
Communities in Motion 2040 Development Checklist

The Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) is the metropolitan planning organization (MPO) for Ada and Canyon Counties. COMPASS has developed this checklist as a tool for local governments to evaluate whether land developments are consistent with the goals of *Communities in Motion 2040* (CIM 2040), the regional long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties. CIM 2040 was developed through a collaborative approach with COMPASS member agencies and adopted by the COMPASS Board on **July 21, 2014**.

This checklist is not intended to be prescriptive, but rather a guidance document based on CIM 2040 goals, objectives, and performance measures. A checklist user guide is available here; and more information about the CIM 2040 goals can be found here; and information on the CIM 2040 Vision can be found here.



7`]W_'hc'Yb`Uf[Y'a Ud"

|) Foothills | | | | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
|) Rural | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Goal <u>2.3</u>) | | | | | | | | | |
| Neighborhood (Transportation Analysis Zone) Demographics | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |
| Jobs | | | | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | | | |

Yes O No O N/A The number of jobs and/or households in this development is consistent with jobs/households in the CIM 2040 Vision in this neighborhood. (Goal 2.1)

Area (Adjacent Transportation Analysis Zone) Demographics

| Existing | | Existing TAZs + Net Proposed | | 2040 Forecast | |
|------------|------|------------------------------|------|---------------|------|
| Households | Jobs | Households | Jobs | Households | Jobs |
| | | | | | |

O Yes O No O N/A The number of jobs and/or households in this development is consistent with jobs/households in the CIM 2040 Vision in this area. (Goal 2.1)



More information on COMPASS and *Communities* in *Motion 2040* can be found at:

www.compassidaho.org Email: info@compassidaho.org Telephone: (208) 475-2239



Communities in Motion 2040 Development Checklist

| Transportation O Attached O N/A O Yes O No O N/A Comments: | An Area of Influence Travel Demand Model Run is attached. There are relevant projects in the current Regional <u>Transportation</u> <u>Improvement Projects</u> (TIP) within one mile of the development. The proposal uses appropriate access management techniques as described | | | | | | |
|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|--|
| O les O NO O NA | in the <u>COMPASS Access Management Toolkit</u> . | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| OYes ONo ON/A | This proposal supports Valley Regional Transit's valleyconnect plan. See | | | | | | |
| | egional Transit Amenities Development Guidelines for additional detail. | | | | | | |
| Comments: | | | | | | | |
| provided on an separate we O Attached O N/A O Yes O No O N/A O Yes O No O N/A O Yes O No O N/A | vel of Service (LOS) scoring based on the proposed development will be orksheet (Goals 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4, 2.4): Complete Streets LOS scorecard is attached. The proposal maintains or improves current automobile LOS. The proposal maintains or improves current bicycle LOS. The proposal maintains or improves current pedestrian LOS. | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal maintains or improves current transit LOS. | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal is in an area with a <u>Walkscore</u> over 50. | | | | | | |
| Housing | | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal adds <u>compact housing</u> over seven residential units per acre. | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | (Goal 2.3) The proposal is a mixed-use development or in a mixed-use area. (Goal 3.1) | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal is in an area with lower transportation costs than the <u>regional</u> | | | | | | |
| | average of 26% of the median household income. (Goal 3.1) The proposal improves the jobs-housing balance by providing housing in employment-rich areas. (Goal 3.1) | | | | | | |
| Community Infrastructu | ro | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is infill development. (Goals 4.1, 4.2) | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is within or adjacent to city limits. (Goals 4.1, 4.2) | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is within a city area of impact. (Goals 4.1, 4.2) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Health O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal is within 1/4 mile of a transit stop. (Goal 5.1) | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is within 1/4 mile of a public school. (Goal 5.1) | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is within 1/4 mile of a grocery store. (Goal 5.1) | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is within 1 mile of a park and ride location. (Goal 5.1) | | | | | | |
| | · · · — | | | | | | |
| Economic Development | | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal improves the jobs-housing balance by providing employment in | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | housing-rich areas. (Goal 3.1) The proposal provides grocery stores or other retail options for neighborhoods within 1/2 mile. (Goal 6.1) | | | | | | |
| | | | | | | | |
| Open Space | The proposal is within a 1/4 mile of a public park. (Goal 7.1) | | | | | | |
| | The proposal provides at least 1 acre of parks for every 35 housing units. | | | | | | |
| - 100 C 110 C 117 A | (Goal 7.1) | | | | | | |
| Farmland | | | | | | | |
| | The proposal is outside "Prime Farmland" in the CIM 2040 Vision. (Goals 4.1, 8.2) | | | | | | |
| O Yes O No O N/A | The proposal is outside prime farmland. (Goal 8.2) | | | | | | |

Communities in Motion 2040 Complete Streets Scorecard

The purpose of this checklist is to provide a tool for local governments to evaluate whether land developments are in accordance with the goals of *Communities in Motion 2040* (CIM 2040). Complete Streets Level of Service (LOS) refers to the multimodal (automobile, bicycle, pedestrian, and transit) experience and grades a roadway (A-F) for each mode. COMPASS conducts Complete Streets Level of Service (CSLOS) analysis for developments on arterial roads.



| | Mode | Existing | With attached sidewalk | With detached pathway | | | |
|--|---------|----------|------------------------|-----------------------|--|--|--|
| | | Link LOS | Link LOS | Link LOS | | | |
| Star Road | Transit | F | F | F | | | |
| | Bike | D | D | В | | | |
| Franklin Road to Cherry Lane | Ped | E | D | С | | | |
| Highway Capacity Manual 2010 Methodologies | | | | | | | |

<u>Walkscore</u>: **O Car-Dependent.** Walkscore is a walkability index that assigns a numerical walkability on a scale from 0 - 100 based on walking routes to destinations such as grocery stores, schools, parks, restaurants, and retail. Scores of 50 or higher are considered at least "Somewhat Walkable" while scores less than 50 are "Car-Dependent."

Additional Comments:

The *City of Nampa Bicycle and Pedestrian Master Plan* indicates that this section of Star Road for a "Low Priority Sidewalk" and City Proposed Trail. An attached sidewalk would improve Pedestrian LOS from "E" to "D" and a separated or detached pathway would improve Pedestrian LOS to a "B." The detached pathway would also improve Bicycle LOS from an "E" to a "C."

More information on COMPASS and Communities in Motion 2040 can be found at:



www.compassidaho.org

