloway Road, and Goodson Road to south of Sand Hollow Road. Work also includes improvements to the intersections at Farmway Road and Goodson Road.

| Funding | ce STBG- |  |  | ram | cal Hwy - Ru |  |  | ocal Match 7.3 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| PD | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 571 | 2,605 | 3,176 | 2,943 | 233 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$571 | \$2,605 | \$3,176 | \$2,943 | \$233 |

Homedale Road, Curve Improvements, Canyon Highway District
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 22878
Safety


Project Description
Widen the Deer Flat North Canal bridge and shoulders on Homedale Road near the intersection of Malt Road in Canyon County. Project includes installation of white thermoplastic rumble strips and flattening of the curve slopes to provide drivers visual guidance with an alert of lane departure and an improved recovery area for reduction in overturning potential.

| Funding S | ce HSIP | al) |  | ram H | wy Safety - Loca |  |  | cal Match 7 | 4\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 140 | 11 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 19 | 2 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 197 | 649 | 846 | 784 | 62 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$151 | \$21 | \$0 | \$197 | \$649 | \$1,018 | \$943 | \$75 |

## -184, Orchard Street Underpass Repair, Boise

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23457
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$100
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$100

## Project Description



Heavy equipment on a trailer was not properly lowered and hit the girders causing damage that now requires repair.

| Funding | ce NHPP |  |  | ram S | te Hwy - Brio | Restorati |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 75 | 100 | 0 | 100 |
| Fund Totals: | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$5 | \$75 | \$100 | \$0 | \$100 |

l-84 and l-184, Signage Replacement, Ada County - FY2024
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23181 Safety


Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$520
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$520

## Project Description

Update and replace signs on Interstate 84 between Ten Mile Road and west of Broadway Avenue and Interstate 184 between Maple Grove Road and Orchard Street.

| Funding | rce State |  | Program State Highway - Safety |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 486 | 510 | 0 | 510 |
| Fund Totals: | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$24 | \$486 | \$520 | \$0 | \$520 |

## 1-84 and SH-44 Interchange Replacement, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ TIP Achievement:
Asset Management


Replace the bridge at Interstate 84 and State Highway 44 (Exit 25 to the City of Middleton) in Canyon County. The current bridge was originally built in 1964 and has reached the end of its design life. The bridge will be replaced at current design standards.

| Funding S | rce NHPP |  |  | gram S | ate Hwy - Bri | ge Restoratio |  | ocal Match 7 | 34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 225 | 700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 925 | 857 | 68 |
| 2028 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,126 | 15,766 | 16,892 | 15,652 | 1,240 |
| Fund Totals: | \$225 | \$700 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,126 | \$15,766 | \$17,817 | \$16,509 | \$1,308 |

## l-84, Bridge Repairs, City of Caldwell

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 21967
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$50
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,278
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,328

## Project Description



Provide bridge deck preservation and preventative maintenance to the bridges, bridge approaches, and guardrail at various locations on l-84 west of the City of Caldwell to Karcher Interchange GARVEE widening project.

| Funding | rce Bridge | reservation |  | ram | te Hwy - Bri | ge Preservat |  | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,278 | 1,278 | 0 | 1,278 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,278 | \$1,278 | \$0 | \$1,278 |

## -84, Bridge Repairs, District 3 - FY2022

Regionally Significant: Inflated

## TIP Achievement:

Key \# : 20405
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$89
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,351
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,440

## Project Description



Provide bridge repairs at the following locations on Interstate 84: Sand Hollow Road, Galloway Road, Purple Sage Road, US 20/26, Ten Mile Road, Hunter Lateral, Ridenbaugh Canal, Five Mile Creek, Indian Creek, Mayfield Road, Squaw Creek, and Canyon Creek. (Ada County 53\%, Elmore County 16\%, Canyon County 23\%, and Payette County 4\%)

| Funding Source STBG-State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Bridge Preservation |  |  |  |  | Local Match 7.34\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 201 | 2,109 | 2,351 | 2,178 | 173 |
| Fund Totals: | \$41 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$201 | \$2,109 | \$2,351 | \$2,178 | \$173 |

## -84, Centennial Interchange to Franklin Interchange, FY2024



Regionally Significant:
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23437
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$10,300
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$10,300

## Project Description

Environmental study, design, right-of-way, and construction on Interstate 84 between the Centennial Way Interchange (Exit 27) and the Franklin Road Interchange (Exit 29) in the City of Caldlwell. Design includes an additional lane in each direction and select auxiliary lanes, widening the 10th Avenue Interchange and ramp improvements, and drainage improvements. Construction will be broken out as funding is determined.

| Funding S | rce TECM |  |  | ram | te Hwy - Saf | \& Capacity | ) | ocal Match |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 250 | 7,700 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7,950 | 7,950 | 0 |
| 2023 | 0 | 200 | 2,100 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 2,350 | 2,350 | 0 |
| Fund Totals: | \$250 | \$7,900 | \$2,100 | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$10,300 | \$10,300 | \$0 |

## 1-84, Cole Road and Overland Road Interchange, Lighting Improvements, Boise

Regionally Significant:Inflated
Key \# : 22746
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$255
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$255

## Project Description

TIP Achievement:
Safety


Upgrade the existing lighting infrastructure at the Cole Road \& Overland Road Interchange (Interstate 84, Exit 50B) in the City of Boise.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Highway - Safety |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 10 |
| 2023 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 234 | 245 | 0 | 245 |
| Fund Totals: | \$10 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$11 | \$234 | \$255 | \$0 | \$255 |

## 1-84, Eisenman Interchange to Mt. Home Interchange, Ada and Elmore

Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20203
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$2,023
Total Programmed Budget: (\$42)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,981
Project Description


Seal coat the pavement surface on Interstate 84 between the Eisenman Interchange (milepost 60) in Ada County to the Mountain Home Interchange (milepost 90) in Elmore County to improve ride quality and extend the life of the pavement. (55\% Ada County and 45\% Elmore County)

| Funding | rce HB132 | nd HB312 |  | gram S | te Hwy - Pav | ment Preserv |  | Local Match 100 | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -42 | -42 | 0 | -42 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$42) | (\$42) | \$0 | (\$42) |

## 1-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Canyon County

Regionally Significant:

$\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 22196
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020-2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$10,872
Total Programmed Budget: $(\$ 1,795)$
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,077


## Project Description

Design work on Interstate 84 from the Franklin Interchange in the City of Caldwell to the Karcher Interchange in the City of Nampa in Canyon County. Construction was split into KN 23079, 23080, and 23081.

| Funding S | rce State |  | Program H |  | Hwy GARVEE - 2017 Legislative Authoriz |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | -19 | -1,916 | 190 | -50 | 0 | 0 | -1,795 | 0 | -1,795 |
| Fund Totals: | (\$19) | $(\$ 1,916)$ | \$190 | (\$50) | \$0 | \$0 | $(\$ 1,795)$ | \$0 | $(\$ 1,795)$ |

## 1-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Eastbound, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
Key \# : 23081
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: $\$ 46,050$
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,777
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$47,827

## Project Description

TIP Achievement:
System Performance
Safety


Reconstruct and widen the eastbound lanes of Interstate 84 from the Franklin Interchange to the Karcher/Midland Road Interchange between the City of Caldwell and the City of Nampa in Canyon County. The project includes widening the existing four-lane section (two-lanes each direction) to a six-lane section (three-lanes each direction). This project includes replacement of the Notus Canal bridge structure just west of Ustick Road. Design was programmed under Key Number 22196.

| Funding Source GARVEE 2017 |  |  | Program Hwy GARVEE - Future Authorization |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,316 | 1,316 | 0 | 1,316 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,316 | \$1,316 | \$0 | \$1,316 |
| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 461 | 461 | 0 | 461 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$461 | \$461 | \$0 | \$461 |

## |-84, Franklin Interchange to Karcher Interchange, Widen Westbound, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ $\checkmark$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23080
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$69,810
Total Programmed Budget: \$479
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$70,289

## Project Description



Reconstruct and widen the westbound lanes on Interstate 84 from the Franklin Road Interchange to Karcher/Franklin Road Interchange between the City of Caldwell and the City of Nampa in Canyon County. The project includes widening the existing four-lane section (twolanes each direction) to a six-lane section (three-lanes each direction). This project includes replacement of the Notus Canal bridge structure just west of Linden Road Overpass, as well as the Linden Road overpass. Design was programmed under Key Number 22196.

l-84, Garrity Interchange to Ten Mile Interchange, Canyon and Ada Counties
Regionally Significant: $\square$ Inflated
Key \# : 20212
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$90
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,074
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,164

## Project Description

TIP Achievement:
Asset Management
Safety


Resurface the pavement on Interstate 84 between the Garrity Interchange in the City of Nampa and the Ten Mile Interchange in the City of Meridian. This is a routine procedure to maintain the existing pavement.

| Funding | rce IM |  |  | ram | ate Hwy - Pav | ment Prese |  | ocal Match 7.7 | 3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2025 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 215 | 2,859 | 3,074 | 2,836 | 238 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$215 | \$2,859 | \$3,074 | \$2,836 | \$238 |

## I-84, Karcher Road Interchange in the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$3,981
Total Programmed Budget: (\$2)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,979

## Project Description



Environmental study, design, and right-of-way on Interstate 84 between the Karcher Road Interchange from Karcher Road in the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell. Actual termini and improvements will be determined through the environmental process. Construction projects will be split out once the phasing is determined.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -2 | 0 | -2 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | (\$2) | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | (\$2) | \$0 | (\$2) |

## -84, Karcher Road Interchange, Nampa

Regionally Significant:
$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23336
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,250
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,250

## Project Description



Preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition to widen the Karcher Interchange on Interstate 84 in the City of Nampa. Work will include adding a free running right turn lane on the westbound to southbound off-ramp and continue the additional lane across the Interstate 84 and Union Pacific Railroad/Indian Creek structures. The third westbound land on State Highway 55 to be terminated prior to Middleton Road. Construction will be broken out into separate key numbers.

| Funding S | urce TECM |  |  | gram S | ate Hwy - Saf | \& Capacity | ) | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 250 | 2,650 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,900 | 0 | 2,900 |
| 2023 | 0 | 1,100 | 100 | 50 | 0 | 0 | 1,250 | 0 | 1,250 |
| 2024 | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,100 | 0 | 2,100 |
| Fund Totals: | \$250 | \$3,750 | \$2,200 | \$50 | \$0 | \$0 | \$6,250 | \$0 | \$6,250 |

|-84, Meridian Road Interchange to Eagle Road Interchange, Design, Meridian

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 23456
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,330
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,330
Project Description
TIP Achievement:
System Performance
Safety


Evaluate adding an auxiliary lane on Interstate 84 between Meridian Road (Exit 44) and Eagle Road (Exit 46) Interchanges in the City of Meridian, including an additional lane and shoulder on the eastbound on-ramp at Meridian Road and the eastbound off-ramp at Eagle Road. Work includes all studies and design work necessary. Traffic patterns on Interstate 84 from Meridian to the WYE interchange in the City of Boise will also be studied.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Unallocated |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary <br> Engineering <br> Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 5 | 1,325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,330 | 0 | 1,330 |
| Fund Totals: | \$5 | \$1,325 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,330 | \$0 | \$1,330 |
| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Board Unallocated |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 1,325 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,325 | 0 | 1,325 |
| Fund Totals: | \$1,325 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$1,325 | \$0 | \$1,325 |

1-84, Middleton Road Overpass, Canyon County
Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22618
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$6,933
Total Programmed Budget: \$210
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,143

## Project Description



Rebuild Middleton Road overpass at Interstate 84 in Canyon County. This project is part of the GARVEE project to expand Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell (Key Number 20351). Design for this project is shown under Key Number 22154.

| Funding | rce TECM |  |  | ram | tate Hwy - Sa | \& \& Capacit | pacity) | ocal Match | 0.00\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 84 | 84 | 0 | 84 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$84 | \$84 | \$0 | \$84 |
| Funding | urce INFRA |  |  | gram H | wy - Misc. Fed |  |  | ocal Match | 00\% |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 126 | 126 | 50 | 76 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$126 | \$126 | \$50 | \$76 |

## l-84, Robinson Road Underpass Repair, Nampa

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23455
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$200
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$200

## Project Description

An excavator being towed on I-84 struck the Bridge on Oct 5, 2021. The accident damaged two girders. Shoulder restrictions will be implemented on Robinson Road and repairs will require a closure of the interstate.

| Funding S | urce NHPP |  | Program State Hwy - Bridge Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 150 | 200 | 0 | 200 |
| Fund Totals: | \$20 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$30 | \$150 | \$200 | \$0 | \$200 |

## -84, SH-44, Westbound Ramp Improvements, Canyon County

Regionally Significant: $\qquad$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23099
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,706
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,706

## Project Description

Widen the westbound Interstate 84 off-ramp at State Highway 44 (Exit 25), just west of the City of Caldwell, also known as the Middleton Exit, to reestablish uniform shoulder width, install a traffic signal and queue detection on the westbound off-ramp, and excavate the slope to improve sight distance.


## |-84, Striping - FY2023

Regionally Significant:$\square$ Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23708

## Safety

Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$559
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$559

## Project Description

Re-stripe lines on Interstate 84 for safety. (Ada County 29\%, Canyon County 18\%, Elmore County 39\%, and Payette County 14\%)


## -84, Ustick Road Overpass, Canyon County

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22619
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2020-20²2
Total Previous Allocations: \$15,582
Total Programmed Budget: \$282
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$15,864


## Project Description

Rebuild Ustick Road overpass at Interstate 84 in Canyon County. The overpass will be widened to five lanes and include pedestrian facilities. This project is part of the GARVEE project to expand Interstate 84 from the City of Nampa to the City of Caldwell. (Key Number 20351).
Design of this project is included in Key Number 22154.

| Funding Source State |  |  | Program State Hwy - Restoration |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$2 | \$2 | \$0 | \$2 |
| Funding Source TECM |  |  | Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  | Local Match 100.00\% |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 112 | 0 | 112 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$112 | \$0 | \$112 | \$0 | \$112 |
| Funding Source INFRA |  |  | Program Hwy - Misc. Federal |  |  |  | Local Match 60.00\% |  |  |
| Cost Year* | Preliminary Engineering | Preliminary Engineering Consulting | Right-of-Way | Utilities | Construction Engineering | Construction | Total | Federal Share | Local Share |
| 2022 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 168 | 0 | 168 | 67 | 101 |
| Fund Totals: | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$0 | \$168 | \$0 | \$168 | \$67 | \$101 |

## 1-84B (Garrity Boulevard) and Stamm Lane Intersection Improvements, Nampa

Regionally Significant:

```
\(\checkmark\)
``` Inflated
Key \# : 22712
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,130
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,130

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Interstate 84B (Garrity Boulevard) at the Stamm Lane intersection in the City of Nampa to improve safety and mobility.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce State & & & ram S & te Hwy - Saf & \& Capacity & acity) & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 138 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 138 & 0 & 138 \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 182 & 1,417 & 1,599 & 0 & 1,599 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$138 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$182 & \$1,417 & \$1,737 & \$0 & \$1,737 \\
\hline Funding S & urce Local & Participating & Pro & gram H & wy - Local Par & nerships & & ocal Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 393 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 393 & 0 & 393 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$393 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$393 & \$0 & \$393 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Indiana and Orchard Shared Roadway, Canyon County}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 22602
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$13
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,290
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,303

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
Open Space
Active Transportation
Safety


Add four-foot paved shoulders on Indiana Avenue from Roosevelt Avenue to Orchard Avenue and on Orchard Avenue from Indiana Avenue to Riverside Road in Canyon County (approximately 1.5 miles) using Federal Lands Access Program funds. Project managed by Western Federal Lands.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source FLAP} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Hwy - Federal Lands Access} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 119 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 119 & 110 & 9 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 249 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 249 & 231 & 18 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4,547 & 4,547 & 4,213 & 334 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 375 & 0 & 375 & 347 & 28 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$368 & \$0 & \$0 & \$375 & \$4,547 & \$5,290 & \$4,902 & \$388 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Lake Hazel Road, Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road, Ada County
Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD207-29
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Safety
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,216
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,216

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Cloverdale Road to Five Mile Road in Ada County to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce Loca & gionally & ificant) P & ram & wy - Local Pa & erships & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 22 & 400 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 422 & 0 & 422 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 801 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 801 & 0 & 801 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 194 & 299 & 4,500 & 4,993 & 0 & 4,993 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$22 & \$1,201 & \$194 & \$299 & \$4,500 & \$6,216 & \$0 & \$6,216 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Lake Hazel Road, Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road, Ada County}

Regionally Significant:
\(\checkmark\)
\(\square\) Inflated
Key \# : RD209-18
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,186
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,186

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance

Widen Lake Hazel Road from Eagle Road to Cloverdale Road in Ada County to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce Loca & gionally S & ficant) P & am & - Local P & rships & & cal Match 1 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 360 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 364 & 0 & 364 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 15 & 201 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 216 & 0 & 216 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 309 & 7,287 & 7,606 & 0 & 7,606 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$375 & \$205 & \$10 & \$309 & \$7,287 & \$8,186 & \$0 & \$8,186 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Lake Hazel Road, Five Mile Road to Maple Grove Road, Ada County
Regionally Significant:
\(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD207-30
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 4,889\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,889

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Five Mile Road to Maple Grove Road in Ada County to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce Local & egionally Si & nificant) Pr & ram & y - Local Pa & erships & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 416 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 421 & 0 & 421 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 246 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 246 & 0 & 246 \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 62 & 4,100 & 4,222 & 0 & 4,222 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$416 & \$251 & \$60 & \$62 & \$4,100 & \$4,889 & \$0 & \$4,889 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Lake Hazel Road, Maple Grove Road to Cole Road, Ada County}

Regionally Significant:
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD216-05
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$4,061
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,061

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Lake Hazel Road from Maple Grove Road to Cole Road in Ada County to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce Loca & gionally & ificant) P & am & y- Local P & rships & & ocal Match 100 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 332 & 4 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 336 & 0 & 336 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 0 & 60 \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,665 & 3,665 & 0 & 3,665 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$332 & \$64 & \$0 & \$0 & \$3,665 & \$4,061 & \$0 & \$4,061 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Linder Road and Deer Flat Road Intersection, Kuna}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13492
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2020
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 4,912\)
Total Programmed Budget: \$28
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,940
Project Description


Improve the intersection at Linder Road and Deer Flat Road in the City of Kuna, including the addition of curb, gutter, sidewalk, and bike lanes.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce Non- & cipating & & ram H & y - Local Par & erships & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 28 & 0 & 28 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$28 & \$28 & \$0 & \$28 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Linder Road, Overland Road to Franklin Road, Widen and Overpass, Meridian}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) \(\qquad\) Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : NEW2
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: City of Meridian
ITD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,010
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,010

\section*{Project Description}

Design work to widen Linder Road, from Overland Road to Franklin Road in the City of Meridian. The project includes adding an overpass over Interstate 84. The project is a partnership between the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and City of Meridian. Right-of-way acquisition and construction, estimated at \(\$ 20.3\) million, are currently unfunded. (Federal = \$0).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce Non & icipating & cal) Pro & gram & wy - Local Par & nerships & & ocal Match 1 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 10 & 300 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 310 & 0 & 310 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 700 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 700 & 0 & 700 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$10 & \$1,000 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,010 & \$0 & \$1,010 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Linder Road, SH-44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road, Eagle}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Safety
Asset Management
System Performance
Active Transportation


Project Description
Widen Linder Road from State Highway 44 (State Street) to Floating Feather Road in the City of Eagle to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway. Project includes removing and replacing two bridges (Middleton Canal and Foothills Ditch).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce Loca & gionally S & nificant) & m & - Non-Par & ipating & & cal Match 100 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 700 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 700 & 0 & 700 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 16 & 0 & 16 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 72 & 6,280 & 6,352 & 0 & 6,352 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$16 & \$700 & \$0 & \$72 & \$6,280 & \$7,068 & \$0 & \$7,068 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Linder Road, US 20/26 (Chinden) to SH-44 (State), Ada County}

Regionally Significant:
\(\checkmark\)
\(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Asset Management
Active Transportation
System Performance
Total Previous Allocations: \$141
Total Programmed Budget: \$20,766
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$20,907

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Linder Road from US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) to State Highway 44 (State Street) in Ada County to five lanes with detached multiuse pathways on Linder Road from Chinden Boulevard to 1,000 feet north of Artesian Road. Right-of-way will be acquired for an ultimate seven-lane buildout. Project includes widening three bridges.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce Local & egionally Si & nificant) Pr & ram H & y - Local Pa & erships & & cal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 824 & 5 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 834 & 0 & 834 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 431 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 431 & 0 & 431 \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 1,651 & 0 & 0 & 17,850 & 19,501 & 0 & 19,501 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$1,255 & \$1,656 & \$5 & \$0 & \$17,850 & \$20,766 & \$0 & \$20,766 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Middleton Road and Ustick Road, Roundabout, Caldwell
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13487
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$908
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 2,288\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,196

