

Roadway Project Scoring	Points	Max Points	Notes:
11th Avenue North and Ustick Road Signalized Intersection			
<b>CIM Score</b>			
CIM project score	13.28	26	On the Ustick Road Corridor (Unfunded, score 66.4)
<b>Performance Assessment:</b>			
<b>Safety - Maximum 40</b>			
Does the project address a known auto safety issue?	20	30	<b>HIN:</b> No <b>RSAP Emphasis:</b> High & Medium Priority Walkways: Tier 1, Lighting: Tier 4, Crosswalk Enhancement: Tier 4 <b>Auto Crashes: A1</b> <b>CMF</b> Clearinghouse: IDs: 325,7572,3092, 10559, 5711,11246,2375, resulting in average of 37.7% less crashes
Does the project address a known active transportation safety issue and improve safety for active transportation users?	20	30	<b>Bike/Ped Crashes</b> (within 0.25 m): <b>C1</b>
Does the project support the mode of the segment identified in the Complete Network Policy?	15	20	This question not included in the application used. Supports Modes: Auto, Active, Freight
<b>Total:</b>	<b>40</b>	<b>40</b>	
<b>Economic Vitality - Maximum 25</b>			
Does the project address a congestion issue using a non-capacity adding strategy?	0	10	Congestion: Low
Does the project improve a facility in "fair" or "poor" condition?	0	10	New segment
Does the project improve freight mobility?	5	5	Secondary Freight
<b>Total:</b>	<b>5</b>	<b>25</b>	
<b>Convenience - Maximum 25</b>			
Does the project improve connectivity to a regional activity center?	5	10	1.25 miles from activity center
Does the project improve auto and/or active and public transportation accessibility to key destinations?	0	8	Note only gets score if project is not in the range of a regional activity center.
Does the project address a gap in the network?	4	16	Addresses Active Transportation gap
<b>Total:</b>	<b>9</b>	<b>25</b>	
<b>Quality of Life - Maximum 15</b>			
Does the project benefit an underserved area?	7	10	Equity score: 6, 7, 8, 7 (med, med/low)
Does the project address potential environmental impacts?	5	5	Medium - Issues: Water_Wetland, , EnviroJustice_MinorityArea, Water_Floodzone_500, Water_Groundwater, SchoolParcels, Floodzone
<b>Total:</b>	<b>12</b>	<b>15</b>	
<b>Performance Total:</b>	<b>66</b>	<b>105</b>	
<b>Programming Assessment:</b>			
<b>Readiness and Support - Maximum 25</b>			
Is the project a priority to the sponsor agency?	0	10	9 out of 16
Does the sponsor agency provide match above the required minimum?	0	5	Only required match.
Is the project ready for Federal implementation?	1	10	PreConcept.
<b>Programming Total:</b>	<b>1</b>	<b>25</b>	
<b>Total Score:</b>	<b>80.28</b>	<b>156</b>	

## IV. APPLICATION SUPPLEMENTAL

---

FY2026-2032 COMPASS Application Guide

**Phase I – Page 1**  
**Phase II – Page 7**

**TUTORIAL VIDEOS:**

- How To Create a Successful Grant Application: <https://youtu.be/zKokWhBexJU>
- How To Fill Out the Phase I Application Form: <https://youtu.be/yOuSQTmz6oc>

### **2026 COMPASS Funding Application Phase I All Projects**

All applications must be submitted in Word format by email to [ssader@COMPASSidaho.org](mailto:ssader@COMPASSidaho.org). This phase of the application page limit is 10 pages. See last page for definitions of acronyms and link to Phase I Application Tutorial Video.

#### **DETAILS**

<b>Sponsor Name (agency):</b>	City of Nampa Public Works
<b>Main Agency Contact:</b>	Shelia Gibson, <a href="mailto:gibsons@cityofnampa.us">gibsons@cityofnampa.us</a> , 208-468-5467
<b>Project Title:</b>	Ustick Road and 11 <sup>th</sup> Avenue North, Signalized Intersection

#### **PROJECT DETAILS**

**Briefly describe your project:**

Reconstruct the intersection of Ustick Road and 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue North to include additional travel lanes, curb and gutter, landscape buffer, sidewalk/path and a traffic signal.

**Briefly describe the location of the project (include main segment and termini):**

The intersection of Ustick Road and 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue is considered a “collector”, with two lanes and a posted speed limit of 35 mph south of Ustick Road and 50 mph north of Ustick Road. This intersection is an all way stop control.

**Is the right-of-way for this project managed by the sponsor’s jurisdiction?** (e.g. is ROW in the jurisdiction of ITD, a highway district, a canal company, etc.)

- Yes
- No
- N/A

If not, a letter of support from the managing jurisdiction **is required** to ensure their involvement and approval prior to submission. Please explain:

**Does the managing jurisdiction own the right-of-way in the project area?** (Does additional ROW need to be purchased?)

- Yes
- No
- N/A

**Knowing what is in place before improvements are made will help COMPASS quantify any safety benefits that result from the improvements. Check all *existing* descriptions in your project area:**

- |   |   |   |  |
|---|---|---|--|
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 2 through lanes | <input type="checkbox"/> 3-Way Stop Intersection            | <input type="checkbox"/> Curb                     | <input type="checkbox"/> Barrier between Sidewalk/Road     |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 2 through/1TWLTL           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> 4-Way Stop Intersection | <input type="checkbox"/> Gutter                   | <input type="checkbox"/> Street Lighting                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 4 through lanes            | <input type="checkbox"/> 5-Way Stop Intersection            | <input type="checkbox"/> ADA Ramps                | <input type="checkbox"/> Bus Stop                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 4 through/1TWLTL           | <input type="checkbox"/> 3-Way Signaled                     | <input type="checkbox"/> PHB Crossing             | <input type="checkbox"/> Bus Pullout                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> 6 through lanes            | <input type="checkbox"/> 4-Way Signaled                     | <input type="checkbox"/> RFFB Crossing            | <input type="checkbox"/> Bus Lane                          |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Center Turn Lane           | <input type="checkbox"/> 5-Way Signaled                     | <input type="checkbox"/> LPI Leading Ped Interval | <input type="checkbox"/> Bus Shelter                       |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Left Turn Lane             | <input type="checkbox"/> Roundabout single lane             | <input type="checkbox"/> Bike Lane                | <input type="checkbox"/> Other:                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Intersection               | <input type="checkbox"/> Roundabout 2-lane                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Pathway                  | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Interchange                | <input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalk 3-4' width                | <input type="checkbox"/> Multi-Use Pathway        | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Free Running Right Turn    | <input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalk 5-6' width                | <input type="checkbox"/> Raised Median            | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bridge Fencing             | <input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalk 7-8' width                | <input type="checkbox"/> Bike/Ped Facility        | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Bridge Guardrail           | <input type="checkbox"/> Sidewalk 9-10' width               | <input type="checkbox"/> Roundabout 3-lane        | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |

