# REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE September 22, 2021-8:30 a.m. <br> COMPASS, 1st Floor Board Room <br> 700 NE 2nd Street, Meridian, Idaho <br> ZOOM CONFERENCE CALL 

Facebook Live Streaming - https://www.facebook.com/COMPASSIdaho

Committee members can participate in the meeting in-person or via Zoom conference call. The 1st floor Board room is open for in-person attendance, but has limited capacity. In-person attendees must maintain physical distance and should wear a mask at all times in the COMPASS building, if not fully vaccinated.

Please specify whether you plan to attend in-person or virtually when RSVPing to Hailey Townsend at htownsend@compassidaho.org or 208-475-2232.

Written comments may be submitted by email to info@compassidaho.org. Comments can also be left by voicemail. Please call 208-475-2232 to record comments. Commenters must provide their name for the record. Comments identified by name that are received by 10:00 am on September 22, 2021, will be provided to the Committee members and read into the record during the meeting.

## **AGENDA**

## I. CALL TO ORDER (8:30)

## II. OPEN DISCUSSION/ANNOUNCEMENTS

## III. CONSENT AGENDA

Page 3 *A. Approve August 25, 2021, RTAC Meeting Minutes
Page 6 *B. Recommend Support of Priorities for Rural Projects

## IV. ACTION ITEM

8:55

9:10
Page 22
*A. Recommend FY2022 Resource Development Plan Destinie Hart will seek RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of the FY2022 Resource Development Plan.
*B. Recommend Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) Performance Measures and Targets
Carl Miller will seek a RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of CIM 2050 performance measures and targets.

|  | in | Toni Tisdale |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Page 28 | Motion 2040 2.0 (CIM 2040 2.0), Amending the FY2021-2027 and Approving the Draft FY2022-2028 Regional Transportatio Program (TIP) and Associated Air Quality Conformity Demon Toni Tisdale will seek RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of of resolutions amending CIM 2040 2.0, amending the FY2021-2027 the FY2022-2028 TIP project list and associated air quality conformity | rovement rs' adoption approving nstration. |
|  | *D. Recommend CIM 2050 COMPASS Funding Policy | Toni Tisdale |
| Page 40 | Toni Tisdale will seek RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of the CIM 2050 Funding Policy. |  |

V. INFORMATION/DISCUSSION ITEMS
9:55 *A. Ada County Highway District (ACHD) Livable Street Performance Measures
Ryan Head will review the ACHD's Livable Street performance measures and targets.
10:10 B. Travel Survey Data Study Progress Update Mary Ann Waldinger
Mary Ann Waldinger will provide an update on the progress of the household travel survey effort.10:20 *C. Approach to CIM 2050 Needs Identification Mary Ann WaldingerPage 60Mary Ann Waldinger and Mitch Skiles will review the approach toidentifying CIM 2050 transportation network needs.
VI. STATUS REPORTS (INFORMATION ONLY)
Page 61 *A. RTAC Agenda Worksheet
Page 65 *B. Obligation Report
VII. OTHER:Next Meeting: November 17, 2021, RTAC Meeting
VIII. ADJOURNMENT (10:35)
*Enclosures Times are approximate. Agenda is subject to change.

# Working together to plan for the future 

REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION ADVISORY COMMITTEE AUGUST 25, 2021 ZOOM CONFERENCE CALL

## **MINUTES**

## ATTENDEES:

Drew Alexander, Boise State University, via telephone
Rodney Ashby, City of Nampa, via telephone
Nichoel Baird Spencer, City of Eagle, via telephone
Jeff Barnes, City of Nampa, via telephone
Lee Belt, City of Greenleaf, via telephone
Clair Bowman, City of Nampa, via telephone
Bre Brush, City of Boise, via telephone
Jared Hale for AI Christy, City of Meridian, via telephone
Caleb Hood, City of Meridian, via telephone, via telephone
Lara Disney, Public Participation Workgroup, via telephone
Tom Ferch, Ada County Highway District, via telephone
Steve Fultz, Canyon County Development Services, via telephone
Karen Gallagher, City of Boise, via telephone
Ryan Head, Ada County Highway District, via telephone
Jace Hellman, City of Kuna, via telephone
Stephen Hunt, Valley Regional Transit, via telephone Liisa Itkonen, COMPASS, Ex. Officio, via telephone
Tom Laws, Ada County Highway District, via telephone
Dan Lister, Canyon County Development Services, via telephone Brent Moore, Ada County Development Services, Vice Chair, via telephone
Shawn Nickel, City of Star, via telephone
Lenny Riccio, Canyon Highway District No. 4, Chair, via telephone Michael Toole, Department of Environmental Quality, via telephone Bob Watkins, Golden Gate Highway District, via telephone
Stacey Yarrington, Ada County Development Services, via telephone
MEMBERS ABSENT:
Aaron Bauges, Idaho Transportation Department Becky Crofts, City of Middleton
Kate Dahl, Canyon County Development Services
Rob Howarth, Central District Health, Ex. Officio
Angie Lee, City of Parma
Angela Lively, City of Caldwell
Robb MacDonald, City of Caldwell
Brian McClure, City of Meridian
Darrell Romine, City of Melba
Jenah Thornborrow, City of Garden City
Bill Vaughan, City of Eagle

OTHERS PRESENT: Cecilia Arritola, Idaho Transportation Department, via telephone
Miranda Carson, City of Meridian, via telephone
Braden Cervetti, COMPASS, via telephone
Tevrin Fuller, COMPASS, via telephone
Amy Luft, COMPASS, via telephone
Jeremy Maxand, Living Independence Network, via telephone Matt Stoll, COMPASS, in person
Toni Tisdale, COMPASS, via telephone
Hailey Townsend, COMPASS, in person

## CALL TO ORDER:

Chair Lenny Riccio called the meeting to order at 8:34 a.m.

## OPEN DISCUSSION/ANNOUNCEMENTS

Liisa Itkonen announced that the COMPASS Leadership in Motion awards are open for nominations through noon, October 1, 2021, with a new award category recognizing "Leadership in Development." Stephen Hunt announced that Valley Regional Transit has launched the Engage Valley Regional Transit platform (engage.valleyregionaltransit.org) to solicit public input on projects in Ada and Canyon Counties. Jeff Barnes announced that the City of Nampa will host an open house on Thursday, August 26, 2021, to provide information and solicit feedback on the State Highway 45 alignment project.

## CONSENT AGENDA

## A. Approve July 28, 2021, RTAC Meeting Minutes

## Brent Moore moved and Nichoel Baird Spencer seconded approval of the Consent

 Agenda as presented. Motion passed unanimously.
## SPECIAL ITEM

## A. ADA Parking Presentation

Jeremy Maxand from Living Independence Network discussed issues and opportunities regarding accessible parking.

## ACTION ITEMS

## A. Request RTAC Subcommittee for Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) and FY2024-2030 Application Guide Project Scoring Processes

Toni Tisdale requested volunteers to form a subcommittee to recommend scoring criteria for project selection for CIM 2050 and the FY2024-2030 Application Guide.

After discussion, the following members volunteered for the RTAC subcommittee to recommend scoring criteria for project selection CIM 2050 and the FY2024-2030 Application Guide:

- Brent Moore
- Ryan Head
- Karen Gallagher
- Clair Bowman
- Stephen Hunt
- Jeff Barnes
- Nichoel Baird-Spencer

Toni Tisdale specified that if additional members wish to join the subcommittee, they can contact her to be added.

## B. Reconsider Recommended CIM 2050 Funding Policy

Toni Tisdale requested that RTAC confirm the recommendation of the CIM 2050 funding policy made in the July 28,2021, RTAC meeting or direct the subcommittee to revisit the policy.

After discussion, Jeff Barnes moved and Nichoel Baird-Spencer seconded to rescind the previous recommendation, reconvene the subcommittee, and bring the revised item to the September 22, 2021, RTAC meeting for recommendation. Motion passed unanimously.

## INFORMATION/DISCUSSION ITEMS

## A. Review CIM 2050 Pathways Prioritization Process

Braden Cervetti reviewed the CIM 2050 pathways prioritization process and requested feedback.

Next Meeting: September 22, 2021

## ADJOURNMENT

Stephen Hunt moved and Clair Bowman seconded adjournment at 9:53 a.m. Motion passed unanimously.

## Working together to plan for the future

# RTAC AGENDA ITEM III-B 

Date: September 22, 2021

## Topic: Priorities for Rural Projects

## Request/Recommendation:

COMPASS staff seeks an RTAC recommendation of COMPASS Board of Directors' adoption of Resolution Xa-2022 (Attachment 1) supporting priorities for applications in rural areas through the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC), as recommended by the Rural Prioritization Workgroup on August 5, 2021 (signatures are still being collected).

## Background/Summary:

On August 20, 2012, the COMPASS Board of Directors approved a process for rural project prioritization. The process states that all rural roadway jurisdictions in Ada and Canyon Counties shall meet annually on a voluntary basis to recommend regional rural project priorities. Starting in 2015, the Rural Prioritization Workgroup was tasked to perform these duties.

The Rural Prioritization Workgroup held a hybrid meeting (in-person and virtually) on August 5, 2021, and recommended the following rural regional priorities for projects managed by LHTAC:

Local Rural Highway Investment Program - Construction

1. City of Notus: Widen Notus Road
2. City of Melba: Rebuild Carrie Rex Avenue, $4^{\text {th }}$ Street to Base Line Road, including sidewalk improvements

Local Rural Highway Investment Program - Planning

1. Golden Gate Highway District
2. City of Wilder

## Implication (policy and/or financial):

COMPASS Board of Directors' adoption of these priorities provides the regional support needed to help make these applications successful by allowing additional points in the LHTAC prioritization process for regional involvement and prioritization.

## More Information:

1) Attachment 1 - Resolution Xa-2022
2) For detailed information contact: Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner, at ttisdale@compassidaho.org.

TT: T:\FY21\600 Projects\685 TIP\FY2228TIP\Rural\210922mmoRTACrural.docx

# Working together to plan for the future 

## RESOLUTION NO. Xa-2022 <br> FOR THE PURPOSE OF SUPPORTING RURAL PROJECT PRIORITIES IN ADA AND CANYON COUNTIES

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho was designated by the Governor of Idaho as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the COMPASS Board of Directors' recognizes the need to prioritize rural project applications in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the Local Highway Technical Assistance Council desires regional coordination prior to agencies submitting rural transportation applications;

WHEREAS, representatives of rural transportation jurisdictions in Ada and Canyon Counties met on August 5, 2021, to determine the highest priority rural projects in the region; and

WHEREAS, representatives of each rural transportation jurisdiction in Ada and Canyon Counties signed the attached rural prioritization recommendations, dated August 5, 2021, as support for these projects and the priorities.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors' supports the rural priorities for Ada and Canyon Counties.

DATED this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2021.

## APPROVED:

## By: <br> Garret Nancolas, Chair Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors

## ATTEST:

# By: <br> Matthew J. Stoll, Executive Director Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho 

Recommendations from the Nintin Annual Rural Proritzation Work Group

August 5, 2021
A rural profect prioritization process was agreed to by all the puręl roadway jurlsdictions In Ada and Canyon Countles and approved by the COMPASS Board on August 20, 2012. The process provides reglanal priorities for the Local Highway Technical Assistance Councll's (LHTAC) rural project application process. Reglonal priorties allow additional points through LHTAC's scoring process.

Participation in the rural priorlitization process is voluntary; and these priorltes were established by agreement between those who attended the work group meeting and supported by those who did not attend.

The Tenth Annual Rural Prloritization Work Group meeting was held as a hybrid mesting (in-person and virtual) on August 5, 2021. After negotiations, the following priorfites are recommended for consideration in the LHTAC application process:
Local Rural Highway Investment Pragram - Construction

1. Clty of Notus
a. Street widening on Notus Road
2. City of Melba
a. Rebulld Carrle Rex Avenue, $4^{\text {th }}$ Street to Base Line Road, Including sldewalk Improvements

Local Rural Highway Investment Program - Planning

1. Golden Gate Highway District
2. Clty of Wilder

The following agencles support and recommend the priorlites and projects, as


[^0]
# RTAC AGENDA ITEM IV-A <br> Date: September 22, 2021 

## Topic: FY2022 Resource Development Plan

## Request/Recommendation:

COMPASS staff seeks RTAC recommendation of COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of FY2022 Resource Development Plan (attached).

## Background/Summary:

The COMPASS Bylaws specify that one of the Board of Directors' primary responsibilities is to "approve the annual development plan which identifies: a) grants and other funding sources for COMPASS; and b) resource development activities which will be pursued by COMPASS staff."

COMPASS staff began soliciting FY2022 funding applications in October 2020. Phase I applications were due December 7, 2020. Phase II applications were due January 19, 2021. A second opportunity to submit Phase I applications for local funding programs was offered in the spring; those applications were due May 4, 2021. These applications form the basis for the Resource Development Plan, which guides staff resource development efforts throughout the year. To ensure the plan has the flexibility to allow staff to accommodate varied requests for assistance, the plan includes major focus areas that encompass each agency's needs.

Applications were ranked by RTAC based on funding source eligibility, using a paired comparison process, and were recommended for funding based on the ranked order. However, as needs far exceed resources, many projects remain unfunded or partially funded. Resource Development staff will focus their efforts on seeking funding for those projects that were NOT fully programmed for funding, as well as for projects that fall within the major focus areas for each agency.

COMPASS staff efforts will include:

- Finding and sharing grant opportunities
- Matching projects with funding sources
- Determining funding eligibility and interpreting guidelines
- Reviewing grants prepared by members prior to submittal
- Writing grants
- Managing grants
- Generating letters of support


## Implications (policy and/or financial):

This plan furthers the goals of Communities in Motion 20402.0 by increasing opportunities for funding local projects that help meet regional priorities.

## More Information:

1) Attachment: Draft FY2022 Resource Development Plan
2) For detailed information, contact: Destinie Hart - Principal Planner, Resource Development at dhart@compassidaho.org.

## COMPASS Resource Development Plan FY2022



The intent of COMPASS' resource development efforts is to increase the amount of outside funding being invested in the Treasure Valley to implement the regional long-range transportation plan, Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0). The Resource Development Plan describes member agency and COMPASS needs that will be the focus of COMPASS' efforts to obtain additional funding. It is generated annually to provide transparency and obtain COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of funding pursuits for the year.

This plan includes projects submitted for FY2022-2028 through Apply software in response to COMPASS' annual "Call for Projects," as well as general funding focus areas specified by each COMPASS member. Members who request resource development assistance for projects not included or referenced in this plan will be required to submit a written request to the COMPASS Executive Director for approval prior to receiving resource development assistance from COMPASS staff.

To further the implementation of CIM 2040 2.0, COMPASS staff will conduct grant research, maintain a project needs database, refer funding sources to member agencies, provide technical assistance to secure grants, and write or administer grants directly for projects or focus areas referenced in the plan.