\section*{Project Description}


Construct a roundabout to help traffic flow and congestion at the Middleton Road and Ustick Road intersection in the City of Caldwell.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-U} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Local Hwy - Urban} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 20 & 25 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 42 & 3 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 365 & 1,878 & 2,243 & 2,078 & 165 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$20 & \$25 & \$0 & \$0 & \$365 & \$1,878 & \$2,288 & \$2,120 & \$168 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Midway Road, SH-55 (Karcher Road) to l-84B, Rehabilitation, Canyon County
Regionally Significant: \(\square\)

\(\checkmark\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22016
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: PD City of Caldwell
Total Previous Allocations: \$436
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,394
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,830


\section*{Project Description}

Rehabilitate pavement and construct shared-use shoulders on Midway Road between State Highway 55 (Karcher Road) and Interstate 84B (Caldwell Boulevard) in Canyon County.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce STBG- & & & ram & cal Hwy - Urb & & & ocal Match & 4\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 331 & 1,063 & 1,394 & 1,292 & 102 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$331 & \$1,063 & \$1,394 & \$1,292 & \$102 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Old Highway 30, Plymouth Street Bridge, Caldwell
Regionally Significant:

\title{
Inflated
}

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 13494
Requesting Agency: Canyon Highway District
Project Year: 2023 City of Caldwell

Open Space
Active Transportation
System Performance

Total Previous Allocations: \$3,061
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,011
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$12,072


Project Description
Replace the Plymouth Street Bridge in the City of Caldwell with a new two-lane structure, leaving the existing bridge in place for use as a bicycle and pedestrian bridge.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce Non- & cipating & & ram H & wy - Local Pa & erships & & cal Match 7 & 4\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 104 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 104 & 96 & 8 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$104 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$104 & \$96 & \$8 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source Bridge (Local)} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Local Hwy - Bridge} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 152 & 0 & 863 & 5,763 & 6,778 & 6,280 & 498 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$152 & \$0 & \$863 & \$5,763 & \$6,778 & \$6,280 & \$498 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce STBG & & & ram & cal Hwy - Urb & & & cal Match 7 & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 319 & 1,632 & 1,951 & 1,808 & 143 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 178 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 178 & 165 & 13 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$178 & \$0 & \$319 & \$1,632 & \$2,129 & \$1,973 & \$156 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Orchard Street Realignment, Gowen Road to Victory Road, Boise}

Regionally Significant: \(\checkmark\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RD207-01
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$177
Total Programmed Budget: \$19,147
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$19,324

\section*{Project Description}


Realign and widen Orchard Street from Gowen Road to Victory Road in the City of Boise to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway. Project incudes three roundabouts and reconstruction of the Orchard Street and Gowen Road intersection.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce Loca & gionally & ificant) & ram & y - Local P & erships & & cal Match 1 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 34 & 663 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 697 & 0 & 697 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 742 & 0 & 0 & 11,003 & 11,745 & 0 & 11,745 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6,705 & 6,705 & 0 & 6,705 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$34 & \$1,405 & \$0 & \$0 & \$17,708 & \$19,147 & \$0 & \$19,147 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pathway, Federal Way and Broadway Avenue Multiuse Pathway, Boise}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 23307
Requesting Agency: City of Boise
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,609
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,609

\section*{Project Description}

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Safety
Health
Active Transportation

Construct a 10-foot separated, concrete, low-stress multiuse pathway at the interchange of South Broadway Avenue (US 20/26) and South Federal Way in the City of Boise. Project will provide bicycle and pedestrian connectivity and make the area compliant with Americans with Disabilities Act requirements.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source TAP-TMA} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 5 & 22 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 27 & 25 & 2 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$5 & \$22 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$27 & \$25 & \$2 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce STBG- & MA & & ram & cal Hwy - Tra & portation M & ement & ocal Match & 3\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 123 & 56 & 205 & 1,050 & 1,434 & 1,329 & 105 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$123 & \$56 & \$205 & \$1,050 & \$1,434 & \$1,329 & \$105 \\
\hline Funding S & urce AC (Lo & & Pro & gram A & dvanced Cons & ruction & & ocal Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 36 & 112 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 148 & 0 & 148 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$36 & \$112 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$148 & \$0 & \$148 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pathway, Greenbelt Completion, Boise State}

Regionally Significant:
```

Inflated

```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22385
Requesting Agency: Boise State University
Project Year: 2026-2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$893
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$893

\section*{Project Description}


Design and construct improvements to the Boise River Greenbelt along Cesar Chavez Road (south side of Boise River) between Theatre Lane and Broadway Avenue on the Boise State University campus. Improvements include widening the pathway from 8 feet to 12 feet and adding a well-defined landscaped buffer between the pathway and the street.


\section*{Pathway, Grimes City Pathway Extension, Nampa}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23025
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$77
Total Programmed Budget: \$451
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$528

\section*{Project Description}


Extend Grimes City Pathway from McDonagh Park to Birch Elementary in the City of Nampa. Project includes \(1 / 2\) mile of 12 -foot asphalt pathway, lighting, and crosswalk improvements.


\section*{Pathway, Rail with Trail, Meridian}

Regionally Significant:

\section*{\(\checkmark\)} 13918
Requesting Agency: City of Meridian
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$75
Total Programmed Budget: \$670
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$745

\section*{Project Description}

Construct a multi-use pathway in the City of Meridian parallel to the railroad tracks for approximately \(1 / 2\) mile west towards Linder Road from Meridian Road.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-TMA} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - CRRSAA 2021} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 47 & 503 & 550 & 510 & 40 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$47 & \$503 & \$550 & \$510 & \$40 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source AC (Local)} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Advanced Construction} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 115 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 0 & 120 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$115 & \$5 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$120 & \$0 & \$120 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pathway, SH-55 (Eagle Road) Franklin to Pine, Meridian}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\)
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20542
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Meridian
Health
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$651
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$651

\section*{Project Description}


Construct a lighted ten-foot-wide concrete multi-use pathway along the east side of State Highway 55 (Eagle Road), from Franklin Road to Pine Avenue in the City of Meridian. Reconstruct the existing sidewalk adjacent to the Shell gas station to the ten-foot width. The project will include an eight-foot separation between the roadway and pathway where possible.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce TAP-T & & & gram & cal Hwy - Tra & sportation A & tives & ocal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 11 & 80 & 98 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 189 & 175 & 14 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 35 & 409 & 444 & 411 & 33 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$11 & \$80 & \$98 & \$0 & \$35 & \$409 & \$633 & \$587 & \$46 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce Local & rticipating & & ram H & wy - Local Pa & erships & & cal Match 100 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 10 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 0 & 4 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$10 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$4 & \$14 & \$0 & \$14 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce STBG & & & ram & cal Hwy - Tr & portation M & ment & ocal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 4 & 4 & 0 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$4 & \$4 & \$4 & \$0 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pathway, SH-55, Bristol Heights to US 20/26, Boise}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 22931
Requesting Agency: City of Boise
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$351
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$351

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
Health
Active Transportation


Design and construct a ten-foot shared pedestrian and bicycle pathway on the west side of State Highway 55 (Eagle Road), from Bristol Heights to US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) in the City of Boise.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce TAP-TI & & & ram & cal Hwy - Tra & portation A & tives & ocal Match 7.3 & 4\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2025 & 11 & 23 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 32 & 2 \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 39 & 252 & 291 & 270 & 21 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$11 & \$23 & \$0 & \$0 & \$39 & \$252 & \$325 & \$301 & \$24 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source Local Participating} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Hwy - Local Partnerships} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 26 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$26 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$26 & \$0 & \$26 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 1, Boise Area - FY2022}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19465
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022-2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$724
Total Programmed Budget: \$13,784
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$14,508

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: 9th Street, Idaho Street to Bannock Street; 20th Street, Lemp Street to Irene Street; 26th Street, State Street to Heron Street; Bluegrass Way, Ellens Ferry Drive to Drawbridge Drive; Drawbridge Drive, Crimson to Castle Hills Way; Turret Way, Drawbridge Drive to Castle Drive; Morris Hill Road, Liberty Street to Hartman Road; Spaulding Street, Phillippi Street to Orchard Street; Roosevelt Street, Emerald Street to Irving Street; Maple Grove Road, Interstate 84 bridge deck to Franklin Road; Amity Road, Enterprise Street to Production Street; and Enterprise Street, Amity Road to Commerce Avenue.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce STBG & MA & & ram & al Hwy - & portation M & gement & ocal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 773 & 3,972 & 4,745 & 4,397 & 348 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6,507 & 6,507 & 6,029 & 478 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$773 & \$10,479 & \$11,252 & \$10,426 & \$826 \\
\hline Funding S & urce AC (Loca & cal) & & gram & dvanced Cons & ruction & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 8,911 & 8,911 & 0 & 8,911 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -6,379 & -6,379 & 0 & -6,379 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$2,532 & \$2,532 & \$0 & \$2,532 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 2, Boise Area - FY2022}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
Asset Management
Key \# : 20122
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$243
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 2,372\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,615

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on a federal-aid roadway in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segment: Collister Drive, Quail Ridge Drive to North Deadens.


\section*{Pavement Preservation and ADA, Phase 3, Boise Area - FY2023}

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20006
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$80
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,035
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,115
Project Description


Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. This project could convert to federal-aid if funds become available. Segments include: Resseguie Street, 16th Street to 10th Street; and Fort Street, 16th Street to 15th Street.


\section*{Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 22101
Requesting Agency: Golden Gate HD

System Performance
Safety

Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$63
Total Programmed Budget: \$494
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$557

\section*{Project Description}


Reconstruct three intersections on Peckham Road at Travis Road, Allendale Road, and Van Slake Road, between the Cities of Wilder and Greenleaf in Canyon County. Each intersection is substandard for truck turning movements. The reconstruction will provide larger turning radii to eliminate truck off-tracking and reduce edge breakup. The intersection improvements will also allow trucks to maintain their own travel lane while making turns. All construction will adhere to current Association of Canyon County Highway District (ACCHD) standards.


\section*{Peckham Road, US-95 to Notus Road, Canyon County}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13964
Requesting Agency: Golden Gate HD
Safety

Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$721
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,692
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,413

\section*{Project Description}


Provide improvements on Peckham Road from US-95 in the City of Wilder to Notus Road in the City of Greenleaf in Canyon County, including: roadway rehabilitation, as well as curb, gutter, and sidewalk improvements within the city limits.


Pedestrian Improvements and Widening, Montana Avenue, Caldwell
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22018
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Caldwell
Health
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$89
Total Programmed Budget: \$799
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$888
Active Transportation


\section*{Project Description}

Construct sidewalk from Syringa Middle School to Spruce Street on the west side of Montana Avenue in the City of Caldwell. Project includes pedestrian crossings and rectangular rapid flashing beacons at the Alder Street and the Spruce Street intersections across Montana Avenue.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce STBG & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Local Hwy - Urban} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 15 & 50 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 125 & 116 & 9 \\
\hline PD & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 105 & 569 & 674 & 625 & 49 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$15 & \$50 & \$60 & \$0 & \$105 & \$569 & \$799 & \$740 & \$59 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$78
Total Programmed Budget: \$414
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$492

\section*{Project Description}


Extend the Stoddard Pathway from Sherman Avenue to 2nd Street South in the City of Nampa.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source TAP-State} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 66 & 348 & 414 & 384 & 30 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$66 & \$348 & \$414 & \$384 & \$30 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Pedestrian Improvements, US 20/26 (Chinden) at 43rd Street, Garden City}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Key \# : 20549
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$56
Total Programmed Budget: \$259
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$315
Project Description


Install a pedestrian hybrid beacon-controlled crossing on US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) at 43rd Street in the City of Garden City.


\section*{Planning and Mobility Implementation, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18854
Support

Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,165
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,165

\section*{Project Description}


Provide program support administration, short-range transit planning, and the implementation of mobility management programs for Valley Regional Transit in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current project year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Planning and Mobility Implementation, Nampa Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 18842
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,618
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,618

\section*{Project Description}

Provide program support administration, short-range transit planning, and the implementation of a mobility management program in the Nampa Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 5 & 7 SU & & ram & nsit Capital & & & ocal Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,069 & 1,069 & 855 & 214 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,095 & 1,095 & 876 & 219 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,123 & 1,123 & 898 & 225 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,151 & 1,151 & 921 & 230 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,180 & 1,180 & 944 & 236 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$5,618 & \$5,618 & \$4,494 & \$1,124 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Planning, Communities in Motion Update, COMPASS}

Regionally Significant:Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 20271

Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2023-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$636
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$636

\section*{Project Description}

Update the regional long-range transportation plan. Includes: Treasure Valley Transportation Operations, Management, and ITS Plan Update; public involvement; graphics and editing; and printing.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-TMA} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 30 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 28 & 2 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 253 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 253 & 234 & 19 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 78 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 78 & 72 & 6 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 275 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 275 & 255 & 20 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$636 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$636 & \$589 & \$47 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : CPA1
C OMPASS
COMMUNITY PLANNING ASSOCIATION

Metropolitan Planning
Project Year: 2022-2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,887
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,887

\section*{Project Description}

Assist COMPASS in meeting federal transportation planning responsibilities. These projects tie to Idaho Transportation Department key numbers 20560, 21889, 22387, 22800, 23306, 23327, ORN23681, and ORN23682.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-TMA} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 215 & 17 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 215 & 17 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 215 & 17 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 215 & 17 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 215 & 17 \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 232 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 232 & 215 & 17 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$1,392 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,392 & \$1,290 & \$102 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-U} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Local Hwy - Urban} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 198 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 198 & 183 & 15 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 99 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 99 & 92 & 7 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 99 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 99 & 92 & 7 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 99 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 99 & 92 & 7 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$495 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$495 & \$459 & \$36 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Planning, High Capacity Corridor Alternatives Analysis, COMPASS}

Regionally Significant:
Key \#: 13046
Support
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,000
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,000

\section*{Project Description}


Study to identify and analyze options to improve mobility in a priority corridor to be determined in the regional long-range transportation plan. The study will evaluate all reasonable public transportation alternatives for high capacity service.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce STBG- & A & & ram & al Hwy - Tr & portation & gement & ocal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline PD & 0 & 1,000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,000 & 927 & 73 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$1,000 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,000 & \$927 & \$73 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Planning, Metropolitan Planning Funds, COMPASS}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \#: CPA2

Metropolitan Planning
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$7,682
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,682

\section*{Project Description}

Metropolitan planning organization (MPO) planning funds from the Federal Highway Administration and Federal Transit Administration.
These projects tie to Idaho Transportation Department key numbers 19144, 20640, 22108, 22494, 22998 and 23401.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce FTA 53 & & & ram Tr & ansit Capital & & & cal Match 7. & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 337 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 337 & 312 & 25 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 337 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 337 & 312 & 25 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 337 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 337 & 312 & 25 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$1,011 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,011 & \$937 & \$74 \\
\hline Funding S & urce Metrop & olitan Planni & Pro & gram H & wy - Metropoli & an Planning & & cal Match 7. & \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 1,875 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,875 & 1,737 & 138 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 1,199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,199 & 1,111 & 88 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 1,199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,199 & 1,111 & 88 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 1,199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,199 & 1,111 & 88 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 1,199 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,199 & 1,111 & 88 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$6,671 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$6,671 & \$6,181 & \$490 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Railroad Crossing, Benjamin Lane, Boise}

Regionally Significant:

\section*{\(\square\)}

Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$20
Total Programmed Budget: \$310
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$330


\section*{Project Description}

Install crossing signal, including constant warning detection, at the Boise Valley Railroad crossing at Benjamin Lane in the City of Boise. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source Fed RRX} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Hwy Safety - Federal Rail} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 10.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 310 & 310 & 279 & 31 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$310 & \$310 & \$279 & \$31 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Railroad Crossing, Cherry Lane, Nampa}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 23378
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$350
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$350

\section*{Project Description}


Install signals and gates and resurface the Union Pacific railroad crossing at Cherry Lane in the City of Nampa. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.


\section*{Railroad Crossing, Karcher Road, Nampa}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23379
\(\square\)
Safety
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$350
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$350
Project Description


Install signals and gates and resurface the Union Pacific railroad crossing at Karcher Road in the City of Nampa. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.


Railroad Crossing, Lemp Lane, Canyon County
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20358
Safety
Requesting Agency: Notus-Parma Highway District
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$265
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$265

\section*{Project Description}


Install signals and gates at the Union Pacific railroad crossing at Lemp Lane in Canyon County between the Cities of Parma and Notus. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce Fed R & & & ram H & wy Safety - Fed & ral Rail & & ocal Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2024 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 260 & 265 & 239 & 27 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$5 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$260 & \$265 & \$239 & \$27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Railroad Crossing, Look Lane, near Caldwell}

Regionally Significant:Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20355 Safety
Requesting Agency: Notus-Parma Highway District
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$304
Total Programmed Budget: \$510
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$814
Project Description


Relocate and realign the Union Pacific Railroad crossing at Look Lane near the City of Caldwell. Work includes adding a signal, gates, planking, and a cabinet for electronics. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce Fed RRX & & & ram H & wy Safety - Fed & ral Rail & & ocal Match 10 & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 510 & 510 & 459 & 51 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$510 & \$510 & \$459 & \$51 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Railroad Crossing, Milwaukee Street, Boise}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23389
Safety
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$407
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$407

\section*{Project Description}


Resurface the railroad crossing on Milwaukee Street in the City of Boise. Work will also include improvements to the concrete planking, ballasts, ties, and rails at the intersection. Costs will be shared with the railroad.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce State & & & ram S & te Hwy - Str & gic Initiativ & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 7 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 200 & 207 & 0 & 207 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$7 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$200 & \$207 & \$0 & \$207 \\
\hline Funding S & rce Private & Developer & & gram H & wy - Local Pa & nerships & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 200 & 200 & 0 & 200 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$200 & \$200 & \$0 & \$200 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Railroad Crossing, Old Fort Boise Road, Canyon County}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20606 Safety
Requesting Agency: Notus-Parma Highway District
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$265
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$265

\section*{Project Description}


Install signals and gates at the Union Pacific railroad crossing at Old Fort Boise Road in Canyon County, west of the City of Parma. Local match from State Rail Protection Account.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce Fed & & & gram & y Safety - Fe & ral Rail & & cal Match 10 & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 10 & 0 & 0 & 20 & 18 & 2 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 245 & 245 & 221 & 25 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$10 & \$0 & \$0 & \$10 & \$0 & \$245 & \$265 & \$239 & \$27 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2024}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20674
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Active Transportation
Asset Management

Total Programmed Budget: \$8,222
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,222

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year. Right-of-way will be obligated as advance construction in FY2023.



\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2026}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21896
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Active Transportation
Asset Management

Total Programmed Budget: \$8,215
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,215

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year. Design will be obligated as advanced construction in 2023.



\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2027}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22390
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Active Transportation
Asset Management

Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 8,620\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,620

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2028}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22816
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Active Transportation
Asset Management

Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 8,180\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,180

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Boise Area - FY2029}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23323
Requesting Agency: ACHD

Safety
Active Transportation
Asset Management

Total Programmed Budget: \$6,891
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,891

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments will be determined prior to the obligation in the design year.