Please describe, if necessary

**Check all *countermeasures* you plan to add:**

- |  |   |   |  |
|--|---|---|--|
| <input type="checkbox"/> Widen 2 to 3 lanes                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Convert Signaled to Roundabout   | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Mid-Street Crossing          | <input type="checkbox"/> Replace Bridge                    |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Widen 2 to 4 lanes                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Upgrade Stop Sign to Flashing    | <input type="checkbox"/> Add PHB Crossing                 | <input type="checkbox"/> Widen Shoulder                    |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Widen 2 to 5 lanes       | <input type="checkbox"/> Upgrade Signals                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Add RFFB Crossing                | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bus Stop                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Widen 3 to 5 lanes                  | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add ITS               | <input type="checkbox"/> Add LPI                          | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bus Pullout                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Widen 3 to 6-7 lanes                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add Street Lighting   | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bike Lane                    | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bus Lane                      |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Widen 4 to 5-7 lanes                | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add ADA Ramps         | <input type="checkbox"/> Add road/sidewalk Barrier        | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bus Shelter                   |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Add TWLTL                           | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add Curb & Gutter     | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add Bike/Ped Facility | <input type="checkbox"/> Other:                            |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Free Running Right Turn             | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Sidewalk 3-4' width          | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Raised Median                | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bridge Guardrails               | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Sidewalk 5-7' width          | <input type="checkbox"/> Sealcoat Road                    | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Add Bridge Fencing                  | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Sidewalk 8-10' width         | <input type="checkbox"/> Inlay & Millwork                 | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Convert Stop to Signaled | <input type="checkbox"/> Add Pathway 8-10' width          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Repaint Striping      | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |
| <input type="checkbox"/> Convert Stop to Roundabout          | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Add Multi-Use Pathway | <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Replace Signage       | <div style="border: 1px solid black; height: 15px;"></div> |

Please describe, if necessary

Convert two-lanes to five-lanes on the Ustick Road approach, two-lanes to four-lanes on the 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue northbound approach, and two-lanes to three-lanes on the southbound approach.

**Does the project include improvements to the public transportation system?**

- Yes
- No

If yes, a letter of support from the public transportation agency where the project is located **is required** to ensure its involvement, and approval is required before submission.

**PURPOSE AND NEED**

**Describe the project’s purpose and need in detail including why this project is important to your agency and to the region** (please reference *Communities in Motion 2050* goals and objectives as well as performance measures and targets):

A primary concern for the intersection improvement is safety. A fatal crash occurred at 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Ustick Road when a southbound through driver failed to stop and collided with the westbound driver. Even though the failure to stop could still be a factor, causing a crash, the area to deter or change course would be larger because of the added travel lanes.

**CIM2050 Goals** (check all that apply):

- Safety:**  Increases Safety  Increases Security  Supports Resiliency
- Economic Vitality:**  Promotes Economic Vitality  Promotes Freight  Preserves Infrastructure  Provides Reliability  
 Promotes Travel/Tourism  Manages Growth  Preserves Farmland
- Convenience:**  Increases Access/Mobility  Increases Connectivity  Reduces Congestion
- Quality of Life:**  Kind to Environment  Enhances Public Health  Preserves/Connects to Open Space  
 Promotes Affordable Housing  Provides Transportation Options  Benefits the Underserved

**FUNDING REQUEST / PROJECT TYPE**

**What type of funding are you applying for? (select all that apply)** If you’re unsure, contact COMPASS staff.

- Project Development Program (PDP)** – consultant cost of up to \$50,000
- CIM Implementation Grant Program** – reimbursement of up to \$50,000
- Federal Funds** – this option will require further information provided in Phase II
- Staff Assistance Only** – this option will remove the application from the priority ranking but include it in the Resource Development Plan for funding support.

**What type of project are you applying for? (select all that apply)**

- Capital/Construction:** Road / Bridge / Design / Signs, etc.
- Public Transportation:** Vehicles / Equipment / Maintenance / Operations
- Active Transportation:** Bicycle / Pedestrian
- Planning:** Plans / Studies / Education / Outreach
- Special Groups:** Youth / Seniors / Disabled / Underserved Area
- Technology / Data**

**Other**

If other, please describe:

**PROJECT BUDGET**

**Provide a total cost estimate and amount requested for the following project tasks or activities:** If you continue in the process for federal-aid funding, you will be required to provide a much more detailed budget in Phase II. If needed, costs may be adjusted at that time.  
Note: This amount may be adjusted later.

**Total Project Cost:**

5,561,000

**Amount Requested** (total cost minus any local match):

5,152,823

**Proposed local match** (amount):

408,177

**Proposed local match** (percentage):

7.34%

Please describe how you arrived at the cost estimates (previous similar project, design complete, etc.); and explain if additional local funds are available if the project cannot be fully funded:

The intersection for 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Ustick Road was part of the Six Mile Engineering Ustick Road Corridor Study. They provided countermeasure estimates for a traffic signal or a roundabout. The numbers above reflect a signalized intersection install.

**What is the source of the match?**

Local jurisdiction(s).

**Can the project be phased?** (segmented into sub-units; phasing does not include splitting out design from construction)

- Yes
- No

If yes, please indicate how your project can be phased and approximate costs of each phase:

**PARTNERS/SUPPORT**

**Are other jurisdictional agencies or partners involved in this project?**

- No
- Yes

The area of improvement is referenced in the 2019 Nampa Citywide Transportation Plan, as a dividing line between Canyon County and Ada County. Based on such, the corridor has potential for other funding partners including Nampa Highway District No. 1, and Canyon Highway District No. 4.