COMPASS resource development staff efforts will be dedicated to projects in the following order:

1. Programmed projects that need additional funding due to partial funding in previous years or increased costs based on new estimates
2. Prioritized unfunded needs included in CIM 20402.0
3. Projects that have gone through the COMPASS Project Development Program
4. Projects that fall within each member's focus areas, including those submitted through the COMPASS application process via Apply

Also included in this plan are COMPASS projects needing supplementary funding. Any matching funds required during the current year for funding awarded for COMPASS projects must be approved by the COMPASS Board of Directors prior to acceptance of the award. Match for future years will be addressed through the annual budget process or through Board of Directors approval, depending on the timing of acceptance.

The Resource Development Plan is organized into two sections:
(A) Projects (Page 2)
(B) Funding Sources (Page 9)

## A. Projects

COMPASS staff meet at least annually with members to discuss project needs and COMPASS services. From those and subsequent discussions, resulting in COMPASS funding applications received, the following unfunded needs were identified. Please note that in the tables below, the "Requested" column shows only the
 dollar amount requested, not the total project cost. Definitions and explanations regarding the funding sources/abbreviations listed in the "Requested" column can be found in Part (B) of this document: Funding Sources.

## * ADA COUNTY

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: greenbelt connectivity and improvements; planning for roadways, trails, and transit; fiscal impacts; and new facility locations. No projects submitted.

## * ADA COUNTY HIGHWAY DISTRICT (ACHD)

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: roadway improvements, pavement management, congestion management and relief, safety, Americans with Disabilities Act compliance, air quality, stormwater, Commuteride vehicles, and staff training. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Five Mile Road Overpass <br> and Roadway Expansion <br> Project | Complete full project development, per <br> the National Environmental Policy Act <br> (NEPA), of the Five Mile Road Overpass <br> and Roadway Expansion Project. ACHD <br> and the Idaho Transportation Department <br> (ITD) are co-sponsoring the project. | Partially funded <br> STBG-TMA |
| ACHD Commuteride <br> Safety and Security <br> Cameras | Purchase Security cameras for ACHD park <br> and ride lots and Commuteride vans. The <br> project will improve safety, asset <br> management, data collection, and <br> promote usage of vanpools and park and <br> ride lots. (Locations: Ballantyne, <br> Riverside, County Terrace, and Rackham <br> Road). | Partially funded <br> STBG-TMA |

## * BOISE STATE UNIVERSITY

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bicycle/pedestrian improvements, multi-modal corridors, and rolling stock and facilities for the Bronco Shuttle. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Bicycle and Pedestrian <br> Counters | Purchase pedestrian and bicycle counters <br> to assist with facility planning and raise <br> awareness of alternative modes of <br> transportation. | $\$ 20,848$ |
| Greenbelt Completion - <br> Phase 2 | Finalize Greenbelt improvements between <br> the West Stadium Parking Lot and <br> Broadway Avenue. Twelve-foot pedestrian <br> path and landscape separation from Cesar <br> Chavez lane. | Partially funded <br> STBG-TMA |
| University Drive: <br> Roadway Safety <br> Improvements | Complete phase 1 of comprehensive <br> roadway safety upgrades to University <br> Drive. The project includes infrastructure <br> improvements for pedestrians, bicyclists, <br> transit operations, and motorists. | $\$ 926,600$ |

## * CANYON COUNTY

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: planning (with an emphasis on agricultural lands) and public involvement. No projects submitted.

## * CANYON HIGHWAY DISTRICT \#4

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: planning, pavement management, safety, Plymouth Street Bridge, and capacity improvements. No projects submitted.

## * CITY OF BOISE

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: trail development plans, smart corridors, economic impact of bicycle/pedestrian infrastructure, bicycle/pedestrian improvements, driver/rider education campaigns, modeling (specific area growth projections), transit improvements, Rail with Trail support, and airport improvements. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| I-184 Liberty Street <br> Bike/Pedestrian Bridge | Design bike and pedestrian bridge over <br> the I-184 Connector on the Liberty Street <br> alignment. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Bike Counter with Digital <br> Display | Procure and install permanent bike <br> counter with highly visible, digital display <br> of real-time bike counts on Capitol <br> Boulevard's parking-protected bike lane <br> between Front Street and Bannock <br> Street. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Federal Way/Broadway <br> Multi-Use Pathway | Construct Federal Way and Broadway <br> Avenue Multi-Use Pathway. | $\$ 1,293,114$ |


| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Garden Street Multi-Use <br> Pathway, Cassia Park to <br> Albion | Construct a multi-use pathway to to <br> provide a critical link in the Boise Central <br> Bench to seven schools, Cassia Park, and <br> the ACHD Garden Street and Cassia <br> Street Bikeways. | Partially funded <br> STBG-TMA |
| Eagle Road Multi-Use <br> Path, McMillan Road to <br> Bristol Heights (Phase 2 <br> of Seg 18)Construct Eagle Road (State Highway 55) <br> Multi-Use Pathway, McMillan Road to <br> Bristol Heights. | $\$ 737,360$ |  |

## * CITY OF CALDWELL

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bicycle/pedestrian improvements to roadways and pathways, intersection improvements, and alternative fuel infrastructure. No projects submitted.

## * CITY OF EAGLE

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bicycle/pedestrian access across state highways, downtown circulation and redevelopment, bicycle/pedestrian bridge over the North Channel of the Boise River, and greenbelt/park connectivity. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Grade-Separated Bicycle <br> and Pedestrian Crossing <br> of State Highway 44-- | Plan for Phase 2 of a grade-separated <br> ped/bike crossing of State Highway 44 <br> west of Eagle Road (State Highway 55) <br> Phase 2 | including selecting a preferred alternative, <br> formalizing location, and developing a <br> cost estimate for engineering and design. |

## * CITY OF GARDEN CITY

Focus areas: bicycle/pedestrian infrastructure, parks, open space preservation for flood capacity, greenbelt or roads as levees. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| $52^{\text {nd }}$ Street Pedestrian <br> Bridge | Connect existing pathways on Plantation <br> Island to the southside Greenbelt, <br> addressing user safety, path connectivity, <br> and emergency personnel access. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Chinden Drainage and <br> Design Plan: Branstetter <br> to Fairview Avenue | Create a Chinden redevelopment plan <br> fromBranstetter to Fairview Avenue, <br> including a drainage plan, cross sections, <br> project list, and public involvement plan. | Funded STBG-TMA |

## * CITY OF GREENLEAF

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: fiscal impact tool assistance, road surface, signs, safety improvements, bicycle/pedestrian improvements, and planning/map assistance. No projects submitted.

## * CITY OF KUNA

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: downtown revitalization, parking, and greenbelt pathways; railroad overpass; planning study on frontage/backage roads; and quality of life improvements. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| ADA (Americans with <br> Disabilities Act) Sidewalk <br> Connector Between <br> Downtown Main Street <br> and Kuna Senior Center | Construct a 180-foot ADA accessible <br> sidewalk connector with curb and gutter <br> by an ACHD approved contractor; <br> connecting a major activity center and <br> Kuna's downtown Main Street. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Kuna Public <br> Transportation - CIP and <br> Operation Plan | Gather public and stakeholder input and <br> develop a public transportation plan for <br> Kuna with funding levels needed for <br> capital investment and operations and <br> identification of local and regional <br> partners. | $\$ 125,000$ |
| Kuna's 4th Street <br> Improvements Final <br> Design | Develop a preferred alternative, set of <br> preliminary/final design plans, and <br> estimates to determine a construction <br> package for revitalization along Kuna's <br> 4th Street from N Linder Avenue to N <br> School Avenue. | $\$ 500,000$ |

## * CITY OF MELBA

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bicycle/pedestrian improvements, road and park signs, downtown vitalization, senior center pathway, roadway improvements. No projects submitted.

## * CITY OF MERIDIAN

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bicycle and pedestrian infrastructure and safety improvements. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Linder Road Overpass | Complete preliminary design for a Linder <br> Road overpass. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| E 2 |  |  |
| Broadway St Placemaking - | Plan for placemaking along 2nd Street. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| N. Eagle Road Street <br> Lighting | Design and install continuous street lights <br> for Eagle Road from Overland to Ustick. | $\$ 110,000$ |

## * CITY OF MIDDLETON

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: improve pedestrian access from neighborhoods to elementary schools, State Highway 44 - alternate route and congestion mitigation, and Boise River bridge crossings. No projects submitted.

## * CITY OF NAMPA

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: Intelligent Transportation Systems (ITS) projects, safety, system maintenance, connectivity of off-street pathways, sidewalks, technology, and on-street bicycle access. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Victory Road and <br> Pedestrian Improvements | Rebuild 1.2 miles of roadway along <br> Victory Road from Sugar Street to <br> Happy Valley Road, adding 5-foot <br> shoulders for student and pedestrian <br> safety. | $\$ 1,292,996$ |
| Nampa's Historic <br> Downtown Master Plan | Develop a strategic plan to revitalize <br> historic downtown Nampa into a <br> vibrant regional destination place. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Airport Perimeter Pathway | Create a multi-use trail around the <br> Nampa Airport, supporting access to <br> major activity center locations and an <br> Environmental Justice Area <br> community. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Indian Creek Pathway <br> Extension | Extend Indian Creek Pathway from <br> 16th Avenue N to East Shortline <br> Drive. | $\$ 2,628,318$ |
| Northside Boulevard and <br> Karcher Road Roundabout | Improve the Northside/Karcher <br> intersection and widen the road <br> adjacent to the Amalgamated Sugar <br> Plant. | $\$ 5,600,000$ |
| Stoddard Pathway <br> Extension - 2nd St to <br> Downtown Connection | Extend the Stoddard City Pathway <br> from 2nd Street S to downtown, <br> including 4,200 linear feet of 12-foot <br> wide asphalt pathway, parking, and <br> lighting improvements. | $\$ 1,640,000$ |
| Intelligent Traffic System | Develop an I-84 Business Loop <br> Intelligent Transportation System. | $\$ 1,999,335$ |
| Midland and Marketplace <br> Boulevard Traffic and <br> Safety Improvements | Upgrade the intersection at Midland <br> Boulevard and Marketplace Boulevard <br> to improve capacity, efficiency, and <br> safety at the congested <br> retail/commercial and medical hub. | $\$ 1,430,000$ |

## * CITY OF NOTUS

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: preserve, extend, and improve city streets. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Notus Comprehensive <br> Street Rebuild Project | Evaluate and develop preliminary <br> plans and cost estimates to rebuild <br> city streets including: curb and gutter, <br> storm drains, sidewalks, and repaving. | $\$ 25,000$ |

## * CITY OF PARMA

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bicycle/pedestrian improvements, including sidewalks; roadway improvements; culvert replacement; and a potential roundabout. No projects submitted.

## * CITY OF STAR

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: bike master plan update and connecting and updating sidewalks. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Safe Route to School <br> Floating Feather Road to <br> Star Middle School | Conduct an initial planning study to <br> determine the exact location, land <br> ownership, estimated cost, and <br> environmental issues associated <br> constructing a safe route to Star Middle <br> School. | Funded PDP |
| Star Greenbelt Planning | Conduct an initial planning study to <br> determine the location, cost, land <br> availability, and environmental issues <br> associated with a greenbelt pathway on <br> the north side of the Boise River <br> between State Highway 16 and Star <br> Road. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Star Downtown Parking <br> Study | Conduct a study to eliminate the <br> existing on-street parking on State <br> Highway 44, including a contract with <br> an traffic consultant to plan for a <br> shared parking facility for the older <br> existing users. | $\$ 25,000$ |

## * CITY OF WILDER

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: road repair, drainage, and safety, and ADA compliant sidewalks. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Golden Gate Avenue <br> Sidewalk Project | Construct sidewalk, curb, gutter, and <br> pavement from the road to the <br> sidewalk on the north side of Golden <br> Gate Avenue between 5th Street <br> (Highway 95) and 6th Street. | Funded CIMI |
| 2nd Street East Sidewalk <br> Installation | Construct a sidewalk along the east <br> side of 2nd Street East for pedestrian <br> safety and to provide an additional <br> walkway for Wilder Elementary <br> Students to get to and from school. | $\$ 25,000$ |
| Road Reconstruction <br> Following Irrigation <br> Repairs | Re-construct roadways after irrigation <br> pipes have been repaired and replaced. | $\$ 25,000$ |

## * COMPASS

Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Coordinate Local <br> Waterway-Pathway Plans | Conduct an analysis to coordinate and <br> connect local waterway-pathway plans. | \$111,192 <br> Funded STBG-TMA |
| Bicycle/Pedestrian <br> Permanent Automated <br> Counter Purchase | Purchase permanent bicycle/pedestrian <br> counters with data processing. | $\$ 64,862$ <br> Partially funded <br> STBG-TMA |
| Transit Oriented <br> Development and Infill <br> Analysis/Fiscal Impact <br> Storymap | Assess the impacts of infill and transit <br> oriented developments on existing <br> neighborhoods. | $\$ 46,330$ |
| Smart Corridors | Evaluate and devise corridor-specific <br> strategies to enhance safety and <br> operations of the transportation <br> system. | Partially funded STBG- |
| Transportation Demand <br> Management Plan | Develop a Transportation Demand <br> Management plan with strategies to <br> give commuters more options for how <br> and when they commute. | $\$ 138,990$ |
| Transportation System <br> Management and <br> Operations Plan Update | Update the Transportation System <br> Management and Operations and ITS <br> plan to cooperatively manage and <br> operate the region's multimodal <br> transportation system to improve <br> safety, efficiency, and reliability. | $\$ 231,650$ |
| Deferred Maintenance <br> Analysis <br> denduct analyses to determine <br> optimize timing of maintenance <br> activities. | $\$ 138,990$ |  |


| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Freight Study/Plan <br> Update | Develop a freight plan for Ada and <br> Canyon Counties. | $\$ 231,650$ |
| Economic Impact of <br> Bicycle/Pedestrian <br> Infrastructure | Conduct before-and-after analyses of <br> the economic influence of bike lanes, <br> pathways, street crossings, and other <br> bicycle/pedestrian infrastructure to <br> local businesses and communities. | $\$ 41,697$ |
| Treasure Valley Safest <br> Driver Contest | Coordinate a competition to encourage <br> safer driving, quantified by a smart <br> phone app. | $\$ 45,000$ |

## * GOLDEN GATE HIGHWAY DISTRICT \#3

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: intersection safety, freight mobility, small structure maintenance and improvements, warning signs, and safety/roadway improvement design. No projects submitted.

## * IDAHO TRANSPORTATION DEPARTMENT

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: capacity, congestion mitigation, safety (Highway Safety Improvement Program [HSIP]), and pavement preservation/restoration. No projects submitted.