\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20259
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$838
Total Programmed Budget: \$6,922
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,760

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segments include: Allumbaugh Street, Franklin Road to Fairmont Street; Cole Road, Ustick Road to Mountain View Drive; Edgewood Road, Harvey Street to Floating Feather Road; Goldenrod Avenue, western terminus to Cloverdale Road; Orchard Street, Emerald Street to Fairview Avenue and Fairview Avenue to Chinden Boulevard.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source TAP-TMA} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 136 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 136 & 126 & 10 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$136 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$136 & \$126 & \$10 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source Local Participating} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Hwy - Local Partnerships} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,040 & 1,040 & 0 & 1,040 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,040 & \$1,040 & \$0 & \$1,040 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-TMA} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program Local Hwy - Transportation Management} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 150 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 150 & 139 & 11 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 730 & 4,866 & 5,596 & 5,185 & 411 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$150 & \$0 & \$730 & \$4,866 & \$5,746 & \$5,324 & \$422 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 2, Boise Area - FY2023}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19993
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$34
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 2,305\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,339

\section*{Project Description}

Supplement the local pavement preservation program to complete pavement improvements on federal-aid roadways in the Boise Urbanized Area. Work includes improvements to adjoining sidewalks to meet Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements. Segment includes: Beacon Light Road, State Highway 16 to Ballentyne Lane.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce STBG & MA & & ram & al Hwy - Tr & portation I & ement & ocal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 300 & 2,005 & 2,305 & 2,136 & 169 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$300 & \$2,005 & \$2,305 & \$2,136 & \$169 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Safety Audit, Signalized Intersections, Nampa}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 23293

\section*{Safety}

Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$66
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$66

\section*{Project Description}


To conduct a road safety audit on multiple intersections to identify deficiencies, as well as low-cost, systemic changes to signal operations to decrease the quantity and severity of pedestrian versus vehicle crashes. The following locations are included in this study: 6th Street North at Northside Boulevard; 16th Avenue at 3rd Street North, 2nd Street South, 3rd Street South, and 7th Street South; Midland Boulevard at Orchard Avenue and Lone Star Road.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce HSIP ( & cal) & & gram H & y Safety - Lo & & & cal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 11 & 55 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 66 & 61 & 5 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$11 & \$55 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$66 & \$61 & \$5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-16, Franklin Road to Ustick Road, Canyon County}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23409
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 74,129\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$74,129

\section*{Project Description}


Construct new segment of State Highway 16 from Franklin Road to Ustick Road the City of Nampa and Canyon County. The roadway will include two lanes in each direction with at-grade intersections at Franklin Road and Ustick Road utilizing the on and off ramps for the future interchanges. Work also includes a new structure over the Union Pacific Railroad, Cherry Lane, Ten Mile Creek, and irrigation structures. Design and right-of-way budgeted in separate, previous project (KN 20788).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce GARV & 2017 & & ram & GARVEE & 17 Legisla & thoriz & ocal Match & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 25,000 & 25,000 & 0 & 25,000 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$25,000 & \$25,000 & \$0 & \$25,000 \\
\hline Funding S & urce NHPP & & & gram S & ate Hwy - Saf & y \& Capacity & pacity) & cal Match & \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 500 & 2,500 & 32,600 & 35,600 & 32,987 & 2,613 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,200 & 10,329 & 13,529 & 12,536 & 993 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$500 & \$5,700 & \$42,929 & \$49,129 & \$45,523 & \$3,606 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-16, l-84 to Franklin Road, Nampa
Regionally Significant:

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 23410
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 56,022\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$56,022

\section*{Project Description}

Construct new segment of State Highway 16 from Interstate 84 to Franklin Road in the City of Nampa. Improvements include a new interchange across Interstate 84 with on and off ramps from Interstate 84 to Franklin Road and on to State Highway 16. Design and right-ofway budgeted in separate, previous project (KN 20788).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce State & & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 300 & 0 & 300 & 0 & 300 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$300 & \$0 & \$300 & \$0 & \$300 \\
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Funding Source TECM} & & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5,200 & 50,522 & 55,722 & 0 & 55,722 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$5,200 & \$50,522 & \$55,722 & \$0 & \$55,722 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-16, l-84 to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada and Canyon Counties}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 20788
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019-2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$140,781
Total Programmed Budget: \((\$ 21,847)\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$118,934

\section*{Project Description}

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

System Performance

Environmental re-evaluation, final design, and right-of-way acquisition to extend State Highway 16 between Interstate 84 and US Highway 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) and State Highway 44 (State Street) in Ada and Canyon Counties. Work is for Phases 2 and 3 of this project. Phase 2 construction projects were split out into KN 23408, 23409, and 23410. Phase 3 completes the system interchange at Interstate 84 and service interchanges at Franklin Road, Ustick Road, US 20/26, and State Highway 44; construction will be broken out as funding is determined.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce IM & & & ram S & te Hwy - Saf & ty \& Capacity & apacity) & Local Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & -1,000 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -1,000 & 0 & -1,000 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 7,650 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7,650 & 0 & 7,650 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$6,650 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$6,650 & \$0 & \$6,650 \\
\hline Funding S & urce State & & & gram H & wy GARVEE - & uture Author & On & Local Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 150 & 5,000 & -37,797 & 4,150 & 0 & 0 & -28,497 & 0 & -28,497 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$150 & \$5,000 & \((\$ 37,797)\) & \$4,150 & \$0 & \$0 & \((\$ 28,497)\) & \$0 & \((\$ 28,497)\) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-16, SH-44 to Junction SH-52, Environmental Reevaluation}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23175
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,000
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,000

\section*{Project Description}


Reevaluate the environmental assessment regarding proposed improvements to State Highway 16 from State Highway 44 in Ada County to the junction of State Highway 52 in the City of Emmett to reaffirm the Idaho Transportation Department's proposed improvements on the corridor.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source NHPP} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Hwy - Restoration} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2026 & 50 & 2,950 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,000 & 2,780 & 220 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$50 & \$2,950 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$3,000 & \$2,780 & \$220 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-16, Ustick Road to US 20/26 and SH-44, Ada County
Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 23408
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$78,957
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$78,957

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
System Performance

At request of ITD, update description: Construct new segment of State Highway 16 from Ustick Road US 20/26 in the City of Meridian and Ada County. The roadway will include two lanes in each direction with at-grade intersections at Ustick Road and US 20/26, utilizing the on and off ramps for the future interchanges. Work also includes an overpass at McMillan Road and Five Mile Creek, several local frontage roads to connect to the roadway system, and irrigation structures. Design and right-of-way budgeted in separate, previous project (KN 20788).


\section*{SH-21, Technology Way to Surprise Way, Boise}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20428
Safety
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$150
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,549
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,699

\section*{Project Description}


Widen State Highway 21 from Technology Way (near Micron) to Surprise Way (just west of the Boise River Bridge) in the City of Boise. The project includes two 12 -foot travel lanes, a continuous 14 -foot center turn lane, and two 6 -foot shoulders.


SH-44 (State Street) and SH-55 (Eagle Road) Intersection Improvements, Eagle
Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13476
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,709
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,709

\section*{Project Description}


Expand the current intersection configuration to increase capacity, as well as remove all four existing free-flowing right-turn lanes at State Highway 44 (State Street) and State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle. Work includes pavement widening and resurfacing, installation of raised medians, signage and striping improvements, pedestrian access, signalization, Intelligent Transportation System improvements, and localized drainage improvements.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce State & & & gram & te Hwy - Saf & y \& Capacity & city) & cal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 80 & 1,062 & 193 & 0 & 1,762 & 6,612 & 9,709 & 0 & 9,709 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$80 & \$1,062 & \$193 & \$0 & \$1,762 & \$6,612 & \$9,709 & \$0 & \$9,709 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-44 (State Street), SH-16 to Linder Road, Ada County}

Regionally Significant:


TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20266
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$553
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,670
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,223

\section*{Project Description}


Add an additional westbound and eastboud lane to improve congestion and reduce crashes along State Highway 44 (State Street), from State Highway 16 to Linder Road near the City of Eagle.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce TECM & & & ram & te Hwy - Sa & \& Capacit & acity) & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 765 & 7,905 & 8,670 & 0 & 8,670 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$765 & \$7,905 & \$8,670 & \$0 & \$8,670 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-44 (State Street), Star Road to SH-16, Ada County
Regionally Significant:
Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20574
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,461
Total Programmed Budget: \$11,183
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$12,644

\section*{Project Description}


Widen State Highway 44 (State Street) from Star Road to State Highway 16 in Ada County. An additional lane in both directions will alleviate congestion issues and improve safety.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce TECM & \multicolumn{6}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 182 & 799 & 10,202 & 11,183 & 0 & 11,183 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$182 & \$799 & \$10,202 & \$11,183 & \$0 & \$11,183 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-44, RWIS near SH-16, Ada County}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 23182
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$520
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$520

\section*{Project Description}


Install a road weather information system (RWIS) on State Highway 44 just east of State Highway 16 to collect, process, and provide weather information to promote safety for the traveling public.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source State} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Highway - Safety} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 26 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 26 & 0 & 26 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 24 & 470 & 494 & 0 & 494 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$26 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$24 & \$470 & \$520 & \$0 & \$520 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-45 and Locust Lane Intersection, Nampa
Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22717
Safety
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$20
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,866
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,886


Project Description
Install a traffic signal at State Highway 45 and Locust Lane in the City of Nampa to improve safety.


\section*{SH-45, SH-78 to Deer Flat Road, Canyon and Owyhee Counties}

Regionally Significant:\(\checkmark\) Inflated
Key \#: 21849
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$458
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,509
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,967

\section*{Project Description}


Reconstruct State Highway 45 from the junction of State Highway 78, just across the Snake River in Owyhee County, to Melba Road in Canyon County. Work also includes a mill and inlay treatment on State Highway 45 from Melba Road to Deer Flat Road. These treatments will rebuild the structural capacity of the pavement. ( \(82 \%\) Canyon County and \(17 \%\) Owyhee County)


SH-55 (Eagle Road), I-84 to SH-44, Meridian to Eagle
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22665
Requesting Agency: ITD
Asset Management
Safety
Project Year: 2022-2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$750
Total Programmed Budget: \$21,900
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$22,650

\section*{Project Description}


Rehabilitate the pavement on State Highway 55 (Eagle Road), from Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian to State Highway 44 (State Street) in the City of Eagle, to improve ride quality and extend pavement life.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce NHPP & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Hwy - Restoration} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 1,800 & 20,000 & 21,900 & 0 & 21,900 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$100 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,800 & \$20,000 & \$21,900 & \$0 & \$21,900 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-55 (Karcher Road), 10th Avenue to Middleton Road, Construction, Canyon}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
System Performance
Safety
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$42,507
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$42,507

\section*{Project Description}


Widen State Highway 55 (Karcher Road) from 10th Avenue to Middleton Road in Canyon County to improve safety and mobility. Project includes intelligent transportation system improvements (ITS). Design and right-of-way for Farmway Road to Middleton Road is shown under Key Number 22715.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce State & & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,864 & 38,643 & 42,507 & 0 & 42,507 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$3,864 & \$38,643 & \$42,507 & \$0 & \$42,507 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-55 (Karcher Road), Farmway Road to Middleton Road, Design, Canyon
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22715
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$40,057
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$40,057

\section*{Project Description}


To design and acquire right-of-way in preparation to widen State Highway 55 (Marcher Road) from Farmway Road to Middleton Road in Canyon County. The project will add one travel lane in each direction to improve mobility and reduce crashes along the corridor. Work includes a continuous median traffic seperation, with signalization intersections at the each miles, and u-turn opportunities at the half-mile. Construction will be broken out as funding is determined.


\section*{SH-55 (Karcher Road), Pear Lane to Farmway Road, Design, Canyon}

Regionally Significant:

Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$15,000
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$15,000

\section*{Project Description}


Preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition to improve safety and mobility on State Highway 55 (Marcher Road) by widening the corridor from two lanes to five lanes (two through lanes each direction and a center turn lane) from Pear Lane to Farmway Road in Canyon County. Design and right-of-way are partially funded; construction is currently unfunded.


\section*{SH-55, Pavement Preservation, Owyhee and Canyon Counties}

Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23163
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2028
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,008
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,008


Project Description
Sealcoat State Highway 55 from the junction with US Highway 95 at Ion Junction in the City of Marsing to Hoskins Road in Canyon County. The treatment will improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan. (59\% Canyon County and \(41 \%\) Owyhee County)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce NHPP & & & ram & te Hwy - Pa & nent Prese & & ocal Match 7.3 & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 10 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 25 & 23 & 2 \\
\hline 2024 & 28 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 28 & 26 & 2 \\
\hline 2028 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 30 & 925 & 955 & 885 & 70 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$38 & \$15 & \$0 & \$0 & \$30 & \$925 & \$1,008 & \$934 & \$74 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SH-55, SH-44 (State Street) to Payette River Bridge, Rehabilitation}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20506
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$200
Total Programmed Budget: \$11,978
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$12,178

\section*{Project Description}


Rehabilitate approximately 18 miles of pavement on State Highway 55 from State Highway 44 (State Street) to the Payette River Bridge in Ada and Boise Counties. (45\% Ada County and 55\% Boise County)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce NHPP & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Hwy - Restoration} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 938 & 11,040 & 11,978 & 11,099 & 879 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$938 & \$11,040 & \$11,978 & \$11,099 & \$879 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-55, Snake River Bridge, Marsing
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 13387
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2019-2020
Total Previous Allocations: \$18,109
Total Programmed Budget: (\$140)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$17,969

\section*{Project Description}


Replace bridge on State Highway 55 over the Snake River near the City of Marsing. (69\% Canyon County and 31\% Owyhee County).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce HB1 & nd HB312 & & am & Hwy & Restor & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -140 & -140 & 0 & -140 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & (\$140) & (\$140) & \$0 & (\$140) \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

SH-69, Pavement Preservation, Kuna to Meridian
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22699
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,786
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,786

\section*{Project Description}


Sealcoat the pavement surface on State Highway 69 (Meridian Road), from Orchard Avenue in the City of Kuna to Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian, to improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ree STBG & ate & & ram & te Hwy - Pav & ment Prese & & ocal Match 7.3 & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 10 & 15 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 25 & 23 & 2 \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 202 & 2,559 & 2,761 & 2,558 & 203 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$10 & \$15 & \$0 & \$0 & \$202 & \$2,559 & \$2,786 & \$2,582 & \$204 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{South Cemetery Road, Highland Drive to Willow Creek, Middleton}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 12048
Requesting Agency: City of Middleton
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$4,683
Total Programmed Budget: \$43
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$4,726

\section*{Project Description}


Construct a new 0.284 mile roadway segment linking State Highway 44 and Middleton Road by way of Highland Drive and Sawtooth Lake Drive in the City of Middleton.


\section*{SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2023}

Regionally Significant:Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 20493
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Active Transportation
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$174
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$174

\section*{Project Description}


Support up to three full-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on Boise and West Ada School Districts for service year FY2023.


\section*{SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2024 and FY2025}

Regionally Significant:
\(\checkmark\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 21910
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Active Transportation
Safety
Project Year: 2024-2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$428
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$428

\section*{Project Description}


SR2S
Safe Routes to School

Support up to three full-time and one part-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on the Boise and West Ada School Districts for service years FY2024 and FY2025.


\section*{SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2026}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : ORN23834
Active Transportation
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Safety
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$236
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$236
Project Description


SR2S
Safe Routes to School

Support up to three full-time and one part-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on the Boise and West Ada School Districts for service year FY2026.


\section*{SR2S, VRT, Ada County - FY2027}

Regionally Significant:
                Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 23306
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Active Transportation
Safety
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$311
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$311
Project Description


SR2S
Safe Routes to School

Support up to three full-time and one part-time staff for Safe Routes to School (SR2S) coordination serving schools in Ada County, with a focus on the Boise and West Ada School Districts for service year FY2027.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce TAP-TI & & & ram & cal Hwy - Tr & portation A & ives & Local Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 81 & 81 & 75 & 6 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$81 & \$81 & \$75 & \$6 \\
\hline Funding S & urce STBG- & TMA & Pro & gram L & cal Hwy - Tra & portation M & ment & Local Match & \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2027 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 230 & 230 & 213 & 17 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$230 & \$230 & \$213 & \$17 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2022}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\)Inflated
Key \# : 22922
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Active Transportation
Safety
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$65
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$65


SR2S
Safe Routes to School

\section*{Project Description}

Provides for Safe Routes to School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events, and indirect costs in Canyon County.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source TAP-State} & \multicolumn{2}{|r|}{Program L} & \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 65 & 65 & 60 & 5 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$65 & \$65 & \$60 & \$5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{SR2S, VRT, Canyon County - FY2023}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 22924
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Active Transportation
Safety
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$65
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$65
Project Description


SR2S
Safe Routes to School

Provides for Safe Routes to School program personnel, education materials, encouragement incentives, special events, and direct costs in Canyon County.


\section*{State Street and Collister Drive Intersection, Boise}

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 13481
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2018
Total Previous Allocations: \$13,704
Total Programmed Budget: (\$680)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$13,024

\section*{Project Description}


Improve the intersection of State Street and Collister Drive in the City of Boise.


Study, Big Data Purchase, COMPASS

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22394
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$150
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$150

\section*{Project Description}

Purchase data from vendors) to supplement the COMPASS Household Travel Survey data (2021) and census data. The data will be used to update the "external" trip (trip to/from the surrounding counties) rates and characteristics in the regional travel demand model; analyze nonmotorized travel; and allow for review of trips, travel time, time of day, and mode to and from sub-areas (smaller than cities) in the region to help refine specific parameters in the regional model.


\section*{Study, Chinden Drainage and Design Plan, Garden City}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23311

Requesting Agency: City of Garden City
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 0\)
Total Programmed Budget: \$200
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$200

\section*{Project Description}


Develop a draining plan, cross sections, project list, and public involvement for the US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) Redevelopment Plan from Branstetter Street to Fairview Avenue in the City of Garden City.


Study, Coordinate Local Waterway-Pathway Plans, COMPASS
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 23312
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$120
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$120

\section*{Project Description}


Collaborate with local agencies in Ada and Canyon Counties to coordinate the waterway-pathway plans they have developed/are developing.
Scope includes an analysis of potential connections to other pathways, implementation strategies, cost estimates, and funding options.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce STBG- & MA & & ram & cal Hwy - Tr & portation M & ment & ocal Match & 34\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline PD & 0 & 120 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 120 & 111 & 9 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$120 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$120 & \$111 & \$9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Study, Fiscal Impact Analysis, COMPASS}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Support


Key \# : 22395
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$60
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$60

\section*{Project Description}

To better implement the goals of Communities in Motion, this project will provide information on the fiscal impact of various growth patterns. Work will update the fiscal impact analysis calculator, develop a web-based interface to help analyze the public costs and revenues of various land use patterns, build an economic model that can be used in the COMPASS development checklist for highlighting the costs of development, and conduct outreach and training for local decision-makers so that fiscal analysis can be used in local land use decisionmaking.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce STBG- & & & am & al Hwy - Tr & portation & m & ocal Match & 4\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 60 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 60 & 56 & 4 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$60 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$60 & \$56 & \$4 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Study, l-84, SH-44 to Centennial Way, Canyon
Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23341 Support
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,700
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,700

\section*{Project Description}


Corridor study on I-84 from SH-44 (Exit 25) to Centennial Way (Exit 27) in the City of Caldwell to evaluate capacity and safety improvements needed. Design, right-of-way acquisition, and construction projects may be split into separate key numbers when funding is available.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce TECM & & & ram & te Hwy - Saf & \& Capacity & acity) & Local Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 100 & 1,600 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,700 & 0 & 1,700 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$100 & \$1,600 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,700 & \$0 & \$1,700 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Study, Meridian Road Extension and Railroad Overpass, Kuna}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : KUN01
Requesting Agency: City of Kuna
Project Year:
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$300
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$300

\section*{Project Description}

To conduct a planning and environmental linkages (PEL) study for the realignment of the State Highway 69 (Meridian Road) and Kuna Road intersection and the extension and the extension of Meridian Road, including overpasses over the Union Pacific Rail and Indian Creek, in the City of Kuna. The study will explore potential of easements and right-of-way needed, consideration for pedestrian facilities in the project area, and environmental issues, and include community and stakeholder outreach.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce Loca & gionally & ficant) &  & - Local P & rships & & cal Match 1 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 300 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 300 & 0 & 300 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$300 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$300 & \$0 & \$300 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Study, SH-44, Junction l-84 in Canyon County to SH-55 in Eagle}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 07827
Support
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 5,506\)
Total Programmed Budget: \$960
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,466

\section*{Project Description}


Study the SH-44 corridor to recommend possible future improvements from the junction of Interstate 84, north of the City of Caldwell, to State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce STBG- & tate & & ram & wy - State Pla & ing \& Rese & & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 960 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 960 & 0 & 960 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$960 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$960 & \$0 & \$960 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Study, Smart Corridors, Nampa Area, COMPASS}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23320
Support
Requesting Agency: COMPASS
Project Year: PD
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$62
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$62

\section*{Project Description}


Evaluate and devise corridor-specific strategies to enhance safety and operations of the transportation system in the Nampa Urbanized Area. The goal of the study is to develop strategies to relieve congestion, reduce incidents, improve emergency access, and improve vehicle, freight, and transit travel times.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source STBG-U} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Local Hwy - Urban} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline PD & 0 & 62 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 62 & 57 & 5 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$62 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$62 & \$57 & \$5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Study, Southern Connection to l-84 at SH-16 Interchange, Nampa}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : NAM02
Requesting Agency: City of Nampa
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$200
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$200