If yes, please list the jurisdictional agencies and other partners **and their role** in the project:

**Has any public involvement been conducted for this project?**

- No
- Yes

If yes, describe the results of those public involvement initiatives with a link to the project website, if applicable:

A survey was conducted by City of Nampa, Canyon Highway District Number 4, and Nampa Highway District Number 1. A total of 119 responses were collected.

**READINESS TO PROCEED**

**Has any work been completed on this project?** (Mark all phases that are complete)

- N/A
- Nothing is Complete
- Preliminary Design (concept) – 30% of the design
- Final Design
- Environmental Review
- Utilities
- Right-of-Way

Please explain, if necessary:

**If design has been started, does it meet federal standards?** Federal standards are described in the Local Public Agency Projects Guide within the Idaho Transportation Department's Manual.

- Yes
- No
- N/A

Please explain, if necessary:

**PLANNING DOCUMENTS**

**Is the project specifically listed in *Communities in Motion 2050*?**

- Yes
- No
- N/A

**Please provide the reference (long-term funded, unfunded, etc.):**

The intersection is included within the area of Ustick Road contained in the Communities in Motion 2020 Priority Roadway Projects – Local under the Unfunded section showing the widening from Midland Boulevard to Star Road (five lanes) at \$56,260,000, as estimated in 2022.

**Does this project conform to a local or regional plan?**

- Yes



December 12, 2024

Executive Director Matt Stoll  
Community Planning Association  
C/O City of Nampa  
700 NE 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Meridian, ID 83642

**Re: Ustick Road Improvements**

Dear Director Stoll,

I am writing to offer my full support for the City of Nampa's Ustick Road intersection improvements. These improvements include 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Ustick Road intersection, Prescott Lane and Ustick Road intersection, Franklin Boulevard and Ustick Road intersection, Madison Road and Ustick Road roundabout, and Northside Boulevard and Ustick Road roundabout to receive federal and local funding, along with any future supplemental funding pursuits.

I am excited to know that the project will expand community access while accommodating all transportation users, aligning with our shared transportation and accessibility goals by constructing new and improved multi-modal facilities between Ada and Canyon County. The city of Nampa will significantly improve access to all members of the community and will improve regional mobility and connectivity, aligning with our shared equity goals as identified in their 2040 Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan has designated Ustick Road for "Mixed-Use Development" which also aligns with this project through various factors, including the ones listed below:

- **Corridor Connection - Public:** Important for the movement of goods, services, and regional traffic.
- **Safety – Traffic:** Additional lanes of travel and landscape or gutter add barriers for non-motorists to enjoy a safer journey.
- **Mobility - Public:** Area is transitioning from agricultural to industrial and regional commercial with areas preserved for lower density residential.
- **Environment - Public:** Pathways for cyclist and pedestrians encourage health.
- **Industry Enhancement:** Share, promote, and integrate successful emerging technology deployment to other regional agencies and partners.

This project aligns with the Ustick Road Corridor Study completed in 2022 in partnership with City of Nampa, City of Caldwell, Nampa Highway District, and Highway District No. 4. Development of additional safety and capacity improvements for this corridor is a regional priority.

Please use this letter as proof of my support as needed. I would strongly urge funding programs to give the intersections along Ustick Road all due consideration.

Respectfully,

A handwritten signature in blue ink, appearing to read 'Chris Hopper', with a long horizontal flourish extending to the right.

Chris Hopper, P.E.  
District Engineer  
Highway District No. 4



# NAMPA HIGHWAY DISTRICT NO. 1

Commissioners: Dick Smith, Randy Noble, Bryce D. Millar

---

December 12, 2024

Executive Director Matt Stoll  
Community Planning Association  
C/O City of Nampa  
700 NE 2<sup>nd</sup> Street, Suite 200  
Meridian, ID 83642

Director Stoll,


The Nampa Highway District supports the City of Nampa in its efforts to improve the intersections along the Ustick Road corridor. These improvements include 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue and Ustick Road intersection, Prescott Lane and Ustick Road intersection, Franklin Boulevard and Ustick Road intersection, Madison Road and Ustick Road roundabout, and Northside Boulevard and Ustick Road roundabout to receive federal and local funding, along with any future supplemental funding pursuits.

Ustick Rd. is a vital corridor for the local transportation system and improvements to this corridor will expand community access while accommodating all transportation users. This project will align our shared transportation and accessibility goals by constructing new and improved multi-modal facilities between Ada and Canyon County. By making these improvements, the City of Nampa will significantly improve access to all members of the community and will improve regional mobility and connectivity as identified in their 2040 Comprehensive Plan. The Comprehensive Plan has designated Ustick Rd. for “Mixed-Use Development” which also aligns with this project through various factors, including the ones listed below:

- **Corridor Connection - Public:** Important for the movement of goods, services, and regional traffic.
- **Safety – Traffic:** Additional lanes of travel and landscape or gutter add barriers for non-motorists to enjoy a safer journey.
- **Mobility - Public:** Area is transitioning from agricultural to industrial and regional commercial with areas preserved for lower density residential.
- **Environment - Public:** Pathways for cyclist and pedestrians encourage health.
- **Industry Enhancement:** Share, promote, and integrate successful emerging technology deployment to other regional agencies and partners.

Please use this letter as proof of my support as needed. I would strongly urge funding programs to give the intersections along Ustick Road all due consideration.

Sincerely,



Nicolas J. Lehman, P.E.  
Nampa Highway District No. 1 Director



No

Please explain: (reference the plan(s) with title/link, provide approval dates and page reference)

The intersection is included in the length studied along the Ustick Road Corridor. The plan included widening Ustick Road and making improvements with walking/bike pathways and landscaping. Reference Ustick Road Corridor Study, 746 pages.

## **ATTACHMENTS:**

**Attach no more than two map/sketch pages** (if applicable).

**Attach required one-page support letters if the conditions below are applicable**

(otherwise optional).

