



**Community Planning Association of Southwest Idaho  
Executive Committee Meeting  
April 15, 2005  
COMPASS Conference Room**

**\*\*\*Minutes\*\*\***

**ATTENDEES:** Matt Beebe, Commissioner, Canyon County  
David Bieter, Mayor, City of Boise  
Tammy de Weerd, Mayor, City of Meridian, **Chair-Elect**  
Bob Flowers, Mayor, City of Parma, **Chair**  
Gordon Law for Garret Nancolas, Mayor, City of Caldwell  
Nancy Merrill, Mayor, City of Eagle  
Carol McKee, Commissioner, for John Franden, Commissioner, Ada  
County Highway District, **Secretary-Treasurer**  
Judy Peavey-Derr, Commissioner, Ada County  
Matt Stoll, Executive Director, Community Planning Association, Ex  
Officio

**MEMBERS ABSENT:** Tom Dale, Mayor, City of Nampa, **Vice-Chair**

**OTHERS:** Gerry Armstrong, Ada County  
Nancy Brecks, Community Planning Association  
Karen Doherty, Doherty & Associates  
Dave Ferdinand, Commissioner, Canyon County  
Charles Trainor, Community Planning Association  
Terri Schorzman, Community Planning Association  
Jeanne Urlezaga, Community Planning Association

**CALL TO ORDER**

**Chair Bob Flowers called the meeting to order at 1:10 p.m.**

- A. Discuss Results from Public and Member Outreach for *Communities in Motion* and *Blueprint for Good Growth***

Matt Stoll recapped the Executive Committee's directive to staff to reach out to individual members to discuss what their concerns are regarding the scenarios, so the decision can be made as to whether a preferred growth scenario can be identified at the April Board meeting or postponed until a later date.

Charles Trainor and Karen Doherty reviewed points of concerns expressed by local governments in Ada and Canyon Counties at meetings on April 8, 11 and 12, 2005, about the Blended and Corridor scenarios. Charles said that overall there appeared to be some acceptance if the scenarios are modified to reflect Trend and projects that are already in the pipeline. The commenters believe there is a better definition of density than simply single family or multi-family. There was a strong concern that neither scenario was "realistic" given the current market. Some of the largest issues are: What does the area of impact mean? Are areas of impact where growth should occur? If there are going to be planned communities, where, how big and how defined or robust are they going to be toward being self contained?

It was agreed that it would be premature for the Board to attempt to select a preferred scenario at the April 18, 2005 Board meeting. Staff will present the summary of concerns to the full Board at the April meeting and continue to address comments. Staff was directed to schedule a separate meeting to discuss issues regarding planned communities.

### **Adjournment**

**Meeting adjourned at 2:25 p.m.**

**Dated this 27th Day of April 2005.**

**APPROVED:**

**By:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Bob Flowers, Chair**  
**Community Planning Association**

**ATTEST:**

**By:** \_\_\_\_\_  
**Matthew J. Stoll, Executive Director**  
**Community Planning Association**