\section*{Project Description}

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Support

Corridor study to determine needs and weigh options for a potential southern connection to the future Interstate 84 Interchange at State Highway 16. A connection to local roads would be between McDermott Road and Robinson Road in the City of Nampa. Community outreach and engagement will be limited to information updates, as no decisions regarding specific design, location, impacts, or mitigation are intended as part of the study.
\begin{tabular}{ccccccc|cc|ccc} 
Funding Source Local (Regionally Significant) & Program & Hwy - Local Partnerships \\
\begin{tabular}{c} 
Cost \\
Year*
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Preliminary \\
Engineering
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & \begin{tabular}{l} 
Construction \\
Engineering
\end{tabular} & Construction & Total & Local Match & 100.00\%
\end{tabular}

\section*{Ten Mile Road, Victory Road to Overland Road, Meridian}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : RC0299
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2022-2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$43
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,984
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,027

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Ten Mile Road, from Victory Road to Overland Road in the City of Meridian, to five lanes with enhanced pedestrian and bicycle facilities on both sides of the roadway.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce Loc & giona & ificant) & ram & y - Local Pa & erships & & ocal Match 100 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 4 & 0 & 2,830 & 2,834 & 0 & 2,834 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 150 & 150 & 0 & 150 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$4 & \$0 & \$2,980 & \$2,984 & \$0 & \$2,984 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Above and Beyond ADA Paratransit, Nampa Area}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20043
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2022-20ąßey Regional Transit
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,660
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,660


Project Description
Provide service above and beyond Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) requirements for paratransit in the Nampa Urbanized Area. Provides service from FY2022 through FY2026. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Transit - Acquisition of Service, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
Key \# : 19691
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,015
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,015

\section*{Project Description}

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Public Transportation

Provide transportation services through local human service organizations who work with the elderly and persons with disabilities in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Transit - Acquisition of Service, Canyon County, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square \quad \square\) Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19464c
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$63
Total Programmed Budget: \$63
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$126

\section*{Project Description}

Provide transportation services through Valley Regional Transit in rural and urban areas of Ada and Canyon Counties, for services in FY2021 and FY2022. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce FTA 5 & R & & am & nsit Capital & & & cal Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 63 & 50 & 13 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$63 & \$63 & \$50 & \$13 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Acquisition of Service, Nampa Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant:

\title{
Inflated
}

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19464a
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$606
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,705
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,311

\section*{Project Description}

Provide transportation services through local human service organizations who work with the elderly and persons with disabilities in the Nampa Urbanized Area. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce FTA 53 & 0 SU & & ram & nsit Capital & RRSAA 2021 & & cal Match 20 & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 45 & 36 & 9 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$45 & \$45 & \$36 & \$9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source FTA 5310 SU} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Transit Capital ARP 2021} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 45 & 36 & 9 \\
\hline Fund & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$45 & \$45 & \$36 & \$9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 53 & 0 SU & & gram & nsit Capital & & & ocal Match 20 & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 323 & 323 & 258 & 65 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 323 & 323 & 258 & 65 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 323 & 323 & 258 & 65 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 323 & 323 & 258 & 65 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 323 & 323 & 258 & 65 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,615 & \$1,615 & \$1,292 & \$323 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Transit - Fixed Line Service, Rural Areas, TVT
Regionally Significant: \(\square\)Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \#: 19983
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2021-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 800\)
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 5,480\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,280

\section*{Project Description}


Fixed line service in rural areas of southwest Idaho, including Ada and Canyon Counties for all groups, including but not limited to, older adults, persons with disabilities, youth, low income, non-drivers, minority groups, veterans, and refugee populations. The project includes required demand response service, as well as administration, operations, preventive maintenance, and capital purchases. Various aspects of the project have different local match rates. The match rate shown is an average of the rates combined. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.


\section*{Transit - Fueling Station and Parking Lot, Boise}

Regionally Significant:Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23091
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,675
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,675

\section*{Project Description}


To renovate the compressed natural gas fueling island (installed in 1995) and rehabilitate and expand the parking lot at the Orchard mateinance facilitity in the City of Boise. This project is funded with a nationally-competitive grant for bus and bus facilities.


\section*{Transit - Nampa Facility Renovations, TVT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 13980b
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$56
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$56

\section*{Project Description}

Provides critical maintenance and increase the useful life and state of good repair of the property for the Treasure Valley Transit Nampa Administrative Offices and bus storage located in the City of Nampa. These improvements are the painting of the exterior and interior of the building; the removal of carpet and installation of new commercial carpet, including furniture removal; purchase of furniture for seven office stations, lobby area, and driver lounge; storage of boxes, file cabinets, and miscellaneous furniture during the restoration; and return of furniture to the offices.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce FTA & & & am & sit Capital & & & cal Match 20 & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 56 & 56 & 45 & 11 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$56 & \$56 & \$45 & \$11 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Transit - Nampa Property Acquisition, TVT
Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20136c
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,940
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,940
Project Description


Property acquisition of a new facility for Treasure Valley Transit.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source FTA 5339 R} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,940 & 1,940 & 1,552 & 388 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,940 & \$1,940 & \$1,552 & \$388 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Operations - Fixed Route and Mobility Management, Nampa Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18786
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,174
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$9,174

\section*{Project Description}

Provide for fixed route and mobility management operations and services in the Nampa Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 5 & 7 SU & & ram & nsit Operati & & & cal Match & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,761 & 1,761 & 881 & 881 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,797 & 1,797 & 899 & 899 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,834 & 1,834 & 917 & 917 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,872 & 1,872 & 936 & 936 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,910 & 1,910 & 955 & 955 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$9,174 & \$9,174 & \$4,587 & \$4,587 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Operations - Mobility Management, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19041
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit

Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 0\)
Total Programmed Budget: \$7,070
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$7,070

\section*{Project Description}

Provide operations for mobility management programs in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 53 & 7 LU & & ram & ansit Operat & & & ocal Match & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,414 & 1,414 & 707 & 707 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,414 & 1,414 & 707 & 707 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,414 & 1,414 & 707 & 707 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,414 & 1,414 & 707 & 707 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,414 & 1,414 & 707 & 707 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$7,070 & \$7,070 & \$3,535 & \$3,535 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Operations, Kuna Senior Center}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19464f
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Kuna Senior Center
Project Year: 2023-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$96
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$96
Project Description


To supplement the operations cots for the Kuna Senior Center transportation program in the City of Kuna.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 53 & R & & ram & nsit Operati & & & ocal Match 50 & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 48 & 24 & 24 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 48 & 48 & 24 & 24 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$96 & \$96 & \$48 & \$48 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Preventative Maintenance, Kuna Senior Center}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : NEW03
System Performance
Requesting Agency: Kuna Senior Center
Project Year: 2023-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 0\)
Total Programmed Budget: \$6
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6
Project Description


For routine annual maintenance for the Kuna Senior Center transportation vehicles in the City of Kuna.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source State} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 6 & 6 & 5 & 1 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$6 & \$6 & \$5 & \$1 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Preventive Maintenance and Demand Response, Nampa Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
Key \#: 18914
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,587
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,587

\section*{Project Description}

Provide preventive maintenance and complementary paratransit to sustain vehicle and facility safety and security in the Nampa Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce FTA 53 & 7 SU & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 551 & 551 & 441 & 110 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 565 & 565 & 452 & 113 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 426 & 426 & 341 & 85 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 437 & 437 & 350 & 87 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 608 & 608 & 486 & 122 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$2,587 & \$2,587 & \$2,070 & \$517 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Preventive Maintenance and Paratransit, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19137
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Public Transportation
Asset Management

TIP Achievement:
Public Transportation
Asset Management

Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 16,913\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$16,913

\section*{Project Description}

Provide preventive maintenance and complementary paratransit operation services to sustain vehicle and facility safety and security in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce FTA 53 & 7 LU & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,307 & 2,307 & 1,846 & 461 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,618 & 3,618 & 2,894 & 724 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,680 & 3,680 & 2,944 & 736 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,670 & 3,670 & 2,936 & 734 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,638 & 3,638 & 2,910 & 728 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$16,913 & \$16,913 & \$13,530 & \$3,383 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Transit - Purchase of Service, Rural Areas, TVT
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 19464b
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2021-2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$176
Total Programmed Budget: \$177
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$353

\section*{Project Description}


Provide transportation services through Treasure Valley Transit in rural areas of Southwest Idaho, including Canyon County, for services in FY2021 and FY2022. Additional details about this program are included in ITD's programming documents.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 53 & R & & gram T & nsit Capital & & & cal Match & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 177 & 177 & 142 & 35 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$177 & \$177 & \$142 & \$35 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Purchase of Service, Rural Areas, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
Key \# : 19464g
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$290
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$290

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
Public Transportation

Coordinate purchase of service to support trips with at least one leg in rural areas of Ada and Canyon Counties. The service includes the unincorporated areas of the counties, as well as the Cities of Parma, Wilder, Greenleaf, Notus, Melba, Kuna, and Star.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 531 & R & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 145 & 145 & 116 & 29 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 145 & 145 & 116 & 29 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$290 & \$290 & \$232 & \$58 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18788
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$649
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$649

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement (identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan) or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & ce FTA 53 & 7 LU & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 265 & 265 & 212 & 53 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 175 & 175 & 140 & 35 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 83 & 83 & 66 & 17 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 63 & 50 & 13 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 63 & 63 & 50 & 13 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$649 & \$649 & \$519 & \$130 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\)Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19122
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Asset Management

Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$1,103
Total Programmed Budget: \(\$ 4,658\)
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,761

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement (identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan) or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Source FTA 5339 LU} & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,002 & 2,002 & 1,602 & 400 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 664 & 664 & 531 & 133 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 664 & 664 & 531 & 133 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 664 & 664 & 531 & 133 \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 664 & 664 & 531 & 133 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$4,658 & \$4,658 & \$3,726 & \$932 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 18905
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2021
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,496
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,496

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2021 in the Boise Urbanized Area.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 53 & 0 LU & & ram & cal Hwy - Tr & portation M & ement & al Match & \% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,496 & 2,496 & 2,313 & 183 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$2,496 & \$2,496 & \$2,313 & \$183 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \#: 19763
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,500
Project Description

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2022 in the Boise Urbanized Area.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce STBG- & & & gram & cal Hwy - CR & RSAA 2021 & & cal Match 7 & 4\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,548 & 2,548 & 2,361 & 187 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$2,548 & \$2,548 & \$2,361 & \$187 \\
\hline Funding S & urce STBG & MA & & ram & cal Hwy - Tra & sportation M & emen & cal Match & 4\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 952 & 952 & 882 & 70 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$952 & \$952 & \$882 & \$70 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20659
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,551
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,551

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2024 in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202}

Regionally Significant:\(\checkmark\) Inflated
Key \# : 21903
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,550
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,550

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2025 in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22393
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,549
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,549

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in FY2026 in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Boise Area, VRT - FY202}

Regionally Significant:\(\checkmark\) Inflated
Key \# : 22815
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2027
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,580
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,580

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan in 2027 in the Boise Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square \quad \square\) Inflated IIP Achievement:
Key \#: 18781
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,184
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,184

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement, identifed in the Transit Asset Management Plan, or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Nampa Urbanized Area.


Transit - Rolling Stock, Infrastructure, and Technology, Nampa Area, VRT
Regionally Significant:\(\square\) Inflated
Key \# : 20136e
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023-2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,424
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,424

\section*{Project Description}

Fund capital replacement (identified in the Transit Asset Management Plan) or expansion projects, such as rolling stock, infrastructure, and technology, in the Nampa Urbanized Area. See Valley Regional Transit's Program of Projects for more details on current year project and the Transportation Development Plan for long-term vision.


\section*{Transit - State Street Premium Corridor, Part 1, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant:

\title{
Inflated
}

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23178
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,500
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,500

\section*{Project Description}


Implement Part 1 of the State Street Premium Corridor improvements project in the Boise Urbanized Area. Project will improve infrastructure and pedestrian connections to public transportation on State Highway 44 (State Street) between State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle and downtown Boise. The enhanced bus stops will be compliant with the Americans with Disabilities Act, improve safety, and provide passenger amenities such as benches, distinct shelters, real-time information, off-board fare payment, lighting, and bicycle racks.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & rce FTA & LU & & ram & nsit Capital & & & cal Match 20 & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 250 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 1,250 & 1,500 & 1,200 & 300 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$250 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$1,250 & \$1,500 & \$1,200 & \$300 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - State Street Premium Corridor, Part 2, Boise Area, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23179
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,882
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,882

\section*{Project Description}


Implement Part 2 of the State Street Premium Corridor improvements project in the Boise Urbanized Area. Project will include deployment of real-time information, off-board fare payment, raised platforms, and necessary pullouts to accommodate all bus stops along State Highway 44 (State Street) between State Highway 55 (Eagle Road) in the City of Eagle and downtown Boise. Improvements will also include bus stop and vehicle branding.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce Local & rticipating & & ram & ansit Capital & & & cal Match 1 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5,882 & 5,882 & 0 & 5,882 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$5,882 & \$5,882 & \$0 & \$5,882 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Support Vehicle Replacement, TVT}

Regionally Significant:
\(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : NEW9
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$45
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$45

\section*{Project Description}


Replace one non-revenue support vehicle for Treasure Valley Transit, headquartered in the City of Nampa. Funded through the State Vehicle Instement Program.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce State & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 45 & 45 & 36 & 9 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$45 & \$45 & \$36 & \$9 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Vehicle Replacement, ACHD}

Regionally Significant:Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : NEW05
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2023-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$664
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$664
Project Description


Replace 12 Ada County Highway District Commuteride vans. The vans serve a six-county area of southwest Idaho serving an average of 500 commuters annually. Routes must start, end, or pass-through Ada County.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 5 & 9 R & & ram & nsit Capital & & & cal Match & 00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 332 & 332 & 266 & 66 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 332 & 332 & 266 & 66 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$664 & \$664 & \$531 & \$133 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit - Vehicle Replacements, VRT}

Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : 23790
Requesting Agency: Valley Regional Transit
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,400
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,400

\section*{Project Description}


Replace three compressed natural gas (CNG) 25 -foot cutaway buses with three 35 -foot electric buses in the Boise Urbanized Area. The project is funded with a nationally competitive grant (5339-Bus and Bus Facilities).
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & ce FTA 5 & (c) & & ram T & nsit Capital & & & ocal Match 20 & .00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,400 & 2,400 & 1,920 & 480 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$2,400 & \$2,400 & \$1,920 & \$480 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Transit -Vehicle Replacements, TVT}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated

\section*{TIP Achievement:}

Key \# : NEW06
Public Transportation
Requesting Agency: Treasure Valley Transit
Project Year: 2023-2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$264
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$264

\section*{Project Description}


Replace three Americans with Disabilities Act-compliant conversion vans used in the southwest Idaho region, including Ada and Canyon Counties.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce FTA 53 & 39 R & \multicolumn{4}{|c|}{Program Transit Capital} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 20.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 132 & 132 & 106 & 26 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 132 & 132 & 106 & 26 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$264 & \$264 & \$211 & \$53 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{US 20/26 (Chinden), l-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 22165
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2022
Total Previous Allocations: \$13,425
Total Programmed Budget: \$40,483
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$53,908

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance


Widen US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) from Interstate 84 to Middleton Road to six lanes in the City of Caldwell. Work includes a continuous median traffic separator with u-turn opportunities, and installation of two additional traffic signals.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source State} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Hwy - System Support} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 500 & 0 & 500 & 0 & 500 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 312 & 728 & 7,387 & 8,427 & 0 & 8,427 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$312 & \$1,228 & \$7,387 & \$8,927 & \$0 & \$8,927 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source Local Participating} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Hwy - Local Partnerships} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 207 & 207 & 0 & 207 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$207 & \$207 & \$0 & \$207 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source TECM} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 150 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 2,800 & 28,399 & 31,349 & 0 & 31,349 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$150 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$2,800 & \$28,399 & \$31,349 & \$0 & \$31,349 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge to SH-16, Ada County}

Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 20367
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$4,080
Total Programmed Budget: \$9,223
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$13,303
Project Description

TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance


Widen US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) from the Phyllis Canal Bridge (just west of Star Road) to State Highway 16 in Ada County. The project will add one additional lane in both directions and add bicycle and pedestrian facilities.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source TECM} & \multicolumn{5}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity)} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 150 & 14 & 100 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 264 & 0 & 264 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 204 & 0 & 794 & 7,956 & 8,954 & 0 & 8,954 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$150 & \$14 & \$304 & \$0 & \$794 & \$7,956 & \$9,218 & \$0 & \$9,218 \\
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source Private Developer} & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program Hwy - Local Partnerships} & \multicolumn{3}{|r|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 5 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 5 & 0 & 5 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$5 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$5 & \$0 & \$5 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{US 20/26 (Chinden), Phyllis Canal Bridge, Rehabilitation, near Meridian}

Regionally Significant:
```

$\checkmark$ Inflated

```

TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 20227
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2023
Total Previous Allocations: \$865
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,241
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$6,106

\section*{Project Description}

Asset Management
Safety


Replace a culvert on US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard) at the Phyllis Canal near the City of Meridian due to restrictions for freight.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{3}{|l|}{Funding Source HB132 and HB312} & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Hwy - Bridge Restoration} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & -14 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & -14 & 0 & -14 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 292 & 4,963 & 5,255 & 0 & 5,255 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & (\$14) & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$292 & \$4,963 & \$5,241 & \$0 & \$5,241 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

US 20/26 and SH-44, Pavement Rehabilitation, Ada County
Regionally Significant: \(\square\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Asset Management
Key \# : 20536
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$75
Total Programmed Budget: \$2,660
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$2,735

\section*{Project Description}


Mill and inlay segments of roadway in Ada County. Segments include: US 20 (Broadway Avenue), East Park Boulevard to the Broadway Avenue Interchange; US 20/20 (Chinden Boulevard), Discovery Way to just west of Joplin Road; and State Highway 44, Gary Lane to XXXXX.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce State & & & ram S & ate Hwy - Pav & nent Preserva & & Local Match 1 & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 216 & 2,444 & 2,660 & 0 & 2,660 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$216 & \$2,444 & \$2,660 & \$0 & \$2,660 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{US 20/26 and SH-44, Surface Treatments, Ada and Canyon Counties}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22677
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2026
Total Previous Allocations: \$1
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,719
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,720

\section*{Project Description}


Provide surface treatments on US 20/26 and State Highway 44 in Ada County to improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan. Segments incude 194 lane-miles at the following locations: US 20 (Front Street and Myrtle Street), 15th Street to Avenue A; US 20, near the Interstate 84 ramp in the City of Caldwell to the I-184 interchange in the City of Boise; State Highway 44, State Highway 16 to west of Glenwood Street; State Highway 44, west of Glenwood Street to XXXXXXX.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce State & & & ram & e Hwy - Pav & ent Preser & & Local Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering \\
Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2026 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 138 & 1,581 & 1,719 & 0 & 1,719 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$138 & \$1,581 & \$1,719 & \$0 & \$1,719 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

US 20/26, Middleton Road to Star Road, Ada and Canyon Counties
Regionally Significant:
Key \# : 23337
Inflated

Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2024
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$52,514
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$52,514

\section*{Project Description}

TIP Achievement:
System Performance

Preliminary engineering and right-of-way acquisition to widen US 20/26 from Middleton Road in the City of Caldwell to Star Road in Ada County. Work will include widening the corridor from two lanes to five lanes (two through lanes each direction and a center turn lane). Intersection improvements will include signalization at the mile. Construction will be broken out as funding is determined.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce TECM & & & ram & te Hwy - S & \& Capacity & acity) & ocal Match & 0.00\% \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & \begin{tabular}{l}
Preliminary \\
Engineering Consulting
\end{tabular} & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 250 & 6,774 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 7,024 & 0 & 7,024 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 3,826 & 23,364 & 200 & 0 & 0 & 27,390 & 0 & 27,390 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 0 & 18,100 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 18,100 & 0 & 18,100 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$250 & \$10,600 & \$41,464 & \$200 & \$0 & \$0 & \$52,514 & \$0 & \$52,514 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{US-95, Parma North City Limit to l-84, Canyon and Payette}

Regionally Significant:Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23167
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2028
Total Previous Allocations: \(\$ 0\)
Total Programmed Budget: \$8,003
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$8,003

\section*{Project Description}


Resurface the pavement on US Highway 95 from just south of the junction with US Highway 20 near the City of Parma to just south of the junction with I-84 in Payette County. (47\% Canyon County and 53\% Payette County)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & rce NHPP & & \multicolumn{4}{|r|}{Program State Hwy - Restoration} & \multicolumn{3}{|c|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2024 & 529 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 529 & 490 & 39 \\
\hline 2028 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 572 & 6,902 & 7,474 & 6,925 & 549 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$529 & \$0 & \$0 & \$0 & \$572 & \$6,902 & \$8,003 & \$7,416 & \$587 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