- A support letter is required:
  - From the ROW jurisdiction if not within the sponsor's jurisdiction (e.g. ITD, highway district, or canal company)
  - From the land-use agency if the project is not the same as the highway jurisdiction (e.g. a city or county)
  - From the public transportation agency if the project includes improvements to public transportation operations/facilities and the sponsor does not have jurisdiction (e.g. VRT)

## **DEFINITIONS of ACRONYMS:**

ADA American Disabilities Act  
CIM Communities in Motion  
ITS Intelligent Transportation Systems  
LIP Leading Pedestrian Interval  
PHB Pedestrian Hybrid Beacon  
RFFB Rectangular Rapid-Flashing Beacons  
TWLTL Two-Way Left-Turn Lane

**PHASE I VIDEO TUTORIAL:** [View Tutorial here.](#)

# L2 Data Collection

L2DataCollection.com

Idaho (208) 860-7554 Utah (801) 413-2993

Study: SIX0075

Intersection: 11th Avenue / Ustick Road

City, State: Canyon County, Idaho

Control: Stop Sign


File Name : 11th Ave & Ustick Rd

Site Code : 00000000

Start Date : 12/14/2021


Page No : 7

Image 1



# Ustick Road Corridor Study

Design Year (2045) Queue Lengths and Level of Service



# 2025 COMPASS Funding Application Phase II

*The next sets of questions pertain to PRIMARY PROJECT TYPES (Planning, Roadway, Active Transportation, and Public Transportation).*

*Please fill out ONLY the section that pertains to your project (and delete the other sections).*

**The four project categories are below:**

Definitions:

**Planning Only** - Projects for which the primary result is a study, document, or planning product. This would include any plan, study, data acquisition, Planning and Environmental Linkages (PEL) study, or other process that is eligible for federal funds, but does not directly result in capital or maintenance expenses. Applications seeking design funds for a project that fits into one of the other categories would fit into that category.

Examples: County Transportation Plan, Americans Disabilities Act (ADA) Transition Plan, Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO) Plan, Freight Fluidity Study.

**Roadway** - Auto-oriented projects that improve, maintain, modify, or add vehicle travel lanes; modify roadway geometry or intersection design; add or modify intersection controls, and/or are used for roadway operations. Examples: Added travel lanes, added turning lanes, roadway resurfacing, roadway realignments, intersection improvements, signal control modifications, Transportation System Management and Operations (TSMO), and ITS improvements.

**Active Transportation** - Active mode user-oriented projects that improve, maintain, modify, or add active mode facilities without extensive impact\* on the roadway.

Examples: New or improved pathways, bikeways, or sidewalks; improved bike or pedestrian crossings; minor operational changes benefiting pedestrians (e.g., leading pedestrian signals); traffic calming; addressing ADA compliance issues; and/or adding permanent active mode data collection devices

\*"Extensive impact" to the roadway would include a change in the number of vehicle-travel lanes, but would exclude a reduction in lane widths to accommodate a pathway, for example).

**Public Transportation** - Projects that improve, maintain, replace, modify, or add facilities, equipment, technologies, or capital supporting public transportation and/or vanpool services.

Examples: Improving bus stops, replacing vehicles and equipment, maintaining facilities, adopting improved technology, or addressing ADA compliance issues within public transportation facilities.

All project applications must include the following attachments (not counted in the page limitation):

- ITD form 0414 – Sub-Awardee Reporting for the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [Tutorial Video](#)
- ITD form 1150 – Project Cost Summary Sheet [Tutorial Video](#)
- ITD form 2435 – Local Federal-Aid Project Request [Tutorial Video](#)
- COMPASS Form FA100 – Federal Requirements [Tutorial Video](#)
- Estimating Worksheet (must match form 1150 and 2435) [Tutorial Video](#)
  - Be sure to update Phase I cost information if change occurred since the submittal of Phase I

# 2024 COMPASS Funding Application

## Phase II

### ROADWAY PROJECT FOCUS

All applications must be submitted in Word format by email to [ssader@COMPASSidaho.org](mailto:ssader@COMPASSidaho.org). This phase of the application page limit is 8 pages. Refer to Scoring and Ranking Guide Resources for guidance and links (add link).

**Sponsor Name (agency):** City of Nampa Public Works

**Project Title:** Ustick Road and 11<sup>th</sup> Avenue North, Signalized Intersection

#### GENERAL

Select the functional classification of the roadway segment on the [2025 Federal Functional Classification Map](#). To qualify for federal aid, a roadway must be classified as a major collector or higher.

- Interstate
- Proposed Interstate
- Principal Arterial
- Proposed Principal Arterial
- Minor Arterial
- Proposed Minor Arterial
- Major Collector

#### SAFETY

**Does the project address a known auto safety issue?** Please explain and provide the data below: **Yes, the project adds travel lanes for motorists to ease congestion with commuters and freight traffic. RSAP Emphasis: High & Medium Priority Walkways: Tier 1, Lighting: Tier 4, Crosswalk Enhancement: Tier 4**

**Number of fatalities** (auto related): **0**

**Number of serious injuries** (auto related): **1**

**Explain how the project addresses the causes of crashes:** **The project will add lanes of travel allowing endangered motorists to potentially avert their vehicle, thereby avoiding a collision.**

**Does the project address a known active transportation safety issue?** Explain and provide the data below: **Yes, the project will install a dedicated path for pedestrians and cyclists encouraging them to enhance their life and their health by providing a safe space for their use. The project also adds travel lanes for motorists to ease congestion. The project will also create safe refuge for crossing at the intersection.**

**Number of fatalities** (active transportation related): **0**

**Number of serious injuries** (active transportation related): **0**

**Explain how the project addresses the causes of the fatalities and/or serious injuries:** By converting the intersection to a traffic signal and improving the area with additional travel lanes, plus sidewalks, active transport is encouraged. The widened road and new modifications will alert drivers to non-motorist persons in the throughway. Visibility will be enhanced with lighting, as well.