## * VALLEY REGIONAL TRANSIT

Focus areas related to COMPASS funding assistance: transit maintenance, facilities, and vehicles; integrated marketing; technology enhancements; and implementing ValleyConnect 2.0 including bus rapid transit, transit oriented development, services, and facilities. Projects submitted:

| Project Title | Description | Requested |
| :--- | :--- | :---: |
| Safe Routes to School <br> Program-Ada County | Support a Safe Routes to Schools <br> program to provide tools to reduce car <br> trips to school, employment, and <br> services, and address congestion and <br> air quality issues. | Funded TAP-TMA |
| Public Transportation <br> Rolling Stock, <br> Infrastructure, and <br> TechnologyPurchase cutaway buses, passenger <br> vans, fixed route transit buses, <br> destination signs, hardware and <br> software equipment, security systems, <br> bus stop amenities, equipment for <br> garage doors, and materials for shop <br> floor improvements. | $\$ 3,099,477$ |  |

B. Funding Sources

Funds Distributed through COMPASS

| Funding Type | Typical Uses of Funds <br> In Ada and Canyon Counties | Who can use this funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Surface <br> Transportation Block Grant Urban (STBGUrban) | Projects in urbanized areas between 5,000 and 200,000 population. Funding has flexibility to fund a broad range of projects, including studies, roadway improvements, sidewalks, bike lanes, and more. | Generally, jurisdictions in the Nampa Urbanized Area |
| Surface <br> Transportation <br> Block Grant - <br> Transportation <br> Management <br> Area (STBG- <br> TMA) | Projects in urbanized areas of 200,000 or greater population. Funding has flexibility to fund a broad range of projects, including studies, roadway improvements, sidewalks, bike lanes, and more. | Generally, jurisdictions in the Boise Urbanized Area |
| Transportation <br> Alternatives <br> Program - <br> Transportation <br> Management <br> Area (TAP-TMA) | Projects that support "alternative" (nonmotorized) transportation options in urbanized areas of 200,000 or greater population. Note that while these funds are programmed as a priority for use in the TMA, entities in the TMA may also apply for nonTMA TAP funds through the Idaho Transportation Department. (See "Funds Distributed by Other Agencies," below.) | Generally, jurisdictions in the Boise Urbanized Area |
| Communities in Motion <br> Implementation Grants (CIMI) | Locally important projects that reinforce the regional goals established in Communities in Motion such as 1) better access to public transportation, bike, and pedestrian facilities to offset congestion, 2) investment in town centers, main streets, and existing infrastructure as identified in CIM, and 3) developing specific area plans for activity centers consistent with CIM and planned integration of alternative transportation systems. This is a COMPASS-funded program. | COMPASS Members |
| Project Development Program (PDP) | Planning to transform member agency needs into well-defined projects with cost estimates, purpose and need statements, environmental scans, and public involvement information to ensure readiness for funding applications. This is a COMPASS-funded program. | COMPASS Members |
| Unified Planning Work Program | COMPASS budget detailing projects and tasks to support members and fulfill federal requirements. Members can request staff assistance days. | COMPASS Members |

Funds Distributed by Other Agencies

| Agency/Source | Types and Typical Uses of Funds in Ada and Canyon Counties | Who can use this funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Local Highway Technical Assistance Council (LHTAC) Thfact? | Federal-Aid: <br> - Bridge - projects to replace or rehabilitate bridge structures over 20 feet in any local jurisdiction. <br> - Rural - projects on arterial or collector roadways in areas of population under 5,000. <br> - Federal Lands Access Program (FLAP) - projects to improve transportation facilities that provide access to, are adjacent to, or are located within federal lands. <br> Local Rural Highway Investment <br> Program (LRHIP): projects in areas of population under 5,000 for the following programs (non-federal funding): <br> - Construction - for roadway improvements. <br> - Federal-Aid Match - to assist local agencies with required local match for federal-aid projects. <br> - Transportation Plans - for agency transportation plans and plan updates; eligible for funds every ten years. <br> - Signs - for traffic sign replacements to bring to national standards. <br> Local Highway Safety Improvement <br> Program (LHSIP): projects in local areas to improve safety and eliminate crashes. | Varies |
| LHTAC and COMPASS | Federal Aid Urban (LHTAC and COMPASS): projects on arterial or collector roadways and transportation plans, in areas of population 5,000 to 50,000. | Varies |
| Idaho Transportation Department | Freight Program-Federal: freight-related projects on the designated National Freight System. <br> Transportation Alternatives Program (TAP-State): alternative, non-motorized, transportation projects. <br> ADA Curb/Ramp Program-State: projects to bring sidewalk ramps up to standards under the Americans with Disabilities Act (ADA) along state highways. | Varies |


| Agency/Source | Types and Typical Uses of Funds in Ada and Canyon Counties | Who can use this funding |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Valley Regional Transit or Idaho Transportation Department valley regional transit | 5307: planning, developing, improving, and operating public transportation services in urbanized areas. 5310: providing public transportation services and purchasing equipment that directly benefits the elderly and people with disabilities. <br> 5311: planning, developing, improving, and operating public transportation services in areas with a population less than 50,000. <br> 5339: replacing or rehabilitating buses or bus facilities, purchasing buses and related equipment, and constructing bus-related facilities. | Public transportation providers |
| Technical Assistance | Expertise provided from outside sources; not a financial contribution. | Varies |
| Foundations and Other Miscellaneous Sources | Focus areas and eligibility vary depending on funder. Some available to nonprofit 501(c)(3) organizations only, requiring partnership. Large grant amounts are rare. | Varies |

COMPASS resource development staff maintain a database of potential funding sources and frequently add additional sources as they are identified. A regular "Funding News" email is sent out to members to keep them informed of current funding opportunities, including private funding sources.

# RTAC AGENDA ITEM IV-B 

Date: September 22, 2021
Topic: Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) Performance Measures and Targets

## Request/Recommendation:

COMPASS staff requests RTAC recommendation of COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of draft CIM 2050 performance measures and targets (attached), as recommended by an RTAC subcommittee.

## Background/Summary:

In April 2021, COMPASS staff presented draft CIM 2050 performance measures to RTAC. These performance measures were developed to monitor progress toward achieving the goals of CIM 2050, approved in December 2020. A subcommittee of RTAC members was formed in April to review the draft performance measures and develop targets.

Since April, the subcommittee met has met two times, and has reviewed the measures and targets from CIM 2040, evaluated current data trends and member agency measures and targets, and reviewed federal performance measures and targets set by the Idaho Transportation Department and Valley Regional Transit. Using that information, the subcommittee has refined the draft performance measures and established draft targets. The performance measures and targets, as recommended by the subcommittee, are attached.

## Implication (policy and/or financial):

The CIM 2050 performance measures and targets will be used to track progress and illustrate areas where additional work is necessary to accomplish CIM 2050 goals. They will be reported in the Change in Motion Scorecard to help identify policy issues that need additional resources, funding, or planning efforts.

## More Information:

1) Attachment: Proposed CIM 2050 Performance Measures and Targets
2) For detailed information contact: Hunter Mulhall, Principal Planner, 208/475-2231 or hmulhall@compassidaho.org, or Carl Miller, Principal Planner, cmiller@compassidaho.org.
[^1]Measures\rtacmemo_CIM2050_performance_measure_targets.docx

| Goal | Objective | Performance Measure | Description | Most Recent Measure | Existing Target | Recommended CIM 2050 Target | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience | Accessibility and mobility | Job accessibility (auto) | Average number of jobs accessible by automobile within 15 minutes on average weekday from all Traffic Analysis Zones in the travel demand model. | 227,198 (2019) | N/A | Informational | RTAC subcommittee recommended keeping this measure informational. It is a new measure, so no trend has been established to help guide target setting. |
| Convenience | Accessibility and mobility | Job accessibility (transit) | Average number of jobs accessible by transit within 30 minutes on average weekday from all Traffic Analysis Zones in the travel demand model. | 6,938 (2019) | N/A | Informational | RTAC subcommittee recommended keeping this measure informational. It is a new measure, so no trend has been established to help guide target setting. |
| Convenience | Accessibility and mobility | Households near transit | Percent of total households in Ada and Canyon counties within $1 / 2$ mile network distance of an existing ValleyRide stop. | 42.8\% (2020) | CIM 2040: > 20\% | > 48\% (2030) |  |
| Convenience | Accessibility and mobility | Vanpools | Average number of vanpools operating for the year. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline 80(2019) \\ & 82(2017) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N/A | $20 \%$ increase by 2030 (96 total) |  |
| Convenience | Accessibility and mobility | Transit passenger ridership | Number of passengers for the year on fixed route transit. Data come from Valley Regional Transit's automatic passenger counters. | $\downarrow 1.06$ Million (2020) <br> 1.21 Million (2019) | N/A | Informational |  |
| Convenience | Connectivity | Walkability: Public schools | Percentage of households within $1 / 2$ mile distance of a school that can access the school using the walkable network ( $1 / 2$ mile walk) | 67.90\% | CIM 2040: > 34\% | > 73\% (2030) | RTAC subcommittee recommended a change in the methodology of calculating this measure. Instead of percentage of total households in the region, use percentage of households withing half mile of the school. $57.6 \%$ or 155216/269287 households within half mile of a school. $67.9 \%$ or 105436/155216 households within half mile of school have half mile walkable access to school. |
| Convenience | Connectivity | Walkability: Transit stops | Percentage of households within $1 / 2$ mile distance of a transit stop that can access the stop using the walkable network ( $1 / 2$ mile walk) | 81.5\% (2020) | N/A | >85\% (2030) | Added this measure to capture progress on first/last mile connections more appropriately. This measure will use percentage of total households within half a mile of the stop that have network access rather than all households in the region. $42.8 \%$ or 115201/269287 households are within half mile of a transit stop. $81.5 \%$ or 93889/115201 households within half a mile of a transit stop have half mile walkable access to the stop. |
| Convenience | Connectivity | Walkability: Regional activity centers | Percentage of total households in or within $1 / 2$ mile network distance of a regional activity center. | N/A | N/A | тBD | New measure. Waiting for final approval of CIM 2050 vision to determine location of regional activity centers. |
| Convenience | Efficiency and congestion management | Annual hours of peak hour delay per capita | Total hours of excessive delay ( 20 mph slower or $60 \%$ of the posted speed limit) during peak travel time (weekdays 6am-10am and 3pm7 pm ) calculated per capita for the Boise Urbanized Area as required per the FAST Act. | N/A | N/A | TBD | Target on hold until further discussion with ITD about methodology, reporting requirements, and historic trends. |


| Goal | Objective | Performance Measure | Description | Most Recent Measure | Existing Target | Recommended CIM 2050 Target | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Convenience | Efficiency and congestion management | Number of "Event" days on the interstate | Number of weekdays in a year with congestion on I-84/I-184 causing a $30 \%$ longer commute from Caldwell/Boise (AM peak) or Boise/Caldwell (PM peak). Current commute times average about 30 minutes for both the AM and PM peak hours. | $\downarrow 23$ days (2020) <br> 25 days (2019) | N/A | < 15 days (2030) | New measure. It was possible to calculate trend using historic travel time data set. |
| Convenience | Efficiency and congestion management | Percentage of roadway miles considered highly congested | Percent of roadway miles with travel time index (TTI = Peak Hour Congested Travel Time/Free Flow Travel Time) > 2 for tier 1 congestion management network. Data come from the National Performance Measure Research Dataset and includes interstate, state highway, and other facilities designated as National Highway System. | $\downarrow 3.2 \%$ (2020) <br> 6.5\% (2019) | N/A | < 8\% (2030) | Large drop in 2020 due to COVID-19 shutdowns. |
| Quality of Life | Environment | Non-single-occupancy vehicle mode share | Percentage of commutes completed using modes other than single occupancy vehicle for five-year period based on American Community Survey estimates for Boise Urbanized Area as required per the FAST Act. | 18.9\% (2019) <br> 18.9\% (2017) | N/A | 25\% (2030) |  |
| Quality of Life | Environment | Total emission reductions in Congestion Mitigation and Air Quality Program (CMAQ) | Total emissions reductions for all projects funded by CMAQ funds, by applicable criteria pollutant and precursors for which the area is designated nonattainment or maintenance. | N/A | N/A | 0 | Currently no projects funded with under CMAQ |
| Economic Vitality | Farmland preservation | Farmland consumption | Percentage of total acres farmland consumed by new development for the reporting period (baseline 2019 farmland inventory). | 438,612 existing acres (2019), 987.9 acres or $\% 0.2$ consumed in 2019-2020 | N/A | Preserve 95\% of baseline by 2030 ( 416,682 acres preserved) | 2019 baseline developed using orthophotography with assesor data. |
| Economic Vitality | Freight accessibility and mobility | Truck travel time reliability (interstate) | Weighted (length) average truck travel time reliability measure for the interstate system. Reliability for a roadway is calculated by comparing the 95th percentile travel time to the 50th percentile travel times for peak periods for the year. | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 1.5(2019) \\ & 1.47(2017) \end{aligned}$ | FY2021: 1.3 Statewide | Support ITD target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Growth management | Regional activity center catchment | Percentage of households within a 5 minute drive time to a regional activity center. | N/A | N/A | TBD | New measure. Target setting on hold until CIM 2050 regional activity centers are defined. |
| Economic Vitality | Growth management | Net fiscal impact of building permits | Net amount of fiscal impact of new development reported in dollars for the reporting period. | N/A | N/A | тBD | New measure. Awaiting results from fiscal impact tool. |
| Quality of Life | Health | Percentage of roadway (arterial/collectors) with bicycle lanes/multiuse pathways | The percentage of arterial and collector roadway that have existing bikeways as defined as a division of a road marked off with painted lines, for use by cyclists, not including sharrows or other markings within automobile lanes or multiuse pathways that allow for bicycle travel. | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 22.5 \%(2019) \\ & 21.3 \%(2018) \end{aligned}$ | N/A | >30\% (2030) | Added multiuse pathways to this measure to capture ACHD's efforts to add this type of facility along arterial network. |
| Quality of Life | Health | Bicycle/pedestrian volumes | Average of annual volumes from selected fixed bike pedestrian counters. | 289,000 (2019) | N/A | Informational | RTAC subcommittee recommends keeping this measures informational. Unclear what COMPASS can do to directly impact this number. |
| Quality of Life | Housing and affordability | Housing affordability | тBD | N/A | N/A | тBD | Measure and target on hold. Pending housing affordability study that can help determine measure and target. |