US-95, Pavement Preservation, Canyon County
Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 23162
Asset Management
Requesting Agency: ITD
Project Year: 2028
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$1,290
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$1,290

\section*{Project Description}


Sealcoat the pavement surface on US Highway 95 from Lower Pleasant Ridge Road south of the City of Wilder to just north of the cemetery in the City of Parma. The treatment will improve ride quality and extend the pavement lifespan.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding & urce NHPP & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program State Hwy - Pavement Preservation} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 50 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 50 & 46 & 4 \\
\hline 2024 & 34 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 34 & 32 & 2 \\
\hline 2028 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 37 & 1,169 & 1,206 & 1,117 & 89 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$34 & \$50 & \$0 & \$0 & \$37 & \$1,169 & \$1,290 & \$1,195 & \$95 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Ustick Road, Ten Mile Road to Linder Road, Meridian}

Regionally Significant: \(\qquad\) Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Safety
Active Transportation
System Performance
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$0
Total Programmed Budget: \$3,617
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$3,617

\section*{Project Description}


Widen Ustick Road from Ten Mile Road to Linder Road in the City of Meridian to five lanes. The projects includes curb, gutter, sidewalk, and a level three bicycle facility. The concept-level design will further clarify the scope of the project.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Funding Source} & \multicolumn{6}{|c|}{) Program Hwy - Local Partnerships} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 100.00\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 292 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 292 & 0 & 292 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 0 & 140 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 140 & 0 & 140 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,185 & 3,185 & 0 & 3,185 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$292 & \$140 & \$0 & \$0 & \$3,185 & \$3,617 & \$0 & \$3,617 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Western Heritage Byway, Swan Falls Road, ACHD
Regionally Significant:
Inflated
TIP Achievement:
Key \# : 22600
Requesting Agency: ACHD
Project Year: 2024-2025
Total Previous Allocations: \$200
Total Programmed Budget: \$5,142
Total Cost (Prev. + Prog.): \$5,342

\section*{Project Description}


Add five-foot shoulders to Swan Falls Road from just north of Initial Point Road to the southern terminus of the roadway using Federal Lands Access Program funds. Project will also improve accessibility to Bureau of Land Management facilities. Project managed by Western Federal Lands.
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Funding S & urce FLAP & & \multicolumn{5}{|c|}{Program Hwy - Federal Lands Access} & \multicolumn{2}{|l|}{Local Match 7.34\%} \\
\hline Cost Year* & Preliminary Engineering & Preliminary Engineering Consulting & Right-of-Way & Utilities & Construction Engineering & Construction & Total & Federal Share & Local Share \\
\hline 2022 & 0 & 226 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 226 & 209 & 17 \\
\hline 2023 & 0 & 146 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 146 & 135 & 11 \\
\hline 2024 & 0 & 206 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 3,620 & 3,826 & 3,545 & 281 \\
\hline 2025 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 539 & 405 & 944 & 875 & 69 \\
\hline Fund Totals: & \$0 & \$578 & \$0 & \$0 & \$539 & \$4,025 & \$5,142 & \$4,765 & \$377 \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{APPENDIX F: PUBLIC COMMENTS}

Provides verbatim comments received on the project list, as well as any amendments that require a public comment period.

\section*{Summary of Public Comments}

Questions submitted as part of public comments were answered, and all comments were provided to the Regional Transportation Advisory Committee and the COMPASS Board of Directors. Comments related to specific projects or studies were forwarded to the appropriate agencies. The disposition of individual comments is included with the verbatim comments in this section.

A public comment period was held concurrently for an amendment to Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0), an amendment to the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the Draft FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) August 2 - 31, 2021. COMPASS received 26 comments related to the draft FY2022-2028 TIP and 6 to the air quality conformity demonstration.

The following changes were made to the FY2022-2028 TIP project list based on comments received:
- Key Number 20259, Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023
o The segment on McDermott Road from McMillan Road to Chinden Boulevard was removed at the request of ACHD, as work will be completed under Key Number 23408 (State Highway 16, Ustick Road to US 20/26 and State Highway 44), which is a new project in the TIP.
- Key Number 22101, Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County
o The funding source was changed from Freight to Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG)-Rural, and the program was changed from Freight to Safety and Capacity to mirror changes made by ITD.
- Key Number 22102, Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa
o The funding source was changed from Freight to STBG-Urban, and the program was changed from Freight to Safety and Capacity to mirror changes made by ITD.
- Key Number 22165, US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County
o The construction year was changed from FY2022 to FY2023/2024, to mirror changes made by ITD.
- Key Number 22944, Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa o The map in the TIP document was updated to correct an error.

During the August public comment period, the Ada County Highway District (ACHD), ITD, and the City of Meridian jointly requested to add a project to design the widening of Linder Road, from Overland Road to Franklin Road, including adding an overpass over Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian (Attachment 1). Right-of-way acquisition and construction remain unfunded.

Subsequently, a second public comment period, specific to adding the Linder Road project as a short-term funded project, was held September 3-17, 2021. COMPASS received 20 comments related to the Linder Road project. No changes were made based on public comments received.

A public comment period was held concurrently for an amendment to Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0) and an amendment FY2022-2028 TIP January 3-17, 2022, to add a planning and environmental linkages (PEL) study in the City of Kuna for the realignment of the State Highway 69 (Meridian Road) and Kuna Road intersection and the extension of Meridian Road south to Kuna Mora Road, including overpasses over the Union Pacific Railroad and Indian Creek. The proposed amendments would also add a pavement preservation and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) improvements project in Ada County in the TIP. COMPASS received 18 comments. No changes were made based on public comments received.

A public comment period was held for an amendment to the FY2022-2028 TIP March 31 through April 14, 2022, to add seven new public transportation projects in the TIP. COMPASS received 13 comments. No changes were made based on public comments received.

\section*{Public Comments Received (Verbatim)}
- Draft FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program
- Proposed changes to the regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 20402.0
- Air Quality Conformity Demonstration for Northern Ada County

Public Comment Period: August 2 - August 31, 2021
Total number of individuals submitting comments: 29
Email: 3 Online Comment Form: 23 Hard Copy Comment Form: 0 Letter: 2 Phone: 1
(Individuals could submit comments on any of the three topics on one comment form; therefore,
the total number of comments in the table below is greater than the number of individuals submitting comments)
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Name, Zip Code, Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \multicolumn{4}{|l|}{Comments on projects in the DRAFT FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation I mprovement Program (TIP)} \\
\hline Linder Road buildout to seven lanes seems incomprehensible given capacity of surrouning roads & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & Candice Hopkins 83616 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline Again, I am shocked at the lack of vision and transportation' longevity planning group. You will pursue something like the divided 'continuous' flow intersection (that is not emergency vehicle friendly), at a cost of \(\$ 8.9\) TenMile/84 with the use of a Cloverleaf intersection (there is space for it). I guess it is easier to justify the need for something once it & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Richard & Online Comment Form \\
\hline Agree and support completion of SR16 to I-84 in 2022; this north/south route is critical to reduce congestion on roads Like Eagle Road & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & 83616 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Project 23095 Five Mile overpass is unfunded and years away from starting construction. Due to current and projected traffic volumes near this overpass I recommend this project be given much higher priority and construction funding come from other projects such as road maintenance (chip sealing). I did not see any widening or ramp improvements for Eagle Rd overpass and connections to SR84. This bottleneck at Overland and north to St. Luke's must be resolved with widening, ped/bike access and eliminating turn lanes to access SR84 westbound when heading north on Eagle.
Hello,
Looking at the Draft TIP, I did not see:
- Capacity improvements on Eagle Road between SH44 and Franklin Ave. Are there any?
- Project to extend SH55 to Chinden. Is there a project?

\section*{Hi there,}

I am a resident of Meridian, Idaho and a frequent user of hwy 55 north and south between Boise and McCall. I appreciate the hard work and dedication of transportation employees working on the project near Smith's Ferry straightening and widening the highway along with guard rail installation. This has

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

In response to your questions about the Draft FY2022-
2028 TIP:
- There is a capacity project to add one lane southbound on Eagle Road (State Highway 55) between River Valley Street and Franklin Road in the City of Meridian. The project is currently budgeted in FY2021; therefore, it is not included in the draft updated TIP, which begins in FY2022. The Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) expects the project to go to bid on the construction this summer. You can learn more in the current (FY2021-2027) TIP at https://www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/ trans/FY21/Detailed_Report_FY2127_210728.pdf under Key Number 13349.
- Regarding a project to extend State Highway 55 to Chinden, could you please clarify what you are asking? Are you referencing a future Three Cities River Crossing? If so, additional information may be found on the Ada County Highway District's webpage: http://achdidaho.org/projects/proj_study three-cities-river-crossing.aspx. Currently no project is budgeted.
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Format Affiliation
Name, Zip Code,

83642

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
been greatly needed in the past and is a welcome addition to the highway 55 corridor. Hwy 55 continues to have busy and congested driving and the use of turnouts for slow vehicles is not utilized enough. I suggest more policing of the corridor with hefty fines for those who wish to impede traffic while driving their slow vehicles along this roadway. I believe the road needs to be improved in several locations. I would suggest a "third lane" be installed throughout the route from Boise to McCall. The best way I can think of to utilize this lane is to set it up like the traffic lanes for car pools that the roads in the Seattle area have. Allow enough room to place concrete barriers along the corridor with in/out exits in select spots along the way allowing only passenger cars/trucks to utilize or maybe set it up along the same lines as Seattle does for commuters and car pools. This would give drivers a chance to pass the slow movers and speed up the flow of traffic along the route, increasing safety and preventing accidents along the road. Another area needing remedial action is the intersection at Banks for the Banks-Lowman highway junction with highway 55. I have pondered on this problem and I doubt it is going to be an inexpensive proposition to remedy. A quick, easy fix would be to install a light(s), but I believe the long term solution should be to set up a smaller example of the flying wye used in Boise. I would suggest having it planned aesthetically to reflect both the modern construction and rural nature of the area. Something that might be investigated as well would be rerouting a section of highway 55 away from the route along the Payette river and up over the hill to the northeast of the intersection. Land there was recently available for purchase which could make the idea more cost effective. On these same lines might be to consider keeping the current roadway along the river but smoothing out the corners and use the section of roadway as a 2-3 mile passing lane for south-bound drivers, while incorporating the same idea for 2 lanes when going over the

Name, Zip Code,

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) hill on the northbound route allowing a passing lane for these drivers as well. Granted, this idea would only separate the roadway for a few miles but would allow a lot more room for southbound drivers to maneuver through the sharp corners along this section of the highway. I drove the route last weekend and almost got side-swiped by an 18 wheeler whose trailer drifted into my lane forcing me to hug the wall to avoid a collision. Utilizing two lanes here would give those larger vehicles more room to make it through the tighter spots on the road. The last area I see needing help would be at the Rainbow Bridge. I would suggest a full replacement of the bridge using the same design but with upgraded construction methods learned over the years and look into widening the bridge to either 4 lanes, or consider splitting the roads again and building a second bridge a mile or so downstream, then moving the northbound traffic across the river and onto the railroad grade or near the grade and move the road north on the east side of the Payette river, meeting back up around High Valley. This might include a short tunnel through the mountain to the southern entrance to High Valley. All of these suggestions are not cheap but are much needed for this busy vital northsouth bound route. Traffic is only going to increase in the years to come and further stress the existing roadway. With a national infrastructure deal on the cusp of approval, I believe some of those funds should be used responsibly to upgrade this roadway for future generations. Thank you for allowing me to enter my submissions for Transportation Improvements in our great state.
All of the proposals serve vehicle traffic when what we really need is mass transit and other transportation methods that reduce the number of vehicles (pollution, fossil fuel consumption, climate change) on the road. We need an effective and coordinated system of light rail, bus, bicycle, and pedestrian transportation.

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Acquiring right-of-way, and planning for a light-rail system to connect Caldwell, Nampa, Meridian, and Boise Downtown-to-Airport, East-West adjacent to I-84, and State Streets, and North-South adjacent to Capitol/Vista, and Meridian Roads Should be top priority now while the land acquisition is still possible. The Treasure valley needs mass transit that is not affected by street/highway traffic, as is the case with buses or other on-road vehicles. A ight rail system will reduce emissions from vehicles on the roads, and increase highway safety by reducing the number of drunk, inattentive, and unskilled drivers on the roads. Though I support the proposed projects, I think there should be more of a focus on public-transportation throughout the valley.
I agree that the majority of these improvements must be done. However, I would really like to see someone study the effects of using a railway solution for commuters. There are existing stations that could be utilized in Caldwell, Nampa and Boise. Furthermore, ample parking is available to create a "park and ride" situation at both Caldwell and Nampa. Buses could then run from the Boise Depot to designated areas around Boise. This would eliminate so many cars on the roads. I am sure funds could be secured from the new infastructure improvement plan.
As the valley's population continues to grow with alarming speed, and corresponding traffic grows, it is important to continue to provide for bike lanes for alternate modes of travel. Thank you for what you have done to date to address this issue. This comment pertains to buffered bike lanes mentioned in the TIP. Please note that I could not find a definition for your use of this term, or a design standard, in the documents provided to the public for this comment period. However, having observed other drivers, as a driver since \(8 / 2019\), and seeing that cell phone text laws are not being enforced, I am requesting projects that are installing buffered bike lanes include the use of edge line, bike tolerant rumble striping, especially in areas of

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Format
\begin{tabular}{|l|c||}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors.
\end{tabular} & 83705 \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Kori Lester \\
83646
\end{tabular} \\
\\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Ada County \\
Highway District (ACHD).
\end{tabular} & Online Comment Form \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
The project that includes buffered bike lanes is a locally- \\
funded project funded by ACHD. Please see ACHD's \\
definition on page 13 of their Roadways to Bikeways \\
Plan.
\end{tabular} & Margo Mandella \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) congestion or where vehicles would likely encroach the bike lane. Distracted drivers need an auditory cue that they are out of lane, especially when users of the other lane are at a distinct mortality disadvantage in a collision. Bike tolerable rumbles would protect both drivers and bike lane users. Rumbles also do not prohibit lane cleaning. Rumbles are a big bang for your buck road safety feature, especially for cyclists. Because I could not find any Idaho bike lane standards, I am submitting the following design information for bike tolerable edge rumbles to be considered. The information comes from the Pennsylvania DOT, Design Manual Part 2: Highway Design Publication 13 M, page 12-68 at www.dot.state.pa.us/public/pubsforms/Publicatio ns/PUB\% 2013M/September\% 202018\% 20Chang e\%20No.\%203.pdf
Excerpted below: F. Guidance on Shoulder and Edge Line Rumble Strips (SRS/ERS). SRS are installed 16 in \(\times 7\) in \(\times 1 / 2\) in on interstates, freeways and expressways (where bicycles are prohibited), and 16 in \(\times 5\) in \(\times 3 / 8\) in on other undivided two- or four-lane roads where bicycles are permitted (see Publication 72M, Roadway Construction Standards, RC22M, Sheets 2 and 3). ERS are placed 8 in \(\times 5\) in \(\times 3 / 8 \mathrm{in}\). It is preferable to locate ERS so that the edge line runs through the rumble strips. This increases the visibility and durability of the edge line pavement marking. • Shoulder Rumble Strips and Edge line Rumble Strips are considered "bicycle tolerable" when they have a milled depth of \(3 / 8\) in instead of \(1 / 2\) in and a width of 5 in versus 7 in . They may be considered for installation on rural highways with a paved shoulder width of 4 ft or greater where bicycle traffic is expected. Provide full depth and width SRS/ERS on both the left and right shoulders of interstates, freeways and expressways (See Publication 72M, Roadway Construction Standards, RC-22M, Sheets 4 and 5). If you deem this comment "out of scope" during the comment analysis, I would appreciate you forwarding the comment to the engineering design team anyway for consideration for future projects. Thank you

Name, Zip Code,

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) One of the questions I have is had all avenues for federal funding been used? I saw that the ADA project in Greenleaf has no federal funding. Shouldn't Feds have \$ for ADA projects?

Key \#13476- Please make a pedestrian/bike walkway over the highway so people from South Eagle can get into downtown Eagle in a safe manner. And hurry up with the pedestrian bridge over the South channel. This has been promised for years and still hasn't happened and now says it isn't happening until 2023. Lastly, more north/south roads to the interstate to get people off of Eagle Road. A top priority needs to be opening all canal paths to bicycle and pedestrian traffic to increase community connectivity. The map for the Stoddard Pathway in Nampa is incorrect. It should go straight north along the abandoned rail line, not east along Sherman Ave.
(Thank you for the opportunity to view and comment on these projects. And especially for the clarity and accessibility of the documents.) The only projects which touches us most personally at this time is the Fairview from Locust Grove to Eagle one, a stretch we travel frequently, and my main concern is that egress from Fred Meyer (currently difficult) not be made more dangerous but in fact be improved upon. Control growth

Every project that helps pedestrians and bicycles gets my support. I appreciate the bike and pedestrian lanes on the Cloverdale overpass so much. I feel safe on my bike now, for simple little trips like going to the fruitstand a mile from my house but on the other side of the interstate. I hope you do the same thing for Five Mile overpass..


Staff Response

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Federal funding is fully budgeted through FY2028. The project in Greenleaf uses a program administered by the Idaho Transportation Department using state funding. Other federal programs are also eligible to be used for ADA improvements.
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with
the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho
Transportation Department, and the City of Eagle.

Format Affiliation
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|}
\begin{tabular}{c} 
John Gilmore \\
83644
\end{tabular} & Online Comment Form \\
& \\
\hline 83616 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
,
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors.
\end{tabular} & 83702 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with & 83607 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

The the COMPASS Board of Directors.

The correction to the map is made. Thank you for notifying COMPASS staff.
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Ada County Highway District.

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) I only have one comment, regardless of current transportation or proposed changes to transportation, and that is that a subway, light-rail, or other type of mass transit should be considered sooner than later. The Treasure Valley is not going to stop growing and vehicular traffic will only increase. Start thinking now about mass transit, and always, always gain input on the routes from the community. Thanks much.
There's a good list if needed projects. Would have liked to see more widening to 5 lanes in SH55, 10th St to Middleton. Current intersection fixes don't quite work for the volume of cars, trucks and other slow moving vehicles.
Ustick Widening from Linder to Ten Mile in 2025. It's outrageous that this will not be done until 2025. This keeps getting pushed back and it's a nightmare, especially due to the opening of Owyhee High School and it's impact on the Bridgetower Development even more now that our high school students are getting bused instead of going to nearby Rocky Mtn. HS. Not to mention Ustick is now being used to commute back and forth to the Amazon
Distribution Center in Nampa. PLEASE expedite the widening of Ustick!
I expected to see a project for widening
Cloverdale Road between Overland and Victory Roads, but did not see one. With the massive amount of home construction in south Meridian, Cloverdale Road needs to be widened.
Build light rail projects sooner than later, now! The longer one waits the harder it will be to secure land and parking areas. Down Chinden from Caldwell. Down the middle of the 84 to connector, airport and Micron. People will use it once there. They will never demand it as long as they have personal transportation. Take a look at the history of Boston MBTA and Amtrack. I will be dead with 10 years...but for the sake of the future generations, get on with it. Thanks.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Format Affiliation

Online comment form

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Name, Zip Code,

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Ada County Highway District.

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with
Robert M Neilson the COMPASS Board of Directors and Ada County Highway District.

Thank you for your comment; it will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Wanted to add that when SH69 improvements are made to add right turn lanes at Hubbard and Lake Hazel Roads. Now some use the shoulder as a right turn lane while others turn right out of the correct causing possible accidents.
1. For the improvements on Lake Hazel Rd, please include bike lanes each way. 2. Changes to the N. Curtis Rd and the on-ramp to US-184 needs to be improved to a two lane turn lane to enter US-184 and adjust the on-ramp and US-184 accordingly. That area causes heavy congestion that backs up to Northview Street and many accidents have caused because of it. Highway 21 needs to be widened beyond just near Columbia Village, at least to past Warm springs. The bridge over the Boise River near Warm Springs and the High Bridge need to be widened to allow more lanes for traffic, but also provide for safe places to ride a bike don't add more lanes without widening the actual bridges and take away room for bikes Cyclists need to be able to safely cross the bridge near Warm Springs (coming from the west) to access the greenbelt.
See letter below at the end of comments.