**Does the project improve safety for auto users?** Explain how the project would improve safety for auto users: **The project adds dedicated left turn lanes, allowing motorists to ease the flow of traffic. Included with the project is new striping paint, lighting, curb and gutter, and traffic signals. The upgrades identified will improve mobility through the county, improving access to activity zones, several parks, (Meriweather Park, North Franklin Park, Orah Brandt Park), and Ridgevue High School. This project will also add an alternate route for residents traveling between towns, like Star, Middleton, Nampa, and Meridian as a viable option for expedited rural travel.**

**Crash Modification Factor (CMF) most appropriate for this project:** **CMF Clearinghouse using IDs 325, 7572, 3092, 10559, 5711, 11246, 2375.**

**Expected percentage of crash reduction based on CMF and types of crashes included:** **37.7% less crashes. Crash type 1A.**

**Does the project improve safety for active transportation users?** Explain what standards the project used or will use in the design phase, and how the project would improve safety for active transportation users. **During the intersection upgrade, dedicated resources including a pathway, will be installed, encouraging physical activity through walking, biking, and jogging. The addition of these amenities will encourage active use with the installation of lighting, appropriate signage, and ADA compliant components.**

**CMF most appropriate for this project:** **CMF Clearinghouse using IDs 325, 7572, 3092, 10559, 5711, 11246, 2375.**

**Expected percentage of crash reduction based on CMF and types of crashes included:** **37.7% less crashes. Crash type 1A.**

## **ECONOMIC VITALITY**

**Does the project address a congestion issue using a non-capacity-adding strategy?** Explain how the project will address congestion and which strategy(ies) in the Congestion Management Process will be used: **No, however the Ustick Corridor, between Franklin Blvd and McDermott Road will be signalized. With the implementation of Nampa's Traffic Management Center, staff can coordinate signal timing to optimize traffic flow.**

**Based on the Congestion Management Annual Report, how congested is this corridor?**

- Highly Congested
- Moderately Congested
- Low Congestion/no data

**Based on the Congestion Management Annual Report, how reliable is this corridor?**

- Reliable
- Unreliable

**Does the project improve a facility in “fair” or “poor” condition?** (A facility is regarding pavement, bridge deck, bridge, pathway, sidewalk, etc.)

**New facilities being added: sidewalks/bike facilities.**

- Good
- Fair
- Poor
- N/A: New Segment

**Does the project improve freight mobility?**

- Yes
- No

Explain: **Since Ustick Road travels the length of multiple cities and two counties, the expansion of this road will enhance the mobility for those who utilize the thoroughfare. The addition of travel lanes, pathways, and ADA compliant ramps will be enhanced by the landscape and lighting provided along the corridor. Freight routes will not be confined to the interstate, nor will commuters. Additionally, sidepaths will encourage residents and enthusiasts alike to take a stroll while embracing a healthy alternative to driving which will decrease the carbon footprint, a common goal being set around the globe.**

**What type of freight corridor is the segment referred to in the COMPASS Complete Network Policy?**

- Primary Freight Corridor
- Secondary Freight Corridor

Explain, if necessary:

**CONVENIENCE**

**Does the project improve connectivity to a regional activity center as described in COMPASS Complete Network Policy?**

- Yes
- No

Explain how far the project is from a regional activity center if it is not within the bounds of an activity center: **The project is 1.25 miles from a regional activity center. It is one and a quarter mile of one restaurant, one hotel, and seven stores. It is within two miles of two event centers. Improving the connectivity through the intersection will allow better access to the described areas.**

**If the previous question is not applicable, does the project improve auto and/or active and public transportation accessibility to key destinations?**

- Yes
- No

Explain and provide a list of the destinations provided access and how far the project is from those destinations. Be sure to include all modes of transportation included in the project that have access benefits from the project:

**Does the project address a gap in the network?**

- Yes, in the roadway network by adding a missing segment or removing a bottleneck.
- Yes, by addressing a gap in the active transportation network.
- Yes, it includes improvements to public transportation facilities.
- No



Explain: **Ada County has funding to widen Ustick Road, which includes pathways. The CIMI and Nampa Comprehensive Plan both address widening and ADA additions which will be filling in gaps as the corridor for Ustick gets completed.**

## QUALITY OF LIFE

**Does the project benefit an underserved area** (as related to the COMPASS Equity Index)?

- Yes
- No

If the answer is no, but will still provide benefits to an underserved area, explain how:

**The Ustick Road area is primarily rural and has few conveniences for residents. However, the intersection of N 11<sup>th</sup> and Ustick Road is shown on the Complete Network Proposed Pathway as one of the gateways for an Urban Connector traveling up to intersect at Tenmile Creek and traveling north to Fivemile Creek.**

**Explain the benefit(s) the project will provide to an underserved area:**

**The signal and intersection upgrades for Ustick Road and N 11<sup>th</sup> will provide benefits for the underserved in this area because it will allow easier access to travel to an activity zone. While the interstate is less than 3 miles away, it is the closest proximity for access to city amenities including fast food, grocery stores, and gas stations. Centennial Golf Course is also in close proximity.**

**Does the project address any environmental impacts as listed in the COMPASS Environmental Review Map?**

- Yes
- No

Please list the impacts identified on the Environmental Review Map and explain how the project will address the impacts: **The project area shows environmental impacts at medium score with issues listed as: Water\_Wetland, EnviroJustice\_MinorityArea, Water\_Floodzone\_500, Water\_Groundwater, SchoolParcels, Floodzone. Improving the intersection will add infrastructure to help curb some of the environmental impacts shown.**

**If the COMPASS Environmental Review Map does not provide information for this project, provide supplemental documentation that shows the project addresses environmental impacts and provides references to where the information was obtained.**

## READINESS

**Is the project a priority to the sponsor agency?**

COMPASS staff will request all priorities of applications submitted after the deadline.

**This project is within the top ten of our priority requests, (number nine).**

**Does the partner agency provide match above the required minimum?**

Project amounts and proposed match are provided in the Phase I application. If the amount of request or match proposed is different than in Phase I, please revise Phase I.

**Only needs local match**

**Is the project ready for federal implementation?** (Mark all that apply)

- Pre-concept report complete or equivalent
- Preliminary design complete

- Environmental complete
- Final design complete
- Right-of-way plans complete (or not needed)
- Right-of-way acquired (or not needed)
- PS&E is ready

## **REQUIRED ATTACHMENTS**

All project applications must include the following attachments (not counted in the page limitation):

- ITD form 0414 – Sub-Awardee Reporting for the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act [Tutorial Video](#)
- ITD form 1150 – Project Cost Summary Sheet [Tutorial Video](#)
- ITD form 2435 – Local Federal-Aid Project Request [Tutorial Video](#)
- COMPASS Form FA100 – Federal Requirements [Tutorial Video](#)
- Estimating Worksheet (must match form 1150 and 2435) [Tutorial Video](#)
  - Be sure to update Phase I cost information if change occurred since the submittal of Phase I



# Sub-Awardee Reporting For The Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act (FFATA)

As required by the Federal Funding Accountability and Transparency Act ("Transparency Act" or "FFATA" per P.L. 109-282, as amended by section 6202(a) of P.L. 110-252; note 31 U.S.C. 6101), information on the first-tier sub-awards related to Federal contracts and grants, and the executive compensation of awardees and sub-awardees must be made publicly available beginning October 1, 2010. Federal agencies and prime awardees will report to ensure disclosure of Federal contract and grant sub-award and executive compensation data<sup>1</sup>.