| Goal | Objective | Performance Measure | Description | Most Recent Measure | Existing Target | Recommended CIM 2050 Target | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Health | Open Space | Walkability: Public parks | Percentage of households within $1 / 2$ mile distance of a public park that can access the park using the walkable network ( $1 / 2$ mile walk). | 69.2\% (2020) | CIM 2040: > 58\% | > 74\% (2030) | RTAC subcommittee recommended a change in the methodology of calculating this measure. Instead of percentage of total households in the region, use percentage of households withing half mile of the park access. $57.5 \%$ or $154708 / 269287$ households are within half mile of a school. $69.2 \%$ or 107002/154708 households within half mile of school have half mile walkable access to school. |
| Quality of Life | Open Space | Miles of trails and pathways | Percentage increase of the miles of trails and pathways from previous reporting period (source: COMPASS Trails and Pathways inventory). | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 577 \text { (2019) } \\ & 565(2017) \end{aligned}$ | CIM 2040: 754 | 1\% increase per year (643 miles total by 2030) | Used to report this as number of miles. Moving forward progress will be shown as percent increase each performance period. Report will also include longest continuous connected miles of trails and pathways. |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Interstate pavement in "good" condition | Percentage of pavement on the interstate system considered to be in good condition. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 个70\% (2020) } \\ & 42.0 \% \text { (2019) } \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: > 50\% Statewide | Support ITD target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Interstate pavement in "poor" condition | Percentage of pavement on the interstate system considered to be in poor condition. | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \%(2020) \\ & 0 \%(2019) \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: < 4\% Statewide | Support ITD target; <br> $<1 \%$ regional target (2030) | RTAC subcommittee recommended setting aspirational long-term target for this measure for the region in addtion to supporting ITD's statewide target. |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Non-interstate NHS pavement in "good" condition | Percentage of pavement on the NHS (excluding interstate) considered to be in good condition. | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 42.2 \% \text { (2020) } \\ & 39.0 \%(2019) \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: > 50\% Statewide | Support ITD target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Non-interstate NHS pavement in "poor" condition | Percentage of pavement on the NHS (excluding interstate) considered to be in poor condition. | $\begin{gathered} \uparrow 0.2 \%(2020) \\ 0 \% \text { (2019) } \end{gathered}$ | FY2022: < 8\% Statewide | Support current ITD target; <br> <2\% regional target (2030) | RTAC subcommittee recommended setting aspirational long -term target for this measure for the region in addtion to supporting ITD's statewide target. |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Bridges in "good" condition | Percentage of deck area on bridges located on the NHS considered to be in good condition. | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \downarrow 29 \% \text { (2019) } \\ & 38.7 \% \text { (2017) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: > 19\% Statewide | Support ITD target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Bridges in "poor" condition | Percentage of deck area on bridges located on the NHS considered to be in poor condition. | $\downarrow 0.45 \% 7$ of 618 (2019) <br> 0.60\% (2017) | FY2022: < 3\% Statewide | Support ITD target; <br> $<1 \%$ regional target (2030) | RTAC subcommittee recommended setting aspirational long-term target for this measure for the region in addtion to supporting ITD's statewide target. |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Transit state of good repair: Rolling stock | Percentage of rolling stock that has reached or exceed its useful life (age). | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 27.6 \%(2019) \\ & 21.25 \%(2017) \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: < 24.67\% | Support VRT target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Transit state of good repair: Equipment | Percentage of equipment that has reached or exceed its useful life (age). | $\begin{aligned} & \downarrow 5.0 \% \text { (2019) } \\ & 38.5 \% \text { (2017) } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: < 12.70\% | Support VRT target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Preservation and infrastructure condtion | Transit state of good repair: Facilities | Percentage of facilities with a condition rating below 3. Criteria for rating facilities developed by Valley Regional Transit. | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 37.5 \% \text { (2019) } \\ & 33.3 \%(2017) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | FY2022: < 42.86\% | Support VRT target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Reliability | Interstate travel time reliability | Percentage of person miles traveled (average annual daily traffic X occupancy X segment length) on the interstate considered reliable for the year. Reliability for a roadway is calculated by comparing the 80th percentile travel time to the 50th percentile travel time for peak periods for the year. | $\downarrow 90.4 \%$ (2019) $92.7 \% \text { (2017) }$ | FY2021: > 90\% Statewide | Support ITD target as regional target |  |


| Goal | Objective | Performance Measure | Description | Most Recent Measure | Existing Target | Recommended CIM 2050 Target | Notes |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Economic Vitality | Reliability | NHS travel time reliability (excluding interstate) | Percentage of person miles traveled (average annual daily traffic $X$ occupancy $X$ segment length) on the NHS (excluding interstate) considered reliable for the year. Reliability for a roadway is calculated by comparing the 80th percentile travel time to the 50th percentile travel time for peak periods for the year. | $\downarrow 76.2 \%$ (2019) <br> 78.6\% (2017) | FY2021: > 70\% Statewide | Support ITD target as regional target |  |
| Economic Vitality | Reliability | Transit reliability (\% of trips delivered on time) | Percentage of stops on fixed route transit with arrivals no later than 5 minutes past scheduled and departures no earlier than scheduled for the reporting period. | 84\% (2020) | 90\% (set by VRT) | 90\% (2030) |  |
| Safety | Resiliency | Percentage of new residential units permitted in the wildland urban interface | Percentage of newly permitted housing units permitted in the wildland-urban interface. | $\begin{aligned} & \uparrow 4.7 \%(2020 ; 435 / 9263) \\ & 3.8 \%(2019 ; 426 / 11257) \end{aligned}$ | N/A | < 5\% (2030) | Add infromation on WUI to development checklist |
| Safety | Resiliency | Percentage of new residential units permitted in the floodplain | Percentage of newly permitted housing units permitted in the floodplain. | $\begin{aligned} & .2 .2 \%(2020 ; 486 / 9263) \\ & 7.7 \%(2019 ; 865 / 11257) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | N/A | < 5\% (2030) | Add information on floodplain to development checklist |
| Safety | Resiliency | Percentage of bridges in the floodplain in "poor" condition | Percentage of bridges located in the floodplain considered to be in "poor" condition. | 0.3\% (2019; 2 of 618) | N/A | 0\% (2030) |  |
| Safety | Safety | Number of auto fatalities ( 5 -YR Avg.) | Five-year rolling average of auto fatalities. This number excludes bicycle and pedestrian fatalities related to autos. | 个43.60 (5-YR Avg. 2015-2019) <br> 37.80 (2013-2017) | FY2021: <247 Statewide | Support ITD target; <br> Regional target of $12 \%$ reduction each year to achieve $75 \%$ decrease (14.25) by 2030 |  |
| Safety | Safety | Number of serious injuries (5-YR Avg.) | Five-year rolling average of auto serious injuries. This number excludes bicycle and pedestrian serious injuries related to autos. | 484.00 (5-YR Avg. 2015-2019) <br> 484.00 (2013-2017) | FY2021: < 1285 Statewide | Support ITD target; <br> Regional target of $12 \%$ reduction each year to achieve $75 \%$ decrease (158.17) by 2030 |  |
| Safety | Safety | Rate of auto fatalities ( 5 -YR Avg.) | Five-year rolling average of the rate of auto fatalities. The rate is calculated by auto fatalities per 100,000,000 vehicle miles traveled in Ada and Canyon Counties. | 个0.97 (5-YR Avg. 2015-2019) <br> 0.87 (2013-2017) | FY2021: 1.38 Statewide | Support ITD target; Regional target TBD | Regional targets for rate of auto fatalities will be updated as soon as CIM 2050 model network is complete and estimates for VMT in 2030 are available. This target will align with the target set for number of fatalities. |
| Safety | Safety | Rate of auto serious injuries (5-YR Avg.) | Five-year rolling average of the rate of auto serious injuries. The rate is calculated by auto serious injuries per 100,000,000 vehicle miles traveled for the year in Ada and Canyon Counties. | $\downarrow 10.79$ (5-YR Avg. 2015-2019) <br> 11.15 (2013-2017) | FY2021: < 7.21 Statewide | Support ITD target; Regional target TBD | Regional targets for rate of auto serious injuries will be updated as soon as CIM 2050 model network is complete and estimates for VMT in 2030 are available. This target will align with the target set for number of serious injuries. |
| Safety | Safety | Non-motorized fatalities and serious injuries (5YR Avg.) | Five-year rolling average of bicycle and pedestrian fatalities and serious injuries. | $\uparrow 67.00$ (5-YR Avg. 2015-2019) <br> 64.80 (2013-2017) | FY2021: < 120 Statewide | Support ITD target; <br> Regional target of $12 \%$ reduction each year to achieve $75 \%$ decrease (21.90) by 2030 |  |
| Safety | Safety | Total injury crashes (5-YR rolling average) | Five-year rolling average number of auto crashes involving injury for the reporting period. | $\downarrow 3953$ (5-YR Avg. 2016-2020) <br> 4110 (5-YR Avg 2015-2019) | N/A | Regional target of $12 \%$ reduction each year to achieve $75 \%$ decrease (1343.14) by 2030 |  |


| "Did you know?" Factsheets |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Goal | Objective | Description |
| Economic Vitality | Economic Vitality | Develop a multimodal transportation system, including public transportation, bicycle, pedestrian, and auto modes, that promotes economic vitality to enable people and business to prosper. |
| Economic Vitality | Travel and Tourism | Promote transportation improvements and scenic byways that support the Treasure Valley as a regional hub for travel and tourism. |
| Safety | Security | Proactively assess risks and safeguard the security of all transportation users and infrastructure. |
| Quality of Life | Equity | Provide equitable access to safe, affordable, and reliable transportation options. |

## Topic: Communities in Motion 2040 2.0 Amendment, FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program Amendment, and FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program and Associated Air Quality Conformity Demonstration Approval

## Request/Recommendation:

COMPASS staff requests RTAC recommendation of COMPASS Board of Directors' adoption of Resolution XC-2022 (Attachment 1) amending Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0), Resolution XT-2022 (Attachment 2) amending the FY2021-2027 TIP, and Resolution XB-2022 (Attachment 3) approving the FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and associated air quality conformity demonstration for Northern Ada County. Details of all three actions are below.

## Background/Summary:

## CIM 2040 2.0 Amendment

Long-range transportation plans, such as CIM 2040 2.0, must be fiscally constrained, meaning they can only include projects that have funding identified to pay for them. When funding is identified to pay for new projects or when funding is removed from future projects that had been identified as "funded," the long-range transportation plan must be amended to reflect these changes. Therefore, COMPASS is proposing to amend CIM 20402.0 to mirror updates to funded projects in the Idaho Transportation Department's and local agencies' plans and budgets.

The proposed amendment would remove one project that had previously been identified as funded, and add five new short-term funded projects (FY2018-2024) and seven new long-term funded projects (FY2025-2040). The details of the proposed changes are included in Attachment 1.

Two public comment periods were held to address projects in the proposed amendment. The first was held August $2-31,2021$, in conjunction with the public comment period on the draft FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program (TIP) and the air quality conformity demonstration for Northern Ada County. COMPASS received eight comments related to projects in the proposed CIM 20402.0 amendment during that comment period.

Verbatim public comments from the August public comment period are posted under
"Supplemental Information" on the COMPASS RTAC webpage:
www.compassidaho.org/people/rtacmeetings.htm. Staff does not recommend changes based on public comments received.

During the August public comment period, the Ada County Highway District (ACHD), the Idaho Transportation Department (ITD), and the City of Meridian jointly request to add a project to
design the widening of Linder Road, from Overland Road to Franklin Road, including adding an overpass over Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian (Attachment 1). Right-of-way acquisition and construction remain unfunded.

Subsequently, a second public comment period, specific to adding the Linder Road project as a short-term funded project, was opened on September 3, and will run through September 17, 2021. Public comments will be posted to the RTAC web page (link above) on September 20, 2021, after the comment period closes. Details regarding comments received and any changes recommended based on public comment will be shared at the September 22, 2021, RTAC meeting.

## FY2021-2027 TIP Amendment

The Linder Road widening and overpass project in the City of Meridian also needs to be added to the FY2021-2027 TIP, to allow work to begin immediately. The details of this project are included in Attachment 2.

## FY2022-2028 TIP and Associated Air Quality Conformity Demonstration Approval

The TIP is updated annually. The full FY2022-2028 TIP project list and the updated Major Changes List are provided on the COMPASS website under "supplemental information" at the link above. The project list includes all projects and changes discussed in this memo. The Major Changes List highlights changes provided by member agencies prior to the open of public comment and also recent changes to the State Highway 55 corridor in Canyon County.

The air quality conformity demonstration for the FY2022-2028 TIP and proposed amendment to CIM 20402.0 is also available at the link above. The proposed project list conforms to air quality budgets approved for Northern Ada County.

During the August $2-31,2021$, public comment period, referenced above, COMPASS received 26 comments related to the draft FY2022-2028 TIP and 6 to the air quality conformity demonstration.

The following changes were made to the FY2022-2028 TIP project list based on comments received:

- Key Number 20259, Roadway and ADA Improvements, Part 1, Boise Area - FY2023
- The segment on McDermott Road from McMillan Road to Chinden Boulevard was removed at the request of ACHD, as work will be completed under Key Number 23408 (State Highway 16, Ustick Road to US 20/26 and State Highway 44), which is a new project in the TIP.
- Key Number 22101, Peckham Road Intersections, Canyon County
- The funding source was changed from Freight to Surface Transportation Block Grant (STBG) - Rural, and the program was changed from Freight to Safety and Capacity to mirror changes made by ITD.
- Key Number 22102, Franklin Boulevard and Karcher Road, Intersection Improvements, Nampa
- The funding source was changed from Freight to STBG-Urban, and the program was changed from Freight to Safety and Capacity to mirror changes made by ITD.
- Key Number 22165, US 20/26 (Chinden), I-84 to Middleton Road, Canyon County - The construction year was changed from FY2022 to FY2023/2024, to mirror changes made by ITD.
- Key Number 22944, Pedestrian Improvements, Stoddard Pathway, Nampa - The map in the TIP document was updated to correct an error.

ITD received comments during a July public comment period on the draft FY2022-2028 Idaho Transportation Investment Program (the statewide equivalent of the regional TIP). However, ITD staff does not expect the comments will be analyzed and responses provided until the end of September 2021. When available, these comments will be shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors and posted on the COMPASS website.

Staff is working on the final version of the TIP document that includes federal requirements and explains the effect of projects in the TIP project list on the overall transportation system. This document will be posted on the COMPASS website when complete.

## Implication (policy and/or financial):

The amendment to CIM 20402.0 ensures that the plan continues to meet federal fiscal constraint requirements and enables work on the new short-term funded projects to begin immediately.

The FY2022-2028 TIP is not official until adopted by the COMPASS Board of Directors and Idaho Transportation Board and approved by Federal Highway and Federal Transit Administrations. The current FY2021-2027 TIP remains in effect, allowing work on projects to continue, until final approval of the FY2022-2028 TIP, anticipated by December 31, 2021. Changes to projects in early FY2022 occur via amendments to the FY2021-2027 TIP.

## More Information:

1) Attachment 1 - Resolution XC-2022
2) Attachment 2 - Resolution XT-2022
3) Attachment 3 - Resolution XB-2022
4) For detailed information contact: Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner, at ttisdale@compassidaho.org.

T: T:\FY21\600 Projects\685 TIP\FY2228TIP\210922mmoRTAC2022-2028TIP.docx

## RESOLUTION NO. XC-2022 <br> FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING COMMUNITIES IN MOTION 20402.0

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) has been designated by the Governor of Idaho as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires metropolitan planning organizations to prepare regional long-range transportation plans covering a period of no less than 20 years;

WHEREAS, the FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require projects contained in the regional long-range transportation plan to be financially constrained;

WHEREAS, the proposed amendment to Communities in Motion 20402.0 adds new funded projects to the short-term and long-term funded project lists and removes a project from the long-term funded project list to mirror the updates to the Idaho Transportation Department's and local agencies' plans and budgets;

WHEREAS, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendments requires all transportation plans and programs in nonattainment or maintenance areas demonstrate conformity to applicable state implementation plans for air quality improvement;

WHEREAS, COMPASS has performed an air quality conformity demonstration and has concluded the requested amendments do comply with applicable state implementation plans;

WHEREAS, the FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires regional long-range transportation plans be developed and amended in consultation with all interested parties; and

WHEREAS, a public comment period was held August 2 through 31, 2021, on the changes to mirror updates to Idaho Transportation Department and local agencies' plans and budgets, and a second public comment period was held September 3 through 17, 2021, to add one additional short-term funded project to design the widening of Linder Road from Overland Road to Franklin Road, including adding an overpass over Interstate 84 . All comments were shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors for consideration;

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho developed this amendment to Communities in Motion 20402.0 in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations; and

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors approves the amendments to Communities in Motion 2040 2.0.