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

Format
Not provided
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|} 
Not provided & Online comment form
\end{tabular}

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the Ada County Highway District
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|} 
& & \\
\hline
\end{tabular} \begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho \\
Transportation Department.
\end{tabular}\(\quad\) Nancy Holbert \begin{tabular}{l} 
Phone \\
\\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

\section*{Staff Response}

Name, Zip Code, Affiliation

\section*{Comments on changes to Communities in Motion 20402.0}

Long range plan? Where is the desire to pull a southern connector between something like TenMile or Hwy45 all the way over to I84 (possibly the Kuna Mora bypass)?

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Ada County Highway District, and Canyon Highway District No. 4.

A connection between Bowmont Road and Kuna-Mora Road in southern Canyon and Ada Counties has been studied. Topographical, environmental, and other impediments were identified and the study was subsequently put on hold. However, we continually evaluate transportation needs and projects to address them as part of the planning process. Needs will be reevaluated in early 2022 as part of an update to the longrange transportation plan; that draft plan will be provided for public comment in fall 2022.
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Richard
83646


Agree and support completion of SR16 to I-84 in 2022; this north/south route is critical to reduce congestion on roads Like Eagle Road.

I support adding the construction of S.H. 16 to the short-term projects list.

I would really like to see someone study the effects of using a railway solution for commuters. There are existing stations that could be utilized in Caldwell, Nampa and Boise. Furthermore, ample parking is available to create a "park and ride" situation at both Caldwell and Nampa. Buses could then run from the Boise Depot to designated areas around Boise. This would eliminate so many cars on the roads. I am sure funds could be secured from the new infastructure
improvement plan.
All future projects should be for updating the current infrastructure where new developments are being built. At this stage, the traffic is bad where new subdivisions are being built. This is where the effort should be made with projects.

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) I only have one comment, regardless of current transportation or proposed changes to transportation, and that is that a subway, light-rail, or other type of mass transit should be considered sooner than later. The Treasure Valley is not going to stop growing and vehicular traffic will only increase. Start thinking now about mass transit, and always, always gain input on the routes from the community. Thanks much.
South Meridian road from I-84 to Deer Flat road and North Eagle road from I-84 to State street in Eagle. Both need to become interstates like the connector. With the rate of growth in the treasure valley and the lack of infrastructure to support said growth, traffic congestion needs to be alleviated with freeways.
I would have like to seen a plan to incorporate a rail system, such a light rail. The Treasure Valley is at a great spot in time to build that could be utilized for decades and help the valley get to carbon neutral by 2050. This area is IN need of better public transportation. If not a rail, a better bus system that is inclusive to the majority of neighborhoods. We need BETTER public transportation!

Staff Response

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Name, Zip Code,
Affiliation

Format

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with
, the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department.
\(\qquad\)

\author{

}
Th

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Staff Response
Name, Zip Code,
Affiliation

Comment
The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

\section*{Comments on the Air Quality Conformity Demonstration} for Northern Ada County
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Agree and support completion of SR16 to I-84 in 2022; this north/south route is critical to reduce congestion on roads Like Eagle Road. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & 83616 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
we need a system that reduces pollution and improves air quality- mass transit. see comments above. \\
[This comment references a comment made on the regional transportation improvement program: "All of the proposals serve vehicle traffic when what we really need is mass transit and other transportation methods that reduce the number of vehicles (pollution, fossil fuel consumption, climate change) on the road. We need an effective and coordinated system of light rail, bus, bicycle, and pedestrian transportation."]
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & 83709 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline Ada and Canyon Counties must start enforcing the illegal use of modified exhaust systems for all vehicles, for noise, particulate, and gas emissions. The enforcement and fining of noncompliant vehicles can provide revenue to sustain such enforcement. Too many "coal rolling", and race-type exhaust systems are being permitted on valley roads. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & 83706 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline I am glad that air quality is a consideration. The last thing anyone wants are worse inversions / smog. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & 83705 & Online Comment Form \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as
submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) Unfortunately, most of your air quality conformity relies on people driving new cars that have improved emissions rates. This could be a major flaw. Many individuals who commute or simply drive within the city drive older models, or use the cheapest fuels, or even fail to maintain their vehicles. Would a rail commuter system make more sense? Simply removing cars from the roads will certainly improve the air quality. Additionally, it could be wise to plant bamboo in roadside areas, as bamboo is one of the best absorbers of the emission given off from cars. Several varieties of bamboo thrive in our area. transportation is equal to less personal cars on the road which is equal to less pollutants in the air.
[This comment references a comment made on Communities in Motion: "I would have like to seen a plan to incorporate a rail system, such a light rail..."]

\section*{Staff Response Affiliation}

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.

Format

Online Comment Form

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors.


August 25, 2021
Matt Stoll, Executive Director
COMPASS
700 NE 2 \({ }^{\text {nd }}\) St., Ste. 200
Meridian, ID 83642
Dear Mr. Stoll:

Thank you for the opportunity to comment on the DRAFT FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP). COMPASS' support of Ada County transportation projects through grants, federal funding, and planning is greatly appreciated by ACHD. The draft TIP continues to support the Communities in Motion 2040 funding policy by dedicating a steady funding source for maintenance and Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) projects within the Boise Urbanized Area. The TIP aligns with the ACHD Strategic Plan and supports ACHD's Capital Improvements Plan (CIP) by freeing up local dollars for capacity improvement and Community Programs projects.

In the last year, the ACHD Commission has expanded the District's roadway maintenance projects to include evaluating and providing accessibility for all users. Prior to this, the District's goals were to maintain the public's infrastructure in a "very good" condition and to repair Americans With Disability Act (ADA) violations. Going forward, ACHD will now address accessibility issues on existing sidewalks, eliminate sidewalk gaps, and improve pedestrian crossings. This change is reflected in the DRAFT TIP with various alterations to ACHD's annual Roadway and ADA Improvement projects.

ACHD offers the following specific comments on projects added to, or advanced in the draft FY20222028 TIP:
- The addition of the Garden Street Multi-Use Pathway, Cassia Park to Albion Street, Boise (ORN 23324), and the addition of federal funding in FY2024, supports the regional bike and pedestrian network.
- The addition of a study to evaluate a Southern Connection to I-84 at SH-16 Interchange will provide valuable data for decision makers to consider as ITD completes the extension of SH-16 from Chinden Blvd to I-84.
- The advancement of ITD's pavement preservation/restoration project on Eagle Road (SH-55), from I-84 to SH-44 (KN22665) is greatly appreciated as the pavement condition on this heavily traveled road has degraded significantly since last winter.
- Including the SH-16, Ustick Road to US 20/26 and SH-44 project (KN23408) in FY2022 will complement the projects ACHD is planning in this portion of Meridian. Specifically, ACHD will drop the McDermott Road, McMillan Road to Chinden Boulevard segment from the FY2023

Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part I project (KN 20259). McDermott Road runs parallel to the soon-to-be-built extension of State Highway 16 and any pavement improvements and ADA work will be completed with the highway extension project.
- ITD's addition in FY2022 of the US 20/26, Middleton Road to Star Road project (KN23337) is greatly appreciated. These improvements will benefit the residents of north Meridian and help share the traffic load on parallel ACHD roadways.
- ACHD is pleased to see the addition of ITD and Boise Valley Railroad's jointly sponsored railroad crossing safety improvement project at Milwaukee Street, just north of Franklin (KN23389).
- ACHD fully understands the necessity to sometimes delay projects because of unanticipated changes in funding or better than forecasted pavement conditions. ACHD encourages the continued project coordination for ITD's two microseal projects delayed until FY2026 on the following ITD roads: Front, Myrtle, Broadway, State, Glenwood and Chinden.
- The support of ACHD's Commuteride public outreach efforts is valuable for current and future commuters of the Treasure Valley. ACHD appreciates COMPASS' support through the advancement of KN22738 and KN22386, and the addition of ORN23328.
- The new FTA 5339 Small Urban funding project titled "Commuteride, ACHD, Van Replacements, Canyon County", will help Commuteride replace vans that have exceeded their useful life.
- The funding of Commuteride's, Safety and Security Cameras, Ada project (ORN23314) will benefit commuters with more secure park and ride lots for riders and their vehicles.

ACHD appreciates COMPASS' annual effort to update the Treasure Valley's Regional Transportation Improvement Program, and COMPASS' oversight of the region's federal funds. ACHD will continue to work with its city and county partners, COMPASS and ITD to maintain the transportation network, improve access for people who walk and ride bikes, and plan for necessary capacity improvements in growth areas across the county.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide these comments.

If you have any questions, please feel free to contact Tom Ferch, Transportation Funding Coordinator, at tferch@achdidaho.org or 208-387-6157.

\section*{Sincerely,}


\author{
Cc: COMPASS Executive Committee
}

\section*{Public Comments Received (Verbatim)}

Public Comment Period: August 2 - August 31, 2021
Additional comments that were not shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, as they were received after the action took place.

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

\section*{Comments on Draft FY2022-2028 TIP}
\begin{tabular}{l|}
\hline Commenting on Key \# 22715 (SH-55 [Karcher \\
Road], \(10^{\text {th }}\) Avenue to Middleton Road, \\
Construction, Canyon) - I completed a study \\
on this corridor and this congestion has been \\
steady for over 5 years, doing this roadway by \\
2027 will be outdated and will not solve the \\
congestion issue. Why are the developers not \\
being charged more of an infrastructure fee \\
and homebuyers getting such a high tax \\
incentive? This money could aid in a quicker \\
resolution. \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
A crosswalk on the corner of Florida and Holly \\
by the bus stop.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
Not sure where to comment, but we need \\
stoplights on \(12^{\text {th }}\) Ave South of town by Dooley \\
Road.
\end{tabular} \\
\hline
\end{tabular} nue to Middleton Road, Con this corridor and this congestion has been steady for over 5 years, doing this roadway by 2027 will be outdated and will not solve the ghe. Why are the developers incentive? This money could aid in a quicker A crosswalk on the corner of Florida and Holly Not sure where to comment, but we need Road.

No response.
Shared with ITD and City of Nampa.

Lindsay Simmons
83651

Format Affiliation

Hard copy comment

\section*{Public Comments Received (Verbatim)}

For amendments to: Communities in Motion 20402.0 and FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program

Public Comment Period: September 3 through 17, 2021
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 20
Topic: Add project to design the widening of Linder Road, from Overland Road to Franklin Road, including adding an overpass over Interstate 84, in the City of Meridian.

Outreach methods: 3 email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline I wanted to provide feedback on the proposed Linder Road, Overland to Franklin, overpass and widening. With the recent change to school district boundaries, this overpass is absolutely necessary. Many families that live between Overland and Victory now have to get their kids to Peregrine Elementary and there is no easy way to get there. Going over Meridian Rd. or Ten Mile overpasses at 8:30 in the morning and 4:00 in the afternoon are a cluster so to have a through way on Linder would ease congestion for those that are trying to just get North/South in Meridian and do not need to get on the interstate. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & Rochelle & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I would love to see this project funded and added as we live on the West side of Eagle and it is time consuming and traffic congested to get to the 84 freeway to say the least. I believe this route would save a lot of time and lessen the traffic on Eagle Rd from those on the West side of town. I didn't see a note about whether they would add freeway on and off ramps, or whether this would just be an overpass. Hopefully it would include the ramps as that would be even more beneficial. Even if that is not included though, it would give us a way to get to the other overpasses that do allow freeway ingress and egress. \\
Thanks for reading my comments.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. \\
The project is currently planned as an overpass, with no direct access to Interstate 84.
\end{tabular} & Kristie Neil & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline I strongly recommend making the proposed overpass and widening improvements to Linder Road between Overland Road and Franklin Road. I live off Linder and Victory. I work in Meridian by the Speedway. Having the ability to navigate north on Linder and take Franklin would provide relief from the congestion at Overland and Meridian. It would be even more beneficial to add on and off ramps at Linder to allow further congestion relief at Overland and Meridian. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & Nick Corisis & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I find most of the people who drive in Meridian and the surroundings are not very aware of traffic patterns. They take lefts when traffic is heavy instead of taking a route allowing for a right turn. This is very true on Linder Road where it is two lanes from Cherry to Ustick. This would increase traffic on this already crowded corridor. If you widen Linder to 4 lanes all the way through this may work. I know the creek along Linder at Claire makes this problematic. \\
We live right off Linder at Claire street and traffic is already heavy, primarily in the mornings and afternoons, not only rush hour. Large trucks and loud cars are going by all the time. We are literally next to Linder. \\
I support the idea of another way across the freeway in meridian, but Linder needs to be adjusted to make it work right. We are moving out of Meridian in the next 6 months, hopefully, so this will not directly impact us but we plan on renting the house out after moving. Good luck.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & Lance Mertz & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Family homes: - I do not want to see any more track housing for renters. \\
- Every NEW HOME, should have at least 1/2 acrea, and there should be no less than 10 feet on each side of the home, 20-30 feet in front and 30-50 feet in the back yard. Every new home should be over 1600 square feet, have \(\times 2\) \(20 \times 30\)-foot garages and \(\times 1\) storage garage \(12 \times 30\) foot deep. One side of home should have a concrete pad an RV, work trailer, or boat. Each home must have at least 6 trees, 4 of which must be fruit trees. \\
Roads Victory. Cloverdale, Amity, Eagle and Lake Hazel -should be widened to 5 lanes. The traffic on these is terrible already. \\
There is no excuse for the downtime on Eagle, at this time, because of ALBERTSONS CONSTRUCTION FIASCO at Amity and Eagle! \\
Engineer design malfunctions by Albertsons Designers is NOT acceptable! The TAXPAYERS ARE BEING SCREWED BY THE RICH CEO,S OF ALBERTSONS!
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & (Boise)
Lester A. Meade & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I DO NOT HAVE ANY FATH IN THE MERIDIAN sENIOR \\
eNGINEER THAT AUTHORIZED the design mistakes of the Albertsons NEW Store Design. \\
No NEW ROADS in the south end should be designed with fewer than 5 lanes! \\
If Boise and Meridian see another 20,000 new homes built in the next 2 years, We will need wider roads, better home designs that will not burn like dominoes - like the new TRACK \\
TWO STORY HOMES being built some 10 feet apart. This is a fire hazard and a health hazard to both communities. I can not believe that the CITY COUNCIL, OF BOTH communities 3was dumb enough, or paid off \(\$ \$ \$ \$\), to allow greedy Contractors to build these TRACK HOMES FROM HELL! iN 10 YEARS - THEY WILL LOOK AND FEEL LIKE GETTO CAVES FOR THE POOR! \\
MEDICAL The TAXPAYERS, like most of us RETIRED \\
INDIVIDUALS, WILL NEED new hospitals within 15-minute distance on the far south end of the current community. Because of health issues, the hospitals downtown, the VA, and the Meridian Hospital, north of the freeway, are just too far! \\
Home Depot \\
Lows New stores will be needed on the far south end to supply goods for the new homes, and for wood for the TRACK HOMES THAT WILL BURN. Driving from 5 miles south of Boise and Meridian \\
will be normal in 5 years! \\
NEWSCHOOLS - BOISEA=and MERIDIAN need new grade, junior high and high schools south of Lake Hazel. The TRACK HOME RENTALS will bring in thousands of grade school and junior high level \\
students! The current High Schools are already over-full. \\
Students on Victory Road are now traveling over 6 to 8 miles just to get to school! Maybe they should walk! The Republicans don,t think the climate is changing. There will not be 12 inches of snow in the valley during the winter or 120 'F summers ! We will see - if the nonexistent Covid 19 mutants don, t kill most of us off.
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline Yes, please!! I support the TIP amendment to add Linder Road, Overland Road to Franklin Road as a new project. It is sorely needed to reduce congestion on Meridian and Ten Mile Roads, and to connect north and south Meridian. Thank you, & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & 83646
(Meridian)
Stephen Lewis & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Today my remarks will concern adding new overpasses to I 84. I do not believe that constantly adding lanes and overpasses costings millions of dollars in any way improves our transportation problem in the valley. I believe that a regional transportation system must be created using buses and light rail. This could cut down on numbers of cars, fuel used (pollution) and commute time. \\
Another concern I have is because of heavy car traffic people are becoming frustrated- (road rage) and take risks that I never used to see much of like pulling out in front of a vehicle when there isn't room to do that safely. Thank you
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, the City of Meridian, and Valley Regional Transit. & Gary Martin & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I was very excited about the proposed overpass and widening on Linder Road between Overland and Franklin. If projected growth is somewhat accurate, that direction is one of the only areas that Meridian can really expand. It will be in high demand as Owyhee high school pushes more development west of Ten Mile road. So far, the infrastructure and maintenance of roadways and utilities have ramped up efficiently with the rapid growth. \\
My comment is that 2040 is too far away to acquire that land. In 2006 I thought that the land along Ten Mile should be purchased to create a wide roadway to take some strain off Eagle Road while there was still land. It wasn't the beat idea, but widening Linder Road all the way to and over the interstate takes a big load off Eagle Road and helps connect many people to employment north and South of the interstate. The traffic is already backing up on Meridian despite the wide road and by 2040 it will be egregiously crowded and expensive. \\
Please prioritize the acquisition of land as soon as possible to prevent further development and increase the cost of land even more. And if projected growth continues, please move this closer to 2030 as a priority because the demand will certainly be very strong there by then.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & (Meridian) Marit Welker & Email \\
\hline thank you. with over a million people now living in the treasure valley, we sorely need more access to l-84. i moved from star to meridian several years ago. i know people living in west ada county will greatly benefit from the project. i now live in meridian and grateful for the Eagle road & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & Not provided & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline widening project south of I-84. on a side note, i hope the next road project will be widening Eagle road. it takes me 45 minutes even during light traffic to get from meridian to Eagle. finally, i used to live in Eugene Oregon. 40 years ago they built a " beltline" road circumnavigating the entire city connecting to I-5. what a time savor! & & & \\
\hline See attached letter. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & \begin{tabular}{l}
83642 \\
(Meridian) \\
David W. \\
Turnbull \\
Brighton \\
Corporation
\end{tabular} & Letter \\
\hline I propose a railroad overpass at Ten Mile in Kuna; builders (and the city) added many housing developments south of Kuna Road, causing way too much traffic off of Kuna Road onto South School Street and South Ash Street. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, and the City of Kuna. & Bill Reid & Email \\
\hline I'm in favor & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Mac & Email \\
\hline Why is the stretch between Hwy 16 and linder not already under construction for widening to 4 lanes? The project is basically shovel ready and could be complete in 6-9 months. No bridges, utilities are set back and all ROW have been cleared. I question the priority of Franklin verses Hwy 44. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. \\
Regarding your question: \\
A widening project on State Highway 44 between State Highway 16 and Linder Road is currently in the design and environmental review phase. Construction is scheduled in FY2023. \\
Franklin Road, McDermott Road to Black Cat Road, widening from two lanes to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalks, and bike lanes is funded in the long-term for 2026-2030. Black Cat Road to Linder Road is already five lanes.
\end{tabular} & (Middleton) Steve Flora & Email \\
\hline What I see in this image (provided below table) is a Pre-K through Grade-5 walk-zone without any through traffic. There is no through traffic because there is no overpass for Linder road. There are over 500 children enrolled at that school. Building a Linder Road overpass will make their daily walk to and from school more dangerous. I live off of Linder Road a few miles north of this. When I want to drive south and cross I-84, it is a simple matter to either go one mile east or one mile west and use one of the existing overpasses we already have. If the intent is to add north-south capacity, & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, the City of Meridian, and Valley Regional Transit. & Not provided & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline perhaps we should instead focus on getting vehicles off of the road. It is far more cost effective. & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
These are my comments on COMPASS Amendment \#8 for
Communities in Motion 2040 2.0, Amendment \#8 for the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP), and Amendment \#1 for the FY2022-2028 TIP: Linder Road, Overland Road to Franklin Road, Widen and Add Overpass, Meridian. \\
I live in the Mallard Landing subdivision which has Linder Road south of Franklin Road as its only means of access. This, in itself, has posed access problems for the Mallard Landing subdivision. While I support this proposed project, I recognize the greatly increased traffic volume this will introduce on Linder Road and, in turn, the even greater difficulty of ingress and egress to and from the Mallard Landing subdivision. \\
I want to see the problems of accessing the Mallard Landing subdivision mitigated as part of this project - particularly since this project will radically exacerbate the problem. This would include the following two actions: \\
1) Provide outside access to the east end of the Mallard Landing subdivision, most likely by extending the stub road, W Ruddy Dr, to W Waltman Ln. \\
2) Provide a traffic light for the W Pintail Dr/Linder Road intersection including a left turn signal from SB Linder Rd to W Pintail Dr. I believe W Pintail Dr is the busiest street in the subdivision. It can be accessed by the entire subdivision. If another access street for the Mallard Landing subdivision is busier, then place the light there
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & \begin{tabular}{l}
83642
(Meridian) \\
Robert S. \\
Klammer
\end{tabular} & Email \\
\hline Most of the projects that I read about mitigate the congestion caused by autos on the County's roads and streets. the projects always accommodate the vehicles without ever reducing the number of vehicles, which are the cause of the congestion. All the tricks that traffic planners use: round-abouts; impediments to turning traffic from turning lanes; Uturns at intersections; no turn intersections; unusual paint schemes; etc. do not address the actual problem of so many vehicles. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, the City of Meridian, and Valley Regional Transit. & 83704
(Boise)
Joe Gallagher & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I am in favor of improving Linder Road from Overland Road to Franklin Road as an amendment to the Transportation Improvement Plan. This project is important to Meridian's current and future development. \\
Thank you for listening to my comments on this matter.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & \begin{tabular}{l}
83642 \\
(Meridian) Tom LeClaire Meridian Transportation Commission
\end{tabular} & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline I would like to submit comment on behalf of the West Ada School District in support of the Linder Road overpass. Among other benefits to our community, it would allow better connection to our schools in the north and south. It could provide more options for balancing enrollment among schools. With our recent elementary boundary changes, parents and busses crossing over the freeway to Peregrine Elementary will have a much quicker option than traveling the Meridian Road Overpass. The Linder Road Overpass could also allow for consolidated bus routes and provide more efficient transportation. Another benefit will be improved emergency response times and access to Peregrine Elementary. Currently there is limited access to the school. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & \begin{tabular}{l}
83642 \\
(Meridian) Marci Horner West Ada School District
\end{tabular} & Email \\
\hline I support this as described with the inclusion of pedestrian and bicycle paths. The I 84 overpass is long overdue & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & Not provided & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Please accept my comments on the proposed amendments to CIM 20402.0 and the TIP to add the Linder Road, Overland to Franklin project into both of these documents. \\
There are many benefits I believe will be realized with an I84 overpass, roadway widening and intersection improvements in this area. First, and probably most significant is the positive impact to community safety. With the recent opening of Fire Station \#6 on Overland Road, an overpass of I-84 will allow emergency responders better access to properties north of the freeway. Likewise, for those living north of I-84 near Linder, who currently have to go north to Franklin and then east or west to Ten Mile or Meridian to go south over the Interstate they will see a great benefit in travel time reduction. Having an overpass of I-84 at Linder Road will also allow additional opportunities for business and economic development on both sides of the freeway. There are several undeveloped parcels south of I-84 that become more viable with a nearby overpass and the lands near the new Amazon and FedEx distribution centers along Franklin also benefit from this additional route. Today, trips that do not need to get onto the Interstate are mixed with those that are and contribute to the delay of getting on and off the Interstate. With an overpass, "local" trips would no longer be forced to interchanges, freeing up capacity at the Meridian and Ten Mile interchanges. Therefore, I strongly support amendments to the TIP and CIM 20402.0 for design of the subject Linder Road project. NOTE: I'm hopeful that right-of-way and construction dollars can be found soon so
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Meridian. & Caleb Hood Planning Division Manager and City of Meridian Resident & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|l|l|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{c} 
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the \\
commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been \\
corrected.)
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Staff Response
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Zip Code \\
(City) \\
Name \\
Affiliation
\end{tabular} \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l} 
that construction can commence. I look forward to \\
commenting on adding those funds to the TIP and CIM in the \\
near future. \\
Thank you for the opportunity to comment.
\end{tabular} & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\title{
BRIGHTON \\ CORPORATION
}