The following information must be reported for prime awardees and sub-awardees<sup>2</sup>:

Sub-Awardee DUNS <sup>3</sup> 072959430 (UEI R6QNKZMEAHT4)	Sub-Awardee Name City of Nampa		
Address 411 3 <sup>rd</sup> St N.	City Nampa	State ID	Zip Code 83651

Names and total compensation of the five most highly compensated officers of the entity must be listed if:

- the entity in the preceding fiscal year received 80 percent or more of its annual gross and revenues in Federal awards; and
- the entity in the preceding fiscal year received \$25,000,000 or more in annual gross revenues from Federal awards; and
- the public does not have access to this information about the compensation of the senior executives of the entity through periodic reports filed under section 13(a) or 15(d) of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934 (15 U.S.C. §§ 78m(a), 78o(d)) or section 6104 of the Internal Revenue Code of 1986. See FFATA § 2(b)(1).

Name	Total Compensation <sup>4</sup>
1. n/a	
2.	
3.	
4.	
5.	
Explanation for exemption from listing above	

## Definitions and Authority

1. From Executive Office of the President, Office of Management and Budget, memorandum dated August 27, 2010.
2. A sub-awardee is a recipient of a sub-award. I.E., where ITD loses programmatic control or resident oversight; functioning only as a trustee of an obligation.
3. Unique identifier used is the sub-awardee's Dun & Bradstreet (D&B) DUNS Number. See OMB M-09-19 at 11.
4. "Total compensation" means the cash and noncash dollar value earned by the executives during the sub-recipient's past fiscal year of the following (for more information see 17 CFR 229.402(c)(2)): (i). Salary and bonus. (ii). Awards of stock, stock options, and stock appreciation rights. Use the dollar amount recognized for financial statement reporting purposes with respect to the fiscal year in accordance with FAS 123R. (iii). Earnings for services under non-equity incentive plans. Does not include group life, health, hospitalization or medical reimbursement plans that do not discriminate in favor of executives, and are available generally to all salaried employees. (iv). Change in pension value. This is the change in present value of defined benefit and actuarial pension plans. (v). Above-market earnings on deferred compensation which are not tax qualified. (vi). Other compensation. For example, severance, termination payments, value of life insurance paid on behalf of the employee, perquisites or property if the value for the executive exceeds \$10,000.

Completed By (Sub-Awardee's Printed Name) Crystal Craig, P.E.	Title Director of Transportation, Public Works	FFY 25
Signature 		Date 1/21/25



# Project Cost Summary Sheet

ITD 1150 (Rev. 06-17)  
itd.idaho.gov

Round Estimates to Nearest \$1,000

Key Number	Project Number	Date 1/16/2025	
Location Intersection of 11th Avenue N and Ustick Road		District 3	
Segment Code 4875	Begin Mile Post 8.27	End Mile Post	Length in Miles 0.25

	Previous ITD 1150	Initial or Revise To
1a. Preliminary Engineering (PE)		\$96,350
1b. Preliminary Engineering by Consultant (PEC)		\$321,165
2. Right-of-Way: Number of Parcels 5      Number of Relocations		\$2,585,000
3. Utility Adjustments: <input type="checkbox"/> Work <input type="checkbox"/> Materials <input type="checkbox"/> By State <input type="checkbox"/> By Others		
4. Earthwork		\$159,000
5. Drainage and Minor Structures		
6. Pavement and Base		\$540,000
7. Railroad Crossing: Grade/Separation Structure _____ At-Grade Signals <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input type="checkbox"/> No		
8. Bridges/Grade Separation Structures: <input type="checkbox"/> New Structure      Length/Width _____ Location _____ <input type="checkbox"/> Repair/Widening/Rehabilitation      Length/Width _____ Location _____		
9. Traffic Items (Delineators, Signing, Channelization, Lighting, and Signals)		\$427,000
10. Temporary Traffic Control (Sign, Pavement Markings, Flagging, and Traffic Separation)		\$111,000
11. Detours		
12. Landscaping		\$25,000
13. Mitigation Measures		
14. Other Items (Roadside Development, Guardrail, Fencing, Sidewalks, Curb and Gutter, C.S.S. Items)		\$235,000
15. Cost of Constructions (Items 3 through 14)		\$1,497,000
16. Mobilization 10 % of Item 15		\$150,000
17. Construction Engineer and Contingencies      55.3 % of Items 15 and 16		\$911,000
18. Total Construction Cost (15 + 16 + 17)		\$2,558,000
19. Total Project Cost ( 1 + 2 + 18)		\$5,561,000
20. Project Cost Per Mile	\$1,000	\$22,244,000
Prepared By: Tyler Flint		

# Local Federal-Aid Project Request



## Instructions

- Under Character of Proposed Work, mark appropriate boxes when work includes Bridge Approaches in addition to a Bridge.
- Attach a Vicinity Map showing the extent of the project limits.
- Attach an ITD 1150, Project Cost Summary Sheet.
- Signature of an appropriate local official is the only kind recognized.

**Note:** In Applying for a Federal-Aid Project, You are Agreeing to Follow all of the Federal Requirements Which Can Add Substantial Time and Costs to the Development of the Project.