ADOPTED this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2021.

By:
Garrett Nancolas, Chair
Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors

## ATTEST:

By:
Matthew J. Stoll, Executive Director Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho

## CIM 2040 2.0 Proposed Amendment \#7

This proposed amendment updates the list of projects funded in CIM 20402.0 by removing one project that had previously been identified as "funded" and adding 11 new funded projects, divided into short-term projects (FY2018-2024) and long-term projects (FY2025-2040). These changes reflect updates to the Idaho Transportation Department's and local agencies' plans and budgets.

## Add to Short-Term Funded Projects:

State Highway 16 - Interstate 84 to US 20/26 (Chinden Boulevard)
Construct new expressway. Phase 2 construction with at-grade interchanges.
Sponsoring agency: Idaho Transportation Department
Year: 2022
Cost: \$170,000,000

## Garden Street Multi-Use Pathway - Cassia Park to Albion Street

Construct new multi-use pathway in Boise.
Sponsoring agency: City of Boise
Year: 2024
Cost: \$1,733,000

## State Highway 55 - Pear Lane to Indiana Avenue

Fund design and right-of-way acquisition for future widening from two lanes to four lanes. Sponsoring agency: Idaho Transportation Department Year: 2022

Cost: \$40,000,000

## US 20/26 - Middleton Road to Star Road

Fund design and right-of-way acquisition for future widening from two lanes to four lanes. Sponsoring agency: Idaho Transportation Department Year: 2022

Cost: $\$ 52,000,000$

## Linder Road - Overland Road to Franklin Road

Design a widening project for Linder Road from Overland Road to Franklin Road, including adding an overpass over Interstate 84 in the City of Meridian. Construction is unfunded. Sponsoring agencies: Ada County Highway District, Idaho Transportation Department, and City of Meridian
Year: 2022
Cost: \$1,010,000

## Add to Long-Term Funded Projects:

Franklin Road - Star Road to SH-16 southbound ramp
Widen roadway from two lanes to five lanes.
Sponsoring agency: City of Nampa
Year: 2026-2030
Cost: $\$ 3,500,000$
Lake Hazel Road, Cole Road to Orchard Street Extension West
Widen roadway from two lanes to five lanes.
Sponsoring agency: Ada County Highway District
Year: 2036-2040
Cost: \$6,400,000

## Northside Boulevard - Karcher Road to Ustick Road

Widen roadway from two lanes to four lanes.
Sponsoring agency: City of Nampa
Year: 2026-2030

## Pathway - Federal Way and Broadway Avenue

Construct a new multi-use pathway to connect Federal Way and Broadway Avenue in Boise. Sponsoring agency: City of Boise Preliminary Development, funding year not yet identified Cost: \$1,400,000

## State Highway 16 - SH-44 to Junction SH-52

Conduct environmental reevaluation.
Sponsoring agency: Idaho Transportation Department
Year: 2026
Cost: \$3,000,000
State Highway 55 - Farmway Road to Indiana Avenue Widen roadway from two lanes to four lanes.
Sponsoring agency: Idaho Transportation Department
Year: 2029/2030
Cost: \$30,000,000
Ustick Road - Ustick Road Overpass to Middleton Road
Widen roadway from two lanes to five lanes with curb, gutter, sidewalks, and bike lanes in the City of Caldwell.
Sponsoring agency: City of Caldwell
Year: 2030
Cost: TBD

## Remove from Long-Term Funded:

Intersection - SH-44 and Palmer Lane
Install a traffic signal.
Sponsoring agency: Idaho Transportation Department
Year: 2027
Cost: \$850,000

# Working together to plan for the future 

## RESOLUTION NO. XT-2022

## FOR THE PURPOSE OF AMENDING THE FY2021-2027 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho (COMPASS) has been designated by the Governor of Idaho as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires metropolitan planning organizations to develop and approve a transportation improvement program;

WHEREAS, the FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require projects contained in the transportation improvement program to be financially constrained;

WHEREAS, the FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires transportation improvement programs be developed and amended in consultation with all interested parties;

WHEREAS, a public comment period was held between September 3 and 17, 2021, and comments were shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors for consideration;

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho desires to take timely action to ensure the availability of federal funds;

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho developed this amendment to the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations; and

WHEREAS, the attached table details the amendment to FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho's Board of Directors approves the amendment to the FY2021-2027 Regional Transportation Improvement Program.

ADOPTED this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2021.

> By:
> Garret Nancolas, Chair Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors

## ATTEST:

By:
Matthew J. Stoll, Executive Director Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho
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Ada County Highway District, August 2021

$C E=$ Construction Engineering
$\mathrm{CN}=$ Construction
FY = Fiscal Year
PE = Preliminary Engineering
PC = Preliminary Engineering Consultant
RW = Right-of-Way
UT = Utilities

## RESOLUTION NO. XB-2022

## FOR THE PURPOSE OF APPROVING THE FY2022-2028 REGIONAL TRANSPORTATION IMPROVEMENT PROGRAM AND ASSOCIATED AIR QUALITY CONFORMITY DEMONSTRATION

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has been designated by the Governor of Idaho as the metropolitan planning organization responsible for transportation planning in Ada and Canyon Counties;

WHEREAS, the Fixing America's Surface Transportation (FAST) Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134, and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 requires metropolitan planning organizations to develop and approve a Transportation Improvement Program;

WHEREAS, the 1990 Clean Air Act Amendment requires all transportation plans and programs in nonattainment or maintenance areas demonstrate conformity to applicable state implementation plans for air quality improvement;

WHEREAS, FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134 and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require projects contained in the Transportation Improvement Program to be financially constrained;

WHEREAS, FAST Act, Title 23 United States Code Section 134 and Title 49 United States Code Section 5303 require the Transportation Improvement Program be developed and amended in consultation with all interested parties;

WHEREAS, a public comment period was held between August 2 and 31, 2021, and a second public comment period was held between September 3 and 17, 2021. All comments were shared with the COMPASS Board of Directors for consideration;

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho desires to take timely action to ensure the availability of federal funds; and

WHEREAS, the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho has developed the FY20222028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program for Ada and Canyon Counties, and corresponding air quality conformity demonstration for Northern Ada County, in compliance with all applicable state and federal regulations.

NOW, THEREFORE, BE IT RESOLVED, that the Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors approves the FY2022-2028 Regional Transportation Improvement Program and the associated air quality conformity demonstration.

Adopted this $18^{\text {th }}$ day of October 2021.

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { By: } \\
& \text { Garrett Nancolas, Chair } \\
& \text { Community Planning Association } \\
& \text { of Southwest Idaho Board of Directors }
\end{aligned}
$$

## ATTEST:

## By:

Matthew J. Stoll, Executive Director Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho

# Working together to plan for the future 

# RTAC AGENDA ITEM IV-D 

Date: September 22, 2021

## Topic: Recommend Communities in Motion 2050 Funding Policy

## Request/Recommendation:

Staff seeks a recommendation of COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of the Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) Funding Policy, as recommended by the RTAC subcommittee.

## Background/Summary:

A funding policy, to guide how federal transportation funding is allocated throughout the region, will be included in CIM 2050, the long-range transportation plan for Ada and Canyon Counties. This policy informs not only the long-range transportation plan itself, but is used continually to guide budgeting decisions in the regional transportation improvement program (TIP).

RTAC recommended a funding policy and goals on July 28, 2021. At the request of the City of Nampa, RTAC rescinded the recommendation on August 25, 2021, and requested the subcommittee meet again to reconsider the funding policy and goals. The RTAC subcommittee met on September 9, 2021, and recommended the following (the original recommendation, for comparison, is provided in the attachment):

## Overall Funding Policy

Use anticipated available funding in Ada and Canyon Counties to strategically address regional priorities as identified in the regional long-range transportation plan.

Focus federal formula funds in Ada County (Surface Transportation Block Grant - Transportation Management Area [STBG-TMA]) to maintain the existing transportation network and fill gaps in the alternative transportation system. Use new available funding to strategically address regional priorities.

Use federal formula funds in Canyon County (STBG-Urban) to address regional priorities as identified in the regional long-range transportation plan.

## Funding Goals

STBG-TMA (Boise Urbanized Area) - shown below using dollar amounts as examples, based on the FY2022 estimated available amount of $\$ 10,814,000$.

| Off-the-Top | Policy <br> Amount | Illustrative <br> Amount | Illustrative <br> Running <br> Total <br> Remaining |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimated Available |  |  | $\$ 10,814,000$ |
| COMPASS* | $\$ 232,000$ |  | $\$ 10,582,000$ |
| Ada County Highway District (ACHD) Commuteride | $\$ 220,000$ |  | $\$ 10,362,000$ |
| Safe Routes to School Education Program (Ada) | $\$ 280,000$ |  | $\$ 10,082,000$ |
| Split of Remaining Funds |  |  |  |
| Local Network Improvements** | $72 \%$ | $\$ 7,259,040$ | $\$ 2,822,960$ |
| Pathways (state highway or off-network)*** | $12 \%$ | $\$ 1,209,840$ | $\$ 1,613,120$ |
| Public Transportation Capital | $13 \%$ | $\$ 1,310,660$ | $\$ 302,460$ |
| Studies and Special Projects | $3 \%$ | $\$ 302,460$ | $\$ 0$ |

STBG-Urban (Nampa Urbanized Area) - shown below using dollar amounts as examples, based on the FY2022 estimated available amount of $\$ 1,924,035$.

| Off-the-Top | Policy Amount | Illustrative Amount | Illustrative Running Total Remaining |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated Available |  |  | \$1,924,035 |
| COMPASS* | \$99,000 |  | \$1,825,035 |
| ACHD Commuteride | \$55,000 |  | \$1,770,035 |
| Safe Routes to School Education Program (Canyon) | \$50,000 |  | \$1,720,035 |
| Split of Remaining Funds |  |  |  |
| Local Network Improvements** | 85\% | \$1,462,030 | \$258,005 |
| Alternative Transportation Capital | 12\% | \$206,404 | \$51,601 |
| Studies and Special Projects | 3\% | \$51,601 | \$0 |

Gray highlight = illustrative information based on FY2022 estimates
*COMPASS Off-the-Top is $\$ 331,000$ total, and divided between Boise Urbanized Area and Nampa Urbanized Area funds based on 70/30 split in population (Boise Urbanized Area/Nampa Urbanized Area)
** See definition of local network improvements below
*** If application not sought or funds remains, funds split equally between local network improvements and public transportation capital

Local Network Improvements - Includes all capital improvements to "maintain and improve the infrastructure and operational performance on the current system."

Work may include:

- Overlays, rehabilitation, or rebuilds on a roadway
- Transportation improvements that save lives
- Filling gaps on on-system bicycle/pedestrian facilities (including crosswalks and adding/widening shoulders)
- Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act
- Improvements to the intelligent transportation system and similar operations systems
- Specific to Ada County:
- Through-lane capacity is not eligible, except in cases of unanticipated funding opportunities.
- Specific to Canyon County:
- Eligible for projects to maintain and add capacity.

Projects should reflect strategies outlined in the COMPASS Congestion Management Process, which can be found on the COMPASS website: https://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/cmsintro.htm.

The COMPASS Board of Directors will be requested to approve the RTAC recommendation at its October 18, 2021, meeting.

## Implication (policy and/or financial):

The CIM 2050 funding policy will guide COMPASS staff in proposing funding priorities for CIM 2050 and will direct how projects are funded in future TIPs.

## More Information:

1) Attachment: Original RTAC Recommendation for CIM 2050 Funding Policy
2) For detailed information contact: Toni Tisdale, Principal Planner, ttisdale@compassidaho.org

TT: T:\FY21\600 Projects\661 CIM\10. Financial\Funding Policy\210922mmoRTACFundingPolicy.docx

Original RTAC Recommendation for Communities in Motion 2050 Funding Policy August 25, 2021

## Overall Funding Policy

Use federal formula funds to maintain the existing transportation network and fill gaps in the alternative transportation system, and use new available funding to strategically address regional priorities as identified in the regional long-range transportation plan.

## Funding Goals

STBG - Transportation Management Area (STBG-TMA) (Boise Urbanized Area) - shown below using dollar amounts as examples, based on the FY2022 estimated available amount of $\$ 10,814,000$.

| Off-the-Top | Policy <br> Amount | Illustrative <br> Amount | Illustrative <br> Running <br> Total <br> Remaining |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimated Available |  |  | $\$ 10,814,000$ |
| COMPASS* | $\$ 232,000$ |  | $\$ 10,582,000$ |
| Ada County Highway District (ACHD) <br> Commuteride | $\$ 220,000$ |  | $\$ 10,362,000$ |
| Safe Routes to School Education <br> Program (Ada) | $\$ 280,000$ |  | $\$ 10,082,000$ |
| Split of Remaining Funds |  |  |  |
| Local Network Improvements** | $72 \%$ | $\$ 7,259,040$ | $\$ 2,822,960$ |
| Pathways (State Highway or off- <br> network)*** | $12 \%$ | $\$ 1,209,840$ | $\$ 1,613,120$ |
| Public Transportation Capital | $13 \%$ | $\$ 1,310,660$ | $\$ 302,460$ |
| Studies and Special Projects | $3 \%$ | $\$ 302,460$ | $\$ 0$ |

STBG-Urban (Nampa Urbanized Area) - shown below using dollar amounts as examples, based on the FY2022 estimated available amount of $\$ 1,924,035$.

| Off-the-Top | Policy <br> Amount | Illustrative <br> Amount | Illustrative <br> Running <br> Total <br> Remaining |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Estimated Available |  |  | $\$ 1,924,035$ |
| COMPASS* | $\$ 99,000$ |  | $\$ 1,825,035$ |
| ACHD Commuteride | $\$ 55,000$ |  | $\$ 1,770,035$ |
| Safe Routes to School Education <br> Program (Canyon) | $\$ 50,000$ |  | $\$ 1,720,035$ |
| Split of Remaining Funds |  |  |  |
| Local Network Improvements** | $85 \%$ | $\$ 1,462,030$ | $\$ 258,005$ |
| Alternative Transportation Capital | $12 \%$ | $\$ 206,404$ | $\$ 51,601$ |
| Studies and Special Projects | $3 \%$ | $\$ 51,601$ | $\$ 0$ |

Gray highlight = illustrative information based on FY2022 estimates
*COMPASS Off-the-Top is based on a standard $\$ 331,000$, and divided between Boise Urbanized Area and Nampa Urbanized Area funds based on $70 / 30$ split in population (Boise Urbanized Area/Nampa Urbanized Area)
** See definition of local network improvements below
*** If application not sought or funds remains, funds split equally between Local Network Improvements and Public Transportation Capital

Local Network Improvements - Includes all capital improvements to "maintain and improve the infrastructure and operational performance on the current system."