September 7, 2021
Matt Stoll, Executive Director
COMPASS
700 NE \(2^{\text {nd }}\) St., Suite 200
Meridian, ID 83642
Dear Mr. Stoll,
Through Brighton, I have personally witnessed, and participated in the dramatic growth of the Treasure valley over the past thirty years. The relocation of our office two years ago to Ten Mile Crossing - and the Ten Mile Interchange-has given us a close-up view of recent transportation system upgrades, including the 2010 interchange, additional I-84 travel lanes, Ten Mile Road and Franklin Road widening and, peripherally, the 2015-16 reconstruction of the Meridian Road and I-84 interchange.

While those improvements have improved system capacity and traffic flow, increasing traffic volumes at both interchanges-coupled with the anticipated growth in Meridian's southwestern quadrant - make construction of the Linder Road/I-84 overpass an imperative.

Without that facility, all "local" north/south trips west of Meridian Road will continue to mix with, and fight their way through I-84's on/off movements. Linder Road's location mid-way between the interchanges will provide relief for intra-community trips, and I-84 access, just as the Locust Grove Road overpass did for the City's east side more than a decade ago.

Please add my personal support, along with that of Brighton Corporation, for inclusion of the Linder Road overpass to the TIP to assure this project becomes a reality "soon."

Respectfully, \(\qquad\)

David W. Turnbull
cc: Mayor Robert E. Simison and Meridian City Council

\section*{Public Comments Received (Verbatim)}

For amendments to: Communities in Motion 20402.0 and FY2021-2027 and FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Programs

Public Comment Period: October 26 through November 9, 2021
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 28
Topic: Add project to design auxiliary lanes on Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian and remove an Ada County Highway District roadway improvement project, two Boise State University bicycle parking facilities, and a City of

Nampa roadway reconfiguration project.
Outreach methods: 3 email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline It would be very helpful and beneficial to the community to have full transportation options throughout the valley. We live in Middleton and there are zero options other than hiring drivers for our son who is high functioning autistic and does not drive. He attends CWI in Nampa and it would be very helpful to have public transportation to/from every community to the different colleges in the valley. Thank you very much for your consideration. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. & Christi Martin & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
My opinion: \\
-Keep the I-84 projects in place. \\
-Keep the Northwest Nazarene College reconfiguration. \\
-REMOVE the bicycle project. \\
-REMOVE rehab projects. \\
Thank You,
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & (Caldwell) Chuck Stadick & Email \\
\hline I'm sending this to show my support for the proposed auxiliary Ianes on I84 between Meridian and Eagle Road. I hope eventually that I 84 will have HOV lanes throughout Boise but auxiliary lanes are also very useful and are needed. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

I am writing to encourage compass not to adapt the they proposed amendments to the communities in motion 20402.0
- Widening the interstate between Meridian Rd. and Eagle road would only create induced demand along the roadway, leading to increased traffic and further create a gouge through Meridian, separating the community north and south of the freeway. Widening this road would continue to exacerbate the current inhospitable environment for all non-vehicular usage between the north and south eroding the community. I don't believe southwestern Idaho can continue to subsidize personal vehicle travel in this way when we don't seem to have the funds to invest in public transportation between the various communities in the treasure valley. Unless this added lane would be solely used for car-pooling and bus rapid transit this would be a tremendously negative change to the Treasure Valley.
- Bicycle parking- I'm not sure as to what value removing this project would provide to the community. It seems as though bike parking takes less space and costs less money than a comparable parking for motor vehicles and would lessen reliance on personal vehicle travel in the Valley. When I have visited the Boise State campus it seems as though the bike facilities they have are typically well utilized and creating additional secured parking would encourage alternative transportation methods to and from campus. Removing planned bike parking would be a net negative to stakeholders and further propagate our addiction to vehicular travel.
- Holly Street- Not undertaking the renovation to Holly Street to reduce lanes of traffic and eliminate bike lanes seems like a terrible idea. Even if Nampa had a thorough network of multimodal pathways (which it doesn't) bike lanes would still be necessary to access businesses and the University along Holly Street. Unless the planned bike lanes would be replaced by separated, protected, lanes removing this project would appear to be a terrible idea and would materially the health and safety of the community. If a road is not safe enough for you to feel comfortable sending your kids or grand kids down on a bike unattended why would you impose this danger on other members of the community?
- ADA compliant sidewalks- Reading your website I'm not sure what valley would be gained through this amendment. On its face this seems to be a discriminatory move which would further limit individuals with disabilities from free movement within our community. If there is a replacement plan for increasing accessibility in these areas for members of our community with disabilities not making these upgrades would be understandable. Thank you for your time,

Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Boise State University, City of Nampa, and the Ada County Highway District.
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

Oh my gosh this sounds like the perfect solution to the most horrible exits! Meridian Exit. I always feel like I am taking my life in my hands when I enter the freeway from Meridian road. Everyone drives sooo slow going down the exit and makes it hard to move onto the freeway.

Something will need to be done to the Eagle Exits and roads by the freeway with all of the new construction. New traffic will be way more that it will be able to handle!

COMPASS Amendment \#8 for Communities in Motion 2040 2.0 (CIM 2040 2.0), Amendment \#9 for the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and Amendment \#1 for the FY2022-2028 TIP

I still think adding overpasses on Eagle from the busy thoroughfare streets would relieve alot of congestion.

We also need to use train service. I am sure since Motive Power left Union Pacific would welcome the new usage and money. Would also alleviate the congestion.
I agree with \& support this study (Adding lanes on I-84 in Meridian)
As usual it is dissapointing to see that public transport and alternative modes of transportation are not being developed. We are growing rapidly, but have no functional public transport. I would like to have more bicycle infrastructure. I would like public transportation options that are frequent and wide spread.
Dissapointed, but not surprised,
I strongly support the proposed amendments would add the following projects to both CIM 20402.0 and the TIPs:
- 1-84, Meridian Road to Eagle Road in the City of

Meridian
Along with the removal of the the following projects from the TIPs:
- Two bicycle parking facilities at Boise State University
- A reconfiguration project on Holly Street near

Northwest Nazarene University in the City of Nampa
- A rehabilitation project sponsored by the Ada County Highway District


Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)

I just wanted to comment on the proposed amendment of adding an additional lane and shoulder ont he eastbound onand off-ramps of Meridian Road. I live South of the interstate, just down Meridian and that onramp to get on eastbound \(\mathrm{I}-84\) during rush hour in the mornings is crazy. It was so great when the lanes of Meridian at Overland going Northbound were adjusted to have a separate turn lane onto Overland as it eased the congestion of those going straight through the light to get onto the interstate...only to find that the on-ramp causes so much of its own congestion. For some reason, people getting on from the South panic and have to immediately try to merge over into the left-hand lane of the on ramp causing a huge back-up of traffic in the mornings. If there were two on-ramp lanes, this would ease that issue considerably as people would not feel the need to merge over immediately causing the congestion. In order to avoid that mess, I often take Victory down to Eagle to get on the Eagle on-ramp instead which seems like it would be a bad move, but is often faster than trying to get on at Meridian.
Thank you for allowing us to provide input!
I am in favor of "I-84, Meridian Road Interchange to Eagle Road Interchange, Design, Meridian" if it can be funded from something other than the taxpayers. Anything to make traffic flow more smoothly! The onslaught of population growth (many of who live in Idaho but pay taxes in another state) should hold some responsibility for additional improvements and services needed.
The increased population, therefore the increased traffic, is becoming a real issue in the Treasure Valley. One can only hope the Powers That Be have learned a lesson about not planning ahead when doing improvements on our highways and the freeway. Please give extra careful consideration to what traffic patterns and needs will look like in another 5 years. It is very financially irresponsible to build/improve with only the concerns of today in mind.
In addition, as has been stated in other responses to projects, the responsibility for funding should be directly related to the Developers who are making the money off all the building projects and causing stress on our community. Why should tax payers foot the bill when many of us did not want all this development in the first place.
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Staff Response } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Zip Code \\
(City) \\
Name \\
Affiliation
\end{tabular} & Format \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho \\
Transportation Department.
\end{tabular} & Rochelle Lim & Email \\
\\
\hline Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with & Anonymous & \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho & & \\
Transportation Department. & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the
commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been
corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
PLEASE!!!! That on-ramp is in desperate need of a project to increase its ability to get traffic onto I-84. The on-ramps are so short, coupled with the major intersection at Meridian and Overland that supports nearly \(100 \%\) of the flow out of Kuna that it makes for a daily disaster. \\
My only suggestion to the plan is that design and construction take place in 2022... I say that sarcastically but there is a desperate need for this last year not four years from now. \\
Thanks!
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Chase & Email \\
\hline Great Job keep up the good work. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I agree that an auxilliary lane may be needed eastbound from Meridian Road to Eagle and from Eagle Road eastbound, but as I have previously written, money needs to be spent on transportation projects that take people off of our increasingly busy highway systems-possibly light rail or better busing systems. People are here to stay and these problems will only grow worse in the next decade. I am in agreement with studying changes for Holly Street in Nampa. \\
I agree that bicycle parking at BSU needs to be considered.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, City of Nampa, and Boise State University. & Gary Martin & Email \\
\hline Auxiliary lane between meridian and eagle is a great idea. The congestion there is unbelievable at times. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I would like to comment on the study to add an auxiliary lane between the Meridian Road and Eagle Road interchanges. I think it would help alleviate some of the traffic congestion during the peak hours. Here is my firsthand experience since I have to take that route to work daily. \\
With three lanes merging into one on the eastbound Meridian Road on ramp, then shortly after having to merge onto the freeway, it gets backed up pretty quickly during the morning commute. I've adjusted my commute to leave at least 30 minutes later because of the congestion. I actually save 10 to 15 minutes on my commute by waiting to leave until later. Thankfully, my occupation allows me to have flexible office hours. \\
I've also noticed a significant increase in vehicles exiting eastbound at Eagle Road. It started getting worse right around the time the new office buildings on the southeast side of the Eagle Road interchange opened, so within the last year. Sometimes the far right lane backs up on the freeway because the off ramp is full. This is creating a traffic hazard during the morning rush hour for a couple of reasons.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
First, I 've noticed that vehicles intending to exit at Eagle Road are now changing into the far right lane sooner than before. It's becoming more common for them to change into the right lane in the same place where vehicles are trying to merge onto the freeway at the Meridian Road eastbound on ramp, which just backs everything up further. \\
Second, the far right lane is often moving very slowly or stopped altogether while the other lanes are moving at freeway speeds. I feel that it is a bit risky to try to change into a lane where traffic is going 55-65 mph from one moving at about 10-20 mph. Some drivers get impatient and do it regardless because otherwise they would have to wait several minutes for the line to clear at the Eagle Road exit. \\
It might be worthwhile to also consider expanding the Eagle Road off ramp to multiple lanes, just to keep exiting vehicles from stopping on the freeway.
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I think these are exceptionally good additions, considering how our community has grown and likely will continue to grow, albeit at a slower rate with current housing costs. The land and area most appealing for development will likely be around Owyhee high School and south Meridian because there isn't a lot of land left in North Meridian except to the far west. So, I think it's important to develop the infrastructure to sustain the growth impacts we'll see by 2030 that will make this project crucial to safety on Meridian and Overland Road, as well as diffusing the impact of rush hour traffic in that interchange. \\
That being said, there is a strong need for better safety on Holly street to mitigate the impact of traffic for NNU's Brandt Auditorium. I believe both are very important but I believe that there is a much stronger need for the Meridian Road I84 improvements looking ahead to 2030 and 2040. \\
Thanks for all you do in planning for our safety and growth.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Nampa. & Merit Welker & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
My main issue with biking is the inability to find covered bike parking. I think that removing the BSU bike parking facility project is the wrong direction for helping stem car traffic downtown. If you want to encourage biking (which is the fastest and easiest way to reduce traffic) you need to build the same infrastructure that you do for cars. It's not fair that when I decide to bike that I have an extremely limited infrastructure compared to cars. Even when it's way cheaper to put in bike infrastructure. I have a car, I pay road taxes. I want those taxes to also support me as a complete transportation being and help me when I decide to leave the car at home. \\
You should keep the bsu bike parking facility project. And you should add a covered bike parking spot in Hyde Park, at North Junior high, and at the Capitol building/and or 8th street. We need more bike specific covered parking. Fastest way to reduce car traffic without limiting transportation options is to provide easier biking options and infrastructure. Kill two birds with one stone! Thanks for your work. Cheers
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Boise State University, City of Boise, and Ada County Highway District. & Larissa DeHaas & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Last week I attended the Star's Mayor's state of Star address to express my concern that your plans are too long in coming to help Star. \\
We have a state of emergency/safety with so much traffic now coming through the town on State St. I know your statistics show that our problems are due to the extremely heavy traffic increasingly coming from Middleton. So perhaps you need to re-route that traffic through safer corridors that need to be put into place. \\
State Street running through Star is ALL DAYLONG unsafe. It is very very difficult to get off and into State Street to our developments. It is only a matter of time before we have traffic deaths. There is no rush hour anymore - it is CONSTANT. \\
You need to excelerate your plans to make Star safe ASAP. We cannot wait 2-3 years down the road; we will be having many traffic incidents. We need help NOW. \\
Please re-configure your timeline and get Star some relief now from the unsafe traffic. You need to ask the Governor to release some of his surplus to make our town safe. Safety should be trumping everything else, especially since the problems are caused by our neighbor to the west.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, and the City of Star. & \[
\begin{gathered}
83669 \\
\text { (Star) } \\
\text { Lynn Davis }
\end{gathered}
\] & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline Texas tried to build their way out of congestion. They now have the Katy Freeway and traffic is worse than ever. Humans have an unsurpassed potential to learn from the mistakes made by others and an unsurpassed ability to not do so. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & \begin{tabular}{l}
83706
(Boise) \\
Gary Ackaret Idaho Department of Environmental Quality
\end{tabular} & Email \\
\hline I agree with the above re it's a priority over the others. Take care & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & Chuck Stadick & Email \\
\hline I am in agreement with the proposed changes. The Holly street changes as proposed in particular are a waste of money which could be better used elsewhere Thank You & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & (Nampa) Hubert Osborne & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Someone really screwed up on street planning for this area. \\
(Staff note: referenced "streets in Southern Boise and \\
Meridian" in subject line) \\
The nearsightedness of both city's councils is not acceptable. tHE VALLEY WILL RECEIVE OVER 500,000 NEW RESIDENCE during the next 10 years, is my opinion. I calculate this on the loss of land near both oceans and the GOM. The increase in storms and their severity has increased along all 3 bodies of water and more cities are flooding each year. More families will move here because of our better weather and the increase of business by Micron and Amizon. This PANDEMIC is not over as our stupid politicians design CDC and Scientific evidence on world warming! But where will the VALLEY find more water for 1 million new residence and businesses? \\
Because of the stupidity of these counsels pertaining to TRACK HOUSING, they clustered large amounts of cars in small areas along the following streets. \\
1- Victory Street is a nightmare from 7-9;30 and 3;30 to \\
6;30. This street needs to be widened to 5 lane! \\
2- Amity Street - same as above. But an overpass bridge needs to be installed to connect to Cole to offset traffic from TRACK HOMES.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department the Ada County Highway District, the City of Boise, and the City of Meridian. & 83709
(Boise)
Lester A. Meade & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
3- CLOVERDALE NEEDS TO BE WIDDENED ALL THE WAY \\
SOUTH to 5 lanes with side offshoots to residential areas and to handle Track Home traffic from Amity and Lake Hazel. \\
4- Police Call Boxes, for emergencies, needs to be installed throughout the valley, with cameras, to facilitate bike and pedestrian emergencies. \\
5 - The best decision that each counsel has made is Meridian Road to Kuna. \\
6-10 mile road will be flooded with traffic in 10 years as new homes are built and hundreds of TRACK HOMES ARE \\
CLUSTERED. Again - \\
this road needs to be 5 lane with side lanes for entry to residents and TRACK HOMES. tHIS ROAD SHOUD EXTEND AT LEAST 2 MILES \\
SOUTH OF KUNA. \\
7- The City Councils also need to look at a full VALLEY BUS SYSTEM. That not only connects within the Cities, but also has a hub that transfers people to other cities, including MT Home. \\
8- An UNDERGROUND t u b e TRANSPORT SYSTEM needs to be considered by the Whole Valley that will be built under all new or repaired streets. This underground transport tube system must interconnect with all cities with in the valley and Mt. Home. \\
Imagination and planning will distinguish the valley and will project a political system that focuses on the needs of the people and not on businesses. But this future planning will benefit both. Our world has changed, people are worried about safety, food and protection. \\
Thousands of poor are migrating to the US daily because of the weather and CARTEL KILLINGS IN THEIR \\
NATIONS. Worry, pain and dysfunction with jobs and the stupid political decisions have increased the need for American DRUGS to self-medicate. \\
In A HUNDRED years, WE MAY SEE THE LOSS OF millions of acres of coastal land. Florida may disappear and the golf of Mexico could reach inland to Saint Lewis. All of the inland Cities will be overwhelmed with new residences. Politicians must stop being SELF-CENTERED THIEvS, put on big boy pants and start working to save the next generation. My generation will be dead in 20 years. I,m 73. TRACK HOMES - OK FOR TEMPORARY FAMILIES AND SINGLES. bUSINESSES NEEd TO START BUILDING Mini homes and apartments for LOW PAYING JOBS on their job sites. Albertsons needs to set the standard and do this now at the
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
new Amity store. Even at \$15/hr - people can not afford housing in Boise or Meridian anymore! \\
As the MI LITARY loses land near the sea - MtHome Airbase will triple and so will the personnel here at the Boise Airport and at Malstome Airbase in Montana. Will the Valley Leaders be ready or will they keep repairing and making excuses for not planning? Just look at the backup at each local license authorizing locations and the backlogs.
\end{tabular} & & & \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
There is a mountain of money coming to idaho for road projects. Would it be prudent to delay start of some these projects and see what we are getting, then replan based on the new infusion of money? \\
The thinking is we have higher priority transportation issues we are putting off because of lack of funding. \\
It appears we are getting the funding as soon as the bill is signed by Biden and federal government processing of the funding. \\
We are about \$1b behind on idaho road projects. We should move the highest priority projects to the top of the list and aggressively drive on completion. \\
None of what you are talking about right now will help solve the critical traffic issues we have right now IMHO.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. \\
Projects are selected for funding through a formal prioritization process. COMPASS is currently reviewing the provisions of the Infrastructure and Investment and Jobs Act to determine its impact on the region.
\end{tabular} & (Middleton) Steve Flora & Email \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
After reading the amendment summary \& funding details document, it seems that the direction is to invest heavily in motor-vehicle support while canceling projects to support other ways of transportation. \\
My expectation would be that this will be a significant expense for limited sustainable value. Other cities have done similar highway widening projects of limited utility - see the DC metro I-95/495 corridor for an easy example. I think this type of infrastructure project is a poor use of taxpayer dollars.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the Idaho Transportation Department, the Ada County Highway District, the City of Nampa, and Boise State University. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline I'd like to comment on the proposed changes to CI M2040 2.0, specifically the proposal to remove the Holly Street reconfiguration. Four lane undivided road configurations not only promote driving at unsafe speeds and traffic weaving, but the lack of turn lanes lead to a dangerous situation for vehicles attempting to make left-hand turns, and obstruct through traffic behind the turning vehicle. A three lane configuration would make the street safer for residents and drivers alike. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Nampa. & Andrew Cascio & Email \\
\hline This is not about the email you sent, but it is related. I have lived in Boise for 32 years and I am out in the Boise traffic around town every day of the week. I am very upset that bike lanes are going in on Maple Grove between Overland & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Ada County Highway District. & Nancy Powers & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