Sponsor (City, County, Highway District, State/Federal Agency) City of Nampa			Date		
Project Title (Name of Street or Road) 11th & Ustick Traffic Signal		F.A. Route Number	Project Length 1/2 mile		Bridge Length
Project Limits (Local Landmarks at Each End of the Project) Intersection of 11 <sup>th</sup> Ave N and Ustick Rd					
Character of Proposed Work (Mark Appropriate Items)					
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Excavation	<input type="checkbox"/> Bicycle Facilities	<input type="checkbox"/> Utilities	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Sidewalk		
<input type="checkbox"/> Drainage	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Traffic Control	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Landscaping	<input type="checkbox"/> Seal Coat		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Base	<input type="checkbox"/> Bridge(s)	<input type="checkbox"/> Guardrail	<input type="checkbox"/>		
<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Bit. Surface	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Curb & Gutter	<input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Lighting			
Estimated Costs (Attach ITD 1150, Project Cost Summary Sheet)					
Preliminary Engineering (ITD 1150, Line 1)		\$ 417,515.00			
Right-of-Way (ITD 1150, Line 2)		\$ 2,585,000.00			
Construction (ITD 1150, Line 18)		\$ 2,558,000.00			
Preliminary Engineering By: <input type="checkbox"/> Sponsor Forces <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Consultant					
Checklist (Provide Names, Locations, and Type of Facilities)					
Railroad Crossing		N/A			
Within 2 miles of an Airport		N/A			
Parks (City, County, State or Federal)		N/A			
Environmentally Sensitive Areas		N/A			
Federal Lands (Indian, BLM, etc.)		N/A			
Historical Sites		N/A			
Schools		N/A			
Other		N/A			
Additional Right-of-Way Required: <input type="checkbox"/> None <input type="checkbox"/> Minor (1-3 Parcels) <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> Extensive (4 or More Parcels)					
Will any Person or Business be Displaced: <input type="checkbox"/> Yes <input checked="" type="checkbox"/> No <input type="checkbox"/> Possibly					

Standards	Existing	Proposed	Standards	Existing	Proposed
Number of Lanes	2	4-5	Roadway Width (Shoulder to Shoulder)	30 ft	36-62 ft
Pavement Type	HMA	HMA	Right-of-Way Width	50-80 ft	78-98 ft

Sponsor's Signature 	Title Public Works Transportation Director
-------------------------	---

### Additional Information to be Furnished by the District

Functional Classification	Terrain Type	20	ADT/DHV
---------------------------	--------------	----	---------

## UNDERSTANDING OF REQUIREMENTS FOR FEDERAL AID RECIPIENTS

Applicants should keep in mind that receipt of federal funds requires compliance with the following federal and state requirements (Note - this is not an exhaustive list):

1. **Equal Opportunity** requirements (non-discrimination) for construction contracts in excess of \$10,000 apply to a wide range of project elements, including contracting opportunities. A non-discrimination agreement must be signed as part of the award process, and records must be kept to show compliance. Disadvantaged Business Enterprise (DBE) requirements might apply.
2. Minimum wage requirements (**Davis-Bacon Act**) and anti-kickback requirements (**Copeland Act**) for construction contracts in excess of \$2,000, records must be kept to show compliance.
3. No use of federal funds for lobbying, for construction contracts in excess of \$100,000.
4. National Environmental Policy Act (**NEPA**).
  - a. The National Environmental Policy Act requires federal actions (including local transportation projects receiving federal aid) to be evaluated for potential impacts to the environment. Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) and the FHWA jointly conduct this review.
    - i. For major actions that significantly affect the quality of the human environment, an Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) must be prepared. This is a lengthy (and expensive) process that requires consideration of alternatives, analysis of impacts, and compliance with a series of public notice and comment periods.
    - ii. For projects in which the significance of the environmental impact is uncertain, an Environmental Assessment (EA) must be prepared. This document is more limited in scope than an EIS, and the procedure is not as lengthy. If it is determined, through the EA process, that there will not be significant impacts, a Finding of No Significant Impact (FONSI) is issued. If it is determined that there will be significant impacts, an EIS must be prepared.
    - iii. Most federal aid projects qualify for a "categorical exclusion," meaning that the project will not have a significant effect on the human environment. For these projects, neither an EIS nor an EA need be prepared. Federal regulations have identified several project types that typically receive a categorical exclusion (such as installation of utilities along a road; construction of bicycle and pedestrian paths; landscaping; installation of fences, signs, pavement markings and traffic signals, where no substantial land acquisition or traffic disruption would occur; alterations to facilities to make them accessible to elderly and handicapped persons; and other types of projects). Even though a proposed project might fall within an exclusion category, applicants must obtain clearance from ITD.
    - iv. Contact District Environmental Staff (listed at <http://itd.idaho.gov/enviro/District.Staff.htm>) for assistance with navigating the environmental review process.

5. Compliance with audit requirements:

- a. An entity expending \$500,000 or more in a year in combined Federal awards (including any funds received from Federal sources outside ITD: US federal contracts, subcontracts, loans, grants, subgrants, and/or cooperative agreements) requires an A-133 Single Audit or program-specific audit each fiscal year.
- b. An entity whose annual budget (from all sources) exceeds \$250,000 and expends any amount in a year in Federal awards are required to have a full and complete audit of financial statements each fiscal year.
- c. An entity whose annual budget (from all sources) exceeds \$100,000 but does not exceed \$250,000 and expends any amount in a year of Federal awards has a minimum requirement of a financial statements audit on a biennial basis. Biennial audits shall include an audit of each fiscal year since the previous audit.
- d. An entity whose annual budget (from all sources) exceeds \$50,000 but does not exceed \$100,000 and expends any amount in a year of Federal awards has a minimum requirement of a financial statements review on a biennial basis. Biennial review shall include a review of each fiscal year since the previous review.
- e. An entity whose annual budget (from all sources) does not exceed \$50,000 and expends any amount in a year of Federal awards has a minimum requirement of a financial statements review by ITD on a biennial basis. Biennial ITD reviews shall include a review of each fiscal year since the previous review.

6. Compliance with **Americans with Disabilities Act** requirements. This includes a compliance Self-Evaluation, and for agencies with 50 or more employees, an ADA Transition Plan. Transition Plans identify physical obstacles to accessibility, describe methods to make facilities accessible, specify a schedule for completion, identify a responsible official, estimate the cost of each modification, and record completion dates.

7. Compliance with U.S. Office of Management and Budget (OMB) circulars on allowable costs, as follows:

For the costs of a:	use the principles in:
State, Local or Indian Tribal Government	:12 CFR 225
Private, nonprofit organization other than an (1) institution of higher education, (2) hospital, or (3) organization named in 2 CFR 230 as not subject to that circular	2 CFR 230
Educational institution	:12 CFR 220
For-profit organization other than a hospital and an organization named in 2 CFR 230 as not subject to that circular	48 CFR Part 31, Contract Cost Principles and Procedures, or uniform cost accounting standards that comply with cost principles acceptable to the Federal agency.