Work may include:

- Overlays, rehabilitation, or rebuilds on a roadway (with no added through-lane capacity)
- Transportation improvements that save lives
- Filling gaps on on-system bicycle/pedestrian facilities (including crosswalks and adding/widening shoulders)
- Compliance with the Americans with Disabilities Act
- Improvements to the intelligent transportation system and similar operations systems

Projects should reflect strategies outlined in the COMPASS Congestion Management Process, which can be found on the COMPASS website: https://www.compassidaho.org/prodserv/cms-intro.htm.

## Ada County Highway District



LIVABLE STREETS PERFORMANCE MEASURES
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## LIVABLE STREETS

ACHD's Commitment to LIVABLE STREETS was articulated through its 2009 Transportation Land Use Integration Plan (TLIP). This Plan included four guiding documents that help inform all activities of the District:

- Complete Streets Policy
- Livable Streets Design Guide
- Master Street Map
- Cost Share Ordinance

Since 2009, ACHD has adopted a series of various foundational documents that further articulate the vision of TLIP as it applies to various modes of travel:

- Bike Master Plan - 2018 Addendum
- Neighborhood Bike and Pedestrian Plans
- Americans with Disability Act Transition Plan Update
- Capital Improvement Plans

This document articulates the measures and procedures ACHD will utilize to gauge the impact and experience of the various users of the transportation system. ACHD's investments will be focused on the vision of livable streets for all users.

## ACHD'S COMPLETE STREETS GUIDING PRINCIPLE

Streets, bridges and transit stops within Ada County should be designed, constructed, operated and maintained so that pedestrians, bicyclists, transit riders, motorists, and people of all ages and abilities can travel safely and independently. (ACHD Policy Manual Section 3110.2)

## ANATOMY OF A LIVABLE STREET



## A MEASUREMENT FOR ALL

## DRIVERS



BICYCLISTS


3
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PEDESTRIANS

## 宛 <br> LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS (PLTS)

## A MEASUREMENT FOR DRIVERS

## DRIVERS



## LEVEL OF SERVICE (LOS)

| High Comfort Driving |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

## VEHICULAR LEVEL OF SERVICE DESCRIPTION

The Vehicular Level of Service performance measure provides a transparent framework to guide assessment of vehicular networks. The LOS reflects relative comfort of roads and intersections. The original methodology was developed by the Florida Department of Transportation. This methodology has been adapted to reflect local experience.

Planning LOS thresholds based on peak hour volumes and specific facility design components (typically the number of travel lanes) help determine the relative comfort for drivers on a corridor. Separate measures for arterial roadways and intersections are derived from tables adopted through the most current Capital Improvement Plan. The most recent Capital Improvement Plan can be found here.

Vehicular LOS is evaluated for the future condition using the travel demand model. This ensures that projects that are built meet the needs for the expected growth projected by the land use jurisdictions for the next 20 years.

## A MEASUREMENT FOR BICYCLISTS

## BICYCLISTS



## LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS (BLTS)

| High Comfort for All |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 1 | High Comfort for Adults |
|  | 3 | Increasing Stress for Most |
|  | 4 | Strong and Experienced <br> Bicyclists Only |
|  |  |  |

${ }^{1}=-=$ Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.

## BIKE LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS DESCRIPTION

The Level of Traffic Stress Analysis provides a transparent framework to guide assessment of bicycle facility networks. The BLTS reflects relative comfort and safety of roads and intersections. The original methodology was developed and documented in the 2012 Mineta Transportation Institute Report 11-19: Low-Stress Bicycling and Network Connectivity. Since the 2012 report, numerous adaptations and updates have occurred. This methodology has been adapted to reflect local experience.

To identify the BLTS for a specific road segment or intersection, the user would select the appropriate table on the following pages.

## Segments

- Mixed Traffic
- Bike Lane + Buffered Bike Lane
- Raised Lane, Protected Lane, or Multi-Use Paths


## Intersections

- Unsignalized
- Signalized and Enhanced Crossings
- Roundabouts

In all cases, scores are determined by the weakest link principle, meaning that the least comfortable quality of a roadway or intersection determines the score or ranking for that location.

## A MEASUREMENT FOR BICYCLISTS

## BICYCLISTS



LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS (BLTS)
High Comfort for All
High Comfort for Adults
Increasing Stress for Most
Strong and Experienced
Bicyclists Only

-     -         - Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.


## MIXED TRAFFIC

Used in situations where there is no dedicated bike facility, or the dedicated facility is frequently blocked forcing the bicyclist to take the lane.

| \# of <br> Auto <br> Lanes | Average Daily Traffic | Posted Speed (Actuals When Available) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 50+ \\ & \mathrm{mph} \end{aligned}$ |
| 2-Way <br> Street (No Centerline) | 0-750 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 751-1500 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 1501-3000 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 3000+ | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| 1-3 (With Centerline) | 0-750 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 751-1500 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 1501-3000 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 3000+ | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| 4-5 | 0-8000 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
|  | 8000+ | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| $6+$ | Any ADT | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Traffic calming features in place on roads with 3 or less lanes - Lower 1 LTS


## A MEASUREMENT FOR BICYCLISTS

## BICYCLISTS

LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS (BLTS)
High Comfort for All
High Comfort for Adults
Increasing Stress for Most

-     -         - Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.


## BIKE LANE AND BUFFERED BIKE LANES

Used in situations where there is a dedicated bike lane with or without a painted buffer.
Bike lane width is measured exclusive of the gutter pan.

| \# of Auto Lanes | Bike Lane Width (Includes Buffer) | Posted Speed (Actuals When Available) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{gathered} 20 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 25 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 45 \\ \mathrm{mph} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 50+ } \\ & \text { mph } \end{aligned}$ |
| 2-3 | 6'+ | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
|  | $4^{\prime}$ or $5^{\prime}$ | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| 4-5 | 6'+ | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
|  | $4^{\prime}$ or $5^{\prime}$ | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| $6+$ | Any Width | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Heavily Utilized Parking Adjacent to a 4' or 5' Bike Lane - Add 1 LTS
- Roadway Pavement Condition Index Rating Below 70 - Add 1 LTS
- Frequent Commercial Driveway Crossings - Add 1 LTS


## RAISED/PROTECTED LANES AND MULTI-USE PATHS

Used in situations where there are protected bike lanes or multi-use paths. For all segments (between intersections), these would be considered LTS 1.

## Adjustment Factors

- Raised Bike Lanes At >35 MPH - Add 1 LTS
- Frequent Commercial Driveways - Add 1 LTS
- Bike lanes using only candles - Add 1 LTS


## A MEASUREMENT FOR BICYCLISTS

## BICYCLISTS



## LEVEL OF TRAFFIC

 STRESS (BLTS)High Comfort for All
High Comfort for Adults
Increasing Stress for Most
Strong and Experienced
Bicyclists Only

-     -         - Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.


## UNSIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS

Used in situations where there is no signal. To rank, the highest stress score of any leg would be utilized.

| Posted Speed | Total Auto Lanes Crossed |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1-3$ Lanes | $4-5$ Lanes | 6+ Lanes |
| $20-25$ | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 |
| 30 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 |
| 35 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
| $40+$ | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Adding a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (RRFB) - Lower 1 LTS
- Refuge medians of at least $8^{\prime}$ with a vertical element would reduce the total number of lanes crossed at one time to the distance from curb to median.
- Intersections with a Bike Lane and Right Turn Lane - Add 1 LTS


## ROUNDABOUTS

Used in situations with a roundabout to describe the experience when bicyclists take the lane. For those mixing with pedestrians, the pedestrian table would be used.

| Circulating Lanes | Total Entry Leg ADT (VPD) | LTS |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | $<6000$ | LTS 2 |
| 1 | $>6000$ | LTS 3 |
| $2+$ (Partial or Full) | Any | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Slip lane present - Add 1 LTS


## A MEASUREMENT FOR BICYCLISTS

## BICYCLISTS



## LEVEL OF TRAFFIC

 STRESS (BLTS)High Comfort for All
High Comfort for Adults
Increasing Stress for Most
Strong and Experienced
Bicyclists Only

- = - Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.


## SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS AND

## ENHANCED CROSSINGS

Used in situations where there is a signal present. To rank, the highest stress score of any leg would be utilized.

| Intersection Features | Total Auto Lanes Crossed At One Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1-3 | 4-5 | 6+ |
| Enhanced Crossing w/ Median Refuge | LTS 1 | N/A | N/A |
| Protected Intersection or Enhanced Crossing (No Refuge) | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 |
| Floating Bike Lane (Left of RTL) | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
| Bike Lane (Right of RTL or Thru-Right Lane) | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Refuge medians of at least $8^{\prime}$ with a vertical element would reduce the total number of lanes crossed at one time to the distance from curb to median.


## A MEASUREMENT FOR PEDESTRIANS

## PEDESTRIANS



## LEVEL OF TRAFFIC

 STRESS (PLTS)
## High Comfort for All

High Comfort for Adults

Increasing Stress for Most

High Stress Experience
${ }^{I}=-=$ Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.

## PEDESTRIAN LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS DESCRIPTION

The Level of Traffic Stress Analysis provides a transparent framework to guide assessment of pedestrian facility networks. PLTS is an emerging practice as of 2021. The PLTS reflects relative comfort and safety of roads and intersections. This methodology has been adapted to reflect local experience.

To identify the PLTS for a specific road segment or intersection, the user would review the appropriate table(s) as noted below. In all cases, scores are determined by the weakest link principle, meaning that the least comfortable quality of a roadway or intersection determines the ranking for that location.

## Segments

For segments, all below tables would be reviewed. The highest stress score for any of the tables would be the assigned PLTS for the segment.

- Sidewalk Presence
- Sidewalk Buffer
- Sidewalk Width and Condition


## Intersections

Only the applicable table would be used to describe the intersection.

- Unsignalized
- Signalized and Enhanced Crossings
- Roundabouts


## A MEASUREMENT FOR PEDESTRIANS

## PEDESTRIANS



## LEVEL OF TRAFFIC

 STRESS (PLTS)High Comfort for Adults
3
Increasing Stress for Most
4
High Stress Experience

- = - Desired facility level for an All Ages and Abilities network. May not be feasible in some land use contexts.


## SIDEWALK PRESENCE

| Sidewalk <br> Presence | Travel <br> Lanes | 20 mph | 25 mph | 30 mph | 35 mph | $40+\mathrm{mph}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | LTS | Posted Speed (Actuals When Available) |  |  |  |  |
| Complete Both <br> Sides | 2 Lanes | LTS | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 |
|  | 3+ Lanes | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 |
| Complete 1 Side | 2 Lanes | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 |
|  | 3+ Lanes | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
| Incomplete Both |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sides | 2 Lanes | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
|  | 3+ Lanes | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Frequent Commercial Driveways - Add 1 LTS
- Low volume residential streets 25 MPH or less - Lower 1 LTS


## SIDEWALK BUFFER

| Total Travel <br> Lanes | Total Buffer Width (Includes Landscaping, Parking, Bike Lanes, etc) |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $<5^{\prime}$ | $5^{\prime}-10^{\prime}$ | $11^{\prime}-14^{\prime}$ | $15^{\prime}+$ |
| $1-2$ | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 |
| 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 2 | LTS 1 | LTS 1 |
| $4-5$ | LTS 4 | LTS 3 | LTS 2 | LTS 1 |
| $6+$ | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 3 | LTS 2 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Low volume residential streets with 1-2 lanes - Lower 1 LTS
- Buffers for multi-use paths to be measured at centerline of the pathway.
- Buffers with street trees - Lower 1 LTS


## A MEASUREMENT FOR PEDESTRIANS

## SIDEWALK WIDTH AND CONDITION

## PEDESTRIANS

LEVEL OF TRAFFIC STRESS (PLTS)

| Actual Sidewalk <br> Width | Sidewalk Condition |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Very Good | Good | Fair | Poor |
| <4' | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| $4^{\prime}$ | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
| $5^{\prime}$ | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 |
| $6^{\prime}+$ | LTS 1 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Low volume residential streets with 4'-5' sidewalk - Lower 1 LTS
- $5^{\prime}$ detached sidewalk in very good or good condition - Lower 1 LTS


## UNSIGNALIZED CROSSINGS

| Speed Limit | Total Auto Lanes Crossed At One Time |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1-3$ Lanes | $4-5$ Lanes | 6+ Lanes |
| $20-25$ | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 |
| 30 | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 |
| 35 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |
| $40+$ | LTS 3 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- No Illumination Present - Add 1 LTS
- Ramps Inaccessible per Inventory - Add 1 LTS
- Add a Rectangular Rapid Flashing Beacon (Median required when over 3 lanes) Lower 1 LTS
- Pedestrian refuge medians of at least 8 ' with a vertical element would reduce the total number of lanes crossed at one time to the distance from curb to median.


## A MEASUREMENT FOR PEDESTRIANS

## PEDESTRIANS



## SIGNALIZED INTERSECTIONS AND CROSSINGS

| Intersection Features | Total Auto Lanes Crossed At One Time |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $1-3$ | $4-5$ | $6-7$ | $8+$ |
| PHB or Ped Signal | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 4 | LTS 4 |
| Signalized Intersection | LTS 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 3 | LTS 4 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Ramps and Pushbuttons Inaccessible per Inventory - Add 1 LTS
- No Illumination Present - Add 1 LTS
- Add Leading Pedestrian Interval - Lower 1 LTS
- Pedestrian refuge medians of at least 8' with a vertical element would reduce the total number of lanes crossed at one time to the distance from curb to median.
- Frequency of signalized crossing opportunities should be considered during project design.


## ROUNDABOUTS

| Lanes Crossed | LTS w/o Enhanced <br> Crossing | LTS w/ Enhanced <br> Crossing |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | LTS 2 | LTS 1 |
| $2+$ | LTS 2 | LTS 1 |

## Adjustment Factors

- Slip lane present - Add 1 LTS


## IMPLEMENTATION STRATEGY

Moving the LIVABLE STREETS PERFORMANCE MEASURES from idea into everyday practice is a key part of the success of this effort. Many activities are already underway. The list here is a summary of current and proposed actions being taken to fully embrace the measures set forth in this document. The identified responsible department and timeline is a guide to encourage ongoing effort to implement these measures.

In all things, ACHD is committed to its Complete Streets policy and seeking to meet the desired performance levels identified here.