\section*{Comment}
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
and Franklin. What is desperately needed in my opinion and that of my neighbors here on Shoup, is turn lanes on Maple Grove. Yes, I voiced my opinion when I saw the sign announcing this, but was basically told there just isn't enough accidents there and because it was poor future planning when the overpass was constructed.....I was here then and remember it well when Maple Grove was punched through from Franklin to Overland. So, it would now be incredibly expensive to put turn lanes in on this intersection. Hmmmm.....so instead we are going to use money and any footage along the road for bike lanes; then we can never look forward to turn lanes. I feel that is a mistake in planning, not looking far enough into the future needs with all the incredible growth going on here now.
There are so very few people using the bike lanes in this City. Maybe they are downtown, but not out in this area. And, for that small amount of riders who do ride to work, I'd bet they have a car they use in winter.
Oh, so bike lanes ARE part the future of transportation, well so is rail, yet we just continue to make more traffic lanes, do more road work, create more emissions and say that people here want to drive their cars, not ride a train. Yes, I've been told that by planners several times over the years.
The City would like to comment on the TIP amendment in support for evaluating the addition of an auxiliary lane on I84, Meridian Road to Eagle Road. The Eagle/Overland intersection and surrounding area has been on our radar as a bottle neck of traffic congestion. The City is supportive of efforts that improve traffic in that area.

The adding of an auxiliary lane and an additional lane for the Meridian Interchange's eastbound on and off ramp has been well supported by others and is good.

The second part of that amendment of including the study of the traffic patterns from Meridian Rd to the WYE is of primary importance. Certainly that is understood to include the study of the traffic patterns on the opposite roadway of from the WYE to Meridian Rd. The study of such east and west traffic is of most importance and certainly is overdue now and must go forward

Now we see the congesting of traffic at certain points such as on the westbound intersection of I-184 with I-84 where we have three westbound lanes having to merge into one lane. This is a big problem for afternoon traffic.
\(\left.\begin{array}{|l|l|l|l|}\hline \begin{array}{c}\text { Comment } \\ \text { (The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the } \\ \text { commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been } \\ \text { corrected.) }\end{array} & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Staff Response } \\ \text { (City) }\end{array} \\ \text { Name } \\ \text { Affiliation }\end{array}\right]\)
\(\mathrm{t}: \backslash \mathrm{fy} 22 \backslash 600\) projects \(\backslash 685\) tip \(\backslash f y 2127\) tip \(\backslash\) amend \(\backslash\) amend9_1 1 public \(\backslash\) public_comments_verbatim.docx

\section*{Public Comments Received (Verbatim)}

\section*{For amendments to: Communities in Motion 20402.0 and}

FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program
Public Comment Period: January 3 through 17, 2022
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 18
Topic: Add a planning and environmental linkages (PEL) study in the City of Kuna for the realignment of the State Highway 69 (Meridian Road) and Kuna Road intersection and the extension of Meridian Road south to Kuna Mora Road, including overpasses over the Union Pacific Railroad and I ndian Creek.

\section*{The proposed amendments would also add a pavement preservation and Americans with Disability Act (ADA) improvements project in Ada County in the TIP.}

Outreach methods: 3 email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the
commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been
corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline Not only widening 55 in Canyon County but adding more freeway access in Canyon County will help make Middleton rd a 84 access point and even unstick to manny wrecks from people racing to get ahead on 55 at each stop light where it goes from one to two lanes and then back to one 55 should two lanes from tenth ave all the way to Nampa Caldwell Blvrd & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline First of all, thanks - again - for the opportunity to provide input regarding proposed road projects. I would strongly encourage avoiding those which seem to contradict re: benefits to be derived, specifically, additional roads/expanded roadways and the mitigation of problematic air quality. The additional flow (and uptick in speed) of traffic and generation of carbon monoxide is worrisome. What are the planners proposals for improving air quality, a persistent problem in the Treasure Valley, within the context of roadway extensions and expansions? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and the Ada County Highway District. \\
Regarding air quality planning, the Meridian Road extension is included in the Ada County Highway District's Capital Improvement Plan; therefore, the project is part of COMPASS' air quality conformity demonstration, which is a process to verify that planned transportation projects will not cause the region to exceed established air quality budgets. You can learn more about air quality conformity demonstrations at www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/aqdemo.htm.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{l}
Tom Trotter, PhD \\
University of Idaho
\end{tabular} & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline Comment
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the
commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been
corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code ( City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I support the propose amendments to add the following project to both CIM 20402.0 and the TIPs: \\
A planning and environmental linkages (PEL) study in the City of Kuna for the realignment of the State Highway 69 (Meridian Road) and Kuna Road intersection and the extension of Meridian Road south to Kuna Mora Road, including overpasses over the Union Pacific Railroad and Indian Creek. And to add a pavement preservation and Americans with Disability Act (ADA) improvements project in Ada County in the TIPs.
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and the Ada County Highway District. & 83646
(Meridian)
Walter M. Steed & Email \\
\hline I'm in favor of this Amendment Although the ADA project is not high on my priority list & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and the Ada County Highway District. & Mac & Email \\
\hline I think it would be a good thing to extend Meridian Road out passed Kuna. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Kuna. &  & Email \\
\hline My comment goes to the amendment for funding additional curb improvements in compliance with the ADA. If this includes the funding of additional orange plastic ramp curbs, it is a waste of money. A more sustainable installation is needed. My observation is that many of the ramps installed in the past quickly deteriorate and in their fractured condition become a hazard for all uses. I would suggest ACHD undertake an inventory and condition and life span analysis of the existing ramps before installing any more. Thanks for the opportunity to comment. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the Ada County Highway District. & 83707
(Boise)
Diane T.
Kushlan, FAICP
Kushlan
Associates & Email \\
\hline I support the proposed amendments. Kuna Mora Road needs to be a major belt route in the Treasure Valley just like I-215 in Salt Lake. Please do not squander the opportunity to preserve this belt route for Nampa, Caldwell, Kuna, and Boise. I have lived in the Treasure Valley for over 40 years. This needs to happen. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Bryan Palfreyman Palfreyman and Associates, PLLC & Email \\
\hline The only comment I would have is long as they do a thorough due diligence on the project and coincide it with the other projects at the same time to save taxpayer dollars instead of putting a project in and then tearing it up later when both could've been done during that particular timetable, I'd say it is a good project. Traveling that area often, I hope they can get it in sooner than later because of the traffic pressure currently in that area. It seems we are always really late with traffic needs and wait too long. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Kuna. & Chuck Stadick & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}

First of all, I 'm all in favor of growth...
However, the proposals would be ok IF, and I repeat IF there is some discussion on traffic movement on Meridian Rd (hwy 69) from Kuna to the Hwy 84 on-ramp.

Currently, with all the new housing construction off of Meridian Rd from Meridian to Kuna, the influx of additional vehicles both private and commercial on Hwy 69 will and have at least doubled the amount of vehicles on this hwy. With this increase, there is an increase in traffic collisions and pollution for the existing surrounding homes and businesses.
There needs to be additional ways to divert traffic from Hwy 69 to Interstate 84 in the form of more on/off ramps or parallel roadways alike Overland Rd.
With summer just around the corner the increase in traffic from Hwy 69 and Interstate 84 to the Waterpark will create additional vehicles to this area.
When looking at expansion, please keep in mind the traffic and those of us who have lived here for a very long time. As I said, I'm not against growth, but we need smart growth that doesn't decrease the livability of existing residents. We travel to Kuna regularly, although we almost always come in via Meridian Road. While we ourselves would not likely use this proposed extension often, we can definitely see its benefits. Since it takes years to get something like this accomplished we definitely support a study on it and hope it gets \(h\) a high enough priority to get approval and into the mix of approved projects. For now I see both the Lake Hazel and Amity projects as higher priorities.
\begin{tabular}{|l|c|c|}
\hline \multicolumn{1}{|c|}{ Staff Response } & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Zip Code \\
(City) \\
Name \\
Affiliation
\end{tabular} & Format \\
\begin{tabular}{l} 
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with \\
the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and \\
the Idaho Transportation Department.
\end{tabular} & \begin{tabular}{c} 
Ann Farace \\
Meridian \\
Homeowner in \\
Elk Run II
\end{tabular} & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I am absolutely in favor of a planning and environmental linkages (PEL) study in the City of Kuna for the realignment of the State Highway 69 (Meridian Road) and Kuna Road intersection and the extension of Meridian Road south to Kuna Mora Road, including overpasses over the Union Pacific Railroad and Indian Creek. \\
With significant growth taking place and planned for between Meridian and Kuna, and east on Kuna Mora road to Blacks Creek, this project is a must. A future Utah I-215 like corridor for this area is compelling. I-84 is becoming so congested west of the Boise airport to Caldwell. There is a need for a belt route to alleviate auto and truck traffic congestion now and into the future. Not to mention safety. There is significant capacity on I-84 east of Blacks Creek interchange. This concept has been discussed for years. I am glad to see that there is a least a start by addressing the transportation need south of Meridian to Kuna Mora Road. Thanks,
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & (Boise) David L. Palfreyman & Email \\
\hline I do feel this is very much needed. The other two crossings are overloaded and sometimes emergencies happen but emergency vehicles can not cross because of the train. I feel an overpass is very important to our community. Thank you & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Kuna. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline I disagree with the road going thru to Kuna mora. I don't agree with an overpass at all, I know we are the minority there. If an overpass is needed... why not just throught o king road. I don't understand the need to continue it to Kuna Mora Rd. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Kuna. \\
The study will mainly review extending Meridian Road to Kings Road but will also explore the option of extending Meridian Road to include a future connection to Kuna Mora Road to the south.
\end{tabular} & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
As a resident of Kuna with roots in Idaho going back over 100 years, who has lived or worked in many US states, Canada, and Europe, I am both delighted and dumbfounded at this proposal. \\
I am delighted that we are finally starting to think about the explosive growth Kuna is undergoing. I am dumbfounded that this was not planned and executed before the Kuna City Council entertained, let alone approved about 30 new developments. \\
I live near Ten Mile and Deer Flat and know how bad it can be to try to get to I-84 with our current traffic. The idea of owning a home south of Indian Creek seems sheer madness. The trip across Indian Creek and the tracks can be darn near impossible if the railroad has lots of traffic. I imagine that our ignorant transplants that have made that mistake rued the day they signed their purchase contracts. \\
Anything that can be done to improve traffic flow in Kuna, even something like this that will not personally benefit me, is welcome! \\
Now, how about we get light rail, like so many other places that I have lived or worked, on the agenda and run a spur down to Deer Flat and Meridian. It could be in the center lane and elevated. That would be a real improvement and something I would use...as long as there was also a station near the fairgrounds near work!
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, the I daho Transportation Department, and Valley Regional Transit. & Shawn J efferds & Emails \\
\hline I think that this like so many projects is way over due and would be a significant improvement \(t\) the Kuna community. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Kuna. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.) & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
1 - Make all of Victory Road 3 lane to 10 mile. \\
2- Build a bridge over water ditch from Amity to Cole to help with traffic. Make Amity a 3 lane or 5 lane street all the way to the airport. \\
3 - Make Lake Hazel a 5 lane from airport to 10 mile befor anymore congested ugly track homes are built! \\
4 - Double to amount of Street Buses in ADA County with more stops South of Victory and include Kuna. \\
5 - Start installing 6 ft Diameter Cement package pneumatic transfer tubes to be finalized in 2040. Two parallel tubes to be installed under all rebuilt roads in all of Ida County until 2040 when transfer pneumatic pressure will be activated with floor magnetic fields. \\
6 - Start installing 10ft diameter cement pneumatic tubes under all main Freeway reconstructed roads for all STATE MAIN HIGHWAYS to transmit materials, people and goods now transmitted on the freeways producing smoge and CO2. Each lane! This should PART be part of a NATIONAL \\
Transportation Program. Probably will never happen in our lifetime because of the hatred of and uncompromising Congress. \\
7 - Start building 4 new grade schools, 4 new Jr. HS and 2 new, very large new High Schools south of Cole and Lake Hazel. Also build the same kind of HS on Meridian Road to Kuna on the east side of the road past the Lineman Training Center. Ada County will have 50,000 more families living here in 5 years! If the County and City Elected officials sit on their hands and do nothing - they will wake up with 40 to 50 students in every classroom and no teachers. The STATE ELECTED OFFICIALS should also build a NEW TRAINING CENTER - " The Kuna Trianing Center for Teachers, \\
Electricians, Plumbers, Carpenters and Nurses South of Kuna. The land is cheep now and there is land to build low-income homes. Build now before its too late. The State Coffins have the money now - it may not be there in 10 years! America will need 800,000 trained people in these trades tomorrow. Colleges can not keep up and are too expensive for low and middle-income families. Bisnesses will also need to build low rent homes, on site, for low paid workers from here on. Wake up Abertsons !
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, Ada County Highway District, the Idaho Transportation Department, and Valley Regional Transit. & Lester A. Meade & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline We don't need an overpass in Kuna. It will only bring more traffic and more people and frankly, pardon my french but that is bull****! The natives of Kuna are tired of you people selling off our town and bringing in more cockroaches from out of state. Neighborhood crime is up, traffic is up, schools are more crowded, our farmland is being sold off to the highest bidder only to build crappy California style homes so close together you can hear your neighbor fart. These hoods will look just like that in a few years, a HOOD. As a fifthgeneration Idahoan whose own children will not be able to buy a home here, STOP RUI NING OUR STATE!!!! & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and the City of Kuna. & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline Thank you for arranging these improvements, especially ADA! & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, the City of Kuna, and the Ada County Highway District. & Sue Maben Community Member & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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\section*{Public Comments Received (Verbatim)}

\section*{For amendment to FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation I mprovement Program}

Public Comment Period: March 31 through April 14, 2022
Total number of comments received by COMPASS: 13
Topic: Add seven new public transportation projects.

Outreach methods: Two email blasts; legal notices placed in Idaho Statesman and Idaho Press Tribune; public comment information posted to COMPASS website and social media channels
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
I support all of these projects. Expanding affordable and useful transportation areas is very important to our state's infrastructure. \\
- Support transit administration, operations, and preventative maintenance (Treasure Valley Transit) in rural areas of southwest Idaho \\
-Support transit operations (Kuna Senior Center) \\
- Support preventive maintenance (Kuna Senior Center) \\
- Fund community transit services in rural Ada and Canyon Counties (Valley Regional Transit) \\
- Replace 12 vans serving rural areas (Ada County Highway District Commuteride) \\
- Replace three transit vans for use in rural areas of southwest Idaho (Treasure Valley Transit) \\
-Replace three compressed natural gas 25 -foot buses with three 35 -foot electric buses (Valley Regional Transit)
\end{tabular} & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Danielle Boyd & Email \\
\hline West of Boise area to airport express route would be nice. Currently, it will take \(2+\) hours to get to the airport so no one would use it. But if we have an option to park and ride an express bus to the airport, I think more people will take it. Thank you. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. & Naho Nakashima & Email \\
\hline I can support all of the items except \#4 and \#7. You know my feeling that we should not be spending money on VRT buses that have such a low ridership. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Mac & Email \\
\hline I am aware of the amendment and its proposed projects. I whole-heartedly support them. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Al Schneider District 3 PTAC Representative & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline I support all 7 as useful projects that benefit all of us. One concern is, has the past 5 years of building BOOM in all of SW Idaho changed where "rural" areas are? & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. \\
In response to your question, what is considered "urban" and "rural" is determined by the US Census. We expect to receive updated information from the 2020 Census in the summer 2022.
\end{tabular} & Anonymous & Email \\
\hline it all sounds good, please pass this. we need more transportation, more functional transportation and updates to help reduce pollution and traffic. make it better and more convenient for people and people will use it and it will make things better for all of us. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Patricia McDermott & Email \\
\hline We don't necessarily need electric busses. We just need more busses, more routes, more stops. We need ways to get people to work and activities that do not involve driving all thebtime. Seniors who can no longer drive, those without drives license like Youngs need to have reliable SAFE transportation. The Treasure Valley is getting so much growth that traffic is becoming a huge problem. We should make rhe developers pay in part for the needed transportation. Our long time citizens should not have to bear so much of the burden via increasing taxation. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and Valley Regional Transit. & (Nampa) Joy Lewis & Email \\
\hline I am in full support of the proposed amendment to add seven new public transportation projects to the FY2022-2028 TIP. I hope the board passes this amendment. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Deanna Smith & Email \\
\hline I am writing to voice my support for the proposed amendment to FY2022-2028 plans for transportation projects. They all address current needs and are forward looking. Thank you & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & 83703
(Boise)
Elaine Garris & Email \\
\hline I would like to know why Star has been overlooked in any of these road projects. This town is growing by leaps and bounds and the roads are not big enough and will never accommodate the influx of the building going on. We need widening of every road in Star right now. Just drive out here and you can see why it is impossible to drive anywhere without a longer transit time due to the large amount of cars and people. & \begin{tabular}{l}
Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. \\
In response to your question, funding is severely limited, and the City of Star competes for funding with other similarly sized jurisdictions across the state.
\end{tabular} & (Star)
Susan McCleery & Email \\
\hline Please consider the latest data to reduce light pollution in Idaho. Let us see our starry skies. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors. & Margo Szlanic, MSN, RN & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
\begin{tabular}{|c|c|c|c|}
\hline \begin{tabular}{l}
Comment \\
(The comments below are verbatim, as submitted by the commenter. As such, typographical errors have not been corrected.)
\end{tabular} & Staff Response & Zip Code (City) Name Affiliation & Format \\
\hline I was able to look at the proposed projects and looks great. I am really happy to see that improvements/additions will be considered for rural areas such of Wilder, Homedale and other small towns. I am really pleased to see that you are including the access for people with disabilities. It is very complicated for them to travel to places they like for medical and other necessities. THANK YOU! & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Griselda Camancho Aprendiendo Together, LLC & Email \\
\hline I think the proposal for the FY 2022-2028 TIP had items that need to be addressed and I approve of the proposal. & Thank you for your comments; they will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors, Valley Regional Transit, the Kuna Senior Center, Ada County Highway District, and the Idaho Transportation Department. & Elizabeth Vavricka & Email \\
\hline
\end{tabular}
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