8. Compliance with Federal Transit Administration, ITD, or Valley Regional Transit grant administration team reimbursement requirements. In most cases, recipients must request reimbursement of an expense within 60 days or the expense will not be reimbursed. ITD has up to 30 days to issue the reimbursement.
9. Compliance with minimum liability insurance requirements. Contractors must have comprehensive public and general liability insurance of at least \$500,000.00 per occurrence, and \$1,000,000.00 aggregate.

I, Crystal Craig, P.E., from City of Nampa (agency) have read the information above and understand the intent, and realize there are many other federal requirements to follow if this project is funded with federal funds. The information above is merely a summary of federal requirements for a federal-aid project. This project is proposed considering the federal requirements above.

Project Name: 11th Ave & Ustick Road Signalized Intersection

Signed: 

Dated: 1/21/25



# Project Estimating Worksheet

For Large Construction Projects

Proposed Funding Match Rates	<b>Local Rate</b>	<b>Federal Rate</b>
	7.34%	92.66%

Enter proposed match rate (currently assumed at required rate, but could be higher), updates made below automatically. Change the rate to 100% below if agency plans to cover the cost of a phase with local funds - such as design costs, utilities, or right-of-way costs.

Infrastructure Project (more than \$500,000)			Local Portion		Federal Portion		
Phase Code	Description (include amounts for federal-aid items only)	Percentages	Project Totals	Proposed Local Match Percentage	Local Cash Match	Proposed Federal Percentage	Federal Amount Requested
CN	<b>Preliminary Construction Estimate (PCE)</b> <i>(Enter the estimated cost of construction only)</i>		\$ 1,647,000	7.34%	\$120,890	92.66%	\$1,526,110
CN	<b>Construction Contingency</b> (Overruns, change orders, etc.) <i>(30% of PCE)</i>	30%	\$ 494,100	7.34%	\$36,267	92.66%	\$457,833
CE	<b>Construction Engineering (ITD)</b> <i>(standard rate: 0.5% of PCE + contingency)</i>	0.50%	\$ 10,706	7.34%	\$786	92.66%	\$9,920
CC	<b>Construction Engineering (Consultant)</b> <i>(standard 15% of PCE + contingency for roadway - if project is a bridge, increase to 20%. If project includes complexities, increase up to 32%)</i>	15%	\$ 321,165	7.34%	\$23,574	92.66%	\$297,591
CL	<b>Construction Engineering (LHTAC)</b> <i>(standard rate: 4% of PCE + contingency)</i>	4.00%	\$ 85,644	7.34%	\$6,286	92.66%	\$79,358
UT	<b>Utilities</b> <i>(amount for moving/improving utilities)</i>		\$ -	7.34%	\$0	92.66%	\$0
RW	<b>Right-of-Way</b> <i>(ITD assistance with land acquisition participation.) (This number depends on the number of parcels involved in the project. For up to 10 parcels, \$5,000. 10 to 20 parcels, \$10,000. More than 20 parcels, contact COMPASS staff.)</i>		\$ 5,000	7.34%	\$367	92.66%	\$4,633
LP	<b>Land Purchase</b> <i>(estimated amount for land purchase)</i>		\$ 2,580,000	7.34%	\$189,372	92.66%	\$2,390,628
PE	<b>Preliminary Engineering (ITD)</b> <i>(standard rate: 0.5% of PCE + contingency)</i>	0.50%	\$ 10,706	7.34%	\$786	92.66%	\$9,920
PC	<b>Preliminary Engineering (Consultant)</b> <i>(standard 15% of PCE + contingency for roadway - if project is a bridge, increase to 20%. If project includes complexities, increase up to 25%)</i>	15%	\$ 321,165	7.34%	\$23,574	92.66%	\$297,591
PL	<b>Preliminary Engineering (LHTAC)</b> <i>(standard rate: 4% of PCE + contingency)</i>	4.00%	\$ 85,644	7.34%	\$6,286	92.66%	\$79,358

<b>Total Project Estimate</b>	<b>Total Local Portion</b>	<b>Total Federal Portion</b>
<b>\$5,561,129</b>	<b>\$408,187</b>	<b>\$5,152,942</b>

Construction  
Right-of-Way  
Design

Did you remember to include Davis Bacon wages and consideration of all federal requirements?

Ustick & 11th

ITD Crash Summary		Crash
Total Crashes	14	100.00%
Intersection Related	13	92.86%
Distracted Driver Related	2	14.29%
Show all (10 more)	0	0%

Date & Time (Year)		Crash
2023	4	28.57%
2022	2	14.29%
2019	8	57.14%
Show all (14 more)	0	0%

Crash Severity (# of Crashes)		Crash
(O) Property Damage Report	11	78.57%
(A) Suspected Serious Injury	1	7.14%
(B) Suspected Minor/Visible Injury	1	7.14%
(C) Possible Injury/Complaint	1	7.14%
(K) Fatal Injury	0	0.00%

Injury Name		Person
No Apparent Injury	39	82.98%
Possible Injury	4	8.51%
Suspected Minor Injury	2	4.26%
Suspected Serious Injury	2	4.26%
Show all (2 more)	0	0%

Intersection Related		Crash
Yes	13	92.86%
No	1	7.14%

Most Harmful Event		Crash
Angle	10	71.43%
Angle Turning	2	14.29%
Head-On	1	7.14%
Rear-End	1	7.14%
Show all (60 more)	0	0%

Contributing Circumstances (All)		Crash
None	14	100.00%
Failed to Yield	9	64.29%
Failed to Obey Stop Sign	2	14.29%
Inattention	2	14.29%
Failed to Signal		7.14%
Following Too Close		7.14%
Show all (35 more)	0	0%

Operator Action		Crash
Going Straight	14	100.00%
Stopped in Traffic	2	14.29%
Turn Right	2	14.29%
Crossing at Intersection, No C		7.14%
Show all (52 more)	0	0%

Unit Type		Unit
Car	12	47.38%
Pickup	8	27.59%
SUV/Crossover	6	20.69%
Van - 1 to 8 seats	3	10.34%
Show all (26 more)	0	0%