## ONGOING EFFORTS

| EFFORT DESCRIPTION | RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT |
| :---: | :---: |
| Expand the scope of roadway maintenance projects to include a comprehensive improvement for all users. | Planning |
| Establish multi-use paths and raised or protected bike lanes as the standard bike facility treatment on arterial roadways. | Planning |
| Select and acquire sweeper equipment for use in protected bike lanes. | Maintenance |
| Development typical drawings reflecting best practices for raised bike lanes and multiuse paths (including at driveways and intersections). | Design |
| Establish an interim policy for the construction of temporary multi-use paths along arterial roadways with development. | Development Services |
| Hire a Bicyclist and Pedestrian Program Coordinator to facilitate implementation. | Planning |

## NEW EFFORTS

| EFFORT DESCRIPTION | RESPONSIBLE DEPARTMENT | TIMELINE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hold training for all project team members on new metrics. | Planning | Summer 2021 |
| Develop projects that meet desired performance levels during project scoping. Document if not able to meet. | Planning | Summer 2021 |
| Review current design efforts to determine if projects will meet desired LTS upon construction. Revise as feasible. | Capital Projects | Summer 2021 |
| Establish comprehensive project prioritization process to be used across all categories and modes for the IFYWP. | Planning | Fall 2021 |
| Review Sections 7100 and 7200 for modifications to bring development review in alignment with new measures. | Development Services | Winter 2022 |
| Review and adjust other ACHD policies as identified that support implementing Livable Streets. | All | Ongoing |

# Working together to plan for the future 

## RTAC AGENDA ITEM V-C

Date: September 22, 2021
Topic: Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) Needs Identification

## Request/Recommendation:

This is an information and discussion item only.

## Background/Summary:

The COMPASS Board of Directors approved the demographic allocation for the CIM 2050 Vision in its August 2021 meeting. Using that information, COMPASS staff will start the process to identify potential transportation improvements needed to accommodate the forecasted growth in the region. COMPASS staff proposes a two-step approach to identify transportation needs for CIM 2050.

1. Confirm existing (2040) and identify new (2050) funded projects

COMPASS staff will reach out to transportation agencies to confirm their agency's funded projects through 2040 and request information on additional projects that will likely be funded between 2040 and 2050, including projects that are currently on the lists of unfunded priorities and new projects. A link to the list of currently funded projects can be found under "More Information."
2. Update unfunded priorities

CIM 20402.0 prioritizes unfunded needs for state system, local system, and public transportation (link to list under "More Information"). Having these priorities in the regional long-range transportation plan has helped advance the implementation of projects on the prioritized corridors (as attested by seven CIM 20402.0 amendments). COMPASS staff proposes to start with the remaining unfunded corridors and projects and update the lists for prioritization. Technical analyses will be used to help inform the process and will include:

- Travel demand forecast
- Performance Measure Framework analysis
- Fiscal impact analysis
- Environmental justice/equity analysis

The following assumptions and considerations will be included by mode:

- Roadways: assumed to include multiuse pathways, sidewalks, and/or bike lanes
- Public transportation system: will include ValleyConnect 2.0 Intermediate and Growth, and a 2050 system with regional rail
- Active transportation: will focus on identified regional pathways
- Freight: needs will be addressed through the Complete Network Policy considerations

COMPASS staff requests your feedback on this proposed process. Based on feedback received and any follow-up, COMPASS staff will present an updated needs list for review at the November 17, 2021, RTAC meeting. In January 2022, RTAC will receive both the funded project list and unfunded needs lists for review, and in February 2022, RTAC will begin prioritization of the unfunded needs.

## More Information:

1) List of funded projects (CIM 2040 2.0; as of August 2021): www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/CIM2040 20/TechDocs/Funded All.pdf
2) List of unfunded priorities (CIM 2040 2.0; as of August 2021): www.compassidaho.org/documents/prodserv/CIM2040 20/TechDocs/Unfunded All Priorities.pdf
3) For detailed information contact Mary Ann Waldinger, at 208/475-2242 or mwaldinger@compassidaho.org
[^2]
## RTAC AGENDA WORKSHEET

| ID \# | Title/Description | Mandatory ${ }^{1}$ | Additional Information | Agenda Type ${ }^{2}$ | Time | Presenters | Proposed Agenda | Board Agenda |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. | Approve RTAC Meeting Minutes | Yes |  | Consent Agenda | 5 | N/A | Monthly | N/A |
| 2. | Receive Obligation Report | No |  | Status Report | N/A | N/A | As <br> Appropriate | N/A |
| 3. | Receive RTAC <br> Agenda Worksheet | No |  | Status Report | N/A | N/A | Monthly | N/A |
| UPCOMING AGENDA ITEMS |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4. | Request Affordable Housing RTAC Subcommittee | No | Carl Miller will review the scope of work for affordable housing and request an RTAC subcommittee. | Action | 15 | Carl Miller | Nov | N/A |
| 5. | Update on Idaho Transportation Department (ITD) projects | No | ITD staff will provide an update on the latest with big projects in Ada and Canyon Counties. | Information/ Discussion | 20 | Amy Schroeder (?) | Nov | N/A |
| 6. | Travel Survey Data Study Progress Update | No | Mary Ann Waldinger will provide an update on the progress of the household travel and on-board transit data collection efforts. | Information/ Discussion | 10 | Mary Ann Waldinger | Nov | N/A |

[^3]| ID \# | Title/Description | Mandatory ${ }^{1}$ | Additional Information | Agenda Type ${ }^{2}$ | Time | Presenters | Proposed Agenda | Board Agenda |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7. | Review RTAC <br> Subcommittee <br> Recommendations for <br> Communities in <br> Motion 2050 (CIM <br> 2050) Project Scoring <br> and FY2023-2029 <br> Application Guide | Yes | Toni Tisdale will provide recommendations from the RTAC Subcommittee regarding CIM 2050 project scoring and FY2023-2029 Application Guide for review. | Information/ Discussion | 20 | Toni Tisdale | Dec | N/A |
| 8. | Elect RTAC Chair and Vice Chair | Yes | Liisa Itkonen will facilitate the election of Chair and Vice Chair. | Action | 15 | Liisa Itkonen | Jan | N/A |
| 9. | Recommend the COMPASS Complete Network Policy | No | Carl Miller will seek RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' adoption of the COMPASS Complete Network policy. | Action | 15 | Carl Miller | Jan | Feb |
| 10. | Recommend Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) Project Scoring and FY2023-2029 Application Guide | Yes | Toni Tisdale will seek RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of CIM 2050 project scoring and FY2023-2029 Application Guide. | Action | 15 | Toni Tisdale | Jan | Feb |
| 11. | Solicit Member Agencies' Requests for FY2023 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) | No | Liisa Itkonen will solicit member agency requests for FY2023 UPWP. | Information/ Discussion | 5 | Liisa Itkonen | Jan | N/A |


| ID \# | Title/Description | Mandatory ${ }^{1}$ | Additional Information | Agenda Type ${ }^{2}$ | Time | Presenters | Proposed Agenda | Board Agenda |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 12. | Review Development of Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) | No | Liisa Itkonen will review progress on developing Communities in Motion 2050. | Information/ Discussion | 15 | Liisa Itkonen | Jan | Feb |
| 13. | Review Updated 2021 Information in Communities in Motion 20402.0 (CIM 2040 2.0) | Yes | Liisa Itkonen will review updated 2021 information in CIM 2040 2.0. | Information/ Discussion | 10 | Liisa Itkonen | Jan | Feb |
| 14. | Review Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) unfunded needs | No | Liisa Itkonen will review CIM 2050 unfunded needs. | Information/ Discussion | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | Jan | Apr |
| 15. | Review Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) funded projects | No | Liisa Itkonen will review CIM 2050 funded projects. | Information/ Discussion | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | Feb | Apr |
| 16. | Recommend the Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) Funded Projects and Unfunded Priorities | No | Liisa Itkonen will seek a RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of the Communities in Motion 2050 funded projects and unfunded priorities. | Action | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | March | Apr |
| 17. | Prioritize and Recommend Member Agencies' Requests for FY2023 Unified Planning Work Program (UPWP) | No | Liisa Itkonen will request RTAC prioritization of member agencies' requests for FY2023 UPWP and recommendation for Finance Committee's consideration. | Action | 15 | Liisa Itkonen | March | N/A |


| ID \# | Title/Description | Mandatory ${ }^{1}$ | Additional Information | Agenda Type ${ }^{2}$ | Time | Presenters | Proposed Agenda | Board Agenda |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 18. | Review the Communities in Motion 2050 Implementation Policies | No | Liisa Itkonen will review Communities in Motion 2050 implementation policies. | Information/ Discussion | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | March | June |
| 19. | Review draft <br> Coordinated Public <br> Transit-Human <br> Services <br> Transportation Plan | No | Liisa Itkonen will review the draft Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan | Information/ Discussion | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | March | N/A |
| 20. | Travel Survey Data Study Progress Update | No | Mary Ann Waldinger will share some of the results from the travel survey data. | Information/ Discussion | 15 | Mary Ann Waldinger | March | N/A |
| 21. | Recommend the Communities in Motion 2050 (CIM 2050) <br> Implementation Policies | No | Liisa Itkonen will seek a RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of the CIM 2050 implementation policies. | Action | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | May | June |
| 22. | Recommend Approval of Coordinated Public Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan | Yes | COMPASS staff will seek RTAC recommendation for COMPASS Board of Directors' approval of the Coordinated Public <br> Transit-Human Services Transportation Plan | Action | 20 | Liisa Itkonen | July | Aug |

[^4] Status: Development, PS\&E (or equiv.), Awarded (or equiv.)] [Fiscal Year: 2021] [Indirect Costs Excluded] [PSS Manager: Ignore] [PSS Owner: Ignore] [PSS Sponsor: Ignore]

| KeyNo District Location |  |  | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgNo | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Hwy - Pavement Preservation (Commerce) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20060 | 3 | I 84, SAND HOLLOW IC TO FARMERS SEBREE CANAL | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 100 | CE | \$89,725.00 | \$89,725.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$24,800.00 | \$24,800.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$1,224,515.00 | \$1,224,515.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,339,040.00 | \$1,339,040.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20738 | 3 | I 84, BROADWAY TO MOUNTAINHOME, ADA \& ELMORE CO | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 100 | PE | \$4,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CE | \$43,000.00 | \$43,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$110,957.00 | \$110,957.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$2,553,689.00 | \$2,553,689.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,711,646.00 | \$2,711,646.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22677 | 3 | US 20, FY22 MICROSEALS: FRONT, MYRTLE, \& BROADWAY | 2022 | Development | 100 | PE | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,000.00 | \$1,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Pavement Preservation (Commerce) Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,051,686.00 | \$4,051,686.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Pavement Restoration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20351 | 3 | I 84, CALDWELL TO KARCHER, CANYON CO | 2024 | Development | 111 | PC | \$11,000.00 | \$11,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$11,000.00 | \$11,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 21849 | 3 | SH 45, JCT SH-78 TO DEER FLAT RD, CANYON CO | 2025 | Development | 111 | PE | (\$131,400.00) | (\$131,400.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$69,075.00 | \$69,075.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$62,325.00) | (\$62,325.00) | \$0.00 |
| 22619 | 3 | I 84, USTICK RD OVERPASS, CANYON CO | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 111 | CN | \$59,084.00 | \$59,084.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$59,084.00 | \$59,084.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22665 | 3 | SH 55, EAGLE RD; I-84 TO SH-44, ADA CO | 2027 | Development | 111 | PE | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$700,000.00 | \$700,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$750,000.00 | \$750,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Pavement Restoration Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$757,759.00 | \$757,759.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Bridge Preservation |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20251 | 3 | I 84, FY21 D3 E BRIDGE REPAIR, NAMPA | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 101 | PE | (\$56,000.00) | (\$56,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CE | \$83,210.00 | \$83,210.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$110,000.00 | \$110,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$999,705.00 | \$999,705.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,136,915.00 | \$1,136,915.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Bridge Preservation Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,136,915.00 | \$1,136,915.00 | \$0.00 |


| KeyNo District Location |  |  | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgNo | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| State Hwy - Bridge Restoration |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20227 | 3 | US 20, PHYLLIS CANAL BR, NR MERIDIAN | 2023 | Development | 103 | PC | \$115,000.00 | \$115,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$115,000.00 | \$115,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | State H | Hwy - Bridge Restoration Total |  |  |  |  | \$115,000.00 | \$115,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Supporting Infrastructure Assets |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22258 | 3 | US 20, D3 CULVERT REPAIR | 2021 | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 146 | CE | \$12,342.00 | \$12,342.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$22,500.00 | \$0.00 | \$22,500.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$236,500.00 | \$129,591.00 | \$106,909.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$271,342.00 | \$141,933.00 | \$129,409.00 |
| State Hwy - Supporting Infrastructure Assets Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$271,342.00 | \$141,933.00 | \$129,409.00 |
| State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Safety) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20428 | 3 | SH 21, TECHNOLOGY WAY TO SURPRISE WAY, BOISE | 2022 | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 106 | PE | \$145,800.00 | \$145,800.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$4,200.00 | \$4,200.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Safety) Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Safety \& Capacity (Capacity) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13476 | 3 | SH 44, SH 55 INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENT, EAGLE | 2022 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 112 | PE | (\$70,000.00) | (\$70,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | (\$1,091,052.00) | (\$1,091,052.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | (\$10,000.00) | (\$10,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | (\$205,000.00) | (\$205,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$1,376,052.00) | (\$1,376,052.00) | \$0.00 |
| 20266 | 3 | SH 44, INT SH-16 TO LINDER RD, ADA CO | 2023 | Development | 112 | PC | \$90,000.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$90,000.00 | \$90,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20367 | 3 | US 20, PHYLLIS CANAL BR TO SH-16, ADA CO | 2023 | Development | 112 | PE | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | \$3,650,000.00 | \$3,650,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,730,000.00 | \$3,730,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20574 | 3 | SH 44, STAR RD TO SH-16, ADA CO | 2024 | Development | 112 | PE | \$60,500.00 | \$60,500.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$60,500.00 | \$60,500.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20788 | 3 | SH 16, I 84 TO US 20/26 \& SH44 IC, ADA \& CANYON COS | 2022 | Development | 107 | RW | \$7,500,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,500,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,500,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$7,500,000.00 |
| 21867 | 3 | SH 55, KARCHER RD; MIDWAY TO MIDDLETON, NAMPA | 2025 | Development | 112 | PE | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$974,000.00 | \$974,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,024,000.00 | \$1,024,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22165 | 3 | US 20/26, CHINDEN; I 84 TO MIDDLETON RD, CANYON CO | $2024$ | Development | 112 | PE | \$200,000.00 | \$200,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$2,000,000.00 | \$2,000,000.00 | \$0.00 |



| KeyNo | District | Location | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgNo | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22944 | 3 | LOCAL, FY22 STODDARD PATHWAY, NAMPA | 2022 | Development | 134 | PL | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$78,000.00 | \$78,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$142,753.00 | \$142,753.00 | \$0.00 |
| State Hwy - Freight |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22102 | 3 | STC-8223, FRANKLIN BLVD \& KARCHER RD INT, NAMPA | 2022 | Development | 139 | PC | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 280,908.00 \\ & \$ 280,908.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 280,908.00 \\ & \$ 280,908.00 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.00 \\ & \$ 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| 22103 | 3 | OFFSYS, FRANKLIN BLVD \& 3RD N FREIGHT IMPRV, NAMPA | 2022 | Development | 139 | PC | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 280,908.00) \\ & (\$ 280,908.00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (\$ 280,908.00) \\ & (\$ 280.908 .00) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \$ 0.00 \\ & \$ 0.00 \end{aligned}$ |
| State Hwy - Freight Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Urban |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12048 | 3 | STC-3016, S CEMETERY RD; SH 44 TO WILLOW CR, MIDDLETON | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 46 | PE | \$2,000.00 | \$2,999.00 | (\$999.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$28,000.00 | \$25,585.00 | \$2,415.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | \$10,000.00 | \$9,161.00 | \$839.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UT | \$0.00 | \$24,000.00 | (\$24,000.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CE | \$9,061.00 | \$12,061.00 | (\$3,000.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$455,000.00 | \$455,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CL | \$127,303.00 | \$92,303.00 | \$35,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$3,289,636.00 | \$3,299,891.00 | (\$10,255.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,921,000.00 | \$3,921,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13484 | 3 | STP-719, CENTENNIAL WAY ROUNDABOUT, CALDWELL | 2023 | Development | 46 | PE | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$3,000.00 | \$3,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$6,000.00 | \$6,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13487 | 3 | NHS-8213, MIDDLETON \& USTICK ROUNDABOUT, CALDWELL | 2025 | Development | 46 | PL | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | \$550,000.00 | \$550,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UT | \$11,000.00 | \$11,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$591,000.00 | \$591,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13494 | 3 | STC-7787, OLD HWY 30; W PLYMOUTH ST BR, CANYON CO | 2023 | Development | 46 | PL | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 13905 | 3 | NHS-7773, N 10TH AVE ITS \& OVERLAY, CALDWELL | 2500 | Development | 46 | PC | \$7,000.00 | \$7,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$27,000.00 | \$27,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22016 | 3 | STC-7973, MIDWAY RD; KARCHER TO CALDWELL BLVD, CANYON HD | 2500 | Development | 46 | PC | \$145,000.00 | \$145,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | \$25,000.00 | \$25,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$170,000.00 | \$170,000.00 | \$0.00 |


| KeyNo | District | Location | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgNo | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 22017 | 3 | NHS-8523, CHERRY LN; FRANKLIN BLVD TO 11TH AVE N, NAMPA | 2500 | Development | 46 | PC | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$130,000.00 | \$130,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22018 | 3 | SMA-7813, MONTANA AVE; PED IMPRV \& WIDENING, CALDWELL | 2500 | Development | 46 | PC | \$4,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | (\$4,000.00) | (\$4,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22132 | 3 | LOCAL, INT HOLLY ST \& NW NAZARENE U RDWAY, NAMPA | 2500 | Development | 46 | PL | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | Local Hwy - Urban Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,915,000.00 | \$4,915,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Transportation Management Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18701 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 1, ACHD | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 51 | PE | (\$13,000.00) | (\$13,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | (\$69,463.00) | (\$69,463.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CE | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$419,700.00 | \$419,700.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$4,406,850.00 | \$4,406,850.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$4,749,087.00 | \$4,749,087.00 | \$0.00 |
| 18905 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 ROLLING STOCK, INFRASTRUCTURE, TECH, VRT | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 51 | CN | \$2,496,000.00 | \$2,496,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,496,000.00 | \$2,496,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 19303 | 3 | LOCAL, PLANNING, TRAVEL SURVEY DATA COLLECTION, COMPASS | 2021 | Development | 51 | PC | \$700,000.00 | \$700,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$700,000.00 | \$700,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 19993 | 3 | LOCAL, ROADWAY \& ADA IMPROVEMENTS, PART 2, BOISE AREA | 2023 | Development | 51 | PC | (\$197,000.00) | (\$197,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$197,000.00) | (\$197,000.00) | \$0.00 |
| 20129 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, ACHD | 2022 | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 51 | CE | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$271,335.00 | \$271,334.00 | \$1.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$1,417,161.00 | \$1,417,161.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,693,496.00 | \$1,693,495.00 | \$1.00 |
| 20159 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PHASE 3, BOISE AREA | 2021 | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 51 | CE | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$55,714.00 | \$55,714.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$608,856.00 | \$608,856.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$666,570.00 | \$666,570.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20245 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 \& FY22 ADA COUNTY SR2S, VRT | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 51 | CN | \$56,305.00 | \$56,305.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$56,305.00 | \$56,305.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Transportation Management Area Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,164,458.00 | \$10,164,457.00 | \$1.00 |
| Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives; TMA |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20122 | 3 | LOCAL, FY22 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, BOISE | $2022$ | Development | 133 | LP | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,000.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 |


| KeyNo | District | Location | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgN | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 20245 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 \& FY22 ADA COUNTY SR2S, VRT | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 133 | CN | \$288,000.00 | \$288,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20549 | 3 | US 20, CHINDEN; INT 43RD ST PED IMPRV, GARDEN CITY | 2023 | Development | 133 | PE | \$8,000.00 | \$8,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$48,000.00 | \$48,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$56,000.00 | \$56,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20841 | 3 | SH 55, BIKE/PED BR OVER BOISE RV, EAGLE | 2023 | Development | 133 | PC | \$69,646.00 | \$69,646.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$69,646.00 | \$69,646.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Transportation Alternatives; TMA Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$423,646.00 | \$423,646.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Rural |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13964 | 3 | STC-3798, PECKHAM RD, GOLDEN GATE HD | 2022 | Development | 45 | PL | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 19951 | 3 | STC-3856, OLD HWY 30; SAND HOLLOW RD | 2500 | Development | 45 | PL | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | \$75,000.00 | \$75,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$95,000.00 | \$95,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Rural Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$110,000.00 | \$110,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Local Hwy - Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13494 | 3 | STC-7787, OLD HWY 30; W PLYMOUTH ST | 2023 | Development | 49 | PL | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  | BR, CANYON CO |  |  |  |  | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | Local H | wy - Bridge Total |  |  |  |  | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Off-System Hwy - Bridge |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22593 | 3 | OFFSYS, S 4TH AVE, INDIAN CREEK BR, | 2021 | PS\&E (or | 50 | PE | \$2,000.00 | \$2,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  | CALDWELL |  | equiv.) |  | PC | \$165,500.00 | \$165,500.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CE | \$10,740.00 | \$10,740.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$365,000.00 | \$365,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CL | \$96,659.00 | \$96,659.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$1,000,676.16 | \$1,000,676.16 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,640,575.16 | \$1,640,575.16 | \$0.00 |
| Off-System Hwy - Bridge Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,640,575.16 | \$1,640,575.16 | \$0.00 |
| Hwy Safety - Local |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20430 | 3 | STC-7821, INT N MIDDLETON RD \& | 2021 | Awarded (or | 118 | PL | \$7,000.00 | \$7,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  | CORNELL ST, MIDDLETON |  | equiv.) |  | CE | \$1,807.00 | \$1,807.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$128,000.00 | \$128,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CL | \$44,942.00 | \$44,942.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$316,251.00 | \$316,251.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$498,000.00 | \$498,000.00 | \$0.00 |


| KeyNo | District | Location | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgNo | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21999 | 3 | STP-8463, GREENHURST RD; SUNNYBROOK TO CANYON ST, NAMPA | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 118 | PC | (\$7,474.00) | (\$7,474.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | \$7,002.00 | \$7,002.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CE | \$2,231.00 | \$2,231.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CL | \$35,174.00 | \$35,174.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$881,970.00 | \$881,970.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,068,903.00 | \$1,068,903.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22878 | 3 | SMA-3724, HOMEDALE RD CURVE IMPV, CANYON HD | 2024 | Development | 118 | PE | \$4,000.00 | \$4,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$98,000.00 | \$98,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PL | \$30,000.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$132,000.00 | \$132,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | Hwy Safety - Local Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$1,698,903.00 | \$1,698,903.00 | \$0.00 |
| Hwy Safety - Railroad Crossings |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20355 | 3 | OFFSYS, LOOK LN UPRR RRX, CALDWELL | 2022 | Development | 22 | PE | \$15,000.00 | \$15,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | (\$15,000.00) | (\$15,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20537 | 3 | OFFSYS, BENJAMIN LN BVRR RRX, BOISE | 2022 | Development | 22 | PE | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | Hwy Safety - Railroad Crossings Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$20,000.00 | \$20,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| Hwy - Discretionary |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22593 | 3 | OFFSYS, S 4TH AVE, INDIAN CREEK BR, CALDWELL | 2021 | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 38 | CN | $\$ 899,023.74$ $\$ 899,023.74$ | $\$ 899,023.74$ $\$ 899,023.74$ | $\$ 0.00$ $\$ 0.00$ |
| 22619 | 3 | I 84, USTICK RD OVERPASS, CANYON CO | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 145 | CE | \$132,996.00 | \$132,996.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$660,000.00 | \$660,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$6,415,855.20 | \$6,415,855.20 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$7,208,851.20 | \$7,208,851.20 | \$0.00 |
| 23081 | 3 | I 84, FRANKLIN RD IC TO KARCHER IC EAST, NAMPA | 2022 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 145 | CE | \$354,169.61 | \$0.00 | \$354,169.61 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$2,317,139.23 | \$0.00 | \$2,317,139.23 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$2,671,308.84 | \$0.00 | \$2,671,308.84 |
|  | Hwy - Discretionary Total |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,779,183.78 | \$8,107,874.94 | \$2,671,308.84 |
| Hwy - Federal Lands Access |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22600 | 3 | STC-3787, WESTERN HERITAGE BYWAY (SWAN FALLS RD), ADA CO | 2024 | Development | 59 | PC | $\$ 200,000.00$ $\$ 200,000.00$ | $\$ 0.00$ $\$ 0.00$ | $\$ 200,000.00$ $\$ 200,000.00$ |
| 22602 | 3 | STC-3714, INDIANA AND ORCHARD SHARED ROADWAY, CANYON HD \#4 | 2024 | Development | 59 | PC | \$13,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$13,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$13,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$13,000.00 |
|  | Hwy - F | Federal Lands Access Total |  |  |  |  | \$213,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$213,000.00 |


| KeyNo District Location ProgYr Project Status ProgNo Phase Scheduled Obligated Remainder |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy - Non-Participating |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22995 | 3 | LOCAL, BIKE IMPROVEMENTS, SIGNS \& PVMT MARKINGS, ADA | 2021 | Development | 69 | PE | \$1,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$1,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$46,000.00 | \$46,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,000.00 | \$46,000.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| Hwy - Non-Participating Total |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$47,000.00 | \$46,000.00 | \$1,000.00 |
| Hwy - Local Partnerships |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13349 | 3 | SH 55, EAGLE RD: MERIDIAN TOWN CENTER - STAGE 3 | 2021 | Development | 131 | CE | \$60,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$60,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$404,957.13 | \$404,957.13 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$5,000,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$5,000,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$5,464,957.13 | \$404,957.13 | \$5,060,000.00 |
| 19465 | 3 | LOCAL, FY22 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 1, BOISE | 2022 | Development | 79 | PC | \$180,700.00 | \$180,700.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$180,700.00 | \$180,700.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20006 | 3 | LOCAL, FY22 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 3, ACHD | 2022 | Development | 79 | PE | (\$5,000.00) | (\$5,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | (\$75,000.00) | (\$75,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | (\$80,000.00) | (\$80,000.00) | \$0.00 |
| 20129 | 3 | LOCAL, FY21 CAPITAL MAINTENANCE, PH 2, ACHD | 2022 | PS\&E (or equiv.) | 79 | CN | \$982,087.00 | \$958,855.00 | \$23,232.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$982,087.00 | \$958,855.00 | \$23,232.00 |
| 20259 | 3 | LOCAL, ROADWAY \& ADA IMPROVEMENTS PART 1, BOISE AREA | 2023 | Development | 79 | PC | \$300,000.00 | \$300,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$300,000.00 | \$300,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 20367 | 3 | US 20, PHYLLIS CANAL BR TO SH-16, ADA CO | 2023 | Development | 79 | PE | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$10,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 |
| 20674 | 3 | LOCAL, FY24, ROADWAY AND ADA IMPROVEMENTS, BOISE | 2024 | Development | 79 | PE | \$29,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$29,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$29,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$29,000.00 |
| 21858 | 3 | US 20, SH 16 TO LINDER RD, ADA COUNTY | 2021 | Development | 131 | PE | (\$5,000.00) | (\$5,000.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | \$3,021,000.00 | \$3,021,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,021,000.00 | \$3,021,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22619 | 3 | I 84, USTICK RD OVERPASS, CANYON CO | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 79 | CN | \$3,507,000.00 | \$3,507,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$3,507,000.00 | \$3,507,000.00 | \$0.00 |
| 22718 | 3 | SH 44, PALMER LN INTERSECTION IMPROVEMENTS | 2027 | Development | 131 | PE | \$70,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$70,000.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$70,000.00 | \$0.00 | \$70,000.00 |
| 23071 | 3 | SH 45, REALIGNMENT ALTERNATIVES ANALYSIS, PEL, NAMPA | 2021 | Development | 79 | PE | \$5,000.00 | \$5,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$400,000.00 | \$400,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$405,000.00 | \$405,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  | Hwy - | Local Partnerships Total |  |  |  |  | \$13,889,744.13 | \$8,697,512.13 | \$5,192,232.00 |


| KeyNo | tric | Location | ProgYr | Project Status | ProgNo | Phase | Scheduled | Obligated | Remainder |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Hwy GARVEE-2017 Legislative Authorization |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20788 | 3 | SH 16, I 84 TO US 20/26 \& SH44 IC, ADA \& CANYON COS | 2022 | Development | 142 | PE | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | PC | \$2,281,395.00 | \$17,281,395.00 | (\$15,000,000.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | \$150,000.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | (\$1,940,020.00) | (\$1,940,020.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UT | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$641,375.00 | \$15,641,375.00 | (\$15,000,000.00) |
| 22196 | 3 | I 84, FRANKLIN IC TO KARCHER IC, CANYON CO | 2021 | Development | 142 | PE | (\$378,258.00) | (\$378,258.00) | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | RW | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | LP | \$900,000.00 | \$900,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | UT | \$50,000.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$671,742.00 | \$671,742.00 | \$0.00 |
| 23079 | 3 | I 84, TEMP WIDENING, FRANKLIN IC TO KARCHER IC, NAMPA | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 142 | CE | \$500,000.00 | \$500,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$1,000,000.00 | \$1,000,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$7,324,191.00 | \$7,324,191.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$8,824,191.00 | \$8,824,191.00 | \$0.00 |
| 23080 | 3 | I 84, FRANKLIN RD IC TO KARCHER IC WEST, NAMPA | 2021 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 142 | CE | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$6,197,000.00 | \$6,197,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$63,512,536.00 | \$63,512,536.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$69,809,536.00 | \$69,809,536.00 | \$0.00 |
| 23081 | 3 | I 84, FRANKLIN RD IC TO KARCHER IC EAST, NAMPA | 2022 | Awarded (or equiv.) | 142 | CE | \$100,000.00 | \$100,000.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CC | \$4,795,986.00 | \$4,795,986.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | CN | \$39,833,337.00 | \$39,833,337.00 | \$0.00 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$44,729,323.00 | \$44,729,323.00 | \$0.00 |
| $\frac{\text { Hwy GARVEE - } 2017 \text { Legislative Authorization Total }}{\text { Report Total }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$124,676,167.00 | \$139,676,167.00 | (\$15,000,000.00) |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | \$208,909,975.61 | \$207,203,933.77 | \$1,706,041.84 |
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